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## Expression and Purification of PRMT5/MEP50 Complex for Biochemical Assay

Avi-tagged PRMT5 (2-637) and His-tagged MEP50 (2-342) were individually cloned into pBAC1 vectors for co-expression in $s f 21$ cells. 10 L of $2.8 \times 10^{\wedge} 6$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ were coinfected with P2 BIICs (Baculovirus Infected Insect Cells) at 0.2 MOI in a 20 L wave bag, rocking at 28 rpm for 72 hrs post infection. Cells were pelleted at $3,400 \mathrm{xg}$ for 10 min and frozen at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until date of purification. 1.5 L of Lysis buffer ( 50 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 7.3,300 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 20 mM Imidazole, 5\% Glycerol, 1 tablet/ 50 mL Protease Inhibitor Tablets w/o EDTA and 50 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ Benzonase) was added to cell pellet and homogenized with a dounce homogenizer. Lysate was further homogenized with a microfluidizer ( 2 cycles at 17 kPsi ). The resulting lysate was spun for 120 mins at $45,000 \mathrm{~g}$ and clarified lysate was loaded onto a 5 mL HisTrap FF Crude column using an AKTA System. Protein was eluted over a gradient with 50 mM HEPES pH $7.3,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, 20-300 mM Imidazole, 5\% Glycerol and 1 mM DTT. Fractions containing PRMT5/MEP50 proteins were pooled and biotinylated with BirA ( $8: 1$ protein to BirA molar ratio) during dialysis into SEC buffer ( 18 hrs at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Greater than $90 \%$ biotinylation was observed by streptavidin gel shift assay. The biotinylated complex was further purified over a Superdex 200 26/60 column in SEC buffer ( 50 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 7.3,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ Glycerol and 5 mM DTT). It should be noted that PRMT5 and MEP50 coelute as a complex throughout the purification and eluted as a mono-dispersed symmetrical peak on the Superdex 200 column. Final PRMT5-MEP50 complex was $>70 \%$ pure and was concentrated and flash frozen.

## PRMT5-MEP50 Enzyme Methylation Assay

PRMT5-MEP50 biochemical assay is a direct measurement of the methylation activity of the enzyme complex on a short peptide substrate derived from the N -terminus of H 4 histone. Methylation experiment is performed with recombinant PRMT5-MEP50 protein complex. The assessment of inhibitory effect of small molecules is measured by the effectiveness of the compounds to inhibit this reaction $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$. In this assay, the potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ of each compound was determined from a twenty-point ( $1: 2$ serial dilution; top compound concentration of 100000 nM ) titration curve using the following outlined procedure. To each well of a white ProxiPlus 384 wellplate, 100 nL of compound ( $1 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ in final assay volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was dispensed, followed by the addition of $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 x assay buffer ( 50 mM Bicine $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $0.004 \%$ Tween 20 , $0.01 \%$ BSA) containing 1.25 nM of Full-length (FL)-PRMT5-MEP50 enzyme complex (recombinant proteins from baculovirus-transfected Sf21 cells: FL-PRMT5; MW $=73837 \mathrm{kDa}$ and FL-MEP50; MW = 38614) and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $150 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S}$-(5'-Adenosyl)-L-Methionine Chloride (SAM). Plates were sealed and placed in a $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ humidified chamber for a 60 minutes preincubation with compound. Subsequently, each reaction was initiated by the addition of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1 \mathrm{x}$ assay buffer containing 750 nM biotinylated H4R3(Me1) peptide. The final reaction in each well of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ consists of 1.0 nM PRMT5-MEP50, 75 nM biotinylated-peptide, and $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SAM. Methylation reactions were allowed to proceed for 150 minutes in a sealed plate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reactions were immediately quenched by the addition of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $5 \%$ formic acid. Plates were then frozen and shipped to SAMDITM Tech Inc. to determine the percent conversion from H4R3(Me1) to H4R3(Me2). Dose-response curves were generated by plotting percent effect (\% product conversion; Y-axis) vs. Log 10 compound concentrations (X-axis). $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values were determined by non-linear regression according to model for sigmoidal (4 parameters) dose-response curves.


Fig S1. Percent inhibition calculated from biochemical PRMT5:MEP50 methylation assay with Compound 1a. Response curves from the biochemical enzyme methylation assay with Compound 1a with SAM at $\sim \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $25 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}}$.

## PRMT5 Cell Target Engagement (TE) Assay

The PRMT5 TE assay is a biomarker assay for identifying compounds that inhibit symmetric dimethylation of arginine (SDMA) of PRMT5 substrates. Specifically, symmetrically dimethylated nuclear proteins are detected using high content imaging technology. Detection of the expression of symmetrically dimethylated nuclear proteins is through a mixture of primary rabbit monoclonal antibodies to SDMA (CST 13222), which in turn recognized by an Alexafluor 488 dye-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody. The IN Cell Analyzer 2200 or OperaPhenix measures nuclear Alexafluor 488 fluorescent dye intensity that is directly related to the level of expression of symmetrically dimethylated nuclear proteins at the single cell level. Nuclear AF488 dye intensities are compared to the mean value for DMSO treated cells (MIN) to report percent of inhibition for each compound-treated well.

In this assay, the cell potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ of each compound was determined from a ten point (1:3 serial dilution; top compound concentration of 10000 nM ) titration curve using the following outlined procedure. Each well of a BD falcon collagen coated black/clear bottom 384-well plate was seeded with 4000 MCF-7 cells in 30 ul media and allowed to attach for 5 hours. Media is ATCC-formulated Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium, Catalog No. 30-2003. To make the complete growth medium, the following components were added to the base medium: $0.01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ human recombinant insulin; fetal bovine serum to a final concentration of $10 \%$. Additional 30 ul of media containing 2 x compounds were added to each well. Cells were treated for 3 days in $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator. On day 3, cells were fixed with Cytofix, permeablized with $0.4 \%$ Triton-X-

100/Cytofix, and washed with D-PBS without $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$. Cells were blocked with Licor Odessey blocking reagent for 1 hr at room temperature, followed by incubation with anti-SDMA (1:1000) antibody at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. $1^{\circ}$ antibody was removed, followed by three washings with DPBS without $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ and $0.05 \%$ Tween20. Hoechst ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), Cell Mask deep red stain ( $1: 2000$ ) and Alexa488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit $\operatorname{IgG}(2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ was added for 1 hour at room temperature. A final washing step (three washes) was performed before sealing plate for imaging on In Cell Analyzer 2200 or Opera-Phenix. Images from analyzer were uploaded to Columbus (at WP or BOS) for image analysis. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined by 4 parameters robust fit of percent fluorescence units vs. $\left(\log _{10}\right)$ compound concentrations.


Figure S2. Expression of nuclear SDMA detected by high content imaging using SDMA antibody. MCF-7 cells were treated either with DMSO (A) or 10uM L-3934 (B). Secondary antibody was labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 dye (AF488) which is seen here as green fluorescence. These same cells were also stained with DAPI stain to show the presence of all nuclei. Images (C) and (D) are superimposed images the AF488-labeled cells (A) and (B), respectively, with the DAPI-stained cells, and the DAPI stain was given an artificial red color to more easily show the presence of all nuclei.

## Surface Plasmon Resonance Binding Experiments

The Biacore T200 instrument was used to carry out surface plasmon resonance binding experiments. A biotinylated Human PRMT5:MEP50 complex was prepared in immobilization buffer (HBS-P+, GE Healthcare, supplemented with 5 mM dithiothreitol) and the ligand was flowed onto a Biacore Series S Sensor Chip SA, (following the manufacturer's general recommended conditions) to a final capture level of $\sim 3500$ RU onto channels 2-4. The sensor chip was then equilibrated in running buffer (immobilization buffer containing $3 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ dimethyl sulfoxide) and compound binding experiments were carried out in this buffer. The compound interaction with PRMT5:MEP50 complex was tested on each channel $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ using a 5-point, 3fold dilution series ( $0.123-10 \mathrm{uM}$ ) followed by a single dissociation step using single cycle kinetics mode (contact and dissociation times of 230 and 1800 sec , respectively). Resulting sensorgrams were solvent corrected and double reference subtracted (blank injection with reference flow cell). Conditions were repeated on a fresh Biacore Series S Sensor Chip SA in which $15 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S}$-(5'-Adenosyl)-L-methionine (SAM) Chloride (American Radioligand Chemicals, Inc.) was included in the running buffer. The Biacore Evaluation software was used to fit the data to a $1: 1$ binding model.


| Injection | Compound (nM) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Conc. 1 | 123.456 |
| Conc. 2 | 370.370 |
| Conc. 3 | 1111.111 |
| Conc. 4 | 3333.333 |
| Conc. 5 | 10000.000 |


| compound | $[$ SAM $]$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathbf{1} / \mathrm{Ms})$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{s})$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{M})$ | $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ Fold change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 930.5 | $7.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.3 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1 |
| 1 a | $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 860.4 | $12.9 \times 10^{-5}$ | $15.0 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.8 |

Figure S3. Single cycle kinetic SPR data for Compound 1a with and without SAM. Raw SPR data (red) is shown here with a 1:1 binding model overlaid (black). The concentration of compound 1a used at each injection are listed in the first table. The association rate constant $\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, dissociation rate constant $\left(k_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ and overall equilibrium dissociation constant $\left(K_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ are shown in the table. The $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ values both in the presence and absence of SAM are within twofold, highlighting that the presence of SAM does not change the binding of Compound 1 a . It should be noted that the $1: 1$ model does not optimally fit the experimental data and therefore these reported values are relative kinetic parameters.

## Chemistry General Materials and Methods

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used as is without further purification. Reaction progress and synthetic intermediate analysis was assessed by LCMS (UV detection with ESI mass detection) when applicable using an Agilent or Shimadzu instrument with a MeCN/water gradient with either TFA or $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ modifier. All reported yields are isolated yields. Silica gel and reverse-phase flash column chromatography were conducted with Teledyne ISCO CombiFlash or Biotage-Isolera One instruments and commercially available pre-packed columns. Reverse-phase preparative HPLC purification of final analogs was performed on a Gilson preparative HPLC instrument with UV detection using a MeCN/water gradient with either TFA or $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ modifier. Chiral SFC separation was performed on Thar200 preparative SFC(SFC-10), Sepiatec Prep 100 or SFC80Q with solvent and modifier noted in the experimental. All reported compounds tested in the assays were $\geq 95 \%$ pure as determined by LCMS or HPLC analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were collected at room temperature. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the listed deuterated solvent, and multiplicities, coupling constants (where applicable), and signal integrations are listed parenthetically. Final compounds were isolated either as the TFA salts or the free base after purification. Safety statement: given the highly potent nature of the final compounds whose preparation is detailed in this section, and their demonstrated ability to inhibit PRMT5 in vitro and in vivo, proper procedures for the handling of these highly potent compounds should be followed at all times in accordance with institutional policies.

## Synthetic procedures for representative compounds

Compound 1a: (5R)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one and Compound 1b: (5S)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one






Step 1: A mixture of 1-ethynyladamantane ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene ( 365 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, copper(I) iodide $(14.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3 \mathrm{ml}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 3 ml ) was degassed and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (three times). The mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Pet. ether) to provide 1-((4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)adamantane ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ yield) as
colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.93-2.01 (m, 9H), 1.71 (br s, 6H).

Step 2: To a mixture 1-((4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)adamantane ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone (30 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 4.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 12.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{KMnO}_{4}(3.92 \mathrm{~g}, 24.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added in one portion. The resulting mixture was stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Water ( 60 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (eluting with 8\% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to give 1-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ yield) as a light yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.97(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$

Step 3: A mixture of 1-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34$ mmol ) and 1-methylguanidine hydrochloride ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-Dioxane ( 1 mL ) and ethanol ( 1 mL ) was stirred at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , and then treated with an aqueous solution of sodium carbonate ( $178 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 3 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by Prep-HPLC (YMC-Actus Pro C18 150*30 5u column, water ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ )-MeCN ( $25 \%$ B- $55 \%$ B) to give 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one ( $63.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%$ yield) as white solid. (LCMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 354$, found, $354 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta=7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.73-1.65 (m, 3H), $1.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one was separated by chiral SFC (IC, 21x250 mm column, methanol $+0.25 \%$ dimethyl ethyl amine as modifier, $30 \%$ modifier in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) to afford (5S)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 1, Compound 1b) and (5R)-5-
(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 2, Compound 1a).
Compound 1a: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+14.9^{\circ}$ (methanol, c 1). (HRMS, ESI + ) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$: 354.2181, found 354.2182. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ : ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.59-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{bd}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.65(\mathrm{~m}$, 10H).

## Compound 1b:

(LCMS, ESI + ) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 354$, found $354 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ : $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta: 7.59-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82$ (bd, $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$.

Compound 2: (5R)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one


5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one ( $58.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.162 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%$ yield) was prepared in analogy to the procedures described for Compound $1 \mathrm{a} / 1 \mathrm{~b}$, starting with 1-iodo-3-methoxybenzene and 1-ethynyladamantane. The racemic material was separated by chiral SFC (IC, 21x250 mm column, methanol $+0.25 \%$ dimethyl ethyl amine as modifier, $30 \%$ modifier in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) to afford (5S)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 1) and (5R)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 2, Compound 2).

Compound 2: (HRMS, ESI + ) m/z Calcd for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$, 354.2181, found, 354.2177. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $)^{2} \delta 7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.47-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Compound 3: (5R)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-3-methyl-5-phenyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one


1-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-phenylethane-1,2-dione ( $706 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield) was prepared in analogy to Steps 1 and 2 of the procedures described for Compound $1 \mathrm{a} / 1 \mathrm{~b}$, starting with iodobenzene and 1-ethynyladamantane.

A mixture of 1-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-phenylethane-1,2-dione ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.745 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1methylguanidine hydrochloride ( $408 \mathrm{mg}, 3.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 2 ml ) and ethanol ( 2 mL ) was stirred at $28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Then, a solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(395 \mathrm{mg}, 3.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The resulting mixture was cooled and then concentrated under vacuum. The resulting residue was purified by prep-HPLC (Xtimate C18 $150 * 25 \mathrm{~mm} * 5$ um column, water $\left(10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right): \mathrm{MeCN}, 52 \% \mathrm{~B}-82 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) to give 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-1-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one ( $73.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$, $30 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.69$ (d, $J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.17-7.31$ (m, 3H), 6.39 (br s, 2H), $2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.74 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.74 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. (LCMS ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 324$, found, 324.

Chiral SFC separation of 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-1-methyl-4-phenyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)one (IC, 21x250 mm column, methanol $+0.1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as modifier, $40 \%$ modifier in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) afforded (5R)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-3-methyl-5-phenyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one
(peak 1, Compound 3) and (5S)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-3-methyl-5-phenyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 2).
Compound 3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\left.-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)} \delta 7.67$ (br d, $J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.15-7.29 (m, 3H), 6.38 (br s, 2H), $2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53$ (br d, $J=11.84$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. (HRMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd for. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$, 324.2076, found, 324.2081.

## Compound 4: (5R)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-3-methyl-5-(naphthalen-2-yl)-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one



1-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%$ yield) was prepared in analogy to Steps 1 and 2 of the procedures described for Compound $1 \mathrm{a} / 1 \mathrm{~b}$, starting with 2-iodonaphthalene and 1-ethynyladamantane.

A mixture of 1-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.754 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane ( 2.2 mL ) and $\mathrm{EtOH}(2.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . 1-Methylguanidine hydrochloride ( $413 \mathrm{mg}, 3.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . A solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(399.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 2.2 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The solution was cooled and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by Prep-HPLC (Xtimate C18 150*25mm*5um column, water ( $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ) : MeCN, $60 \% \mathrm{~B}-$ $90 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) to give 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-1-methyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1H-imidazol-5(4H)one ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d 6$-acetone) $\delta 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99$ (dd, J $=1.75,8.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 H), 1.83-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Note: Presumably, due to moisture
in $d 6$-acetone, resonances for the two amino protons were not observed. (LCMS ESI + ) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ Calcd for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 374$, found, 374 .

Chiral SFC separation of 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-1-methyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one $\left(\mathrm{CCOF}_{4}, 21 \times 250\right.$, methanol $0.25 \%$ DMEA as modifier, $20 \%$ modifier in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) afforded (5R)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-3-methyl-5-(naphthalen-2-yl)-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 1, Compound 4) and (5S)-5-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-amino-3-methyl-5-(naphthalen-2-yl)-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 2).

Compound 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-$ $7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. (HRMS, ESI+) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 374.2232$, found, 374.2232.

## Compound 5: 2-amino-5-(3-fluoro-5-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one



## Step 1:

A mixture of 3-ethynyl-5-fluoroadamantan-1-ol ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 1.596 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene ( $374 \mathrm{mg}, 1.596 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(44.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol})$, copper(I) iodide ( $15.20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080$ mmol ) and triethyl amine ( $3 \mathrm{ml}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 3 ml ) was degassed and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (three times). The mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours and then concentrated under vacuum. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1$ to $2 / 1$ ) to give 3-fluoro-5-((4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)adamantan-1-ol ( $437 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ yield) as a light yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29-7.34$
(m, 2H), $6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{td}, J=2.96,5.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.86-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Step 2:

To a solution of 3-fluoro-5-((4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)adamantan-1-ol ( $437 \mathrm{mg}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 15 ml ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(263 \mathrm{mg}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium bicarbonate ( $73.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 8 ml ), followed by the addition of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}(690 \mathrm{mg}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion. The reaction was stirred for 12 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water ( 50 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=2 / 1$ ) to give 1-(3-fluoro-5-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione ( $390 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ yield) as a light yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.77$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.67$ (br d, $J=3.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## Step 3:

A mixture of 1-(3-fluoro-5-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione (390 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-methylguanidine hydrochloride ( $643 \mathrm{mg}, 5.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 2.5 $\mathrm{ml})$ and ethanol ( 2.5 mL ) was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Then, a solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(622 \mathrm{mg}, 5.87$ mmol ) in water ( 2.5 mL ) was added. The reaction was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The mixture was then cooled and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by prep-HPLC to give 2-amino-4-(3-fluoro-5-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-imidazol$5(4 \mathrm{H})$-one ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 29 \%$ yield) as a white solid.

Compound 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53$ (br s, 2H), $4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.37-1.55 (m, 6H), 1.12-1.31 (m, 3H). (HRMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$, 388.2036, found, 388.2037.

## Compound 6: (5R)-2-amino-5-(3-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one



Step 1:
A mixture of 3-ethynyladamantan-1-ol ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.908 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene ( 212 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.908 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol})$, copper(I) iodide $(8.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethyl amine ( $3 \mathrm{ml}, 21.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 3 ml ) was degassed and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (three times), the mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 3 h . The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1$ to $2 / 1)$ to give 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)adamantan-1-ol ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ yield) as a light yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Step 2:

To a solution of 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)adamantan-1-ol ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone $(15 \mathrm{ml})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(134 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium bicarbonate $(38 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.45 mmol ) in water ( 8 ml ), followed by the addition of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}(353 \mathrm{mg}, 2.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion. The reaction was stirred for 12 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water ( 50 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=1 / 1$ ) to give 1-(3-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$ yield)
as a light yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.21 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$

## Step 3:

A mixture of 1-(3-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48$ mmol ) and 1-methylguanidine hydrochloride ( $261 \mathrm{mg}, 2.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 2 ml ) and ethanol ( 2 mL ) was stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Then, a solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(253 \mathrm{mg}, 2.386 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 2 mL ) was added. The reaction was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by prep-HPLC (Xtimate C18 150*25mm*5um column, water( $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ): $\mathrm{MeCN}, 30 \%$ B- $50 \%$ B) to give 2-amino-4-(3-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one $\left(85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%\right.$ yield) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.77$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$. (LCMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 370$, found, 370.

Chiral SFC separation of 2-amino-4-(3-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one (IG, $21 \times 250$, 2-propanol with $0.25 \%$ DMEA as modifier, $45 \%$ modifier in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) afforded (5R)-2-amino-5-(3-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 2, Compound 6) and (5S)-2-amino-5-(3-hydroxyadamantan-1-yl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 1).

Compound 6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. (HRMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 370.2130$, found, 370.2130.

Compound 7: (R)-2-amino-5-(bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one


## Step 1:

A mixture of 1-ethynylbicyclo[2.2.2]octane ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene ( 122 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(14.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, copper(I) iodide ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethyl amine ( $3 \mathrm{ml}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 3 ml ) was degassed and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (three times). The mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , cooled to room temperature, and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Pet. ether/ethyl acetate $=10 / 1)$ to give 1-( $(4-$ methoxyphenyl $)$ ethynyl) bicyclo[2.2.2]octane ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ mmol, $38 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.77 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=4.17,10.74 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.

## Step 2:

To a solution of 1-((4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 20 ml ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(37.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium bicarbonate $(10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 10 ml ), followed by the addition of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.624 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion. The reaction was stirred for 12 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water ( 50 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 20 mL x 3 ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Pet. ether/ethyl acetate $=15 / 1$ to $5 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give 1-(bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.07,5.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.85,7.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

## Step 3:

A mixture of 1-(bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione (40 mg, 0.15 mmol ) and 1-methylguanidine hydrochloride ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 2 ml ) and ethanol ( 2 mL ) was stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Then, a solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 2 mL ) was added. The reaction was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting residue was purified by preHPLC (Xtimate C18 150*25mm*5um column, water( $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ): $\mathrm{MeCN}, 50 \%$ B - 80\%B) to give 2-amino-4-(bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-imidazol$5(4 \mathrm{H})$-one ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=8.33$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{brd}, J=8.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $10.52 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$. (LCMS, ESI + ) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 328$, found 328.

Chiral SFC separation of 2-amino-4-(bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one $\left(\mathrm{CCOF}_{4}, 21 \times 250\right.$, methanol $0.25 \%$ DMEA as modifier, $20 \%$ modifier in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) afforded (R)-2-amino-5-(bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 1, Compound 7) and (S)-2-amino-5-(bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 2).
Compound 7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. (HRMS, ESI + ) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 328.2025$, found, 328.2026.

## Compound 8: (R)-2-amino-5-(2-cyclohexylethyl)-3-methyl-5-phenyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one



5-(2-cyclohexylethyl)-2-imino-3-methyl-5-phenylimidazolidin-4-one was prepared in analogy to the procedures described in Method C in patent WO2005058311(A1) to provide 5-(3-bromophenyl)-5-(2-cyclohexylethyl)-2-imino-3-methylimidazolidin-4-one ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.529 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) which was then reduced using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 20 \mathrm{mg})$ catalyst in $\mathrm{MeOH}(38 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere overnight. The resulting mixture was filtered through celite, concentrated in vacuo, and treated with $\mathrm{HCl} /$ ether to provide racemic 2-amino-5-(2-cyclohexylethyl)-3-methyl-5-phenyl-3,5-dihydro- 4 H -imidazol-4-one as a HCl salt. The resulting racemic material was purified by chiral SFC (AD-H column, IPA/CO ${ }_{2}$ with $0.25 \%$ DMEA modifier) to provide (R)-2-amino-5-(2-cyclohexylethyl)-3-methyl-5-phenyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 1, Compound 8) and (S)-2-amino-5-(2-cyclohexylethyl)-3-methyl-5-phenyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one (peak 2).

Compound 8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 7.55-7.52$ (m, 2H), 7.32-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.24$7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-6.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.81-0.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .(\mathrm{HRMS}, \mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ 300.2076, found, 300.2082.

Compound 9: (2R)-2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-methyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3(2H)-one


## Step 1:

To a solution of 1-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-phenylethane-1,2-dione ( $690 \mathrm{mg}, 2.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane ( 6 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added thiourea ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 11.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the mixture was stirred at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . Then a solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 11.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The resulting solution was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. Water ( 15 mL ) was added, and the mixture stirred at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and filtered. The filter cake solid was dried in vacuo to afford 5-(adamantan-1-yl)-5-phenyl-2-
thioxoimidazolidin-4-one ( 810 mg , quantitative yield) as a white solid, which was used directly in next step without further purification.

## Step 2:

To a mixture of 5-(adamantan-1-yl)-5-phenyl-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(98 \mathrm{mg}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{ml})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(5.0 \mathrm{ml})$ was added iodomethane ( $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 8.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h and then concentrated under reduced pressure. Water ( 15 mL ) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and filtered. The filter cake solid was collected and dried in vacuo to afford 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(methylthio)-4-phenyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol}$, $80 \%$ yield) as a white solid, which was used directly in next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.19$ (br s, 1H), 7.66 (br s, 2H), 7.14-7.43 (m, 3H), 2.53 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$

## Step 3:

A solution of 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(methylthio)-4-phenyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one (200 mg, 0.59 mmol ), 3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)butyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate ( $303 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(574 \mathrm{mg}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(4 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was then diluted with water ( 60 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 30 mL ), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (ISCO®; 4 g SepaFlash ${ }^{\circledR}$ Silica Flash Column, eluent of [0~8]\% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether gradient @ $45 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to give tert-butyl (4-(4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(methylthio)-5-oxo-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)butan-2-yl)carbamate ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil. (LCMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 512$, found, 512 .

## Step 4:

A mixture of tert-butyl (4-(4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(methylthio)-5-oxo-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)butan-2-yl)carbamate ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane $(4 \mathrm{M})(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was concentrated to give crude 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-1-(3-aminobutyl)-2-(methylthio)-4-phenyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a yellow
oil, which was used in next step directly. (LCMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 412$, found, 412.

## Step 5:

A mixture of 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-1-(3-aminobutyl)-2-(methylthio)-4-phenyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)one ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.413 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethyl amine ( $0.576 \mathrm{ml}, 4.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was concentrated and purified by Prep-HPLC (Waters XSELECT C18 150*30mm*5um column, water( $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ): MeCN 30\%B-60\%B) to give 2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-methyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3(2H)-one ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$, $33 \%$ yield) as a white solid. (LCMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]+364$, found, 364.

2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-methyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3(2H)-one $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.138 \mathrm{mmol})$ was further purified by SFC (DAICEL CHIRALPAK IC $(250 \mathrm{~mm} * 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 10 \mathrm{um})$ column, IPA, $0.1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as modifier, $45 \%$ modified in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) to give (2R)-2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-methyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3(2H)one (peak 1, Compound 9) and (2S)-2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-methyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3(2H)-one (peak 2).
Compound 9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , METHANOL-d ${ }_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.31$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{td}, J=4.66,12.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. (HRMS, ESI+ $) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$: 364.2389, found 364.2397.

Compound 10: (2R)-2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-ethyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin- $3(2 \mathrm{H})$-one


Step 1:

A solution of 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(methylthio)-4-phenyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one (200 mg, 0.59 mmol ), 3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)pentyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate ( $252 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(574 \mathrm{mg}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 3 ml ) was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was diluted with water ( 60 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( 30 mL x 5). The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give tert-butyl (1-(4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(methylthio)-5-oxo-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)pentan-3-yl)carbamate ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which was used in next step directly. (LCMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 526$, found 526.

## Step 2:

A solution of tert-butyl (1-(4-(adamantan-1-yl)-2-(methylthio)-5-oxo-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)pentan-3-yl)carbamate ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{EtOAc}(4 \mathrm{M})(5 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred at $15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 hours. The mixture was concentrated to give 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-1-(3-aminopentyl)-2-(methylthio)-4-phenyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.587 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which was used in next step directly. (LCMS, ESI + ) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 426$, found 426.

## Step 3:

A mixture of 4-(adamantan-1-yl)-1-(3-aminopentyl)-2-(methylthio)-4-phenyl-1H-imidazol$5(4 \mathrm{H})$-one ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TEA ( $0.98 \mathrm{ml}, 7.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred at 85 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting residue was purified by Prep-HPLC (Waters XSELECT C18 150*30mm*5um column, water(0.1\%TFA):MeCN $30 \% \mathrm{~B}-60 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) to give 2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-ethyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3(2H)-one ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \%$ yield) as a white solid. (LCMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 378$, found 378.

Chiral SFC separation of 2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-ethyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3(2H)-one (DAICEL CHIRALCEL OD-H ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} * 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mathrm{um}$ ) column, EtOH, $0.1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as modifier, $40 \%$ modifier in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) afforded (2R)-2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-ethyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3(2H)-one (peak 1, Compound 10) and (2S)-2-(adamantan-1-yl)-7-ethyl-2-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-3(2H)-one (peak 2).

Compound 10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d6) $\delta 7.65-7.67$ (m, 2H), 7.21-7.38 (m, 3H), 3.51-
$3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. (HRMS, ESI+) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 378.2545$, found 378.2550 .

## Cloning, Expression, and Purification of Protein for Crystallography

Human PRMT5 (amino acids 2-637) with an N-terminal Flag tag and MEP50 (amino acids 2-342) with an N-terminal 8x-histidine tag was cloned into a pFastBac vector and used to transform High Five ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (BTI-TN-5B1-4) competent cells (ThermoFisher). Cells were grown in Express Five SFM media (Gibco) to a density of $1.5 \times 10^{6}$ at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hrs with an infected ratio ofPRMT5/MEP50: 1:200/1:50 (v/v). Harvested cells were sonicated by first resuspending the pellet in buffer A (50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ Glu, 100 mM Arg, 1 mM TCEP, pH 8.0 ) with a ratio of 6 mL per gram of pellet, followed by sonication at 200 W for 3 s on and 3 s off for 20 minutes. Lysate was centrifuged at $13,500 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and supernatant was collected. Clarified lysate in buffer B ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ Glu, 100 mM Arg, 1 mM TCEP, pH 8.0 ) was IMAC-purified using pre-equilibrated Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen) and washed with buffers C and D until no protein was detected in flow through (Buffer C: 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, pH 8.0, 20 mM imidazole; Buffer D: Buffer C with 50 mM imidazole).PRMT5:MEP50 protein was eluted with buffer E (Buffer C with 250 mM imidazole). Eluate was pooled and dialyzed against Buffer $\mathrm{F}(20 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol, pH 8.0$)$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Protein was further purified via Flag column chromatography using a pre-equilibrated (Buffer F) ANTI-FLAG M2 affinity gel column (Sigma-Aldrich). Column was eluted with buffer G (200 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ peptide, 20 mM Tris, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ glycerol, pH 7.8 ) until no protein was detected in flow-through, followed by a column wash in buffer $\mathrm{H}(0.1 \mathrm{M}$ glycine- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 3.5)$. The FLAG column eluate was concentrated and centrifuged at $13,500 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was then loaded onto a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare), which was preequilibrated with buffer I ( 10 mM Hepes, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol, pH 8.0 ) and run at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Final purified protein was concentrated to approximately $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, centrifuged at $13,500 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the supernatant was aliquoted, flash frozen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until utilized in crystallography studies.

## Crystallization and Structural Analysis

X-ray diffraction-quality crystals of the PRMT5:MEP50 protein complex were obtained by hanging drop vapor diffusion at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by mixing a $1: 1$ ratio of the protein solution $(15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ preincubated with 2 mM SAM and 2 mM compound at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hr , centrifuged for 30 min at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ ) and a precipitant solution containing 0.1 M sodium citrate $\mathrm{pH} 6.0,0.2 \mathrm{M}$ sodium acetate, $10-12 \%$ PEG 4000, and streak seeding all drops. Crystals were seen after approximately 24 hours.

These crystals diffracted to nominal resolutions of 2.6-2.7 A and belonged to the space group I222 with one PRMT5:MEP50 dimer in the asymmetric unit and the following approximate unit cell dimensions: $a=102 \AA, b=139 \AA$, and $c=178 \AA$. Crystals were transferred to cryoprotectant containing 0.1 M sodium citrate $\mathrm{pH} 6.0,0.2 \mathrm{M}$ sodium acetate, $10-12 \%$ PEG 4000, and $20 \%$ ethylene glycol prior to being harvested and plunged into LN2 prior to synchrotron data collection. X-ray data sets were collected at Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility Beamline BL19U1 (Compound 1a) and Canadian Macromolecular Crystallography Facility Beamline CMCF-ID (08ID-1) at the Canadian Light Source (Compound 8), using Pilatus 6M detectors (Dectris). Data were processed using HKL3000 ${ }^{1}$, refined using Refmac5 ${ }^{2,3}$ and autoBUSTER ${ }^{4}$, with manual compound building using Coot $^{5}$, compound geometrical restraints prepared using grade ${ }^{6}$, and figures prepared using PyMOL ${ }^{7}$. Relevant X-ray data collection and refinement statistics are listed in Table S1.

Part of the research described in this paper was performed at the Canadian Light Source, a national research facility of the University of Saskatchewan, which is supported by the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC), the National Research Council (NRC), the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), the Government of Saskatchewan, and the University of Saskatchewan.

Table S1. Crystal Data Collection and Refinement Statistics Table

| PDB code | 6 UXX | 6 UXY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compound name | Compound 1a | Compound 8 |
| Data collection |  |  |
| Space group | I222 | I222 |
| Cell dimensions a, b, c (Å) | $103.1,138.8,178.6$ | $101.8,139.2,179.4$ |
| Resolution (A) | $50.00-2.69(2.79-2.69)^{*}$ | $60.61-2.35(2.41-2.35)$ |
| Rmerge | $0.07(0.65)$ | $0.09(1.51)$ |
| I/ $\sigma \mathrm{I}$ | $23.9(2.2)$ | $15.5(1.9)$ |
| Completeness (\%) | $99.0(94.4)$ | $99.9(99.8)$ |
| Redundancy | $6.4(5.6)$ | $13.5(13.4)$ |
| Refinement |  |  |
| Resolution (Å) | $36.5-2.7$ | $46.5-2.6$ |
| No. reflections | 35526 | 41318 |
| Rwork / Rfree | $0.22 / 0.27$ | $0.21 / 0.26$ |
| No. atoms |  |  |
| $\quad$ Protein | 7272 | 7312 |
| $\quad$ Ligand | 26 | 22 |
| Solvent | 57 | 241 |
| B-factors |  |  |
| $\quad$ Protein (Å2) | 91.6 | 83.0 |
| Ligands (Å2) | 64.4 | 56.0 |
| Solvent (A2) | 71.1 | 71.5 |
| R.m.s. deviations |  |  |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| $\quad$ Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.18 |  |
| *Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell | 0.88 |  |



Figure S4. A cartoon overlay of PRMT1 (3q7e, red), PRMT2 (5jmq, green), PRMT3 (4hsg, blue), PRMT4 (5ih3, yellow), PRMT5 (6ckc, magenta), PRMT6 (5egs, cyan), PRMT7 (5eku, orange), PRMT8 (5dst, wheat) highlight the conserved structure of the 12-residue loop in the orthosteric conformation.

```
PRMT5 SELLGSFADNEL }1
PRMT7 SEIFGTMMLGES 12
PRMT4 SEPMGYMLFNER 12
PRMT2 SEWMGTCLLFEF }1
PRMT1 SEWMGYCLFYES 12
PRMT8 SEWMGYCLFYES 12
PRMT3 SEWMGYFLLFES 12
PRMT6 SEWMGYGLLHES }1
```

Figure S5. Alignment of the loop residues for each PRMT with a known public structure, listed in order of highest sequence identity to PRMT5.

Table S2. C $\alpha$ RMSD values for the 12 residue loop

|  | PRMT1 | PRMT2 | PRMT3 | PRMT4 | PRMT5 | PRMT6 | PRMT7 | PRMT8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRMT1 | 0.00 | 1.33 | 1.27 | 1.49 | 1.98 | 1.25 | 2.67 | 1.22 |
| PRMT2 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 1.22 | 0.95 | 1.60 | 0.87 | 2.31 | 0.53 |
| PRMT3 | 1.27 | 1.22 | 0.00 | 1.20 | 1.57 | 1.56 | 2.11 | 1.34 |
| PRMT4 | 1.49 | 0.95 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 1.03 | 1.12 | 1.70 | 0.77 |
| PRMT5 | 1.98 | 1.60 | 1.57 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 1.70 | 1.04 | 1.42 |
| PRMT6 | 1.25 | 0.87 | 1.56 | 1.12 | 1.70 | 0.00 | 2.37 | 0.57 |
| PRMT7 | 2.67 | 2.31 | 2.11 | 1.70 | 1.04 | 2.37 | 0.00 | 2.14 |
| PRMT8 | 1.22 | 0.53 | 1.34 | 0.77 | 1.42 | 0.57 | 2.14 | 0.00 |



Figure S6. Overlay of PRMT3 and PRMT5 crystal structures. The PRMT3 allosteric inhibitor (PDB 4shg) is shown in cyan, the allosteric PRMT5 inhibitor is shown in green (PDB 6uxx). The allosteric inhibitors for these two PRMT subtypes bind to different pockets.


Figure S7. Binding site of the allosteric PRMT3 compound. Overlay of two PRMT3 crystal structures, bound with an allosteric inhibitor (cyan, PDB 4shg) and without (gray, PDB 1f3l). Binding of the allosteric inhibitor results in adjustment of the sidechains in this pocket but does not result in major backbone movement.

## Synthetic Procedures and Characterization Data for Mosher Amides of Compound 1a


(2S)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanamide: TEA ( $13 \mu 1,0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and (R)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanoyl chloride $8.6 \mu \mathrm{l}$, $0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of Compound $1 \mathbf{1 a}(10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(611 \mu \mathrm{l})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 hours and then left in the refrigerator overnight. The resulting solution was used for NMR characterization directly. (LCMS, ESI + ) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 570$, found, 570.

(2R)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanamide: TEA ( $12 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (S)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanoyl chloride 7.8 $\mu 1,0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of Compound $1 \mathrm{a}(9.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(277$ $\mu \mathrm{l})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours and then used for NMR characterization directly. (LCMS, ESI + ) m/z Calcd. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 570$, found, 570.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, PS-HSQC, HMBC , and COSY were performed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 500 MHz on the crude reaction mixtures in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and were utilized to fully assign both ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shifts of (2S)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanamide and (2R)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2phenylpropanamide. PS-HSQC singlets were used to assign the absolute position of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ shifts. ${ }^{15}$ All NMR spectra were referenced to TMS at 0.0 ppm .
(2R)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanamide. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 179.5,172.4,160.3,158.5,133.2,127.9,127.5,127.1,126.6,126.6,123.6$ (dd, $J=288.6,79.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.2,112.2,84.9(\mathrm{q}, J=84.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 72.0,54.3,39.5,35.2,34.8,27.1,24.4$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 9.97$ (s, 1H), 7.55-7.52 (m, overlapped, 2H), 7.41 (d, $J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.27(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped, 3 H ), $6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{p}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47$ (d, $J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## (2S)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-

 imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanamide. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 179.5,172.4,160.2,158.5,133.3,127.9,127.5,127.1,126.7,123.6(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $288.6,80.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.2,112.2,84.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=26.0), 71.9,54.3,54.3,39.6,35.2,34.8,27.1,24.4{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 9.97$ (s, 1H), 7.54-7.51 (m, overlapped, 2H), 7.42 (d, $J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.28$ (m, overlapped, 3 H ), 6.83 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.74 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.53 (br s, 3H), $2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{p}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.47 (d, $J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.34 (dd, $J=11.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.Table S3. Corrected Mean Average Error for DFT chemical shifts calculated at the IEFPCM-mPW1PW91/6-311+G(2d,p)// M062X/6-311+G(2d,p) level for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and and WP04/aug-cc$\mathrm{pVDZ} / / \mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ for both (2S)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2phenylpropanamide and (2R)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanamide indicating position 4 of the Mosher's amides have an $R$ absolute configuration, corresponding to a $5-R$ configuration in Compound 1a.

|  | $\boldsymbol{S}$ Mosher's amide 1a |  | $\boldsymbol{R}$ Mosher's amide 1a |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{4 - R}$ | $\mathbf{4 - S}$ | $\mathbf{4 - R}$ | $\mathbf{4 - S}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{S}$ | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.22 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - CMAE: | 3.49 | 3.56 | 3.52 | 3.57 |

Figure S8. Experimental (black solid) and sTDDFT calculated ECD spectra for 5-R (black dashed) and 5-S (grey solid) indicating a 5- $R$ configuration in 1a.


## ECD experimental details:

ECD spectra of 1a were acquired on a Jasco J-1500 CD polararimeter at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetonitrile at 0.025 c from 190 to 500 nm scanned at $100 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$ with a 1 nm bandwidth with two acquisitions averaged and baseline corrected against an acetonitrile blank.

ECD data for 1a: (0.025c, acetonitrile) 212.1 (-25.4), $238.8(-12.9), 265.9(-2.9), 281.0(-9.9)$

## Computational details:

Conformers of 1a, (2S)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanamide, and (2R)-N-((4R)-4-(adamantan-1-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanamide utilized for DFT and xtb4stda calculations were generated utilizing ForceGen 4.4 pquant conformational sampling. ${ }^{8}$ Gaussian ' 16 was utilized for all DFT calculations. ${ }^{9}$ Quantum mechanical DFT GIAO NMR chemical shift calculations were employed at the IEFPCM-mPW1PW91/6-311+G(2d,p)//M062X/6-311+G(2d,p) level for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and

WP04/aug-cc-pVDZ//B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ shifts. ${ }^{10-11}$ Chemical shifts were Boltzmann averaged based on Gibb's Free Energies from frequence calculations at the $\omega$ B97xD/aug-cc-PVTZ level. The amide NH was excluded from CMAE ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ comparisons of the Mosher's amides.

GFN2-xTB was utilized for geometry optimizations and Hessian calculations with GBSA solvation in acetonitrile for downstream use in computation of ECD spectra of $\mathbf{1 a} .{ }^{12}$ sTDDFT at the GBSA- $\omega$ B $97 x$ D level was used to generate ECD spectra. ${ }^{13}$ Computed spectra were generated in SpecDis 1.71 and compared to experimental ECD spectra of 1a. ${ }^{14}$
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