Supplementary Information for

# Highly Active Gas Phase Organometallic Catalysis Supported Within Metal-organic Framework Pores

Ricardo A. Peralta<sup>a</sup>, Michael T. Huxley<sup>a</sup>, Jack D. Evans<sup>b</sup>, Haijie Cao<sup>c</sup>, Maoxia He<sup>d</sup>, Xiu Song Zhao<sup>c,e</sup>, Stefano Agnoli<sup>f</sup>, Christopher J. Sumby<sup>a\*</sup> and Christian J. Doonan<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Technische Universität Dresden, Bergstraße 66, 01062 Dresden, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Materials for Energy and Environment, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Qingdao University, Qingdao, 266071, PR China

<sup>d</sup> Environment Research Institute, Shandong University, Qingdao, 266237, PR China

<sup>e</sup> School of Chemical Engineering, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Brisbane, 4072. Australia

<sup>f</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 1, 35131 Padova, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Centre for Advanced Nanomaterials and Department of Chemistry, The University of Adelaide, North Terrace, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia. Email: <u>christopher.sumby@adelaide.edu.au</u> (CJS); <u>christian.doonan@adelaide.edu.au</u> (CJD)

# Index

SI 1.0 Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) Analysis	S3
<b>SI 2.0</b> High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HR-TEM), Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) and EDX analysis for <b>1</b> ·NBD-Cl after the hydrogenation	S5
<b>SI 3.0</b> Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) data and particle size analysis for <b>1</b> ·NBD-Cl after the hydrogenation	S6
SI 4.0 Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) plots	S7
SI 5.0 Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography	S15
5.1 General procedures	S15
5.2 Specific Refinement Details	S15
5.3 Thermal ellipsoid plots for all structures at the 50% probability level	S17
5.4 F <sub>obs</sub> Electron Density Maps for all structures	S23
5.5 Tables of X-ray crystallography data collection and refinement parameters	S27
SI 6.0 Isotherm data	S30
SI 7.0 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) data	S32
7.1 Time resolved NMR data for ethylene hydrogenation	S32
7.2 Time resolved NMR data for butene isomerisation	S33
7.3 Sample composition analysis using a CO probe in $CH_4$	S34
7.4 Analysis of 2-butene isomers generated under gas-phase catalysis	S37
SI 8.0 Infrared (IR) spectroscopy	S38
SI 9.0 High-pressure reaction vessel details	S39
SI 10.0 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) Experiments	S41
SI 11.0 Computational supplementary information	S42
SI 12.0 References	S49

# SI 1.0 Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) Analysis

**Table S1:** Rh(I) and associated anion occupancy determined via measurement of the Mn:Rh ratio and the Rh:Cl ratio using EDX analysis.

Sample	Rh, Chloride occu	Std error (%)	
$1 \cdot [Rh(C_2H_4)_2][Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl_2]$	198.6	202.1	4.7
1·ETH-Cl	104.2	106.6	5.4
1·ETH-BF <sub>4</sub>	101.3	0.8	1.2
1·[Rh(NBD)][Rh(NBD)Cl <sub>2</sub> ]	203.6	206.3	4.1
<b>1</b> ⋅NBD-BF₄	100.8	0.05	0.8
1·NBD-Cl	103.2	101.4	3.6
1·MeCN-Cl	101.7	98.7	1.4

<sup>*a*</sup> Average atomic% obtained from three areas.

<sup>b</sup> Relative to full occupancy of the bis(pyrazole)methane coordinating sites in **1**.



**Figure SI 1.1:** Representative raw EDX spectra for  $\mathbf{1} \cdot [Rh(C_2H_4)_2][Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl_2]$ .





**Figure SI 1.2:** SEM images of metalated **1** showing (left) a single crystal and (right) an area of crushed crystals used for EDX analysis.

SI 2.0 High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HR-TEM), Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) and EDX analysis for 1·NBD-Cl after the hydrogenation



**Figure SI 2.1:** Characterisation of 1·NBD-Cl after the hydrogenation. a) HR-TEM image with the corresponding SAED as an inset. Nanoparticles show the diffraction from hkl planes which match with corresponding metallic rhodium cubic structure. b) Sketch map of the area selected for EDX element mapping of c) Rhodium, d) Manganese and e) the corresponding spectra.

# SI 3.0 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) data and particle size analysis for 1·NBD-Cl after the hydrogenation



**Figure SI 3.1:** HR-TEM image revealing the formation of nanoparticles after the hydrogenation of **1**·NBD-Cl (bottom). The insert image (top) is the size distribution histogram of the **1**·NP with an average of 7 nm.

## SI 4.0 Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) plots



**Figure SI 4.1:** Experimental PXRD plots for  $1 \cdot \text{ETH}$ ,  $1 \cdot \text{ETH} - \text{BF}_4$  and  $1 \cdot \text{ETH} - \text{Cl}$ . The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.2:** Experimental PXRD plots for **1**·ETH-Cl after activation, hydrogenation and butene isomerisation catalysis. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.3:** Experimental PXRD plots for  $1 \cdot \text{ETH-BF}_4$  after activation, hydrogenation and butene isomerisation catalysis. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.4:** Experimental PXRD plots for **1**·NBD, **1**·NBD-BF<sub>4</sub> and **1**·NBD-Cl. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.5:** Experimental PXRD plots for  $1 \cdot \text{NBD-BF}_4$  after activation and hydrogenation. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.6:** Experimental PXRD plots for **1**·NBD-Cl after activation and hydrogenation. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.7:** Experimental PXRD plot for **1**·NP. The rhodium nanoparticles formed during hydrogenation are too small to produce discernible peaks in the PXRD pattern. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.8:** Calculated and experimental PXRD plots for **1**·ETH. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.9:** Calculated and experimental PXRD plots for **1**·ETH-BF<sub>4</sub>. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.10:** Calculated and experimental PXRD plots for **1**·ETH-Cl. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.11:** Calculated and experimental PXRD plots for **1**·NBD. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.12:** Calculated and experimental PXRD plots for **1**·NBD-BF<sub>4</sub>. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.



**Figure SI 4.13:** Calculated and experimental PXRD plots for **1**·ETH-Cl. The flexibility of the framework causes slight shifts in the PXRD peak positions and intensity upon changes in the solvent and loss of solvent during activation or sample preparation for PXRD.

# SI 5.0 Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography

## SI 5.1 General Procedures

Single crystals were mounted in Paratone-N oil on a MiTeGen micromount. Single-crystal X-ray data were collected at 100 K on the MX1 or MX2 beamlines of the Australian Synchrotron<sup>1</sup> using the Blue-ice software interface,<sup>2</sup>  $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å. Absorptions corrections were applied using empirical methods using SHELXS<sup>3</sup> or SHELXT<sup>4</sup>[ref] and refined by full-matrix least squares on F<sup>2</sup> by SHELXL,<sup>5</sup> interfaced through the program X-Seed<sup>6</sup> or OLEX.<sup>7</sup> In general, all atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogens atoms were included as invariants at geometrically estimated positions, unless specified otherwise in additional details in supporting information. Where noted, the data was treated with the SQUEEZE routine available in Platon.<sup>8</sup> Figures were produced using the program CrystalMaker. X-ray experimental data is given in Tables S3 - S5. CIF data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC reference numbers CCDC 2003247-2003253.

## SI 5.2 Specific Refinement Details

**1·[Rh(NBD)][Rh(NBD)Cl<sub>2</sub>] (1·NBD).** The crystals were mounted from acetonitrile (MeCN). A series of DFIX, SIMU, RIGU and ISOR restraints were used to model the NBD ligands of the main moiety and the anion. The [Rh(NBD)Cl<sub>2</sub>] anion is disordered over two sites but the NBD ligand of the minor component could not be located in the difference map (one Cl ligand lies in the anion pocket of the MOF and is not disordered). The SQUEEZE routine available in Platon was applied to the data, which gave a new HKL file. The number of located electrons was 72 and electron density equating to approximately 1.5 additional MeCN (22e) molecules per formula unit were removed by the SQUEEZE routine. There are also 3 MeCN molecules per formula unit that were located in the structure and refined.

**1·[Rh(NBD)]Cl (1·NBD-Cl).** The crystals were mounted from methanol (MeOH). A series of DFIX, SIMU, and ISOR restraints were used to model the NBD ligands of the main moiety. The chloride anion is disordered over two sites. The SQUEEZE routine available in Platon was applied to the data, which gave a new HKL file. The number of located electrons was 1188 and electron density equating to approximately 16.5 additional MeOH (18e) molecules per formula unit were removed by the SQUEEZE routine.

**1·[Rh(NBD)]BF**<sub>4</sub> (**1·NBD-BF**<sub>4</sub>). The crystals were mounted from methanol (MeOH). The data was of sufficient quality to allow the location and refinement of the methanol (and what appears to be water molecules). A series of DFIX, EADP, and ISOR restraints were used to model the solvate molecules. The hydrogen atoms associated with the water molecules were added to the formula.

**1·[Rh(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>][Rh(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)Cl<sub>2</sub>] (1·ETH).** The crystals were mounted from ethanol (EtOH). A series of DFIX and SIMU restraints were used to model the primary coordination sphere of the Rh in the main moiety and the anion. FLAT and SIMU restraints were used to model an aryl ring of the ligand. The SQUEEZE routine available in Platon was applied to the data, which gave a new HKL file. The number of located electrons was 573 and electron density equating to approximately 11 EtOH (26e) molecules per formula unit were removed by the SQUEEZE routine.

**1·[Rh(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Cl (1·ETH-Cl).** The crystals were mounted from pentane. A series of DFIX and SIMU restraints were used to model the primary coordination sphere of the Rh in the main moiety. SIMU restraints were used to model the chelating site of the MOF. The SQUEEZE routine available in Platon was applied to the

data, which gave a new HKL file. The number of located electrons was 455 and electron density equating to approximately 5.5 pentane (42e) molecules per formula unit were removed by the SQUEEZE routine.

**1·[Rh(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]BF<sub>4</sub> (1·ETH-BF<sub>4</sub>).** The crystals were mounted from pentane. A series of DFIX and EADP restraints were used to model the disordered tetrafluoroborate anion (across two sites). The SQUEEZE routine available in Platon was applied to the data, which gave a new HKL file. The number of located electrons was 468 and electron density equating to approximately 5.5 pentane (42e) molecules per formula unit were removed by the SQUEEZE routine.

**1·[Rh(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>]CI.** The crystals were mounted from tetrahydrofuran (THF). The Rh(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>Cl moiety was modelled at 70% occupancy (0.35 due to the mirror plane) despite EDX data supporting quantitative metalation. The SQUEEZE routine available in Platon was applied to the data, which gave a new HKL file. The number of located electrons was 443 and electron density equating to approximately 5.5 THF (40e) molecules per formula unit were removed by the SQUEEZE routine.

SI 5.3 Thermal ellipsoid plots for all structures at the 50% probability level



**Figure SI 5.3.1:** (left) The asymmetric unit of  $1 \cdot [Rh(NBD)][Rh(NBD)Cl_2]$ , with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level (C, black; H, white; N, light blue; O, red; Rh, orange; Mn, beige; Cl, green ). (right) A perspective view of the Rh(I) chelation site and associated [Rh(NBD)Cl\_2] anion (disorder shown) in  $1 \cdot [Rh(NBD)][Rh(NBD)Cl_2]$  with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.



**Figure SI 5.3.2:** (left) The asymmetric unit of  $1 \cdot \text{NBD-BF}_4$ , with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level (C, black; H, white; N, light blue; O, red; Rh, orange; Mn, beige; B, dark blue; F, green). (right) A perspective view of the Rh(I) site in  $1 \cdot \text{NBD-BF}_4$  with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.



**Figure SI 5.3.3:** (left) The asymmetric unit of  $1 \cdot \text{NBD-CI}$ , with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level (C, black; H, white; N, light blue; O, red; Rh, orange; Mn, beige; Cl, green). (right) A perspective view of the Rh(I) site and associated chloride anion (disordered over two positions) in  $1 \cdot \text{NBD-CI}$  with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.



**Figure SI 5.3.4:** (left) The asymmetric unit of  $1 \cdot [Rh(C_2H_4)_2][Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl_2]$  ( $1 \cdot ETH$ ), with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level (C, black; H, white; N, light blue; O, red; Rh, orange; Mn, beige; Cl, green). (right) A perspective view of the Rh(I) chelation site and associated  $[Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl_2]$  anion in  $1 \cdot [Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl_2]$  with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.



**Figure SI 5.3.5:** (left) The asymmetric unit of 1·ETH-Cl, with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level (C, black; H, white; N, light blue; O, red; Rh, orange; Mn, beige; Cl, green). (right) A perspective view of the Rh(I) site and associated chloride anion in 1·ETH-Cl with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.



**Figure SI 5.3.6:** (left) The asymmetric unit of 1·ETH-BF<sub>4</sub>, with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level (C, black; H, white; N, light blue; O, red; Rh, orange; Mn, beige; B, dark blue; F, green). (right) A perspective view of the Rh(I) site in 1·ETH-BF<sub>4</sub> with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level (disorder of the tetrafluoroborate anion shown).



**Figure SI 5.3.7:** The asymmetric unit of **1**·[Rh(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>]Cl, with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level (C, black; H, white; N, light blue; O, red; Rh, orange; Mn, beige; Cl, green).

# SI 5.4 Fobs Electron Density Maps for all structures



**Figure S5.4.1:** (a) A perspective view of the chelated Rh(I) complex in  $1 \cdot [Rh(NBD)][Rh(NBD)Cl_2]$  and the overlaid electron density map as viewed from the (b) front, (c) top and (d) side of the complex.



**Figure S5.4.2:** (a) A perspective view of the chelated Rh(I) complex in **1**·NBD-Cl and the overlaid electron density map as viewed from the (b) front, (c) top and (d) side of the complex.



**Figure S5.4.3:** (a) A perspective view of the chelated Rh(I) complex in  $1 \cdot \text{NBD-BF}_4$  and the overlaid electron density map as viewed from the (b) front, (c) top and (d) side of the complex.



**Figure S5.4.4:** (a) A perspective view of the chelated Rh(I) complex in  $1 \cdot [Rh(C_2H_4)_2][Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl_2]$  ( $1 \cdot ETH$ ) and the overlaid electron density map as viewed from the (b) front, (c) top and (d) side of the complex.



**Figure S5.4.5:** (a) A perspective view of the chelated Rh(I) complex in **1**·ETH-Cl and the overlaid electron density map as viewed from the (b) front, (c) top and (d) side of the complex.



**Figure S5.4.6:** (a) A perspective view of the chelated Rh(I) complex in  $1 \cdot \text{ETH-BF}_4$  and the overlaid electron density map as viewed from the (b) front, (c) top and (d) side of the complex.



**Figure S5.4.7:** (a) A perspective view of the chelated Rh(I) complex in  $1 \cdot [Rh(MeCN)_2]CI$  and the overlaid electron density map as viewed from the (b) front, (c) top and (d) side of the complex.

# 5.5 Tables of X-ray crystallography data collection and refinement parameters

Sample	1·[Rh(NBD)][Rh(NBD)Cl₂]	1·NBD-Cl	1·NBD-BF <sub>4</sub>
Crystallographic Parameter			
Formula	$C_{104}H_{104.5}Cl_2Mn_3N_{19.5}O_{12}Rh_2$	C <sub>98.5</sub> H <sub>140</sub> ClMn <sub>3</sub> N <sub>12</sub> O <sub>28.5</sub> Rh	C <sub>91.75</sub> H <sub>126.25</sub> BF <sub>4</sub> Mn <sub>3</sub> N <sub>12</sub> O <sub>27.25</sub> Rh
FW	2261	2251.40	2187.83
Т,К	100(2)	100(2)	100(2)
Wavelength, Å	Synchrotron (0.71073)	Synchrotron ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )	Synchrotron ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic $P2_1/m$	Monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /c	Monoclinic $P2_1/c$
Z	2	4	4
a, Å	12.336(3)	12.453(3)	12.462(3)
b, Å	33.362(7)	33.266(7)	34.572(7)
c, Å	13.082(3)	25.637(5)	25.460(5)
α°	90	90	90
β, °	95.42(3)	96.44(3)	98.63(3)
γ°	90	90	90
<i>V</i> , Å <sup>3</sup>	5359.9(19)	10553(4)	10845(4)
d <sub>calc</sub> , g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.401	1.417	1.340
Absorption coefficient, mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.762	0.611	0.572
F(000)	2320.0	4720	4559.0
Crystal size, mm <sup>3</sup>	0.3 × 0.15 × 0.06	$0.2 \times 0.11 \times 0.04$	$0.25 \times 0.13 \times 0.04$
2θ range for data collection	2.442 to 64.242	2.014 to 63.75	2.002 to 63.698
Index range	-15≤h≤15, -44≤k≤44, -18≤l≤18	-18≤h≤18, -49≤k≤49, -35≤l≤35	-18≤h≤18, -51≤k≤51, -33≤l≤33
Reflections collected	94565	194532	197727
Independent reflections	14404 [R <sub>int</sub> =0.0400, R <sub>sigma</sub> =0.0229]	29921 [R <sub>int</sub> =0.1146, R <sub>sigma</sub> =0.0844]	30418 [R <sub>int</sub> =0.0610, R <sub>sigma</sub> =0.0337]
Data/restraints/parameters	14404/84/658	29921/113/1022	30418/35/1368
GOF on F <sup>2</sup>	1.025	1.150	1.147
Largest diff. peak and hole, eÅ-3	3.96/-3.67	2.22/-2.95	2.10/-1.30
$R_{1}, [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0948	0.1240	0.0719
$wR_2$ , all data	0.2830	0.3722	0.2124
CCDC Number	2003248	2003247	2003249

**Table S2.** Crystallographic data collection and refinement parameters for the metalated forms of **1**.

Sample	$1 \cdot [Rh(C_2H_4)_2][Rh(CH_2CH_2)_2Cl_2]$	1·ETH-Cl	1·ETH-BF4
Crystallographic Parameter			
Formula	$C_{105}H_{152}Cl_2Mn_3N_{12}O_{23}Rh_2$	$C_{106.5}H_{140}CIMn_3N_{12}O_{12}Rh$	$C_{79}H_{74}BF_4Mn_3N_{12}O_{12}Rh$
FW	2391.92	2083.48	2134.84
Т,К	100(2)	100(2)	100(2)
Wavelength, Å	Synchrotron ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073)	Synchrotron ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )	Synchrotron ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073)
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic <i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> /m	Monoclinic $P2_1/m$	Monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /m
Z	2	2	2
a, Å	12.362(3)	12.288(3)	12.239(2)
b, Å	33.404(7)	32.624(7)	34.061(7)
c, Å	13.013(3)	12.928(3)	12.913(3)
α°	90	90	90
β, °	97.03(3)	95.46(3)	99.45(3)
γ°	90	90	90
<i>V,</i> Å <sup>3</sup>	5333.2(19)	5159.1(18)	5310.1(19)
d <sub>calc</sub> , g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.489	1.341	1.335
Absorption coefficient, mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.776	0.607	0.572
F(000)	2498.0	2192.0	2240.0
Crystal size, mm <sup>3</sup>	0.4 × 0.25 × 0.05	$0.4 \times 0.25 \times 0.06$	$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.05$
Theta range for data collection	2.438 to 58.41	2.496 to 64.324	2.392 to 56.37
Index range	-14 ≤ h ≤ 14, -39 ≤ k ≤ 39, -17 ≤ l ≤ 17	-16 ≤ h ≤ 16, -44 ≤ k ≤ 44, -16 ≤ l ≤ 18	-14 ≤ h ≤ 15, -42 ≤ k ≤ 42, -16 ≤ l ≤ 15
Reflections collected	55421	51436	52134
Independent reflections	10199 [R <sub>int</sub> = 0.0729, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0498]	11410 [R <sub>int</sub> = 0.0973, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0949]	10266 [R <sub>int</sub> = 0.0616, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0495]
Data/restraints/parameters	10199/58/566	11410/110/536	10266/5/526
GOF on F <sup>2</sup>	1.755	0.959	1.120
Largest diff. peak and hole, e·Å <sup>-3</sup>	1.91/-3.13	1.11/-0.94	1.17/-0.91
$R_{1}, [I>2\sigma(I)]$	0.1840	0.1497	0.0975
wR <sub>2</sub> , all data	0.4706	0.3869	0.3240
CCDC Number	2003252	2003251	2003253

**Table S3.** Crystallographic data collection and refinement parameters for the metalated forms of **1**.

Sample	1·[Rh(MeCN)2]Cl		
Crystallographic Parameter			
Formula	C <sub>109</sub> H <sub>132</sub> ClMn <sub>3</sub> N <sub>14</sub> O <sub>19.5</sub> Rh		
FW	2253.46		
Т,К	100(2)		
Wavelength, Å	Synchrotron ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073)		
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic $P2_1/m$		
Z	2		
a, Å	12.329(3)		
b, Å	32.790(7)		
c, Å	13.037(3)		
α°	90		
β, °	94.16(3)		
γ°	90		
<i>V,</i> Å <sup>3</sup>	5256.6(18)		
d <sub>calc</sub> , g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.424		
Absorption coefficient, mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.608		
F(000)	2354.0		
Crystal size, mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.22 \times 0.13 \times 0.03$		
Theta range for data collection	2.484 to 63.618		
Index range	-15 ≤ h ≤ 15, -43 ≤ k ≤ 43, -17 ≤ l ≤ 17		
Reflections collected	95799		
Independent reflections	14009 [R <sub>int</sub> = 0.0501, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0302]		
Data/restraints/parameters	14009/0/554		
GOF on F <sup>2</sup>	1.044		
Largest diff. peak and hole, e·Å <sup>-3</sup>	3.47/-2.04		
$R_{1}, [I>2\sigma(I)]$	0.1009		
wR <sub>2</sub> , all data	0.2896		
CCDC Number	2003250		

**Table S4.** Crystallographic data collection and refinement parameters for the metalated forms of **1**.



**Figure SI 6.1:**  $N_2$  isotherm data collected on **1**·NP at 77K, after activation from dry acetone at 80°C for 20 hr. Black squares represent adsorption, open squares represent desorption.



**Figure SI 6.2:**  $N_2$  isotherm data collected on **1**·ETH-Cl at 77K, after activation from dry pentane at RT for 2 hr (black squares) and following reaction with 1-butene for 3 hr (blue triangles). Coloured squares/triangles represent adsorption, open squares/triangles represent desorption.



**Figure SI 6.3:** isotherms collected at 77K for  $1 \cdot \text{ETH-BF}_4$  and  $1 \cdot \text{ETH-BF}_4$ -crushed, displaying the significant loss of surface area in the crushed sample.

# SI7.0 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) data





**Figure SI 7.1.1:** The hydrogenation of ethylene over **1**·NP at 46°C proceeds rapidly in the first cycle but slows down significantly in the second cycle.



**Figure SI 7.1.2:** The hydrogenation of ethene over a crushed sample of  $1 \cdot \text{ETH-BF}_4$  at 46°C.

# SI7.2 Time resolved NMR data for butene isomerisation



**Figure SI 7.2.1:** Butene isomerisation catalysed by a crushed sample of  $1 \cdot \text{ETH-BF}_4$  as determined by gasphase NMR.

#### S7.3 Sample composition analysis using a CO/CH<sub>4</sub> probe

Samples of 1·ETH-BF<sub>4</sub> and 1·ETH-Cl were activated in a high-pressure NORELL NMR tube using the same protocol described in the experimental. Following activation, the NMR tube was dosed with a mixture of 1 bar of CH<sub>4</sub> and 1 bar of CO. The tube was sealed and transferred to a Varian Gemini 600 MHz NMR.

Exposure to CO/CH<sub>4</sub> results in an instantaneous color change form yellow to off-white, which, in conjunction with the presence of CO stretching bands in the IR spectrum of the MOF crystals, verified that  $1\cdot[Rh(CO)_2]BF_4$  and  $1\cdot[Rh(CO)_2]CI$  were formed (SI Figure 7.3.2-3). Gas-phase NMR spectra of the head-space in the NMR tube revealed the amount of ethylene displaced from the Rh(I) centre (SI Figure 7.3.4-5) via integration of the ethylene and methane signals; the result is consistent with retention of ~90% for both samples.



**Figure SI 7.3.1:** Reaction scheme showing the displacement of the ethylene from the Rh(I) center in  $\mathbf{1}$ ·ETH-BF<sub>4</sub> or  $\mathbf{1}$ ·ETH-Cl in presence of CO/CH<sub>4</sub>.



**Figure SI 7.3.2:** Infra-red spectrum of  $1 \cdot \text{ETH-BF}_4$  crystals following exposure to CO/CH<sub>4</sub>, displaying the strong CO stretches corresponding to the formation of  $1 \cdot [\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2]\text{BF}_4$ .



**Figure SI 7.3.3:** Infra-red spectrum of  $1 \cdot \text{ETH-Cl}$  crystals following exposure to CO/CH<sub>4</sub>, displaying the strong CO stretches corresponding to the formation of  $1 \cdot [\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2]$ Cl.



**Figure SI 7.3.4:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained from the headspace of an NMR tube containing  $1 \cdot \text{ETH-BF}_4$  crystals following exposure to CO/CH<sub>4</sub>, displaying the ethylene (5.31 ppm) and methane (0.14 ppm) resonances.



**Figure SI 7.3.5:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained from the headspace of an NMR tube containing **1**·ETH-Cl crystals following exposure to CO/CH<sub>4</sub>, displaying the ethylene (5.31 ppm) and methane (0.14 ppm) resonances.

#### SI 7.4 Analysis of 2-butene isomers generated under gas-phase isomerisation

The ratio of *cis*- and *trans*-2-butene was determined using <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the gaseous products dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. To achieve this and avoid any paramagnetic effects from the Mn(II) MOF, the gas-phase butene isomerisation reaction was conducted in an NMR tube as reported in the experimental section. A second NMR tube fitted with a young tap was placed under vacuum and cooled in an acetone/dry ice bath. The second tube was sealed and connected to the tap assembly of the first via a short piece of rubber tubing. The tubes were opened to each-other, such that some of the gaseous butenes in the first would enter the second tube and condense. CDCl<sub>3</sub> was added to the second tube to dissolve the condensed gas. <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis revealed the presence of an approximately 4:1 ratio of *trans:cis* 2-butenes,<sup>9</sup> predominant formation of the *trans*-isomer is consistent has been previously reported by Weller *et al.* under similar conditions.<sup>10-11</sup>



**Figure SI 7.4.1:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of condensed gaseous species in the headspace of the NMR tube used for butene isomerisation catalysis, the region of the NMR corresponding to the methyl substituents of cis (1.62ppm) and trans-2-butene (1.57ppm) is shown.

#### SI8.0 Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy



**Figure SI 8.1:** Infra-red spectrum (nujol) of **1**·ETH-Cl crystals following exposure to 1-butene at 46°C, displaying the weak rhodium hydride stretches observed at 2034 and 2000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure SI 8.2:** Infra-red spectrum (nujol) of **1**·ETH-Cl crystals following ethylene hydrogenation (1.2 bar ethylene, 0.8 bar  $H_2$ ) at 46°C, displaying the weak rhodium hydride stretches observed at 2026 and 1934 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### SI 9.0 High Pressure Reaction Vessel Details

The high-pressure reactors (Figure SI 9.1) used for post-synthetic metalation of **1** under ethylene were developed in-house. A 20 ml high-pressure glass tube from Ace glass Inc. (item 7644-15, 15mm thread) sealed via a threaded PTFE plug was drilled to accommodate a pressure gauge and tap assembly (SI Figure 9.1).

The PTFE solid plug (item 5845-47) with O-RING back seal was modified to add an O-RING front seal (VITON BS010) and a 1/4" diameter hole was bored through the plug such that the tube could be evacuated and filled with an appropriate atmosphere.

A flange screw nut #15mm Ace Thread with flange diameter 1.5" x 3/8" thick was 3D printed in PLA and used to modify the 5845-47 PTFE Solid Plug.

A flanged stud was prepared for insertion through the PTFE lid using a 1/4" UNF x 1.5" long 304 Stainless Steel, hexagon head bolt. The bolt head was modified to accommodate the flange (flange diameter 0.669" x .109" thickness with 2 x flat edges, 15mm A/F, and 1/8" hole bored through).

This was assembled by inserting the 5845-47 PTFE solid plug into the 1/4" UNF flanged stud, via the front seal end, and was screwed together by a 1/4 UNF female to 1/4" NPT, female 304 stainless steel bush adapter, with 2 flat edges, 5/8" A/F.

A Swagelok 1/4" NPT male x 1/4" NPT male stainless-steel hex nipple fitted into bush adapter with PTFE tape, before the 7644-15 glass connector is assembled to 5845-47 PTFE solid plug.

#### Swagelok fittings used in the assembly are as follows:

SS-4-HN (Stainless Steel Pipe Fitting, Hex Nipple, 1/4 in. Male NPT)

SS-4-T (Stainless Steel Pipe Fitting, Tee, 1/4 in. Female NPT)

PGI-63B-BG16-LOAX (PGI Series gauge 0-16 bar Lower mount 1/4" male NP)

SS-400-1-4 (SS Swagelok Tube Fitting, Male Connector, 1/4 in. Tube OD x 1/4 in. Male NP)

SS-4P4T (SS Quarter-Turn Instrument Plug Valve, 1/4 in. Swagelok Tube Fitting, 1.6 Cv)

Teflon tape was applied to all Swagelok fittings with NPT male threads before assembly.

The pressure vessel was pressure tested to 80 psi (5.5 bar) with compressed air for 24 hours.

The glass pressure tube was stored in a 120°C drying oven between use.



Figure SI 9.1: Glass pressure vessel fitted with a pressure gauge and tap assembly.

#### SI 10.0 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) Experiments

XPS spectra were acquired in a custom designed UHV systems equipped with an Omicron EA125 electron analyser and a dual anode x-ray source (Omicron DAR 400). The samples were dispersed onto carbon tape under N2 atmosphere and then left to outgas overnight prior to the measurements. Due to the insulating nature of the samples, a flood gun was used to compensate charging effects. The binding energy scale was calibrated used the C 1s spectrum, which was positioned at 284.8 eV.



**Figure SI 10.1:** (a) Rh 3d and (b) Cl 2p photoemission spectra of 1·ETH-Cl crystals after butene isomerisation (red) and ethylene hydrogenation (black).

#### SI 11.0 Computational Supplementary Information



**Figure SI 11.1:** Structure of the representative Rh complex with bound 1-butene. Rh, orange; C, gray; N, blue; H, white.

**Table S5.** Relative free energies of the Rh complexes for the proposed mechanism butene isomerization.

Complex	$\Delta \mathbf{G}$ / kJ mol $^{-1}$
I	4.95
II	12.2
III	0.00

Table S6. Energy barriers obtained by climbing image nudged elastic band for the Rh complexes.

\_\_\_\_

Barrier	$\Delta m{E}$ / kJ mol $^{ extsf{-1}}$
l→ll	36.6
→	14.7

**Table S7.** Relative free energies of the Rh complexes with Cl present for the proposed mechanism butene isomerization.

Complex	∆G / kJ mol⁻¹
I-Cl	3.58
II-Cl	-82.5
III-Cl	0.00

**Table S8.** Relative free energies of the Rh complexes with  $BF_4$  present for the proposed mechanism butene isomerization.

Complex	∆G / kJ mol⁻¹
I-BF <sub>4</sub>	-1.08
II- BF <sub>4</sub>	-32.2
III- BF <sub>4</sub>	0.00

Table S9. Simulated surface areas (SA) and probe occupiable pore volumes (PV).

Sample	SA / m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	PV / m <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>
<b>1</b> ·ETH-BF <sub>4</sub> (disorder 1)	973	0.30
<b>1</b> ·ETH-BF₄ (disorder 2)	913	0.28
<b>1</b> ·ETH-Cl (disorder 1)	893	0.29
<b>1</b> ·ETH-Cl (disorder 2)	867	0.29
<b>1</b> ·NBD-BF <sub>4</sub> (disorder 1)	989	0.29
<b>1</b> ·NDB-BF₄ (disorder 2)	989	0.29
<b>1</b> ·NBD-Cl (disorder 1)	871	0.27
1·NBD-Cl (disorder 2)	850	0.26



**Figure SI 11.2:** Mean squared displacement of butane species at 300 K using the NVT ensemble within the rigid structure of **1**·ETH-BF<sub>4</sub>.

#### SI 11.1 DFT Calculations on butene transport within 1·ETH-X

#### SI 11.1.1 Computational details

Despite the above technological methods, first principles were employed to reveal the catalytic enhancement mechanism of 1-butene isomerization. All the simulations were carried out using density functional theory (DFT) on the Vienna *Ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP).<sup>12-13</sup> The interaction between ions and electrons was described by projector-augmented wave (PAW) method. The electron exchange-correlation energy is performed using generalized gradient approximation functional with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerh correction.<sup>14</sup> The energy-cutoffs for all systems were set to be 400 eV. The whole model for the Rh/Mn MOF contains 372 atoms. The criteria for the relaxation of the electronic SC-loop was set to be  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  eV Å<sup>-1</sup>. And the relaxation will be stopped after the convergence of forces reached 0.03 eV Å<sup>-1</sup>. The *k*-points grid was set as (1×1×1) and generated with Gamma centered points. During the geometry optimization, the ions in reactants, intermediates and products were fully relaxed. The transition states were located by climbing images nudged elastic band (CI-NEB)<sup>15-16</sup> and dimmer methods.<sup>17</sup>

#### SI 11.1.2 Results and discussion

DFT calculations were carried out to gain deep insight into the catalytic action of MOFs in the isomerization of 1-butene. Fig. 1 illustrates the potential energy surfaces (PESs) of 1-butene isomerization with and without the presence of MOFs. The bond lengths as well as atom numbers are labeled in blue. Direct isomerization of 1-butene proceeds with H4 atom migrating from C3 atom to C1 atom (TS1 in Fig. 1), but the energy barrier for the formation of 2-butene is as high as 2.96 eV, indicating a low feasibility of this process.

When the MOF structure exists, the process is significantly promoted. To deeply investigate the enhancement caused by Rh sites, Bader charges of active sites are analyzed. In the MOF, each fluorine ion is negatively charged by ~0.83 electron, each boron ion has 2.36 positive charge, hence the [BF4] group has ~0.96 negative charge. In this paper, the [BF4] anion will not participate in the1-butene isomerization directly according to the special structure of MOF. Instead, the interaction between Rh and C=C double bonds play a dominant role.

As shown in blue lines in Fig. 1, the isomerization of 1-butene is divided into three elementary reactions including conformation isomerization and two steps of hydrogen migration. Analysis of the differential charge density of IM1 (Fig. 2) shows that the  $\pi$  electrons from C=C double bonds exhibit a strong interaction with the d orbitals of Rh site, and the valence electrons of Rh somehow donate to the electron densities around C1 and C2, as observed by Douglas et al.<sup>18</sup> Then the conformation IM1 endothermically isomerizes to IM2 with the C3–H4 bond lengthened by 0.10 Å. As shown in Fig. 2, electron densities are mainly distributed between Rh and C1/C2/C3, which means that the  $\pi$  electrons are delocalized from C1/C2 to C1/C2/C3. The dehydrogenation of 1-butene (IM2  $\rightarrow$  TS2) takes place with a moderate energy barrier of 0.31 eV, and IM3 is formed after the H4 atom migrating to Rh. The significantly reduced energy barrier is ascribed to the reduced electrophilicity of C3 atom which causes bond energy of C3–H4 decrease, and hence the cleavage of C3–H4 bond becomes easier. The Bader charge analysis also shows few electrons are transferred from H4 to C3.

In IM3, the Rh site loses more electrons, and the Rh–H bonds is unstable especially with the presence of delocalized  $\pi$  electrons. Hence, the negatively charged H4 atom is reactive to associated with C1 to stabilize the system. Compared to re-isomerize back to C3 atom, H4 favors to transfer to C1 atom (IM3  $\rightarrow$  IM4) because of high thermodynamic feasibility. The formation of IM4 owns a moderate energy barrier of 0.17 eV and is exothermic by releasing energy of 0.88 eV. This process is driven by the symmetry effect. When H4 transfers to C1, 2-butene is produced with high symmetry. The charges of C2 and C3 are similar. The differential charge density of IM4 also shows a symmetrically contribution of the molecules. Along the total pathways of 1-butene (from IM1 to IM4), we can conclude that the H4 migration to the Rh site is rate-determining step as it occupies the top position in the PES file.

To conclude, the DFT simulation reveals the interaction between  $\pi$  electrons and d orbitals of active Rh site of MOF has a significant enhancement of the 1-butene isomerization by reducing the energy barrier from 2.96 eV to 0.68 eV in the PES. Hydrogen migration from  $\alpha$ -C (C3) to Rh site is the rate determining step.



**Fig. SI 11.1.1:** Potential energy surfaces of 1-butene isomerization in the absence (black line) and presence (blue line) of MOF. Bond lengths and atom numbers are labelled in blue.

	IM1	IM2	TS2	IM3	TS3	IM4
C1	-0.227	-0.226	-0.220	-0.223	-0.224	-0.150
C2	-0.193	-0.172	-0.197	-0.155	-0.087	-0.103
C3	-0.092	-0.076	-0.150	-0.097	-0.200	-0.113
H4	0.033	0.009	0.059	-0.038	0.053	0.092
Rh	0.410	0.436	0.464	0.531	0.478	0.363

 Table S10. Bader charge of active sites in the intermediates and transition states.



**Fig. SI 11.1.2**: Differential charge density of intermediates referred in the MOF-promoted 1-butene isomerization. Blue surface designates the charge density reduced area, and the yellow surface represents the charge density increased area.



**Fig. SI 11.1.3**: Periodical structure  $(1 \times 1 \times 2)$  of MOF used in the DFT simulation. The atoms are colored by H in white, B in green, C in brown, N in silvery white, O in red, F in pink, Mn in purple and Rh in blue.

#### SI 12.0 References

(1) Cowieson, N. P.; Aragao, D.; Clift, M.; Ericsson, D. J.; Gee, C.; Harrop, S. J.; Mudie, N.; Panjikar, S.; Price, J. R.; Riboldi-Tunnicliffe, A.; Williamson, R.; Caradoc-Davies, T. MX1: a bending-magnet crystallography beamline serving both chemical and macromolecular crystallography communities at the Australian Synchrotron. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **2015**, *22*, 187.

(2) McPhillips, T.; McPhillips, S.; Chiu, H.; Cohen, A. E.; Deacon, A. M.; Ellis, P. J.; Garman, E.; Gonzalez, A.; Sauter, N. K.; Phizackerley, R. P.; Soltis, S. M.; Kuhn, P. Blue-Ice and the Distributed Control System software for data acquisition and instrument control at macromolecular crystallography beamlines. *J. Synchrotron Radiat*. **2002**, *9*, 401.

(3) Sheldrick, G. A short history of SHELX. Acta. Crystallogr. A 2008, 64, 112.

(4) Sheldrick, G. SHELXT - Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. *Acta. Crystallogr. A* **2015**, *71*, 3.

(5) Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta. Crystallogr. C 2015, 71, 3.

(6) Barbour, L. J. X-Seed — A Software Tool for Supramolecular Crystallography. *J. Supramol. Chem.* **2001,** *1*, 189.

(7) Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H. OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339.

(8) Spek, A. L. PLATON SQUEEZE: a tool for the calculation of the disordered solvent contribution to the calculated structure factors. *Acta. Crystallogr. C* **2015**, *71*, 9.

(9) Erdogan, G.; Grotjahn, D. B. Mild and Selective Deuteration and Isomerization of Alkenes by a Bifunctional Catalyst and Deuterium Oxide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 10354.

(10) Pike, S. D.; Krämer, T.; Rees, N. H.; Macgregor, S. A.; Weller, A. S. Stoichiometric and Catalytic Solid–Gas Reactivity of Rhodium Bis-phosphine Complexes. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 1487.

(11) Chadwick, F. M.; McKay, A. I.; Martinez-Martinez, A. J.; Rees, N. H.; Kramer, T.; Macgregor, S. A.; Weller, A. S. Solid-state molecular organometallic chemistry. Single-crystal to single-crystal reactivity and catalysis with light hydrocarbon substrates. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 6014.

(12) Hafner, J. Ab-initio simulations of materials using VASP: Density-functional theory and beyond. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2008**, *29*, 2044.

(13) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *54*, 11169.

(14) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865.

(15) Henkelman, G.; Uberuaga, B. P.; Jónsson, H. A climbing image nudged elastic band method for finding saddle points and minimum energy paths. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *113*, 9901.

(16) Henkelman, G.; Jónsson, H. Improved tangent estimate in the nudged elastic band method for finding minimum energy paths and saddle points. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *113*, 9978.

(17) Xiao, P.; Sheppard, D.; Rogal, J.; Henkelman, G. Solid-state dimer method for calculating solid-solid phase transitions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *140*, 174104.

(18) Douglas, T. M.; Brayshaw, S. K.; Dallanegra, R.; Kociok-Köhn, G.; Macgregor, S. A.; Moxham, G. L.; Weller, A. S.; Wondimagegn, T.; Vadivelu, P. Intramolecular Alkyl Phosphine Dehydrogenation in Cationic Rhodium Complexes of Tris(cyclopentylphosphine). *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 1004.