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## 1 Molecular Dynamics simulations



Figure S1: Frequency of ions occurrence in the negatively-charged pocket in the active site. A. holoenzyme TrmD; upper panel - both $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions present; lower panel - only $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions present, B. holoenzyme TrmD with tRNA bound; upper panel - both $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions present; middle panel - only $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions present; lower panel - only $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions present.


Figure S2: Examples of ion distribution in holoenzyme $\operatorname{TrmD}$ simulations with ions randomly distributed in the solvent colored by density. A. only $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions present, B. both $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (right) and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$(left) ions present. Position of each ion is plotted using its Cartesian coordinates. Each trajectory was sampled every nanosecond.


Figure S3: Examples of ion distribution in holoenzyme with tRNA TrmD simulations with ions randomly distributed in the solvent colored by density. A. both $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (right) and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$(left) ions present, B. only $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions present, C. only $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions present. Position of each ion is plotted using its Cartesian coordinates. Each trajectory was sampled every nanosecond.


Figure S4: $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ RMSD of example trajectories of $\operatorname{TrmD}$ with and without tRNA bound.


Figure S5: Average minimal distance between the heavy atoms of three residues forming the ion binding site (E116, D177 and SAM) and the interdomain linker (residues 160-170). The shaded area shows the span between the longest and the shortest of those distances. The trajectories show holoenzyme $\operatorname{TrmD}$ with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binds only in trajectories 2 and 5 (in each to one binding site). This binding influences the position and flexibility of both linkers. Specifically, the linker interacting with an empty ion binding site blocks the access to it.

Table S1: Type and duration of MD simulations of TrmD holoenzyme or in complex with tRNA.
Simulation time [ns] Type
Ions randomly distributed in the solvent

| TrmD holoenzyme ( $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions) | 1 | 100 | MD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 100 | MD |
|  | 3 | 100 | MD |
|  | 4 | 200 | MD |
|  | 5 | 200 | MD |
|  | 6 | 200 | MD |
| TrmD holoenzyme ( $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions) | 1 | 400 | MD |
|  | 2 | 400 | MD |
|  | 3 | 400 | MD |
|  | 4 | 400 | MD |
|  | 5 | 400 | MD |
|  | 6 | 400 | MD |
| TrmD holoenzyme with tRNA ( $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions) | 1 | 100 | MD |
|  | 2 | 100 | MD |
|  | 3 | 100 | MD |
|  | 4 | $23 \times 50$ | REMD |
|  | 5 | $23 \times 50$ | REMD |
| TrmD holoenzyme with tRNA ( $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions) | 1 | 100 | MD |
|  | 2 | 100 | MD |
|  | 3 | 100 | MD |
|  | 4 | $22 \times 50$ | REMD |
|  | 5 | $23 \times 50$ | REMD |
|  |  | $23 \times 50$ | REMD |
|  | 7 | $23 \times 50$ | REMD |
| TrmD holoenzyme with tRNA ( $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions) | 1 | 400 | MD |
|  | 2 | 400 | MD |
|  | 3 | 263 | MD |
|  | 4 | 301 | MD |
|  | 5 | 400 | MD |
|  | 6 | 400 | MD |
|  | 7 | 400 | MD |
|  | 8 | 400 | MD |
|  | 9 | 625 | MD |

Single ions placed in certain locations

|  | 1 | 100 | MD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 100 | MD |
|  | 3 | 100 | MD |
|  | 4 | 100 | MD |
| TrmD holoenzyme with tRNA ( $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions) | 5 | 100 | MD |
| $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ near G37 | 6 | 100 | MD |
|  | 7 | 100 | MD |
|  | 8 | 100 | MD |
|  | 9 | 100 | MD |
|  | 10 | 100 | MD |
|  | 1 | 100 | MD |
|  | 2 | 100 | MD |
|  | 3 | 100 | MD |
|  | 4 | 100 | MD |
| TrmD holoenzyme with tRNA ( $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions) | 5 | 100 | MD |
| $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ in the negatively-charged pocket | 6 | 100 | MD |
|  | 7 | 100 | MD |
|  | 8 | 100 | MD |
|  | 9 | 100 | MD |
|  | 10 | 100 | MD |

## 2 Identification of putative ions in crystal structures

We performed an analysis of all water molecules present in biological assemblies of crystal structures of TrmD. We found putative ions in TrmD structures among water molecules based on their coordination geometry. In 18 cases water molecules have a coordination number exceeding 4 (Table S2). Because it is difficult to distinguish $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (or other ions
frequently present in protein's surroundings) from a water molecule based on electron density, the observed waters are likely to be hidden ions. In four structures the water-represented ions are placed in the active site, corresponding to localization of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion in the simulations. All four structures have resolution smaller than $2 \AA$ and their putative ions' B-factors are smaller than $55 \AA^{2}$, indicating that their positions are likely meaningful.

Table S2: All of the water molecules in TrmD crystal structures that have more than 4 polar interactions.

| PDB code | Chain | ResID | Coordination Number | Localization | B-Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1uaj | A | 1040 | 5 | knot's vicinity | 29.88 |
| 1uaj | B | 1040 | 5 | knot's vicinity | 29.88 |
| 1ual | A | 1083 | 5 | surface | 32.81 |
| 1ual | A | 1145 | 5 | surface | 53.26 |
| 1ual | A | 1152 | 5 | surface | 28.74 |
| 1ual | B | 1083 | 5 | surface | 32.81 |
| 1ual | B | 1145 | 5 | surface | 53.26 |
| 1ual | B | 1152 | 5 | surface | 28.74 |
| 4mcb | A | 438 | 5 | dimer interface | 26.10 |
| 4mcb | A | 547 | 5 | active site | 53.72 |
| 4mcb | A | 620 | 5 | dimer interface | 50.81 |
| 4mcc | B | 604 | 5 | dimer interface | 41.58 |
| 4yvg | A | 557 | 5 | dimer interface | 23.15 |
| 4yvg | B | 557 | 5 | active site | 23.15 |
| 4yq0 | A | 407 | 5 | active site | 39.89 |
| 4yq6 | A | 401 | 5 | 39.83 |  |
| 4yqg | A | 434 | 6 | vicinity of the active site | 48.28 |
| 4yqo | A | 404 | 5 | active site | 35.24 |

## 3 Impact on the structure



Figure S6: Structure of holoenzyme TrmD in surface representation shown from different angles. The position of the squares indicates the surface of the active sites. The surface is colored by the electrostatic potential ranging from $-10 \mathrm{kBT} / \mathrm{e}$ (in red) to $10 \mathrm{kBT} / \mathrm{e}$ (in blue).

Table S3: RMSD of SAM and the number of hydrogen (H) bonds between SAM and protein. SAM A and SAM B represent ligands from chain A and B , respectively. Cutoffs used for H -bond calculations are $3.2 \AA$ for donor-acceptor distance and $50^{\circ}$ for the angle.

| Simulation | Trajectory | RMSD (SAM A) | RMSD (SAM B) | H-Bond (SAM A) | H-Bond (SAM B) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $2.00 \pm 0.36 \AA$ | $1.90 \pm 0.32 \AA$ | $6.79 \pm 1.42$ | $5.90 \pm 1.46$ |
|  | 2 | $1.87 \pm 0.27 \AA$ | $2.01 \pm 0.37 \AA$ | $5.40 \pm 1.2$ | $6.53 \pm 1.47$ |
| TrmD holoenzyme | 3 | $1.79 \pm 0.24 \AA$ | $1.86 \pm 0.33 \AA$ | $7.43 \pm 1.52$ | $5.71 \pm 1.22$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$ions $)$ | 4 | $1.90 \pm 0.52 \AA$ | $2.20 \pm 0.22 \AA$ | $5.58 \pm 1.37$ | $4.26 \pm 1.02$ |
|  | 5 | $2.12 \pm 0.24 \AA$ | $1.05 \pm 0.54 \AA$ | $5.82 \pm 1.37$ | $7.13 \pm 1.53$ |
|  | 6 | $1.14 \pm 0.52 \AA$ | $1.85 \pm 0.32 \AA$ | $6.49 \pm 1.27$ | $6.36 \pm 1.47$ |
|  | 1 | $2.18 \pm 0.34 \AA$ | $2.32 \pm 0.35 \AA$ | $6.09 \pm 1.48$ | $5.63 \pm 1.79$ |
| $\operatorname{TrmD}^{2}$ holoenzyme | 2 | $1.15 \pm 0.58 \AA$ | $1.36 \pm 0.28 \AA$ | $5.71 \pm 1.24$ | $5.72 \pm 1.16$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right.$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions $)$ | 3 | $1.56 \pm 0.40 \AA$ | $0.85 \pm 0.06 \AA$ | $6.28 \pm 1.08$ | $5.86 \pm 1.04$ |
|  | 4 | $0.77 \pm 0.05 \AA$ | $1.38 \pm 0.32 \AA$ | $6.12 \pm 1.11$ | $6.22 \pm 1.36$ |
|  | 5 | $0.86 \pm 0.27 \AA$ | $1.34 \pm 0.26 \AA$ | $6.18 \pm 1.13$ | $6.48 \pm 1.20$ |
|  | 6 | $2.06 \pm 0.42 \AA$ | $0.99 \pm 0.08 \AA$ | $6.38 \pm 1.29$ | $6.32 \pm 1.12$ |

Table S4: Energies for all models (relative to respective initial states). The best models are indicated with underline.
$\Delta \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$
TS 1 Intermediate TS 2 Final state

|  | TS 1 | Intermediate | TS 2 | Final state |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mg $^{2+}$ in the negatively-charged pocket |  |  |  |  |
| Model 1 | 8.5 | 9.9 | 25.3 | -21.2 |
| Model 2 | 7.6 | 26.3 | 30.9 | -7.2 |
| Model 3 | 14.0 | 13.0 | 30.9 | -9.2 |
| Model 4 | 22.1 | 23.7 | 45.6 | -0.9 |
| Model 5 | 21.9 | 26.7 | 39.6 | -0.4 |
| Model 6 | 20.8 | 19.9 | 42.2 | -8.5 |
| Model 7 | 13.6 | 19.9 | 40.3 | 7.2 |
| Model 8 | 21.3 | 20.2 | 51.4 | 2.9 |
| Mg' $^{2+}$ near G37 |  |  |  |  |
| Model 9 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 35.6 | -8.6 |
| Model 10 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 40.2 | -16.7 |
| Two Mg ${ }^{2+}$ ions |  |  |  |  |
| Model 11 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 27.5 | 8.1 |
| Model 12 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 32.9 | 1.3 |
| Model 13 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 48.1 | -5.0 |
| Na ${ }^{+}$in the negatively-charged pocket |  |  |  |  |
| Model 14 | 12.0 | 13.2 | 37.5 | 2.2 |
| Model 15 | 28.6 | 19.1 | 38.4 | 4.8 |



Figure S7: Energy profiles (electronic, electronic with solvation, and electronic with solvation and ZPE) for the methyl transfer reaction in Model 1.


Figure S8: Energy profiles (electronic, electronic with solvation, and electronic with solvation and ZPE) for the methyl transfer reaction in Model 9.


Figure S9: Energy profiles (electronic, electronic with solvation, and electronic with solvation and ZPE) for the methyl transfer reaction in Model 11.


Figure S10: Energy profiles (electronic, electronic with solvation, and electronic with solvation and ZPE) for the methyl transfer reaction in Model 14.

Table S5: Calculated energies and energy corrections for all stationary points in the best models using B3LYP functional (in atomic units).

|  | E opt $(6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p}))$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E bb } \\ (6-311+\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p})) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E solv } \\ (\mathrm{CPCM}) \end{gathered}$ | ZPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ in the negatively-charged pocket - Model 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -5176.364754 | -5177.790054 | -5176.439093 | 1.641279 |
| TS 1 | -5176.345336 | -5177.773513 | -5176.420154 | 1.638787 |
| Intermediate | -5176.348241 | -5177.776245 | -5176.422926 | 1.643546 |
| TS 2 | -5176.321042 | -5177.749532 | -5176.395290 | 1.641054 |
| Final state | -5176.395747 | -5177.822921 | -5176.472287 | 1.642540 |
| $\mathrm{s}^{6}$-G37, $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -5499.330698 | -5500.760093 | -5499.409096 | 1.642393 |
| TS 2 | -5499.280651 | -5500.712037 | -5499.359793 | 1.638774 |
| $\mathrm{s}^{6}$-G37, $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -5444.141037 | -5445.664165 | -5444.219037 | 1.642843 |
| TS 2 | -5444.094363 | -5445.619108 | -5444.173185 | 1.636747 |
| WT G37, $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -5121.174810 | -5122.698976 | -5121.253793 | 1.642305 |
| TS 2 | -5121.135248 | -5122.655790 | -5121.210395 | 1.641737 |
| $\mathbf{M g}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ near G37- Model 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -5397.153440 | -5398.668162 | -5397.237162 | 1.785401 |
| TS 1 | -5397.142421 | -5398.655357 | -5397.223999 | 1.780303 |
| Intermediate | -5397.154555 | -5398.668125 | -5397.235444 | 1.786240 |
| TS 2 | -5397.099828 | -5398.613431 | -5397.179108 | 1.783007 |
| Final state | -5397.164084 | -5398.680932 | -5397.248807 | 1.785424 |
| $\mathrm{s}^{6}$-G37, $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -5720.117031 | -5721.637086 | -5720.200429 | 1.782230 |
| TS 2 | -5720.060269 | -5721.580219 | -5720.138694 | 1.779264 |
| $\mathrm{s}^{6}$-G37, Co ${ }^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -5665.024070 | -6904.204417 | -5665.106616 | 1.782286 |
| TS 2 | -5664.969442 | -6904.154010 | -5665.046837 | 1.779312 |
| WT G37, $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -5342.062446 | -6581.242218 | -5342.144937 | 1.785289 |
| TS 2 | -5342.009608 | -6581.187906 | -5342.087976 | 1.783145 |
| Two $\mathbf{M g}^{2+}$ ions - Model 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -7203.841782 | -7205.767767 | -7204.001187 | 2.133037 |
| TS 1 | -7203.838977 | -7205.762423 | -7203.996158 | 2.129098 |
| Intermediate | -7203.840070 | -7205.762992 | -7203.996902 | 2.133691 |
| TS 2 | -7203.797304 | -7205.725851 | -7203.953546 | 2.131750 |
| Final state | -7203.834890 | -7205.757356 | -7203.993815 | 2.134998 |
| $\mathrm{s}^{6}$-G37, $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -7526.680835 | -7526.680836 | -7526.840002 | 2.130857 |
| TS 2 | -7526.640495 | -7528.686830 | -7526.795520 | 2.129142 |
| $\mathrm{s}^{6}$-G37, $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -7416.481860 | -7418.541478 | -7416.641768 | 2.129321 |
| TS 2 | -7416.444681 | -7418.506536 | -7416.598830 | 2.128475 |
| WT G37, $\mathbf{C o}^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -7093.527037 | -7095.582240 | -7093.684289 | 2.132342 |
| TS 2 | -7093.484475 | -7095.533907 | -7093.639816 | 2.130990 |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$in the negatively-charged pocket - Model 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Initial state | -5669.290544 | -5670.893989 | -5669.349873 | 1.785462 |
| TS 1 | -5669.274355 | -5670.870046 | -5669.333424 | 1.780370 |
| Intermediate | -5669.274435 | -5670.870556 | -5669.333761 | 1.783081 |
| TS 2 | -5669.239188 | -5670.836079 | -5669.295738 | 1.784524 |
| Final state | -5669.303811 | -5670.895804 | -5669.359344 | 1.786904 |



Figure S11: Optimized structures of the transition state of methyl transfer for the constructed models, with magnesium located in the vicinity of E116, D169*, D177*, and SAM (Models 1-4). Key residues are shown with sticks. Yellow dotted lines represent the distances (in $\AA$ ) from the methyl group to the sulfur atom and the N1 of G37. Arrows indicate atoms that were kept fixed during geometry optimizations.


Figure S12: Optimized structures of the transition state of methyl transfer for the constructed models, with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ located in the negatively-charged binding pocket (Models 5-8). Key residues are shown with sticks. Yellow dotted lines represent the distances (in $\AA$ ) from the methyl group to the sulfur and the N1 atom of G37. Arrows indicate atoms that were kept fixed during geometry optimizations.


Figure S13: Optimized structures of the transition state of methyl transfer for the constructed models, with magnesium located near the G37 (Models 9-10). Key residues are shown with sticks. Yellow dotted lines represent the distance (in $\AA$ ) from the methyl group to the sulfur and the N1 of G37. Arrows indicate atoms that were kept fixed during geometry optimizations.


Figure S14: Optimized structures of the transition state of methyl transfer for the constructed models, with two magnesiums located in the active site (Models 11-13). One ion is coordinated by the N7 and five water molecules, while the other one by E116, D169*, D177*, SAM, and E168*. Key residues are shown with sticks. Yellow dotted lines represent the distance (in $\AA$ ) from the methyl group to the sulfur and the N1 of G37. Arrows indicate atoms that were kept fixed during geometry optimizations.


Model 14


Figure S15: Optimized structures of the transition state of methyl transfer for the constructed models, with sodium located in the vicinity of E116, D169*, D177*, and SAM (Models 14-15). Key residues are shown with sticks. Yellow dotted lines represent the distance (in $\AA$ ) from the methyl group to the sulfur and the N1 of G37. Arrows indicate atoms that were kept fixed during geometry optimizations.
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Figure S16: Optimized structures of all of the stationary points in the lowest energy barrier model with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ located in the negatively-charged binding pocket. Key residues are shown with sticks.


Figure S17: Optimized structures of all of the stationary points in the lowest energy barrier model with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ located near G37. Key residues are shown with sticks.
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Figure S18: Optimized structures of all of the stationary points in the lowest energy barrier model two $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ located in the active site, one coordinated with $N^{7}$ and five water molecules, while the other one with E116, D169*, D177*, SAM and E168*. Key residues are shown with sticks.
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Figure S19: Optimized structures of all of the stationary points in the lowest energy barrier model with $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$located in the negatively-charged binding pocket. Key residues are shown with sticks.

