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## 1. Experimental detail

### 1.1 Materials

Ethyl 2-cyclohexanonecarboxylate (98\%), concentrated sulfuric acid (70wt\%), 3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-1-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) (98\%), $N$-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) (98\%), 1-propanamine (99\%), isopropylamine (99\%), diethylamine(99\%), pyrrolidine(99\%), aminocyclopropan, benzyl alcohol (BnOH,99\%), 3-Chloroperoxybenzoic Acid (m-CPBA), 1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]Dec-5-Ene (TBD) (97\%), 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]-7undecene (DBU) (99\%), $S$-1-dodecyl- $S^{\prime}$-( $\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}$-dimethyl- $\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}$-acetic acid) trithiocarbonate, azodiisobutyronitrile (AIBN), $N$-isopropylacrylamide, tannous octoate $\left(\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Oct})_{2}\right)(99 \%)$, trifluoroacetic Acid (99\%) and 4-oxopiperidinium chloride (97\%) were purchased from Adamas. 3, $3^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}$-tetramethylbenzidine(TMB) ( $99 \%$ ) and trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) ( $99 \%$ ) were purchased Sigma-Aldrich, other reagents were purchased from Greagent. Unless otherwise noted, all commercial reagents and solvents were directly used.

Thiourea (TU)were prepared according to the literature. ${ }^{1}$
TMB-A solution: 13.6 g sodium acetate, 1.6 g citric acid, $0.3 \mathrm{ml} 30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide, and 500 ml distilled water.

TMB-B solution: 0.2 g disodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate, 0.95 g citric acid 0.95 g , glycerol $50 \mathrm{ml}, 0.15 \mathrm{~g}$ TMB and 500 ml distilled water

10 mM phosphate buffer (PBS) solution: 8 g sodium chloride, 0.2 g potassium chloride, 1.44 g disodium hydrogen phosphate 0.24 g mono potassium phosphate and 800 ml distilled water. Then,
pH of solution was adjusted to 7.4 with HCl solution. Finally, volume was adjusted to 1L with additional distilled water.

### 1.2 Instruments and measurements

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum were measured on a Bruker AVANCE III $400 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR) or 150 MHz ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) instrument at room temperature with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as the solvent. Molecular weight and molecular weight distribution of the polymers were estimated by an Agilent Technologies 1260 Infinity containing a refractive index detector, and the flow rate was 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$. the columns for DMF (containing 0.01 M LiBr ) as an eluent included $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MIXEDBLS, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MIXED-C, and $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MIXED-D. The temperature for DMF was $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) was carried out using a Bruker Ultraflextreme spectrometer in which 9-Nitroanthracene was used as the matrix, and NaI was used as the cationic reagent in the linear positive model. Glass transition temperatures $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ of polymer were determined using a TA Q2000 DSC with a liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ cooling unit and a heating/cooling rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Transmittance measurement was measured on a vis-UV chromatogram analyzer (Shanghai Zhetu science apparatus company limited) with a thermostat sample holder, and the heating rate was $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Sample has a $5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ or $1 \mathrm{wt} \%$ concentration in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or deionized water. Temperature-variable ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Mercury plus ( 600 MHz ) spectrometer using $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as solvent (concentration=5wt\%) with an increment of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sample of polymer solution (concentration $=5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) for FT-IR measurements was prepared by being sealed between two $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ tablets. All time-resolved FT-IR spectra at different temperatures were recorded on a Nicolet Nexus 470 spectrometer with a resolution of $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and 32 scans were available for an acceptable signal-to-noise ratio. Temperatures were manually controlled with an electronic cell holder at rates of ca. $0.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ with an increment of $0.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Raw spectra were baseline-corrected by the software Omnic, ver. 6.1a. Calorimetric measurements of polymer solution (concentration $=5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) were performed on a Mettler-Toledo differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) thermal analyzer with varying scanning rates from 0 to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 1.3 Procedure of monomer preparation

Preparation of 4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid
4-Oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid was synthesized according to the literature procedure. ${ }^{[2]}$ A solution of ethyl 4-ketocyclohexanecarboxylate ( $100 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $2 \mathrm{wt} \mathrm{w} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was heated to $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The cooled solution was extracted with ethyl ether, and the organic
layer was separated, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, concentrated. A white solid was obtained ( $5.1 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield, white solid).

4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=11.6(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Preparation of 4-oxo- N -propylcyclohexane-1-carboxamide
4-Oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid (20g) was dissolved in $400 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Then EDC and NHS were added into solution in room temperature. after 3h. 10ml 1-Propanamine was dropwise added with continuous stirring for 24 h . the mixture were filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using pure ethyl acetate $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}=4,0\right)$ as the eluant afforded 17.6 g ( $68 \%$ yield) of the white powder:
$N$-isopropyl-4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxamide ( $16.5 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ yield, white powder), $N, N$ -diethyl-4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxamide ( $16.2 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ yield, white powder), 4-(pyrrolidine-1carbonyl) cyclohexan-1-one ( $16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ yield, white powder) were synthesized using the similar steps above.

4-oxo- N -propylcyclohexane-1-carboxamide:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=5.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.39-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.22-2.01 (m, 2H), 2.05-1.91 (m, 2H), 1.62-1.41 (m, 2H), $0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.

N -isopropyl-4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxamide:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-$ $2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
$N$, $N$-diethyl-4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxamide:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=3.42-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.06-1.87 (m, 4H), 1.20-0.97 (m, 6H).

4-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl) cyclohexan-1-one:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.24$ (m, 2H), 2.14-1.80 (m, 8H).

Preparation of 7-oxo- N -propyloxepane-4-carboxamide (NNCL)
4-Oxo- N -propylcyclohexane-1-carboxamide ( 10 g ) was dropwise added into the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of 20 g m -CPBA in the ice-water bath. Then the reaction was stirred for another 48 h in room temperature. The mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was participated in 100 ml anhydrous ether three times repeatedly to collect $9.6 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%$ yield) NNCL of the white powder.
$N$-isopropyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide (NICL) ( $8.9 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ yield, white powder), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ -diethyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide (DECL) (7.4g, 81\% yield, Crystal solid), 5-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)oxepan-2-one(VPyCL) ( $7.7 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ yield, white powder) were synthesized using the similar steps above.

7-oxo- $N$-propyloxepane-4-carboxamide(NNCL):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=5.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-3.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.19-1.87 (m, 4H), 1.60-1.43 (m, 2H), $0.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=175.56\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2}\right), 173.77(\mathrm{CHCONH}), 67.02\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $45.92\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 41.15\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 32.27\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 32.18\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $25.78\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 22.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $11.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

FT-IR $\left(v, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 1733.86(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of ester bond $), 1633.10,(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of amide bond $)$.
EI-MS: m/z=199.1.

DSC: $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}=89.74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Solubility: Dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, ethyl acetate, methanol, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and et al.

Morphology: White power.
$N$-isopropyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide(NICL):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=5.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-0.99$ (d, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

[^0]FT-IR $\left(v, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 1739.63(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of ester bond $), 1632.08,(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of amide bond $)$.

ESI-MS: m/z=199.1
DSC: $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}=158.22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Solubility: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, ethyl acetate, methanol, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and et al.

Morphology: White power.
$N$, $N$-diethyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide (DECL):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=4.68-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=175.38\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2}\right), 173.10(\mathrm{CHCON}), 67.01\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $41.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \boldsymbol{C H}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 40.95\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), \quad 32.19\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 25.68\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \boldsymbol{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $15.09\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 13.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

FT-IR $\left(v, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 1719.58(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of ester bond $), 1627.38,(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of amide bond $)$.
EI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=213.1$

DSC: $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}=75.01^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Solubility: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, ethyl acetate, methanol, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and et al.
Morphology: Crystal solid.
5-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)oxepan-2-one(VPyCL):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=4.63-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.04-2.89 (m, 1H), 2.80-2.70 (m, 1H), 2.67-2.51(m, 1H), 2.20-1.76(m, 8H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=175.43\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2}\right), 172.38(\mathrm{CHCON}), 66.87\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $46.54,45.91\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), \quad 42.78\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \boldsymbol{C H}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 32.22\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 31.57\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $24.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \boldsymbol{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 24.11\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \boldsymbol{C H}_{2}\right)_{2}\right) \text {. }}\right.$

FT-IR $\left(v, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 1724.01(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of ester bond $), 1627.29(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of amide bond $)$.
EI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=211.1$

DSC: $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}=124.01^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Solubility: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, ethyl acetate, methanol, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and et al.
Morphology: White power.


Scheme S1. The synthesized route of $\gamma$-amide- $\varepsilon$-caprolactones.

Preparation of ethyl 7-oxooxepane-4-carboxylate (EMCL):
Ethyl 4-ketocyclohexanecarboxylate ( 10 g ) was dropwise added into the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of 17 g m -CPBA in the ice-water bath. Then the reaction was stirred for another 24 h in room temperature. The mixture was filtered and the solvent was washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution (three times), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution (three times) and NaCl solution (three times). The organic extraction was dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=2,0$, petroleum ether $(\mathrm{PE})$ : ethyl acetate $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)=1: 1$ ). The resulting product is transparent crystal $(6.3 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ yield, transparent crystal).

Ethyl 7-oxooxepane-4-carboxylate (EMCL):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=4.45-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.27-1.88 (m, 4H), 1.35-1.19 (t, $J=, 4 H z, 3 H)$.

Solubility: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, ethyl acetate, methanol and et al.
Morphology: Transparent crystal.


Scheme S2. The synthesized route of ethyl 7-oxooxepane-4-carboxylate (EMCL).

Preparation of tert-butyl 7-oxo-1,4-oxazepane-4-carboxylate (Boc-NIPIL):

4-Oxopiperidinium chloride $(10 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(3 \mathrm{~g})$ were added into 100 ml water. Then reaction was stirred until the solid was completely dissolved. Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( 7.2 g , dissolved in 20 ml THF) was dropwise to the above solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 8 h , the mixture was extracted using dichloromethane three times and the organic layer was separated. Organic extraction was washed with saturated NaCl solution (three times). After organic extraction was dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered organic solution was concentrated to obtain preliminary intermediate ( $12.5 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ yield). Intermediate was directly reacted without further purification.

Above intermediate ( 5 g ) was dropwise added into the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{CPBA}$ in the ice-water bath. Then the reaction was stirred for another 24 h in room temperature. The mixture was filtered and the solvent was washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution (three times), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution (three times) and NaCl solution (three times). The organic extraction was dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to obtain the crude product. Crude product was further purified by recrystallizing twice using THF and n-Hexane as solvent. The resulting product was white granular crystal ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield, white granular crystal).

Tert-butyl 7-oxo-1,4-oxazepane-4-carboxylate (Boc-NIPIL) :
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=4.36-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.48 (s, 9H).

Solubility: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, ethyl acetate, methanol and et al.
Morphology: White granular crystal.


Scheme S3. The synthesized route of ethyl 7-oxooxepane-4-carboxylate (EMCL)

### 1.4 General procedure for homo-polymerization

Organic catalyst such as TBD to catalyze the polymerization: NNCL ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 502 \mathrm{umol}$ ) was add into 10 ml flame-dried Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar and vacuumized 3 h in the $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil pot. Then benzyl alcohol solution $(13.2 \mathrm{uL}$, benzyl alcohol was dissolved in the methylbenzene with a $0.1028 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ul}$ concentration) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the flash. To this solution, TBD ( $3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 25.1 \mathrm{umol}$, relative to the monomer molar mass) was added to initiate polymerization. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature in an argon protective atmosphere. After reaching the predetermined time, about 20 ul of acetic acid was added into the flash to quenched reaction. Slight crude products were taken out from the system to dry in vacuum. Monomer conversion and the molecular weight of those rude products were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and GPC, respectively. Residual products were precipitated in anhydrous ether third times and dried in vacuum to further characterize.

Poly( $N$-isopropyl-4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxamide) (PNICL), $\operatorname{Poly}(N, \quad N$-diethyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide) (PDECL), Poly(5-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)oxepan-2-one) (VPyCL), Poly(ethyl 7-oxooxepane-4-carboxylate)(PEMCL), with TBD catalyzing, were prepared followed by the above procedure.

Organic metal catalyst $\mathrm{Sn}(\text { Oct })_{2}$ to catalyze the polymerization: NNCL ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 502 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), benzyl alcohol $(13.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, benzyl alcohol was dissolved in the methylbenzene with a $0.1028 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ul}$ concentration) was added in the a 10 ml flame-dried Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar, vacuumized 3 h in the $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil pot, Then $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Oct})_{2}$ solution $\left(9.6 \mathrm{uL}, \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Oct})_{2}\right.$ was dissolved in the methylbenzene with a $0.1028 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ul}$ concentration, relative to the monomer weight) was added into the flash. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an argon protective atmosphere. After 24h, reaction was cooled and in $0.5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and precipitated in ether twice. Slight crude products were taken out from the system to dry in vacuum. Monomer conversion and the molecular weight of those rude products were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and GPC, respectively.

Residual products were dissolved in $0.5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and precipitated in anhydrous ether third times and dried in vacuum to further characterize.

Poly( $N$-isopropyl-4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxamide) (PNICL), Poly(N, N-diethyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide) (PDECL), Poly(5-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)oxepan-2-one) (VPyCL) with $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Oct})_{2}$ catalyzing were prepared followed by the above procedure.



Scheme S4. ROP of $\gamma$-amide- and $\gamma$-ester- $\varepsilon$-caprolactones

Poly( $N$-isopropyl-4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxamide)(PNICL) (DP:40)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{DP}: 40) \delta=6.90-6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 33 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 117 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-1.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $117 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 77 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 80 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 238 \mathrm{H})$.

MALDI-tof-MS: PNICL had a precise structure with a benzyl group and an OH group at two terminals. For example, the observed value, $m / z=2123.1$, agrees with the theory value of PNICL with degree of polymerization of $10\left[108.1\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {initiator }}\right)+199.1\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {monomer }}\right) \times 10+23.0\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Na}+}\right)\right.$ $=2123.1$.

Poly( $N, N$-diethyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide) (PDECL) (DP:40)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (DP:40) $\delta=4.29-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 82 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 168 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-$ $2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 40 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 88 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 88 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 83 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 252 \mathrm{H})$.

MALDI-tof-MS: PDECL had a precise structure with a benzyl group and an OH group at two terminals. For example, the observed value, $m / z=2262.1$, agrees with the theory value of PNICL with degree of polymerization of $10\left[108.1\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {initiator }}\right)+213.1\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {monomer }}\right) \times 10+23.0\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Na}+}\right)\right.$ $=2262.1]$.

Poly(5-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)oxepan-2-one) (VPyCL) (DP:40)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=4.14-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 69 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 156 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 39 \mathrm{H})$, $2.49-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 92 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 349 \mathrm{H})$.

MALDI-tof-MS: PVPyCL had a precise structure with a benzyl group and an OH group at two terminals. For example, the observed value, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=2242.1$, agrees with the theory value of PNICL with degree of polymerization of $10\left[108.1\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {initiator }}\right)+211.1\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {monomer }}\right) \times 10+23.0\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Na}+}\right)\right.$ $=2242.1]$.

### 1.5 Kinetics of Polymerization

NICL ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 502 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was add in the a 10 ml flame-dried Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar and vacuumized 3 h in the $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil pot. Then benzyl alcohol solution $(13.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, benzyl alcohol was dissolved in the methylbenzene with a $0.1028 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ul}$ concentration) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the flash. Then TBD $(3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 25.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, relative to the monomer molar mass) was added to initiate polymerization. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir continuously at room temperature in an argon protective atmosphere. An 50 ul solution was taken out from flask at a predetermined time interval in a continuous argon condition. Sample was quenched by acetic acid for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy analysis and GPC analysis. Residual solution would continue to react in an argon protective atmosphere until next sampling.

Other TBD-catalyzed ROP kinetic studies of DECL and VPyCL were carried out in a similar manner.

### 1.6 General procedure for co-polymerization



Scheme S5. Preparation of P(VPyCLx-co-NICL $\left.{ }_{1-x}\right)_{20}$


## Scheme S6. Preparation of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-\text { co- } \text { PIL }_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$

VPyCL ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 502 \mathrm{umol}$ ) and NICL ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 502 \mathrm{umol}$ ) were add into 10 ml flame-dried Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar and vacuumized 3 h in the $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil pot. Then benzyl alcohol solution $(52.7 \mathrm{uL}$, benzyl alcohol was dissolved in the methylbenzene with a $0.1028 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ul}$ concentration) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the flash. To this solution, TBD (7.0mg, 50.3 umol , relative to the monomer molar mass) was added to initiate polymerization. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature. After reaching the predetermined time, about 40 ul of acetic acid was added into the flash to quenched reaction. Crude products were precipitated in anhydrous ether third times and dried in vacuum to further characterize.

Other copolymers including $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{\mathrm{x}}-\text { co- } \mathrm{NICL}_{1}-\mathrm{x}\right)_{20}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{90} \%-c o-\text { Boc-NIPIL }_{10}{ }^{2}\right)_{20} 0}$ were carried out in a similar manner.

### 1.7 Deprotection of co-polymer

$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{90 \%}-\text {-co-Boc-NIPIL } 10 \%\right)_{20}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ was added into 10 ml flame-dried Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar. Then dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the flash to dissolved copolymer. In addition, trifluoroacetic acid ( 1 ml ) was added to remove the protecting group of copolymer. After stirring at room temperature for 1 hour, solution was precipitated in anhydrous ether third times and dried in vacuum to further characterize.

### 1.8 Preparation of PNIPAM-NHS

Preparation of PNIPAM-NHS was divided into two steps. First step was the preparation of PNIPAM. NIPAM ( 500 mg ) was added into 10 ml flame-dried Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar. Then dry THF ( 1.0 mL ) was added into the flash to dissolved monomer. Then the RAFT chain transfer agent $S$-1-dodecyl- $S^{\prime}-\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right.$-dimethyl- $\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}$-acetic acid) trithiocarbonate (DDAT) and initiator ANIBN were added into the flash. After the mixture was degassed by three freeze-evacuate-thaw cycles, the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere.

After stirring for 24 hours, solution was precipitated in anhydrous ether third times and precipitation was dried in vacuum to further characterize.

Second step was the preparation of PNIPAM-NHS. Prepared PNIPAM (100mg) was added into 10 ml Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar. Then dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the flash to dissolved monomer. Then EDC ( 100 mg ) and NHS ( 100 mg ) was added into the flash. After the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon atmosphere for 12 h , solution was precipitated in anhydrous ether third times and precipitation was dried in vacuum without further characterization.

### 1.9 Preparation of P(VPyCL89\%-co- PIL11\%)20-NHS

Preparation of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-\mathrm{co}-\mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}-\mathrm{NHS}$ was divided into two steps. First step was the preparation of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89} \% \text {-co- Boc-NIPIL }{ }_{11 \%}\right)_{20}-\mathrm{COOH}$. Dry P(VPyCL $89 \%$-co- Boc-NIPIL $\left.{ }_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ ( 370 mg ), succinic anhydride $(10 \mathrm{mg}$ ), pyridine $(0.1 \mathrm{ml})$ and chloroform $(5 \mathrm{ml})$ were added into 10 ml Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar. After stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere for 12 h , solution was precipitated in anhydrous ether third times and was dried in vacuum. Dry precipitation $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89}{ }^{2}-\text { co- } \text { Boc-NIPIL }_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ was deprotected according to the procedure in $\mathrm{S}_{1} .7$ to gain $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89} \%-\text { co- } \mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}-\mathrm{COOH}$. The deprotected crude product was precipitated in anhydrous ether third times and precipitation was dried in vacuum without further characterization.

The preparation of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-\text { co- } \mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}-\mathrm{NHS}$ was prepared according to the prepared procedure of PNIPAM-NHS. Result product was dried in vacuum without further characterization.

### 1.10 Thermal Properties of polymers

To evaluate thermal properties of those poly( $\gamma$-amide- $\varepsilon$-caprolactone)s, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were respectively employed to measure the glass transition temperature ( $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) and decomposition temperature ( $T_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) of poly $(\gamma$-amide-$\varepsilon$-caprolactone)s (Figure S34-S35). $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ of those polymers was higher than that of PCL owning to the destroy of steric regularity from the introduction of amide groups into PCL. However, the observed $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ among different poly( $\gamma$-amide- $\varepsilon$-caprolactone)s from DSC curve exhibited difference but was not regular (Figure S34). $T_{\mathrm{d}}$ of those polymers measured ranged from $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $345^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, lower than the reported PCL value $\left(350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (Figure S35), suggesting that the introduce of amide groups in the side chain of PCL would lower thermal stabilities. It was observed that $T_{\mathrm{d}}$ of $\mathrm{PDECL}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{PVPyCL}_{10}$ at near $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was higher than that of $\mathrm{PNICL}_{10}$ at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was likely
that heat resistance of PNICL $_{10}$, relative to PDECL $_{10}$ and PVPyCL $_{10}$, showed inferior owing to the exist of the secondary amide substituent.


Figure S1. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of 4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4-oxo- $N$-propylcyclohexane-1-carboxamide


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 7-oxo- N -propyloxepane-4-carboxamide (NNCL)


Figure S4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 7-oxo- N -propyloxepane-4-carboxamide (NNCL)


Figure S5. FT-IR spectrum of 7-oxo- $N$-propyloxepane-4-carboxamide (NNCL)


Figure S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4-oxo- $N$-propylcyclohexane-1-carboxamide


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of N -isopropyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide (NICL)


Figure S8. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $N$-isopropyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide (NICL)


Figure S9. FT-IR spectrum of N -isopropyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide (NICL)


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $N$-cyclopropyl-4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxamide


Figure S11. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of $N, N$-diethyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide (DECL)


Figure S12. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide (DECL)


Figure S13. FT-IR spectrum of $N$, $N$-diethyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide (DECL)


Figure S14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl) cyclohexan-1-one


Figure S15. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of 5-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)oxepan-2-one(VPyCL)


Figure S16. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 5-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)oxepan-2-one(VPyCL)


Figure S17. FT-IR spectrum of 5-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)oxepan-2-one(VPyCL)


Figure S18. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ethyl 7-oxooxepane-4-carboxylate(EMCL)


Figure S19. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of tert-butyl 7-oxo-1,4-oxazepane-4-carboxylate(Boc-NIPIL)

## 2 Computer simulation

All the geometries were fully optimized by means of the hybrid M06-2 $x^{1}$ functional with the triple-zeta, polarized and diffuse $6-311+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. ${ }^{2}$ No symmetry or geometry constraint was imposed during the optimizations. All the optimized geometries were corroborated to be factual minima on the potential energy surface via frequency calculations at the same theoretical level. All of these calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 09 suite of programs. All calculations were performed with the SMD solvent model in dichloromethane and the enthalpies were obtained from the frequency calculations at 298.15 K and 1 atm . The independent gradient
model (IGM) ${ }^{3}$ analysis was performed with the Multiwfn ${ }^{4}$ program and visualized by using the VMD package ${ }^{5}$.

### 2.1 The enthalpies of ring opening

The enthalpies of ring opening were evaluated as $\Delta H r o\left(\Delta H r o=H_{\text {ring }}-H_{C 3 H 6 O 2}-H_{\text {chain }}\right)$, where $H_{\text {ring }}, H_{C 3 H 6 O 2}$ and $H_{\text {chain }}$ are the thermal enthalpies of optimized $\gamma$-substituted $\varepsilon$ caprolactones, methyl acetate, and methyl acetate-( $\gamma$-substituted $\varepsilon$-caprolactone) adduct. ${ }^{6}$


Scheme S7. A model of ring-opening reaction between functional $\varepsilon$-caprolactone and methyl acetate

### 2.2 The independent gradient model (IGM) analysis

The independent gradient model (IGM) was developed by Lefebvre and coworkers ${ }^{3}$ to identify and isolate the interaction between user-defined fragments. They used $\delta_{g}=|\rho I G M|-|\rho|$ to describe the interactions. In this work, we applied the $\delta$ g analysis to 5 different substituted monomers. Figure S20 shows the 3D real space RGB-colored function isosurfaces and 2D scatter plot of $\delta$ ginter $v s \operatorname{sign}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \rho$, where $\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \rho$ is the second eigenvalue of the electron-density Hessian matrix. Blue indicates strong attractive interaction, red the steric repulsion, and green the van der Waals (vdW) interaction.


Figure S20. The independent gradient model (IGM) analysis of intramolecular interactions between substituted group and ring.

Table S1 The Result of Computer Simulation

| Entry | Monomer | Attraction/a. $\mathrm{u}^{\text {a }}$ | Repellant/a. $\mathrm{u}^{a}$ | $\Delta H r o{ }^{\text {a }} / \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NNCL | 0.030 | 0.033 | -16.0 |
| 2 | NICL | 0.020 | 0.025 | -17.6 |
| 3 | DECL | 0.035 | 0.025 | -16.6 |
| 4 | VPyCL | 0.027 | 0.023 | -17.4 |
| 5 | EMCL | 0.010 | 0.023 | -14.7 |

${ }^{a}$ Attraction and repellant were calculated through independent gradient model (IGM) analysis from Figure S20. ${ }^{b} \Delta H r o$ was calculated through a model of ring opening reaction in Figure S20.

NICL


| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Type |  | X Y | Z |
| 1 | C | -0.388960 | 0.080596 | 0.574211 |
| 2 | C | -0.883294 | -1.320254 | 0.141400 |
| 3 | C | -2.389532 | -1.593912 | 0.354613 |
| 4 | C | -0.918972 | 1.219309 | -0.328490 |
| 5 | C | -3.135747 | -0.435038 | -0.233065 |
| 6 | C | -2.292608 | 1.728357 | 0.106018 |
| 7 | H | -0.691213 | 0.265278 | 1.608655 |
| 8 | H | -0.651895 | -1.459915 | -0.919683 |
| 9 | H | -2.608927 | -1.656365 | 1.421722 |
| 10 | H | -0.961072 | 0.869463 | -1.366098 |
| 11 | H | -2.809917 | 2.232611 | -0.713015 |
| 12 | H | -0.328340 | -2.080920 | 0.696529 |
| 13 | H | -2.673549 | -2.521100 | -0.141740 |
| 14 | H | -0.232346 | 2.069970 | -0.309531 |
| 15 | H | -2.209083 | 2.410165 | 0.951351 |
| 16 | O | -3.622388 | -0.380001 | -1.328736 |
| 17 | O | -3.094182 | 0.638561 | 0.603581 |
| 18 | C | 1.136817 | 0.059276 | 0.608504 |
| 19 | O | 1.751549 | 0.074024 | 1.668886 |
| 20 | N | 1.743894 | 0.001186 | -0.597254 |
| 21 | H | 1.157343 | -0.007048 | -1.419896 |
| 22 | C | 3.197271 | -0.048635 | -0.808099 |
| 23 | H | 3.315756 | -0.092459 | -1.893186 |
| 24 | C | 3.811567 | -1.311397 | -0.207695 |
| 25 | H | 3.725728 | -1.301704 | 0.879809 |
| 26 | H | 3.313882 | -2.204704 | -0.592476 |
| 27 | H | 4.870354 | -1.366890 | -0.472488 |
| 28 | C | 3.883217 | 1.218177 | -0.301321 |
| 29 | H | 4.942383 | 1.195873 | -0.569604 |
| 30 | H | 3.433561 | 2.107091 | -0.750073 |
| 31 | H | 3.801694 | 1.292798 | 0.784197 |
| Zero-point correction= |  |  | 0.269303 (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy= |  |  | 0.283870 |  |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= |  |  |  | 0.284815 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= |  |  |  | 0.2270 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= |  |  |  | -671 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= |  |  |  | -671. |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= |  |  |  | -671 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ |  |  |  |  |

## EMCL



| Center <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X Y | Z |
| 1 | C | 0.116292 | -0.671368 | 0.313675 |
| 2 | C | 0.528621 | 0.494766 | 1.243510 |
| 3 | C | 2.045269 | 0.627187 | 1.503601 |
| 4 | C | 0.534197 | -0.455195 | -1.160506 |
| 5 | C | 2.734419 | 0.582436 | 0.172878 |
| 6 | C | 1.956999 | -0.936533 | -1.439425 |
| 7 | H | 0.539571 | -1.607283 | 0.687537 |
| 8 | H | 0.159123 | 1.426902 | 0.807255 |
| 9 | H | 2.392598 | -0.209773 | 2.112014 |
| 10 | H | 0.431959 | 0.605992 | $-1.405759$ |
| 11 | H | 2.377786 | -0.450090 | -2.322105 |
| 12 | H | 0.038301 | 0.369606 | 2.212442 |
| 13 | H | 2.254241 | 1.569140 | 2.009242 |
| 14 | H | -0.136570 | -0.999911 | -1.830421 |
| 15 | H | 1.988347 | -2.016726 | -1.575870 |
| 16 | O | 3.103557 | 1.522852 | $-0.474063$ |
| 17 | O | 2.796441 | -0.696477 | $-0.292714$ |
| 18 | C | -1.384293 | -0.833230 | 0.403740 |
| 19 | O | -1.949101 | -1.785497 | 0.884641 |
| 20 | O | -2.029395 | 0.217006 | -0.109989 |
| 21 | C | -3.471186 | 0.172094 | -0.080724 |
| 22 | H | -3.795624 | 0.070195 | 0.956908 |
| 23 | H | -3.801406 | -0.709552 | -0.634041 |
| 24 | C | -3.975407 | 1.450085 | -0.705831 |
| 25 | H | -5.067291 | 1.446182 | -0.708588 |
| 26 | H | -3.634903 | 2.319799 | -0.140407 |
| 27 | H | -3.627610 | 1.540992 | $-1.736713$ |


| Zero-point correction $=$ | $0.228938($ Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.241958 |


| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.242902 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.187946 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -652.009569 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -651.996548 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -651.995604 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -652.050560 |

## DECL



| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | C | -0.431900 | -0.655405 | 0.125867 |
| 2 | C | -1.106774 | -0.729844 | -1.264586 |
| 3 | C | -2.640368 | -0.932536 | -1.216387 |
| 4 | C | -0.778702 | 0.624636 | 0.925126 |
| 5 | C | -3.197669 | 0.067234 | -0.245883 |
| 6 | C | -2.038073 | 0.435556 | 1.769067 |
| 7 | H | -0.797278 | -1.509595 | 0.702093 |
| 8 | H | -0.900823 | 0.180073 | -1.834346 |
| 9 | H | -2.869297 | -1.938065 | -0.859472 |
| 10 | H | -0.928441 | 1.472763 | 0.251350 |
| 11 | H | -2.470743 | 1.395050 | 2.060964 |
| 12 | H | -0.680949 | -1.566947 | -1.823196 |
| 13 | H | -3.069523 | -0.779798 | -2.205995 |
| 14 | H | 0.040274 | 0.893676 | 1.597602 |
| 15 | H | -1.832715 | -0.153913 | 2.662069 |
| 16 | O | -3.662914 | 1.139804 | -0.516519 |
| 17 | O | -3.008546 | -0.344732 | 1.039373 |
| 18 | C | 1.065479 | -0.968070 | 0.023678 |
| 19 | O | 1.450436 | -2.081847 | 0.379428 |
| 20 | N | 1.947438 | -0.053670 | -0.451288 |
| 21 | C | 4.011120 | -0.384021 | 0.908396 |
| 22 | H | 5.066140 | -0.660314 | 0.836528 |


| 23 | H | 3.946954 | 0.618822 | 1.336385 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 24 | H | 3.518479 | -1.085279 | 1.583496 |
| 25 | C | 1.625415 | 1.266622 | -0.988322 |
| 26 | H | 2.043320 | 1.325032 | -1.998733 |
| 27 | H | 0.550493 | 1.362075 | -1.090592 |
| 28 | C | 2.173108 | 2.408013 | -0.139806 |
| 29 | H | 1.876406 | 3.364618 | -0.576729 |
| 30 | H | 1.784967 | 2.357223 | 0.880131 |
| 31 | H | 3.264377 | 2.383006 | -0.096673 |
| 32 | C | 3.364720 | -0.432872 | -0.471288 |
| 33 | H | 3.869112 | 0.254675 | -1.153111 |
| 34 | H | 3.447249 | -1.439090 | -0.886193 |
| ------------------------------------------- |  |  |  |  |
| Zero-point correction= |  |  |  |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.298970 (Hartree/Particle) |  |  |  |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.314359 |  |  |  |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.315303 |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | 0.256692 |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -710.670308 |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -710.654919 |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -710.653975 |  |  |  |

## VPyCL



| 8 | H | -0.539833 | -1.368774 | 0.900975 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :--- |
| 9 | H | -2.717806 | 0.393405 | 2.112710 |
| 10 | H | -0.914040 | -0.737190 | -1.365484 |
| 11 | H | -2.849528 | 0.261305 | -2.329190 |
| 12 | H | -0.351136 | -0.192081 | 2.198757 |
| 13 | H | -2.580643 | -1.388017 | 2.182449 |
| 14 | H | -0.326738 | 0.813191 | -1.950749 |
| 15 | H | -2.448776 | 1.873958 | -1.692029 |
| 16 | O | -3.468639 | -1.598393 | -0.275434 |
| 17 | O | -3.225931 | 0.635288 | -0.310486 |
| 18 | C | 0.937488 | 1.126470 | 0.281133 |
| 19 | O | 1.211745 | 2.314831 | 0.455706 |
| 20 | C | 1.817637 | -1.222661 | -0.163595 |
| 21 | C | 4.118538 | -0.638210 | 0.036423 |
| 22 | C | 3.182187 | -1.538783 | -0.771935 |
| 23 | H | 0.992982 | -1.433683 | -0.841490 |
| 24 | H | 1.672699 | -1.791171 | 0.760714 |
| 25 | H | 5.086552 | -0.480892 | -0.439061 |
| 26 | H | 4.286681 | -1.073746 | 1.025343 |
| 27 | H | 3.193361 | -1.244600 | -1.825393 |
| 28 | H | 3.427391 | -2.598610 | -0.701671 |
| 29 | N | 1.920115 | 0.214793 | 0.130568 |
| 30 | C | 3.322942 | 0.660499 | 0.165358 |
| 31 | H | 3.524844 | 1.204408 | 1.089198 |
| 32 | H | 3.509339 | 1.339214 | -0.672752 |
| -------------------------------------------- |  |  |  |  |
| Zero-point correction= |  |  |  |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy= |  |  |  |  |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= |  | 0.292344 |  |  |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point | 0.293288 |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | 0.237662 |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -7 |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= |  |  |  |  |

## Ring opened NNCL



| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Type | X | Y | Z |


| 1 | C | 6.514454 | -0.426702 | -0.074363 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H | 6.734720 | -1.361509 | -0.591461 |
| 3 | H | 7.166906 | 0.365712 | -0.433297 |
| 4 | H | 6.641392 | -0.563463 | 1.000171 |
| 5 | O | 5.180156 | 0.004521 | -0.368879 |
| 6 | C | 4.198324 | -0.827699 | -0.002823 |
| 7 | O | 4.402702 | -1.880688 | 0.548032 |
| 8 | C | 2.845744 | -0.280409 | -0.374409 |
| 9 | H | 2.777981 | 0.733958 | 0.032124 |
| 10 | H | 2.825189 | -0.176709 | -1.465079 |
| 11 | C | 1.701535 | -1.157296 | 0.115500 |
| 12 | H | 1.830800 | -2.175522 | -0.259925 |
| 13 | H | 1.716353 | -1.209573 | 1.207400 |
| 14 | C | 0.336017 | -0.635952 | -0.340075 |
| 15 | H | 0.316204 | -0.599298 | -1.435527 |
| 16 | C | -0.788075 | -1.556169 | 0.149957 |
| 17 | H | -0.612695 | -2.565844 | -0.231744 |
| 18 | H | -0.765751 | -1.599594 | 1.242733 |
| 19 | C | -2.149163 | -1.076116 | -0.310577 |
| 20 | H | -2.203433 | -1.010931 | -1.401167 |
| 21 | H | -2.396756 | -0.095353 | 0.105353 |
| 22 | O | -3.120656 | -2.030110 | 0.149915 |
| 23 | C | -4.400023 | -1.772462 | -0.150438 |
| 24 | C | -5.317017 | -2.822079 | 0.400957 |
| 25 | H | -6.344092 | -2.594189 | 0.125773 |
| 26 | H | -5.030556 | -3.800397 | 0.010778 |
| 27 | H | -5.218957 | -2.853418 | 1.487863 |
| 28 | O | -4.740939 | -0.805444 | -0.786717 |
| 29 | C | 0.109102 | 0.769669 | 0.208648 |
| 30 | O | 0.147755 | 0.998722 | 1.412333 |
| 31 | N | -0.132807 | 1.730381 | -0.708647 |
| 32 | H | -0.210479 | 1.459910 | -1.678509 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |



## Ring opened NICL



| 10 | H | 2.683642 | -0.143749 | -1.414082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | C | 1.498383 | -1.241469 | 0.039580 |
| 12 | H | 1.538945 | -2.203266 | -0.477466 |
| 13 | H | 1.522305 | -1.448177 | 1.112974 |
| 14 | C | 0.174373 | -0.554976 | -0.305097 |
| 15 | H | 0.139627 | -0.368743 | -1.384563 |
| 16 | C | -1.013116 | -1.441947 | 0.086925 |
| 17 | H | -0.913938 | -2.408503 | -0.415122 |
| 18 | H | -0.988506 | -1.619834 | 1.165895 |
| 19 | C | -2.338840 | -0.815789 | -0.294173 |
| 20 | H | -2.391251 | -0.611267 | -1.367450 |
| 21 | H | -2.520820 | 0.119391 | 0.243171 |
| 22 | O | -3.370592 | -1.754123 | 0.054099 |
| 23 | C | -4.629833 | -1.386018 | -0.214675 |
| 24 | C | -5.611587 | -2.439674 | 0.200930 |
| 25 | H | -6.622319 | -2.112923 | -0.031716 |
| 26 | H | -5.388882 | -3.371632 | -0.322142 |
| 27 | H | -5.514316 | -2.625350 | 1.272249 |
| 28 | O | -4.907466 | -0.330721 | -0.729480 |
| 29 | C | 0.072839 | 0.777404 | 0.431163 |
| 30 | O | 0.185485 | 0.843000 | 1.650707 |
| 31 | N | -0.147535 | 1.861758 | -0.340376 |
| 32 | H | -0.276472 | 1.728463 | -1.334593 |
| 33 | C | -0.346855 | 3.202472 | 0.211209 |
| 34 | H | 0.389444 | 3.324346 | 1.009511 |
| 35 | C | -0.087066 | 4.225756 | -0.885135 |
| 36 | H | -0.803064 | 4.098574 | -1.703448 |
| 37 | H | -0.203500 | 5.236320 | -0.489239 |
| 38 | H | 0.923814 | 4.124810 | -1.286747 |
| 39 | C | -1.747982 | 3.340933 | 0.801159 |
| 40 | H | -1.875828 | 4.330529 | 1.247281 |
| 41 | H | -2.502170 | 3.213998 | 0.018567 |
| 42 | H | -1.914054 | 2.589655 | 1.575808 |
|  |  |  |  | -------- |
| Zero-point correction= |  |  |  | 0.360763 (Hartree/Particle) |
| Thermal correction to Energy= |  |  |  | 0.383526 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= |  |  |  | 0.384470 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}=0.304$ |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -939 |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -939 |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -939 |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= |  |  |  |  |

## Ring opened EMCL



| -------------------------------------------------------- |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |
| Number | Type | X | Y |
| ------------------------------------------------------------------ |  |  |  |


| 1 | C | 6.292044 | -0.744815 | -0.141251 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H | 6.431415 | -1.543325 | -0.870861 |
| 3 | H | 7.015326 | 0.049475 | -0.308769 |
| 4 | H | 6.398120 | -1.146367 | 0.866997 |
| 5 | O | 5.003423 | -0.139460 | -0.304849 |
| 6 | C | 3.949813 | -0.944462 | -0.128555 |
| 7 | O | 4.055223 | -2.110065 | 0.161414 |
| 8 | C | 2.652764 | -0.212343 | -0.350808 |
| 9 | H | 2.692236 | 0.716680 | 0.226761 |
| 10 | H | 2.624752 | 0.080385 | -1.406474 |
| 11 | C | 1.436737 | -1.053203 | 0.015031 |
| 12 | H | 1.455255 | -1.990782 | -0.545256 |
| 13 | H | 1.466849 | -1.309473 | 1.077643 |
| 14 | C | 0.121630 | -0.333548 | -0.291907 |
| 15 | H | 0.085351 | -0.066397 | -1.351848 |
| 16 | C | -1.081277 | -1.224161 | 0.049771 |
| 17 | H | -1.001648 | -2.151536 | -0.523535 |
| 18 | H | -1.049796 | -1.481938 | 1.112719 |
| 19 | C | -2.400434 | -0.550061 | -0.270011 |
| 20 | H | -2.464332 | -0.272631 | -1.325767 |
| 21 | H | -2.559423 | 0.350146 | 0.331082 |
| 22 | O | -3.441083 | -1.493432 | 0.032804 |
| 23 | C | -4.700067 | -1.074020 | -0.151545 |
| 24 | C | -5.692511 | -2.146139 | 0.183068 |
| 25 | H | -6.701700 | -1.748842 | 0.104328 |
| 26 | H | -5.566840 | -2.978746 | -0.512458 |
| 27 | H | -5.509791 | -2.520287 | 1.191529 |
| 28 | O | -4.968870 | 0.033364 | -0.546916 |
| 29 | C | 0.024987 | 0.946434 | 0.515796 |
| 30 | O | 0.184046 | 1.007987 | 1.711144 |
| 31 | O | -0.284034 | 2.002656 | -0.238381 |
| 32 | C | -0.461223 | 3.259318 | 0.450467 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |



## Ring opened DECL



| 15 | 0 | -0.306314 | -0.394040 | 1.34608 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 0 | 0.797360 | -1.495270 | -0.133506 |
| 17 | 0 | 0.665040 | -2.448723 | 0.38552 |
| 18 | 0 | 0.742957 | -1.688092 | -1.20899 |
| 19 | 0 | 2.157263 | -0.923812 | 0.20977 |
| 20 | 0 | 2.242637 | -0.702320 | 1.2781 |
| 21 | 0 | 2.368276 | -0.007801 | -0.3493 |
| 22 | 0 | 3.140762 | -1.911636 | -0.1411 |
| 23 | 0 | 4.419879 | -1.579248 | 0.07440 |
| 24 | 0 | 5.352148 | -2.676733 | -0.34205 |
| 25 | 0 | 6.379452 | -2.383810 | -0.1386 |
| 26 | 0 | 5.107057 | -3.590631 | 0.202232 |
| 27 | 0 | 5.223632 | $-2.876600$ | -1.40762 |
| 28 | 0 | 4.749230 | -0.518259 | 0.545688 |
| 29 | 0 | -0.192859 | 0.762908 | -0.5041 |
| 30 | 0 | -0.481502 | 0.770240 | -1.70087 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.263876 | 1.885837 | 0.10548 |
| 32 | 0 | 1.585024 | 3.102188 | -1.628600 |
| 33 | 0 | 1.603277 | 4.020001 | -2.2219 |
| 34 | 0 | 2.508075 | 3.051473 | -1.0476 |
| 35 | 0 | 1.553282 | 2.252862 | -2.3127 |
| 36 | 0 | 0.359211 | 3.095175 | -0.72134 |
| 37 | 0 | 0.378512 | 3.948964 | -0.04106 |
| 38 | 0 | -0.547425 | 3.166178 | -1.3244 |
| 39 | 0 | 0.652584 | 2.037018 | 1.50992 |
| 40 | 0 | -0.012712 | 2.778649 | 1.96510 |
| 41 | 0 | 0.496011 | 1.100019 | 2.034542 |
| 42 | 0 | 2.102972 | 2.474982 | 1.68598 |
| 43 | 0 | 2.283142 | 3.459945 | 1.24996 |
| 44 | 0 | 2.330839 | 2.536944 | 2.752892 |
| 45 | 0 | 2.791388 | 1.760701 | 1.228340 |
| Zero-point correction= |  |  |  | 0.390001 (Hartree/Particle) |
| Thermal correction to Energy= |  |  |  | 0.413715 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= |  |  |  | 0.41465 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= |  |  |  |  |

## Ring opened VPyCL



| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Type | X | Y | Z |


| 1 | C | 6.296222 | -1.055846 | -0.396577 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H | 6.337697 | -1.941847 | -1.031056 |
| 3 | H | 7.003742 | -0.307927 | -0.746540 |
| 4 | H | 6.517354 | -1.332802 | 0.634976 |
| 5 | O | 5.004936 | -0.440554 | -0.482547 |
| 6 | C | 3.965297 | -1.202557 | -0.121825 |
| 7 | O | 4.087205 | -2.341259 | 0.255240 |
| 8 | C | 2.663684 | -0.456978 | -0.250397 |
| 9 | H | 2.715373 | 0.400151 | 0.430666 |
| 10 | H | 2.615736 | -0.045355 | -1.263824 |
| 11 | C | 1.453939 | -1.331961 | 0.048804 |
| 12 | H | 1.468093 | -2.210900 | -0.601198 |
| 13 | H | 1.498787 | -1.688757 | 1.080475 |
| 14 | C | 0.134023 | -0.585470 | -0.167886 |
| 15 | H | 0.097387 | -0.243598 | -1.205425 |
| 16 | C | -1.058523 | -1.512876 | 0.097615 |
| 17 | H | -0.946375 | -2.419454 | -0.503802 |
| 18 | H | -1.055776 | -1.806547 | 1.151374 |
| 19 | C | -2.377477 | -0.850968 | -0.243851 |
| 20 | H | -2.431144 | -0.592417 | -1.304787 |
| 21 | H | -2.544927 | 0.058426 | 0.342009 |
| 22 | O | -3.421659 | -1.791834 | 0.058267 |
| 23 | C | -4.673878 | -1.403535 | -0.213962 |
| 24 | C | -5.669816 | -2.463778 | 0.147402 |
| 25 | H | -6.673344 | -2.124594 | -0.098158 |
| 26 | H | -5.439498 | -3.381490 | -0.396982 |
| 27 | H | -5.598126 | -2.680478 | 1.214953 |
| 28 | O | -4.936260 | -0.326792 | -0.691340 |
| 29 | C | 0.069277 | 0.606841 | 0.785452 |
| 30 | O | 0.231161 | 0.437704 | 1.994215 |
| 31 | C | -0.461242 | 2.181563 | -1.126027 |
| 32 | C | -0.212409 | 4.178465 | 0.173545 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |



Methyl acetate


| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.090311 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.096468 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.097412 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.059269 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -268.271015 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -268.264858 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -268.263913 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -268.302057 |

Table S2. Results for ROP of $\gamma$-amide- $\varepsilon$-caprolactones ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Monomer | Catalyst ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $[\mathrm{M}]_{0} /[\mathrm{I}]_{0}$ | Time/h | Reaction temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Conversion/ $\%^{c}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{Da}^{c}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{Da}^{d}$ | $\square^{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NNCL | $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Oct})_{2}$ | 40 | 24 | 130 | 30 | 2500 | 3400 | -e |
| 2 | NNCL | DBU/TU | 40 | 48 | 25 | 9 | 700 | - | - |
| 3 | NICL | $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Oct})_{2}$ | 40 | 24 | 165 | 49 | 5200 | 2400 | -e |
| 4 | NICL | DBU/TU | 40 | 48 | 25 | 17 | 1500 | - | - |
| 5 | DECL | $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Oct})_{2}$ | 40 | 24 | 130 | 20 | 1800 | 2200 | -e |
| 6 | DECL | DBU/TU | 40 | 48 | 25 | 15 | 1400 | - | - |
| 7 | VPyCL | $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Oct})_{2}$ | 40 | 24 | 130 | 51 | 4400 | 1800 | -e |
| 8 | VPyCL | DBU/TU | 40 | 48 | 25 | 16 | 1500 | - | - |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions used benzyl alcohol $(\mathrm{BnOH})$ as the initiator. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Oct})_{2}: 5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (relative to the monomer weight), $\mathrm{DBU} / \mathrm{TU}: 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (relative to the monomer mole mass). ${ }^{c}$ Conversion and number average molecular weight ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ )were calculated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and dispersity ( $($ ) were measured by GPC in DMF using polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) standards as calibration. ${ }^{e}$ The GPC curves showed multiple peaks.


Figure S21. GPC curve of crude PNNCL $_{40}$ after reaction for 3.5 h .


Figure S22. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of poly( $N$-isopropyl-4-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxamide) ${ }_{40}$ (PNICL40)


Figure S23. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\operatorname{poly}(N, N \text {-diethyl-7-oxooxepane-4-carboxamide })_{40}$ (PDECL) 40


Figure S24. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of poly(5-(pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)oxepan-2-one) ${ }_{40}(\mathrm{PVPyCL}) 40$


Figure S25. GPC curve of crude PNICL40. $M_{\mathrm{n}}=8216, ~ D=1.14528$


Figure S26. GPC curve of crude PDECL40. $M_{\mathrm{n}}=8128, ~ Đ=1.1517$


Figure S27. GPC curve of crude PVPyCL40. $M_{\mathrm{n}}=8159, D=1.1477$


Figure S28. MALDI-tof-MS of PNICL $_{10}$ initiated by BnOH.


Figure S29. MALDI-tof-MS of $\mathrm{PDECL}_{10}$ initiated by BnOH .


Figure S30. MALDI-tof-MS of PVPyCL ${ }_{10}$ initiated by BnOH


Figure S31. GPC curve of (A) PNICL40, (B) PDECL 40 and (C) PVPyCL 40 at different monomer conversion


Figure S32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PNIPAM $_{40}-\mathrm{COOH}$


Figure S33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-\text { co- } \mathrm{PIL}_{89 \%}\right)_{20}-\mathrm{COOH}$


Figure S34. DSC of PNICL, PDECL and PVPyCL


Figure S35. TGA of PNICL $_{10}$, PDECL $_{10}$, PVPyCL $_{10}$


Figure S36. Variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of (A) $\mathrm{PNICL}_{10}$ and (B) $\mathrm{PDECL}_{10}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, concentration $=5 \mathrm{wt} \%$


Figure S37. DSC of PNICL $_{10}$, PDECL $_{10}$, PVPyCL $_{10}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, concentration $=5 \mathrm{wt} \%$


Figure S38. Quantitative analysis of the wavenumber changes of the $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ of $(\mathrm{A})$ ester bond and (B) amide bond, with temperature, $\mathrm{PVPyCL}_{10}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, concentration $=5 \mathrm{wt} \%$



Figure S39. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{50 \%} \text {-co-NICL }{ }_{50 \%}\right)_{20}$


Figure S40. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{P}\left(\text { VPyCL }_{90 \%} \text {-co-Boc-NIPIL } 10 \%\right)_{20}$ and deprotection product


Figure S41. Temperature-dependent transmittance of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{\mathrm{x}}-c o-\mathrm{NICL}_{1-\mathrm{x}}\right)_{20}$ Solutions

## 3 The degradation experiments of poly( $\gamma$-amide- $\varepsilon$-caprolactone)s

The degradation experiment was carried out under Lipase immobilized from Candida Antarctica in phosphate buffer solution (PBS, $\mathrm{pH}=7.4$ ) according to literature. ${ }^{7}$ In brief, 500 mg $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89}{ }^{-} \text {-co-} \text { PIL }_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ was dispersed in 50 ml PBS solution.100 mg native enzyme was added into solution and stirred at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In order to ensure high activities of the enzyme throughout the experiment, native enzyme was replaced in 24 h .5 ml solution was taken at pre-determined time to measure LCST of degraded polymer solution. Residual polymer solution was lyophilized to measure GPC curve in DMF.


Figure S42. (A) Transmittance versus temperature of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-\text { co- } \mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ under enzymatic conditions in different time. (B) LCST versus time of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89} \% \text {-co- } \mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ under enzymatic conditions


Figure S43. GPC curve of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-\text { co- } \mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ under enzymatic conditions for 0 day and 8 days

## 4 Cell viability experiments of poly( $\gamma$-amide- $\varepsilon$-caprolactone)s

Cell viability of $\mathrm{PVPyCL}_{20}, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-\text {-co- } \mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ as well as its degraded product (degraded product was gained after $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-c o-\mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ degraded in enzyme solution for 8 days) was measured through MTT assay toward bone mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). Before
cell viability experiments, all samples were dissolved in PBS solution with $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ concentration and sterilized by ultraviolet light for 30 min . Then MSCs were left to adhere and proliferate on the wells for 24 h , then incubated with a concentration range (from 0 to $1000 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) of PVPyCL ${ }_{20}$, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89} \% \text {-co-} \text { PIL }_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ as well as its degraded product. After incubation for 24 h or 96 h , MTT solution was added to culture for an additional 4 h , and the average optical density (OD) were measured by Universal Microplate Spectrophotometer. Cell viability was calculated according to the following formula: Cell viability $(\%)=($ ODsample $) /($ ODcontrol $) \times 100 \%$. Experiments were performed in triplicate.


Figure S44. Cell viability versus polymer concentration of $\mathrm{PVPyCL}_{20}$ (black line) and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-\text { co- } \mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ (red line) and degraded product of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{VPyCL}_{89 \%}-\text { co- } \mathrm{PIL}_{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ (green line) and incubation for $24 \mathrm{~h}, n=3$

## 5 Poly( $\gamma$-amide- $\varepsilon$-caprolactone)s/enzyme bioconjugation

### 5.1 Preparation of modified HRP

10mg HRP was mixed with 100 mg P(VPyCL ${ }_{89} \%-$ co- PIL $\left._{11 \%}\right)_{20}-$ NHS and 100 mg PNIPAMNHS (P(VPyCL ${ }_{89 \%}-c o-$ PIL $\left._{11 \%}\right)_{20}-$ NHS and PNIPAM-NHS were prepared in section $\mathrm{S}_{1} .8$ and S1.9 ). 2 ml PBS solution ( $\mathrm{PH}=7.4,10 \mathrm{mM}$ ) was add into the flash to dissolve solid. After stirring at room temperature for 24 h , reaction was terminated through dialysis $(M c o=14000)$ in deionized water for 24 h . Purified product was collected and stored in $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ refrigerator (HRP modified with P(VPyCL ${ }_{89}$-co- PIL $\left._{11 \%}\right)_{20}$ was denoted as HRP-1, HRP modified with PNIPAM was denoted as HRP-2).

### 5.2 Measurement of modified degree

Modified degree of HRP was determined through trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) experiment. Before measuring modified degree, an important reminder was that concentration of purified product was prior to be determined through with UV-vis spectroscopy at 405 nm by using a standard curve method. Concentration of modified HRP was respectively $570 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $470 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$. native HRP, modified HRP-1 and HRP-2 were sufficiently mixed with 20ul TNBS solution. After reacting at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , absorbance of solution was measured through with UV -vis spectroscopy at 420nm. Absorbance of native HRP, modified HRP-1 and HRP-2 was denoted as $\Delta A_{H R P}, \Delta A_{H R P-1}, \Delta A_{H R P-2}$. Modified degree ( $\mathrm{E} \%$ ) of HRP was determined according to the formula: $E \%=\left[\left(\Delta A_{H R P} / C_{H R P}-\Delta A_{\text {sample }} / C_{\text {sample }}\right) /\left(\Delta A_{H R P} / C_{H R P}\right)\right] * 100 \%$.

### 5.3 Measurement of enzyme activity

Enzyme activity was determined through 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) liquid substrate system. In detail, native HRP, modified HRP-1 and HRP-2 were placed at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath at different time. Then, these HRP samples were taken out to be mixed with TMB solution (TMB solution was prepared through equal mixture of TMB-A solution and TMB-B solution). After reacting at room temperature for $30 \mathrm{~min}, 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution was added into the system to terminate reaction. Absorbance of solution was measured through with UV-vis spectroscopy at 450 nm . Experiments were performed in triplicate.

## References

1. Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2008, 120, 215-241.
2. Krishnan, R.; Binkley, J. S.; Seeger, R.; Pople, J. A. Self-consistent molecular orbital methods. XX. A basis set for correlated wave functions. J. Chem. Phy. 1980, 72, 650-654.
3. Lefebvre, C.; Rubez, G.; Khartabil, H.; Boisson, J.-C.; Contreras-Garcia, J.; Henon, E. Accurately extracting the signature of intermolecular interactions present in the NCI plot of the reduced density gradient versus electron density. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 17928-17936.
4. Lu, T.; Chen, F. Multiwfn: A multifunctional wavefunction analyzer. J.Comp. Chem. 2012, 33, 580-592.
5. Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J. ,Mol. graph. 1996, 14, 33-38.
6. Blake, T. R.; Waymouth, R. M. Organocatalytic Ring-Opening Polymerization of Morpholinones: New Strategies to Functionalized Polyesters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9252-9255.
7. Guillaume G. H.; Maria C. A.; Marvin L.; Jonathan T. H.; Rachel K. O.; Andrew P. D. Poly(oligo(ethylene glycol) vinyl acetate)s: A Versatile Class of Thermoresponsive and Biocompatible Polymers. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1-6.

[^0]:    ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=175.61\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2}\right), 172.88(\mathrm{CHCONH}), 67.04\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $46.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \boldsymbol{C H}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 41.23\left(\mathrm{NH} \boldsymbol{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), \quad 32.28\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 32.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $25.72\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 22.58\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$.

