# **Supporting Information**

## Why Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> is a More Energy Efficient Membrane for Water Desalination?

Zhonglin Cao, Vincent Liu, Amir Barati Farimani\*

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Chemical Engineering and Biomedical Engineering

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 15213

# **Table of contents**

- 1. Number of filtered water molecules with respect to time
- 2. Lennard-Jones potential table
- 3. Pore areas and measurement
- 4. Exact number of atoms in each simulation and box size
- 5. Results of 50ns MoS<sub>2</sub> simulations under lower pressures
- 6. Mean squared displacement and diffusion coefficient of water near membranes

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author, e-mail: <u>barati@cmu.edu</u>, web: <u>https://www.baratilab.com</u>

Supporting Figure 1 – Number of filtered water molecules with respect to simulation time for different material membranes | Every 5 picoseconds, the number of filtered water molecules is recorded. The slope of the filtered water vs. time is the water flux of desalination. Each graph shows the result for a single run of simulation. In this work, water flux value is calculated by taking the average of four runs with different initialization (average of the slope of four runs).



Supporting Figure 1. Number of filtered water molecules as a function of simulation time for a | Graphene membrane  $\mathbf{b}$ | MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane  $\mathbf{c}$ | Phosphorene membrane  $\mathbf{d}$ | MoSe<sub>2</sub> membrane  $\mathbf{e}$ | BN membrane

Interaction	σ(Å)	ε (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )
C-C <sup>1</sup>	3.3900	0.0692
Mo-Mo <sup>2</sup>	4.2000	0.0135
<b>S-S</b> <sup>2</sup>	3.1300	0.4612
Se-Se <sup>4</sup>	3.2500	0.6572
P-P <sup>5</sup>	3.4380	0.36759
B-B <sup>6</sup>	3.453	0.09491
N-N <sup>6</sup>	3.365	0.14484
O-O <sup>1</sup>	0.1554	3.1656
H-H <sup>1</sup>	0.0000	0.0000
K-K <sup>3</sup>	0.4297	2.8384
Cl-Cl <sup>3</sup>	0.0128	4.8305
Rest	Obtained by Lorentz-Berthelot rules	

#### Supporting Table 1 | The 12-6 Lennard-Jones parameters used in simulations

### Supporting Table 2 | Pore size of different materials and pore size calculation <sup>7</sup>

Atoms at the edge of the pore are represented by circles. The radius of each circle is determined by the Van der Waals radius of the corresponding type of atom. The pore size is the empty area surrounded by the sketch of atom circles. Unnecessary edges are trimmed before the surrounded area is extruded. Once the extruded surface is created, final area of the nanopore can be calculated with the measuring tool of Solidworks.

Material	Pore size (Å <sup>2</sup> )
Graphene	49.7
MoS <sub>2</sub>	49.6
Phosphorene	50.6
BN	49.6
$MoSe_2$	49.5

### Supporting Table 3 | Exact simulation box size and number of atoms in system

The exact simulation system size varies by very small amount depending on the membrane material to accommodate the periodic boundary conditions. The exact number of atoms in system varies due to different number of atoms contained in membrane of each material.

Material	Exact box size, x, y and z (nm)	Number of atoms
Graphene	4.1753 × 4.254 × 13	15208
MoS <sub>2</sub>	$4.1 \times 4.2 \times 13$	15171
Phosphorene	4.3077 × 4.3762 × 13	15130
BN	4.2711 × 4.3516 × 13	15282
MoSe <sub>2</sub>	4.1 × 4.2 × 13	15171

**Supporting Figure 2 – 50ns MoS<sub>2</sub> simulations with lower pressure** | In practical water desalination applications, the external pressure applied should be lower than pressures simulated (50 MPa – 300 MPa), and the running time should be longer than simulated (10 ns). Therefore, in order to conduct a more thorough study on cases with lower pressure and longer running time, simulations were run with 20 MPa – 50 MPa external pressure for 50 ns to show the performance of MoS<sub>2</sub> in terms of water flux as well as ion rejection. At a longer time span as 50 ns, the flux we calculated was the same as calculated for 10 ns simulations and the ion rejection rates still maintained over 97%.



**Supporting Figure 2a** Water flux of  $MoS_2$  under pressures ranging from 20 MPa – 50 MPa in 50 ns simulations **b** Number of filtered water molecules with respect to time under pressures ranging from 20 MPa – 50 MPa in 50 ns  $MoS_2$  simulations **c** Ion rejection rate of  $MoS_2$  under pressures ranging from 20 MPa – 50 MPa in 50 ns simulations

Supporting Figure 3 – Mean squared displacement and diffusion coefficient of water near membranes | The z-direction MSD data were collected for water molecules in a bin which had the size of  $4 \text{ nm} \times 4 \text{ nm} \times 0.2 \text{ nm}$  and was positioned at 0.2 nm away from membrane in the saline water section. The diffusion coefficient of water in z-direction (denoted as Dz) can be calculated from MSD of center of mass of water molecules using the equation:<sup>1</sup>

$$\langle |(r(t) - r(0))|^2 \rangle = 2D_z t$$

where Dz is the diffusion coefficient; r(t) is the direction position of water molecules; and t is the time. Dz for MoS<sub>2</sub>, Phosphorene, Graphene and MoSe<sub>2</sub> were calculated to be  $2.51 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s,  $2.12 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s,  $1.78 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s,  $2.35 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s, respectively. Higher diffusion coefficient of water near MoS<sub>2</sub> compared with other membrane materials implies that water molecules near MoS<sub>2</sub> have less interfacial friction to move in *z*-direction.



Supporting Figure 3 Water mean squared displacement near membrane in saline water section. The data are collected when no pressure is applied.

### **Supporting References**

1. Farimani, A. B. & Aluru, N. R. Spatial diffusion of water in carbon nanotubes: from fickian to ballistic motion. J. Phys. Chem. B 115, 12145-12149 (2011).

2. Liang, T., Phillpot, S. R. & Sinnott, S. B. Parametrization of a reactive many-body potential for Mo-S systems. Phys. Rev. B 79, 245110 (2009).

3. Joung, I. S. & Cheatham, T. E. Determination of alkali and halide monovalent ion parameters for use in explicitly solvated biomolecular simulations. J. Phys. Chem. B 112, 9020-9041 (2008).

4. Norouzzadeh, Payam, and David J. Singh. "Thermal conductivity of single-layer WSe2 by a Stillinger–Weber potential." Nanotechnology 28.7 (2017): 075708.

5. Jiang, J. W., & Park, H. S. (2015). A Gaussian treatment for the friction issue of Lennard-Jones potential in layered materials: Application to friction between graphene, MoS2, and black phosphorus. Journal of Applied Physics, 117(12), 124304.

6. Gao, Haiqi, et al. "Rational design and strain engineering of nanoporous boron nitride nanosheet membranes for water desalination." The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 121.40 (2017): 22105-22113.

7. Cao, Z., Liu, V., & Barati Farimani, A. (2019). Water Desalination with Two-Dimensional Metal–Organic Framework Membranes. Nano letters, 19(12), 8638-8643.