Supporting Information for "Excited-state geometry optimization of small molecules with Many-Body Green's Functions Theory"

Onur Çaylak^{1, 2, *} and Björn Baumeier^{1, 2, †}

¹Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, P.O. Box 513, 5600MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands ²Eindhoven University of Technology, Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, P.O. Box 513, 5600MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

CONTENTS

S1.	Acetone C_s symmetry	2
S2.	Acetone C_{2v} symmetry	2
S3.	s-cis acrolein	3
S4.	s-trans acrolein	4
S5.	Methylenecyclopropene	4
	References	5

* o.caylak@tue.nl

[†] B.Baumeier@tue.nl

S1. ACETONE C_s SYMMETRY

TABLE S1. Optimized bond lengths (in Å), angles and dihedrals (in degrees) of the $n \to \pi^*$ excited state $(1^1A'')$ of acetone in C_s symmetry. Results from the different *GW*-BSE variants are obtained with the cc-pVTZ basis and the PBE0 hybrid functional in the underlying DFT calculation. Deviations Δ_i with respect to the CASPT2 reference are given in parentheses.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-						-	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(C=O	(C-C	$\theta(C-$	-С-С)	$\Theta(H-$	-C-C-O)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	G_0W_0 -BSE/full/FAA	1.327	(-0.023)	1.495	(-0.001)	113.63	(+0.88)	49.83	(-2.42)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	evGW-BSE/full/FAA	1.302	(-0.048)	1.504	(+0.008)	114.58	(+1.83)	50.09	(-2.16)
$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{ev} GW\text{-}\mathrm{BSE}/\mathrm{TDA}/\mathrm{FAA} & 1.303 & (-0.047) & 1.502 & (+0.006) & 114.61 & (+1.86) & 49.68 & (-2.67) \\ G_0W_0\text{-}\mathrm{BSE}/\mathrm{full}/\mathrm{PPM} & 1.327 & (-0.023) & 1.494 & (-0.002) & 113.87 & (+1.12) & 49.75 & (-2.50) \\ \mathrm{ev} GW\text{-}\mathrm{BSE}/\mathrm{full}/\mathrm{PPM} & 1.308 & (-0.042) & 1.497 & (+0.001) & 114.27 & (+1.52) & 49.65 & (-2.60) \\ G_0W_0\text{-}\mathrm{BSE}/\mathrm{TDA}/\mathrm{PPM} & 1.321 & (-0.029) & 1.490 & (-0.006) & 114.44 & (+1.69) & 49.04 & (-3.21) \\ \mathrm{ev} GW\text{-}\mathrm{BSE}/\mathrm{TDA}/\mathrm{PPM} & 1.308 & (-0.042) & 1.496 & (+0.000) & 114.43 & (+1.68) & 49.33 & (-2.92) \\ \end{array}$	$G_0 W_0$ -BSE/TDA/FAA	1.321	(-0.029)	1.492	(-0.004)	114.16	(+1.41)	49.99	(-2.26)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	evGW-BSE/TDA/FAA	1.303	(-0.047)	1.502	(+0.006)	114.61	(+1.86)	49.68	(-2.67)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.005	(0.000)	1 404	(0.000)	110.05	(
evGW-BSE/full/PPM1.308 (-0.042) 1.497 $(+0.001)$ 114.27 $(+1.52)$ 49.65 (-2.60) G_0W_0 -BSE/TDA/PPM1.321 (-0.029) 1.490 (-0.006) 114.44 $(+1.69)$ 49.04 (-3.21) evGW-BSE/TDA/PPM1.308 (-0.042) 1.496 $(+0.000)$ 114.43 $(+1.68)$ 49.33 (-2.92) CASPT2 ^a 1.3501.496 $(+0.000)$ 112.7552.25CC2 ^a 1.404 $(+0.054)$ 1.477 (-0.019) 112.63 (-0.12) 55.37 $(+3.12)$ VMC ^{ab} 1.344 (-0.006) 1.489 (-0.007) 112.52 (-0.23) 52.16 (-0.09) TDDFT/PBE0 ^a 1.301 (-0.049) 1.493 (-0.003) 114.91 $(+2.16)$ 51.50 (-0.75) TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP ^a 1.295 (-0.055) 1.504 $(+0.008)$ 114.84 $(+2.09)$ 51.38 (-0.87) TDDFT/M06-2X ^a 1.288 (-0.062) 1.516 $(+0.020)$ 114.90 $(+2.15)$ 50.10 (-2.15)	G_0W_0 -BSE/full/PPM	1.327	(-0.023)	1.494	(-0.002)	113.87	(+1.12)	49.75	(-2.50)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	evGW-BSE/full/PPM	1.308	(-0.042)	1.497	(+0.001)	114.27	(+1.52)	49.65	(-2.60)
evGW-BSE/TDA/PPM1.308 (-0.042) 1.496 $(+0.000)$ 114.43 $(+1.68)$ 49.33 (-2.92) CASPT2 ^a 1.3501.496112.7552.25CC2 ^a 1.404 $(+0.054)$ 1.477 (-0.019) 112.63 (-0.12) 55.37 $(+3.12)$ VMC ^{ab} 1.344 (-0.006) 1.489 (-0.007) 112.52 (-0.23) 52.16 (-0.09) TDDFT/PBE0 ^a 1.301 (-0.049) 1.493 (-0.003) 114.91 $(+2.16)$ 51.50 (-0.75) TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP ^a 1.295 (-0.055) 1.504 $(+0.008)$ 114.84 $(+2.09)$ 51.38 (-0.87) TDDFT/M06-2X ^a 1.288 (-0.062) 1.516 $(+0.020)$ 114.90 $(+2.15)$ 50.10 (-2.15)	$G_0 W_0$ -BSE/TDA/PPM	1.321	(-0.029)	1.490	(-0.006)	114.44	(+1.69)	49.04	(-3.21)
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} CASPT2^{a} & 1.350 & 1.496 & 112.75 & 52.25 \\ CC2^{a} & 1.404 & (+0.054) & 1.477 & (-0.019) & 112.63 & (-0.12) & 55.37 & (+3.12) \\ VMC^{ab} & 1.344 & (-0.006) & 1.489 & (-0.007) & 112.52 & (-0.23) & 52.16 & (-0.09) \\ TDDFT/PBE0^{a} & 1.301 & (-0.049) & 1.493 & (-0.003) & 114.91 & (+2.16) & 51.50 & (-0.75) \\ TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP^{a} & 1.295 & (-0.055) & 1.504 & (+0.008) & 114.84 & (+2.09) & 51.38 & (-0.87) \\ TDDFT/M06-2X^{a} & 1.288 & (-0.062) & 1.516 & (+0.020) & 114.90 & (+2.15) & 50.10 & (-2.15) \\ \end{array}$	evGW-BSE/TDA/PPM	1.308	(-0.042)	1.496	(+0.000)	114.43	(+1.68)	49.33	(-2.92)
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} CC2^{a} & 1.404 & (+0.054) & 1.477 & (-0.019) & 112.63 & (-0.12) & 55.37 & (+3.12) \\ VMC^{ab} & 1.344 & (-0.006) & 1.489 & (-0.007) & 112.52 & (-0.23) & 52.16 & (-0.09) \\ TDDFT/PBE0^{a} & 1.301 & (-0.049) & 1.493 & (-0.003) & 114.91 & (+2.16) & 51.50 & (-0.75) \\ TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP^{a} & 1.295 & (-0.055) & 1.504 & (+0.008) & 114.84 & (+2.09) & 51.38 & (-0.87) \\ TDDFT/M06-2X^{a} & 1.288 & (-0.062) & 1.516 & (+0.020) & 114.90 & (+2.15) & 50.10 & (-2.15) \\ \end{array}$	CASPT2 ^a	1.350		1.496		112.75		52.25	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$CC2^{a}$	1.404	(+0.054)	1.477	(-0.019)	112.63	(-0.12)	55.37	(+3.12)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\rm VMC^{ab}$	1.344	(-0.006)	1.489	(-0.007)	112.52	(-0.23)	52.16	(-0.09)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TDDFT/PBE0 ^a	1.301	(-0.049)	1.493	(-0.003)	114.91	(+2.16)	51.50	(-0.75)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP ^a	1.295	(-0.055)	1.504	(+0.008)	114.84	(+2.09)	51.38	(-0.87)
	TDDFT/M06-2X ^a	1.288	(-0.062)	1.516	(+0.020)	114.90	(+2.15)	50.10	(-2.15)

^a from Ref. 1

 $^{\rm b}$ with pseudopotentials and a pVDZ type basis of H and pVTZ type basis for C and O

S2. ACETONE C_{2v} SYMMETRY

TABLE S2. Optimized bond lengths (in Å) and angles (in degrees) of the $n \to \pi^*$ excited state (1¹A₂) of acetone in C_{2v} symmetry. Results from the different *GW*-BSE variants are obtained with the cc-pVTZ basis and the PBE0 hybrid functional in the underlying DFT calculation.

	C=O	C-C	$\theta(C-C-C)$
G_0W_0 -BSE/full/FAA	1.341	1.484	117.54
evGW-BSE/full/FAA	1.319	1.490	118.32
$G_0 W_0$ -BSE/TDA/FAA	1.340	1.482	117.43
evGW-BSE/TDA/FAA	1.319	1.491	118.51
C.W. BSF /full/DDM	1 220	1 /85	117 50
CW DSE/full/DDM	1.009	1.400	117.50
C W D E / T D A / D D M	1.024	1.400	117.20
G_0W_0 -DSE/IDA/PPM	1.007	1.480	117.75
evGW-BSE/TDA/PPM	1.323	1.487	118.29
CASPT2 ^a	1.360	1.487	116.86
CC2 ^a	1.422	1.473	116.24
VMC ^{ab}	1.348	1.481	118.99
TDDFT/PBE0 ^a	1.314	1.487	118.12
TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP ^a	1.307	1.494	118.26
TDDFT/M06-2X ^a	1.299	1.503	118.86

 $^{\rm a}$ from Ref. 1

 $^{\rm b}$ with pseudopotentials and a pVDZ type basis of H and pVTZ type basis for C and O

S3. s-CIS ACROLEIN

	C=O	C-C	C = C	$\theta(C-C-C)$	$\theta(C-C-O)$
G_0W_0 -BSE/full/FAA	1.321	1.374	1.379	124.39	123.94
evGW-BSE/full/FAA	1.299	1.399	1.362	124.94	124.66
$G_0 W_0$ -BSE/TDA/FAA	1.313	1.381	1.375	124.41	125.00
evGW-BSE/TDA/FAA	1.298	1.399	1.362	124.74	124.63
G_0W_0 -BSE/full/PPM	1.313	1.378	1.379	124.24	124.42
evGW-BSE/full/PPM	1.303	1.398	1.363	124.63	124.14
G_0W_0 -BSE/TDA/PPM	1.311	1.377	1.381	123.93	124.73
evGW-BSE/TDA/PPM	1.301	1.398	1.363	124.42	124.15
CASPT2 ^a	1.333	1.378	1.391	124.53	123.84
$CC2^{a}$	1.374	1.372	1.380	121.42	124.00
VMC ^{ab}	1.320	1.373	1.386	124.46	125.16
TDDFT/PBE0 ^a	1.274	1.386	1.369	123.96	131.06
TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP ^a	1.278	1.403	1.356	124.64	125.83
TDDFT/M06-2X ^a	1.277	1.418	1.350	124.09	126.62

TABLE S3. Optimized bond lengths (in Å) and angles (in degrees) of the $n \to \pi^*$ excited state $(1^1 A'')$ of s-cis acrolein. Results from the different GW-BSE variants are obtained with the cc-pVTZ basis and the PBE0 hybrid functional in the underlying DFT calculation.

 $^{\rm a}$ from Ref. 1

 $^{\rm b}$ with pseudopotentials and a pVDZ type basis of H and pVTZ type basis for C and O

TABLE S4. Optimized bond lengths (in Å) and angles (in degrees) of the $n \to \pi^*$ excited state (1^1A_2) of s-trans acrolein. Results from the different *GW*-BSE variants are obtained with the cc-pVTZ basis and the PBE0 hybrid functional in the underlying DFT calculation.

	C=O	C-C	C = C	$\theta(C-C-C)$	$\theta(C-C-O)$
G_0W_0 -BSE/full/FAA	1.315	1.377	1.382	123.90	125.39
evGW-BSE/full/FAA	1.301	1.361	1.399	124.15	125.21
$G_0 W_0$ -BSE/TDA/FAA	1.312	1.376	1.382	124.50	125.68
evGW-BSE/TDA/FAA	1.300	1.363	1.398	123.94	125.58
G_0W_0 -BSE/full/PPM	1 315	1 379	1 378	123 33	125 76
evGW-BSE/full/PPM	1.306	1.364	1.396	123.88	120.70 124.77
G_0W_0 -BSE/TDA/PPM	1.311	1.382	1.378	123.02	125.78
evGW-BSE/TDA/PPM	1.305	1.365	1.396	123.77	124.78
CASPT2 ^a	1.336	1.377	1.392	123.26	124.54
CC2 ^a	1.368	1.370	1.383	122.14	127.15
VMC ^{ab}	1.327	1.368	1.383	122.57	125.82
TDDFT/PBE0 ^a	1.272	1.384	1.369	123.96	131.06
TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP ^a	1.278	1.404	1.353	124.30	127.70
TDDFT/M06-2X ^a	1.300	1.418	1.349	124.09	126.62

 $^{\rm a}$ from Ref. 1

 $^{\rm b}$ with pseudopotentials and a pVDZ type basis of H and pVTZ type basis for C and O

S5. METHYLENECYCLOPROPENE

TABLE S5. Optimized bond lengths (in Å) and angles (in degrees) of the $\pi \to \pi^*$ excited state (1^1B_2) of methylenecyclopropene. Results from the different *GW*-BSE variants are obtained with the cc-pVTZ basis and the PBE0 hybrid functional in the underlying DFT calculation.

	$C_1 = C_2$	C_1-C_3	$C_4 = C_3$	$\theta(C_2=C_1-C_3)$	$\theta(C_1 - C_3 = C_4)$
G_0W_0 -BSE/full/FAA	1.455	1.340	1.524	146.31	55.69
evGW-BSE/full/FAA	1.436	1.349	1.504	146.39	56.13
$G_0 W_0$ -BSE/TDA/FAA	1.462	1.350	1.492	146.57	56.22
evGW-BSE/TDA/FAA	1.445	1.348	1.488	146.51	56.51
G_0W_0 -BSE/full/PPM	1.448	1.349	1.513	146.26	55.72
evGW-BSE/full/PPM	1.433	1.350	1.450	146.45	56.26
$G_0 W_0$ -BSE/TDA/PPM	1.455	1.348	1.485	146.55	56.58
evGW-BSE/TDA/PPM	1.440	1.350	1.484	146.56	56.61
CASPT2 ^a	1.461	1.360	1.496	146.64	56.64
$CC2^{a}$	1.457	1.349	1.512	145.90	55.90
VMC ^{ab}	1.456	1.351	1.483	146.66	56.66
TDDFT/PBE0 ^a	1.432	1.349	1.485	146.62	56.62
TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP ^a	1.419	1.344	1.486	146.43	56.43
TDDFT/M06-2X ^a	1.424	1.347	1.483	146.62	56.61

 $^{\rm a}$ from Ref. 1

^b with pseudopotentials and a pVDZ type basis of H and pVTZ type basis for C

[1] R. Guareschi and C. Filippi, Ground- and excited-state geometry optimization of small organic molecules with quantum Monte Carlo, Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 9, 5513 (2013).