Supporting Information

Evaluation of Free Energy Calculations for the Prioritization of Macrocycle Synthesis

Janet L. Paulsen^l, Haoyu S. Yu^l, Dan Sindhikara^l, Lingle Wang^l, Todd Appleby[§], Armando G.

Villaseñor[§], Uli Schmitz[§], Devleena Shivakumar[§]

^JSchrödinger, Inc., 120 West 45th Street, 17th Floor, New York, New York 10036, United States

[§]Gilead, 333 Lakeside Drive Foster City, California 94404, United States

Corresponding authors

Janet Paulsen

E-mail: janet.paulsen@schrodinger.com

Devleena Shivakumar

E-mail: devleena.shivakumar@gilead.com

Figure S1. Reference compounds used for each FEP map are shown in a solid blue box with white text. Predicted compounds shown in white boxes.



* reference compounds can be found in ref. 13

Figure S2. Key free energy perturbation (FEP) predictions shown for each FEP map. Experimental and predicted free energies (ΔG) are represented as experimental/predicted values in kcal/mol. Values displayed over the arrows are the experimental and predicted $\Delta\Delta G$ respectively. An orange outlined box indicated a co-crystalized protein:ligand complex was provided.





Essential Core for Potency: Map 1

Essential Core for Potency: Map 1a





Rigidification: Map 9





Increased Permeability: Map 4



Increased Permeability: Map 4a





Increased Permeability: Map 8





PK Profile Improvements: Map 6



* R/S corrected value

Figure S3. Example perturbation map showing the full closed cycles that are necessary for predicting the relative binding free energies. Since free energy is a state function we using closed cycles to predict the relative free energies and estimate the error (hysteresis). For illustration purposes, the graph shown below represents the full closed cycles that were calculated for the prediction shown in Figure S2 Rigidification: Map 10. FEP+ maps for all predictions may be downloaded here: <u>schrodinger.com/paulsen-fep-maps</u>



Figure S4. Specific dihedral angles scaled for compound 19 and 20 shown in red.

