# Specific Buffer Effects on the Intermolecular Interactions Among Protein Molecules at Physiological pH

Andrea Salis, \*<sup>1,2</sup> Luca Cappai,<sup>1</sup> Cristina Carucci,<sup>1,2</sup> Drew F. Parsons,<sup>3</sup> and Maura Monduzzi<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical and Geological Sciences, University of Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, SS 554 bivio Sestu, 09042 Monserrato (CA) (Italy).

<sup>2</sup>Consorzio Interuniversitario per lo Sviluppo dei Sistemi a Grande Interfase (CSGI), Italy and Centro NanoBiotecnologie Sardegna (CNBS), Unità Operativa University of Cagliari, Italy.

<sup>3</sup>College of Science, Health, Engineering & Education, Murdoch University, 90 South St., Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia

## Chemicals

Bovine serum albumin (BSA,  $\geq 98$  %), lysozyme from chicken egg (LYZ,  $\geq 98$  %), sodium phosphate dibasic ( $\geq 99$  %), citric acid monohydrate ( $\geq 99$  %), deuterium oxide (99.9 % D), sodium hydroxide ( $\geq 97.0$  %), hydrochloric acid (37 %) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). Sodium dihydrogen phosphate ( $\geq 98$  %) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Tris-HCl ( $\geq 99.8$  %) was purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.

#### NMR measurements

Samples for NMR experiments were prepared using D<sub>2</sub>O. NMR experiments were carried out using a Bruker Ascend<sup>TM</sup> 600 at 14.0954 T. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>23</sup>Na, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>35</sup>Cl NMR measurements were performed at the operating frequencies of 600.13, 158.746, 242.938 and 58.8 MHz, respectively. <sup>1</sup>H NMR selfdiffusion coefficients were determined through the PGSE acquisition sequence and DOSY toolbox to obtain the self-diffusion coefficients *D* from the usual equation,<sup>1</sup>

$$I = I_0 e^{-D\gamma^2 g^2 \delta^2 (\Delta - \delta/3)} \tag{1}$$

where *I* is the integral of the peak at each field gradient intensity,  $I_o$  is the signal intensity in the absence of field gradient,  $\gamma$  is the magnetogyric ratio, g,  $\delta$ , and  $\Delta$  are the magnitude, the duration and the separation of the field gradient pulses, respectively.

Spin-lattice (R<sub>1</sub>) and spin-spin (R<sub>2</sub>) relaxation rates for <sup>23</sup>Na and <sup>31</sup>P nuclei were performed using the inversion recovery and CPMG spin-echo usual sequences  $R_1 = 1/T_1$  (Eq. 2) and  $R_2 = 1/T_2$  (Eq. 3) values were obtained using the following equations,<sup>2</sup>

$$M_z = M_0 \left( 1 - 2e^{-\frac{\tau}{T_1}} \right)$$
 (2)

$$M_z = M_0 e^{-\frac{\tau}{T_2}} \tag{3}$$

where  $M_z$  is the signal intensity at each delay  $\tau$ , and  $M_o$  is the signal intensity when the delay  $\tau$  is long enough to allow for full recovery of the signal intensity. All experiments were run at the controlled temperature of 298 K, and reported values are the average of three different measurements for each experiment.



**Figure S1**. Citrate anion in the presence of BSA: <sup>1</sup>H NMR PGSE intensity decay (ln I) vs gradient strength ( $g^2 = \gamma^2 g^2 \delta^2 (\Delta - \delta/3)$ ) to display the two slopes that bring to calculate the two D values 3.78 and  $1.54 \times 10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for citrate anion.

### **Dynamic light scattering measurements**

A stock solution of 10 mg/mL concentration of lysozyme was prepared in 10 mM sodium phosphate, sodium citrate and Tris-HCl buffers at pH 7.15  $\pm$  0.05. By dilution, 8 lysozyme solutions were prepared in the concentration range 1 – 9 mg/mL. A 10 mM phosphate buffer stock solution with a concentration of BSA 20 mg/mL at pH 7.15  $\pm$  0.05 as initially prepared. Then 5 solutions at concentrations, 2 mg/mL, 5 mg/mL, 8 mg/mL, 10 mg/mL and 15 mg/mL, were prepared by dilution. The same preparation procedure was used for the 20 mM, 50 mM and 100 mM buffer concentration solutions. The whole series was repeated in citrate and tris pads. Table 2.1 shows the weighs made for the preparations of the 20 mg/mL stock solutions. All the prepared solutions were analyzed by dynamic light scattering (DLS) by putting about 1 mL of the sample solutions in polystyrene cuvettes (SARSTEDT D-51588). and analyzing in a ZetaSizer Nano ZSP instrument (Zetasizer software Version 7.03) at T = 298.0 K. Measurements were carried out at least in triplicate to confirm the reproducibility of the obtained data.

**Table S1**: Values of diffusion coefficient ( $D_0$ ), hydrodynamic radius ( $R_H$ ) and interaction parameter  $k_D$ ) for Lysozyme obtained by linear fitting apparent diffusion coefficients ( $D_{app}$ ) *vs* protein concentration. Experiments were carried out at 10 mM buffer concentration, pH 7. 15 and 298 K. Errors are on the last digit and reported within brackets.

	Buffer	<b>R</b> H <sup>[a]</sup>	$D_0$ <sup>[b]</sup> $\times 10^{10}$	kp <sup>[b]</sup>				
		(nm)	$(m^2 s^{-1})$	(cm <sup>3</sup> mg <sup>-1</sup> )				
	Tris HCl	1.81(2)	1.35(2)	0.048(3)				
	Sodium phosphate	1.854(3)	1.321(2)	0.0013(2)				
	Sodium citrate	1.84(1)	1.33(1)	-0.013(1)				
$^{[a]}R_{H}$	<sup>[a]</sup> $R_H = k_B T / (6\pi \eta D_0)$ . <sup>[b]</sup> $D_{app} = D_0 (1 + k_D C_{protein})$							

**Table S2**: Values of diffusion coefficient ( $D_0$ ), hydrodynamic radius ( $R_H$ ) and interaction parameter  $k_D$ ) for BSA obtained by linear fitting apparent diffusion coefficients ( $D_{app}$ ) *vs* protein concentration. Experiments were carried out for different buffer concentrations (10-100 mM) at pH 7. 15 and 298 K. Errors are on the last digit and reported within brackets.

Buffer	Concentration	I <sup>[a]</sup>	к <sup>-1 [b]</sup>	R <sub>H</sub>	$D_0  imes 10^{11}$	kD
	( <b>mM</b> )	( <b>mM</b> )	(nm)	(nm)	$(m^2 s^{-1})$	(cm <sup>3</sup> mg <sup>-1</sup> )
Tris-HCl	10	8.91	3.22	3.78(6)	5.8(1)	0.027(1)
Tris-HCl	20	17.81	2.28	3.79(1)	5.79(2)	0.0150(1)
Tris-HCl	50	44.52	1.44	3.84(3)	5.58(4)	0.0068(6)
Tris-HCl	100	89.05	1.02	3.85(3)	5.57(4)	0.0050(6)
Sodium	10	23.63	1.98	3.84(2)	5.62(2)	0.0172(4)
phosphate						
Sodium	20	47.25	1.40	3.89(3)	5.54(4)	0.0118(6)
phosphate						
Sodium	50	118.13	0.89	3.80(1)	5.52(2)	0.0055(3)
phosphate						
Sodium	100	236.27	0.63	3.81(1)	5.51(1)	0.0026(2)
phosphate						
Sodium citrate	10	55.47	1.29	3.86(2)	5.62(3)	0.0095(5)
Sodium citrate	20	110.94	0.91	3.90(4)	5.55(5)	0.0064(8)
Sodium citrate	50	277.35	0.58	3.83(1)	5.56(1)	0.0027(2)
Sodium citrate	100	554.71	0.41	4.01(1)	5.31(1)	0.0012(2)
				1		

<sup>[a]</sup> ionic strength  $\left(I = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i} c_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right)$ ; <sup>[b]</sup> Debye length  $(\kappa^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{k_{B}T\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r}}{N_{A}e^{2}1000 \times 2I}})$ 

**Table S3.** Correlation coefficients ( $R^2$ ) of <sup>[a]</sup>  $k_D vs I$  (Figure 3B) and <sup>[b]</sup>  $k_D vs \kappa^{-1}$  (Figure 3C) plots.

Buffer	<b>R</b> <sup>2 [a]</sup>	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> [b]
Tris-HCl	0.698	0.982
Sodium phosphate	0.842	0.996
Sodium citrate	0.836	0.995

# References

- Stilbs, P. Fourier Pulsed-Gradient Studies of Molecular Diffusion. *Prog. NMR Spectrosc.* 1987, 19, 1–45.
- (2) Becker, E. D. *Relaxation, in 'High Resolution NMR*; Academic Press, 2000.