## **Supporting Information**

# Boosted Charge Transfer in Twinborn α-(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>) Heterostructures: Toward High Rate and Ultralong Life Zinc-

### ion Batteries

Jun Long, <sup>†, ‡</sup> Fuhua Yang, <sup>‡</sup> Jing Cuan, <sup>‡</sup> Jingxing Wu, <sup>‡</sup> Zhanhong Yang, <sup>\*,†</sup> Hao Jiang, <sup>§</sup> Rui Song, <sup>I</sup> Wenlong Song, <sup>I</sup> Jianfeng Mao, <sup>\*,‡</sup> Zaiping Guo <sup>‡</sup>

- <sup>†</sup>Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Chemical Power Sources, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Central South University, Changsha 410083, P. R. China
- <sup>‡</sup>Institute for Superconducting & Electronic Materials, Australian Institute for Innovative Materials (AIIM), School of Mechanical, Materials, Mechatronics and Biomedical Engineering, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales 2522, Australia
- Scenter of Super-Diamond and Advanced Films (COSDAF) & Department of Materials Science and Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong 999077, China

<sup>I</sup>Tianneng Battery Group Co Ltd, Zhejiang 313100, China

\*E-mail: zhyang@csu.edu.cn

\*E-mail: jmao@uow.edu.au

#### The pseudocapacitive effect calculation

The pseudocapacitive effects could be analyzed according to the relationship between the peak currents and the scan rates, which are acquired from the CV curves [1, 2]:

$$i = av^b \tag{1}$$

where *a* and *b* are the adjustable constants. The *b*-value of 0.5 suggests a diffusioncontrolled process, while *b* is close to 1.0 indicates a capacitive process, which is determined from slope of the log *i* versus log *v* plots. Furthermore, the contributions to the capacity can be quantified [3] by the equation (2):

$$i(v) = k_1 v + k_2 v^{1/2}$$
(2)

where  $k_1v$  and  $k_2v^{1/2}$  represent the pseudocapacitive and diffusion-dominant contributions, respectively.

#### Zn<sup>2+</sup> diffusion coefficient (Dzn) calculation

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement is adopted to investigate the reaction kinetics for the zinc ion batteries (ZIBs) within the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz. The relevant Nyquist plots are exhibited in Fig. S7(a). Obviously, all the patterns show the similar shape with the semicircle (at high frequency region) and the inclined straight line (at low frequency region), indicating that electrochemical process is regulated by the charge transfer and the ion diffusion [4]. The  $R_{ct}$  values of  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@MnO<sub>2</sub>)-400,  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@MnO<sub>2</sub>)-450,  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 electrodes are 297.2  $\Omega$ , 237.7  $\Omega$  and 175.6  $\Omega$ , respectively. Additionally, the diffusion coefficient of the  $Zn^{2+}$  ( $D_{Zn}$ ) in the electrode can be calculated with the low frequency region of the EIS plots (as shown in Fig. S7(b)) according to the following equation [5]:

$$D = \frac{R^2 T^2}{2A^2 n^4 F^4 C^2 \sigma^2}$$
(3)

Where the meaning of *n* is the number of the electrons per molecule, *R* the gas constant, *T* the absolute temperature, *A* the surface area of the electrode, *F* the Faraday constant, *C* the concentration of the  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $\sigma$  is the Warburg factor which has relationship with  $Z_{re}$ :

$$Z_{re} = R_D + R_L + \sigma \omega^{1/2} \tag{4}$$

The relationships between  $Z_{re}$  and  $\omega^{1/2}$  (the square root of the frequency) are shown in Fig. S7(b) in the low frequency region. The D<sub>Zn</sub> is obtained based on the equations (3) and (4).

#### GITT measurements and the calculation of the Dzn calculation

Based on the GITT tests, the D<sub>Zn</sub> in the electrodes can be determined by solving Fick's second law [6-8] with Equation (5) based on the GITT curves.

$$D = \frac{4}{\pi\tau} \left(\frac{m_a V_M}{M_a S}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta E_S}{\Delta E_\tau}\right)^2 \tag{5}$$

where  $m_a$  is the electrode active mass,  $M_a$  is the molar mass of the electrode material (g/mol) and  $V_M$  is the molar volume (cm<sup>3</sup>/mol), S is the geometric area of the electrode (contacting area of the electrode with electrolyte),  $\Delta E_S$  is the difference in the open circuit voltage measured at the end of the relaxation period for the two successive steps, and  $\Delta E_{\tau}$  is the slope of the linearized region of the potential during the current pulse of the duration time. In our work, the equation is simplified on the condition that the  $\Delta E_{\tau}/\tau^{1/2}$  shows a linear relationship. Before the GITT measurement, the assembled cells are first charged/discharged at 50 mA g<sup>-1</sup> for one cycle to stabilize the cells. The current pulse lasts for 30 min at 100 mA g<sup>-1</sup> and then the cells are relaxed for 1 h to make the voltage reach the equilibrium. And these procedures are repeatedly applied to the cells during the total discharge/charge process.

#### **Theoretical Calculations**

All the spin theoretical simulations in our work were carried out on the Vienna ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) with the version 5. 4. 1 [9]. The Generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew-Burke-Emzerhof (PBE) [10] functional form is employed to evaluate the electron-electron exchange and correlation interactions while the projector augmented-wave (PAW) methods [11] are implanted to represent the core-electron (valence electron) interactions. The GGA + U [12] calculations are performed with the on-site Coulomb repulsion U term on the Mn 3d and the U<sub>eff</sub> (U<sub>eff</sub> = U – J) values are 4.5 eV [13], respectively. Plane-Wave basis function is set with a kinetic cut-off energy of 400 eV. The ground-state atomic geometries are optimized by relaxing the force below 0.02 eV/Å and the convergence criteria for energy is set with the value of  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  eV/cell. Only Gamma point of  $1 \times 1 \times 1$  in the Brillouin zone are considered for our calculations. Gaussian method is employed for the both electronic structures and total energy of our models and stress/force relaxations.







Figure S2. SEM images of the α-(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-550 (a), α-(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-600 (b). TEM images of the α-(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-550 (c) and α-(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-600 (d).



Figure S3. TG and DTA profiles of the precursor of  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500.



Figure S4. (a) EDS image and (b) the element concentration of the  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500.



Figure S5. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of the  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-400 (a),  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-450 (c) and  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 (e). The corresponding BJH pore size distributions of the  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-400 (b),  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-450 (d) and  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 (f).



Figure S6. HRTEM images of the  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-450.



Figure S7. Cycle performance of  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-550 and  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-600 electrodes at current density of 300 mA g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S8. Cycle performance of  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 electrode at current density of 300 mA g<sup>-1</sup> in 2 M ZnSO<sub>4</sub> electrolyte.



**Figure S9.** (a) Long-term cycling performance of Zn/Zn symmetrical cells in 2 M ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + 0.15 M MnSO<sub>4</sub> and (b) 2 M ZnSO<sub>4</sub> electrolytes.



Figure S10. Cycle performance of  $\alpha$ -Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> electrode at 200 mA g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S11. Cycle performance of  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 electrode at current density of 200 mA g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S12. Cycle performance of  $\alpha$ -Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>+ $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> mixture at current density of 500 mA g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S13. (a) EIS for  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-400,  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-450,  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 electrodes after the first discharge at 500 mA g<sup>-1</sup>. (b) The linear relationship between Z' and  $\omega$ -<sup>1/2</sup> of the  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-400,  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-450,  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 electrodes in the low frequency region.



Figure S14. GITT profile and calculated  $D_{Zn}$  of  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 electrode.



**Figure S15.** XRD patterns of α-(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 electrode at pristine state and after 300 cycles at current density of 300 mA g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S16. XRD pattern of  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 cathode in the 10th discharged state.



Figure S17. XPS spectra of survey spectrum of the  $\alpha$ -(Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub>)-500 electrode at discharge state during the first cycle of the zinc ion battery.

Table S1.  $Zn^{2+}$  storage performance of  $\alpha\text{-}(Mn_2O_3\text{-}MnO_2)\text{-}500$  compared with

Materials	High rate capacity	Cycling	Electrolyte	Ref.
		performance		
$\alpha$ -(Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -MnO <sub>2</sub> )-	180.5 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 100 mA	$170 \text{ mA } \text{g}^{-1}$ after	2 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.15 M	This
500	$g^{-1}$	2000 cycles at 500	MnSO <sub>4</sub>	work
	123.7 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 1500	$mAh g^{-1}$		
	$mAg^{-1}$			
amorphous α-MnO <sub>2</sub>	210 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 105 mA	$100 \text{ mAh } \text{g}^{-1}$ after	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[14]
	$g^{-1}$	100 cycles at 105		
	121 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 1500 mA	mA g <sup>-1</sup>		
	$g^{-1}$			
$\alpha$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> nanorod	/	$140 \mathrm{mAh}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ after 30	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[15]
		cycles at 42 mA $g^{-1}$		
Todorokite-type	90 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 90 mA $g^{-1}$	$98 \mathrm{mAh}\mathrm{g}^{-1}\mathrm{after}100$	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[16]
MnO <sub>2</sub>	$42 \text{ mAh } \text{g}^{-1} \text{ at } 900 \text{ mA } \text{g}^{-1}$	cycles at 60 mA $g^{-1}$		
$Zn_3[Fe(CN)_6]_2$	$67 \text{ mAh } \text{g}^{-1} \text{ at } 60 \text{ mA } \text{g}^{-1}$	$81 \text{ mAh g}^{-1} \text{ after } 100$	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[17]
	53 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 1500 mA	cycles at 60 mA $g^{-1}$		
	$g^{-1}$			
Cu <sub>3</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	$48 \text{ mAh g}^{-1} \text{ at } 150 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	$52 \text{ mAh g}^{-1} \text{ after } 100$	20 mM ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[18]
	43 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 600 mA $g^{-1}$	cycles at 60 mA $g^{-1}$		
Mesoporous	/	150 mAh $g^{-1}$ after	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[19]
γ-MnO <sub>2</sub>		100 cycles at 0.5 mA		
		cm <sup>-2</sup>		
Spinel-ZnMn <sub>1.86</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	/	$150 \mathrm{mAh}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ after 50	3 M Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	[20]
		cycles at 50 mA $g^{-1}$		
$Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3$	97 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 50 mA $g^{-1}$	$108 \text{ mAh } \text{g}^{-1}$ after	0.5 M Zn(CH <sub>3</sub> COO) <sub>2</sub>	[21]
	$62 \text{ mAh } \text{g}^{-1} \text{ at } 1000 \text{ mA}$	200 cycles at 100		

## previously reported materials.

	$g^{-1}$	$mA g^{-1}$		
zinc orthovanadate	201 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 200 mA	125 mA h g <sup>-1</sup> after	$1 \text{ M Zn}(\text{TFSI})_2 + 20$	[22]
	$g^{-1}$	800 cycles at 2000	M LiTFSI	
	165 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 1000 mA	$mA g^{-1}$		
	$g^{-1}$			
V <sub>10</sub> O <sub>24</sub> ·12H <sub>2</sub> O	164.5 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 200 mA	$98 \mathrm{mAh} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{after} 500$	3 M Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	[23]
	$g^{-1}$	cycles at 10 A $g^{-1}$		
	$118.5 \mathrm{mAh}\mathrm{g}^{-1}\mathrm{at}1000\mathrm{mA}$			
	$g^{-1}$			
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	100 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 1000 mA	121 mAh $g^{-1}$ after	3 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[24]
	$g^{-1}$	400 cycles at 1000		
	92 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 2000 mA	$mA g^{-1}$		
	g <sup>-1</sup>			
KMn <sub>8</sub> O <sub>16</sub>	130 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 100 mA	$77 \mathrm{mAh}~\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ after 100	$1 \text{ M ZnSO}_4 + 0.3 \text{ M}$	[25]
	g <sup>-1</sup>	cycles at 100 mA $g^{-1}$	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	
Na <sub>1.1</sub> V <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7.9</sub>	170 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 100 mA	84.8 mAh $g^{-1}$ after	1 M Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	[26]
nanoribbons/graphene	$g^{-1}$	500 cycles at 1000		
	$100 \text{ mAh } \text{g}^{-1} \text{ at } 1 \text{ A } \text{g}^{-1}$	$mA g^{-1}$		
3D MnO <sub>x</sub> @C	190 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 300 mA	$60 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ after	0.75 M Na2SO4 +	[27]
	$g^{-1}$	1000 cycles at 2000	0.25 M ZnSO4	
	75 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 1.5 A $g^{-1}$	$mA g^{-1}$		
ZnMn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	82.6 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 500 mA	111.9 mAh $g^{-1}$ after	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[28]
	$g^{-1}$	300 cycles at 0.5 A		
	42.1 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 3.2 A $g^{-1}$	g <sup>-1</sup>		
Mo <sub>6</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	$62 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ at $60 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	$55 \mathrm{mAh}\mathrm{g}^{-1}\mathrm{after}350$	1.1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[29]
	53 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 0.6 A $g^{-1}$	cycles at $0.6 \text{ A g}^{-1}$		
Al-doped	82.6 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 80 mA	$105 \mathrm{mAh}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ after 50	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[30]

VO <sub>1.52</sub> (OH) <sub>0.77</sub>	$g^{-1}$	cycles at 15 mA g <sup>-1</sup>		
	52.1 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 0.56 A $g^{-1}$			
Zn <sub>x</sub> Mo <sub>6</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	134 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 6.4 mA	88 mAh $g^{-1}$ after 20	0.1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[31]
	$g^{-1}$	cycles at 6.4 mA $g^{-1}$		
	53 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 128 mA $g^{-1}$			
Layered VS <sub>2</sub>	159.1 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 100 mA	110.9 mAh $g^{-1}$ after	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[32]
Nanosheet	$g^{-1}$	200 cycles at 500		
	$121 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ at $1000 \text{ mA}$	$mA g^{-1}$		
	$g^{-1}$			
Manganese	137 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 100 mA	82.2 mAh $g^{-1}$ after	2 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	[33]
Sesquioxide	$g^{-1}$	1000 cycles at 2000		
	38 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 2000 mA	$mA g^{-1}$		
	$g^{-1}$			
Ni <sub>x</sub> Mn <sub>3-x</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @C	139.7 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 50 mA	131 mAh $g^{-1}$ after	2 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.15 M	[34]
	$g^{-1}$	850 cycles at 400	MnSO <sub>4</sub>	
	98.5 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 1200 mA	$mA g^{-1}$		
	$g^{-1}$			

## REFERENCES

- [1] Wu S.; Wang W.; Li M.; Cao L.; Lyu F.; Yang M.; Wang Z.; Shi Y.; Nan B.; Yu S. Highly durable organic electrode for sodium-ion batteries via a stabilized α-C radical intermediate. *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 13318.
- [2] Lindström H.; Södergren S.; Solbrand A.; Rensmo H.; Hjelm J.; Hagfeldt A.; Lindquist S. Li<sup>+</sup> ion insertion in TiO<sub>2</sub> (Anatase). 2. voltammetry on nanoporous films. J. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101, 7717.
- [3] Brezesinski T.; Wang J.; Polleux J.; Dunn B.; Tolbert S. Templated nanocrystalbased porous TiO<sub>2</sub> films for next-generation electrochemical capacitors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 1802-1809.
- [4] Niu C.; Meng J.; Wang X.; Han C.; Yan M.; Zhao K.; Xu X.; Ren W.; Zhao Y.; Xu L.; Zhang Q.; Zhao D.; Mai L. General synthesis of complex nanotubes by gradient electrospinning and controlled pyrolysis. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, *6*, 1-9.
- [5] Liu H.; Li C.; Zhang H.; Fu L.; Wu Y.; Wu H. Kinetic study on LiFePO4/C nanocomposites synthesized by solid state technique. J. Power Sources, 2006, 159, 717-720.
- [6] Xie J.; Kohno K.; Matsumura T.; Imanishi N.; Hirano A.; Takeda Y.; Yamamoto O. Li-ion diffusion kinetics in LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films prepared by pulsed laser deposition. *Electrochim. Acta.* 2008, 54, 376-381.
- [7] Shaju K.; Subba Rao G.; Chowdari B. Li ion kinetic studies on spinel cathodes, Li (M<sub>1/6</sub>Mn<sub>11/6</sub>)O<sub>4</sub> (M= Mn, Co, CoAl) by GITT and EIS. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2003, 13, 106-113.
- [8] Hong J.; Selman J. Relationship between calorimetric and structural characteristics of Lithium - ion cells II. determination of Li transport properties. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2000, 147, 3190-3194.
- [9] Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmentedwave method. *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, *59*, 1758-1775.
- [10] Perdew J.; Burke K.; Ernzerhof M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, 77, 3865-3868.

- [11] Blohl P. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1994, 50, 17953–17979.
- [12] Liechtenstein A.; Anisimov V.; Zaanen J. Density-functional theory and strong interactions: orbital ordering in Mott-Hubbard insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* 1995, 52, R5467-R5470.
- [13] Li Y.; Wu M.; Ouyang C. The structural and electronic properties of spinel MnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> bulk and low-index surfaces: from first principles studies. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2015, 349, 510-515.
- [14] Xu C.; Li B.; Du H.; Kang F. Energetic zinc ion chemistry: the rechargeable zinc ion battery. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 933-935.
- [15] Lee B.; Lee H.; Kim H.; Chung K.; Cho B.; Oh S. Elucidating the intercalation mechanism of zinc ions into α-MnO<sub>2</sub> for rechargeable zinc batteries. *Chem. Commun.* 2015, 51, 9265-9268.
- [16] Lee J.; Ju J.; Cho W.; Cho B.; Oh S. Todorokite-type MnO<sub>2</sub> as a zinc-ion intercalating material. *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, 112, 138-143.
- [17] Zhang L.; Chen L.; Zhou X.; Liu Z. Towards high-voltage aqueous metal-ion batteries beyond 1.5 V: the zinc/zinc hexacyanoferrate system. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015, *5*, 1400930.
- [18] Trócoli R., Mantia F. An aqueous zinc-ion battery based on copper hexacyanoferrate. *ChemSusChem* 2015, 8, 481-485.
- [19] Alfaruqi M.; Mathew V.; Gim J.; Kim S.; Song J.; Baboo J.; Choi S.; Kim J. Electrochemically induced structural transformation in a γ-MnO<sub>2</sub> cathode of a high capacity zinc-ion battery system. *Chem. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 3609-3620.
- [20] Zhang N.; Cheng F.; Liu Y.; Zhao Q.; Lei K.; Chen C.; Liu X.; Chen J. Cationdeficient spinel ZnMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cathode in Zn(CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> electrolyte for rechargeable aqueous Zn-ion battery. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 12894-12901.
- [21] Li G.; Yang Z.; Jiang Y.; Jin C.; Huang W.; Ding X.; Huang Y. Towards polyvalent ion batteries: a zinc-ion battery based on NASICON structured Na<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. *Nano Energy* 2016, 25, 211-217.

- [22] Chao D.; Zhu C.; Song M.; Liang P.; Zhang X.; Tiep N.; Zhao H.; Wang J.; Wang R.; Zhang H.; Fan H. A high-rate and stable quasi-solid-state zinc-ion battery with novel 2D layered zinc orthovanadate array. *Adv. Mater.* 2018, *30*, 1803181.
- [23] Wei T.; Li Q.; Yang G.; Wang C. High-rate and durable aqueous zinc ion battery using dendritic V<sub>10</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·12H<sub>2</sub>O cathode material with large interlamellar spacing. *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, 287, 60-67.
- [24] Zhou J.; Shan L.; Wu Z.; Guo X.; Fang G.; Liang S. Investigation of V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> as a low-cost rechargeable aqueous zinc ion battery cathode. *Chem. Commun.* 2018, 54, 4457-4460.
- [25] Cui J.; Wu X.; Yang S.; Li C.; Tang F.; Chen J.; Chen Y.; Xiang Y.; Wu X.; He Z. Cryptomelane-type KMn<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub> as potential cathode material-for aqueous zinc ion battery. *Front. Chem.* **2018**, *6*, 352.
- [26] Cai Y.; Liu F.; Luo Z.; Fang G.; Zhou J.; Pan A.; Liang S. Pilotaxitic Na<sub>1.1</sub>V<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7.9</sub> nanoribbons/graphene as high-performance sodium ion battery and aqueous zinc ion battery cathode. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2018**, *13*, 168-174.
- [27] Ko J.; Sassin M.; Parker J.; Rolison D.; Long J. Combining battery-like and pseudocapacitive charge storage in 3D MnO<sub>x</sub>@ carbon electrode architectures for zinc-ion cells. *Sustainable Energy Fuels* 2018, 2, 626-636.
- [28] Yang S.; Zhang M.; Wu X.; Wu X.; Zeng F.; LiY.; Duan S.; Fan D.; Yang Y.; Wu X. The excellent electrochemical performances of ZnMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: the composite cathode material for potential aqueous zinc ion batteries. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 2019, 832, 69-74.
- [29] Cheng Y.; Luo L.; Zhong L.; Chen J.; Li B.; Wang W.; Mao S.; Wang C.; Sprenkle V.; Li G.; Liu J. Highly reversible zinc-ion intercalation into chevrel phase Mo6S8 nanocubes and applications for advanced zinc-ion batteries. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 2016, 8, 13673-13677.
- [30] Jo J.; Sun Y.; Myung S. Hollandite-type Al-doped VO<sub>1.52</sub>(OH)<sub>0.77</sub> as a zinc ion insertion host material. J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 8367-8375.

- [31] Chae M.; Heo J.; Lim S.; Hong S. Electrochemical zinc-ion intercalation properties and crystal structures of ZnMo<sub>6</sub>S<sub>8</sub> and Zn<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub>S<sub>8</sub> chevrel phases in aqueous electrolytes. *Inorg. Chem.* 2016, 55, 3294-3301.
- [32] He P.; Yan M.; Zhang G.; Sun R.; Chen L.; An Q.; Mai L. Layered VS<sub>2</sub> nanosheetbased aqueous Zn ion battery cathode. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2017, 7, 1601920.
- [33] Jiang B.; Xu C.; Wu C.; Dong L.; Li J.; Kang F. Manganese sesquioxide as cathode material for multivalent zinc ion battery with high capacity and long cycle life. *Electrochim. Acta* 2017, 229, 422-428.
- [34] Long J.; Gu J.; Yang Z.; Mao J.; Hao J.; Chen Z.; Guo Z. Highly porous, low bandgap Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>3-x</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (0.55≤x≤1.2) spinel nanoparticles with in situ coated carbon as advanced cathode materials for zinc-ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 2019, 7, 17854-17866.