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## Methods

## Glutathione Assay Procedure

Half-life of compounds with glutathione was determined by measuring the disappearance of parent over time. Compounds are incubated at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration in the presence of 4.6 mM glutathione (GSH) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Each run contains a maximum of 10 compounds including verapamil (used as an internal standard) and two in-house reference compounds. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) concentration is kept below $0.1 \%$. Data are obtained on Waters UPLC ACQ-TQD with Acquity UPLC system using a Waters XSelect HSS T3 C18, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ column. Gradient analysis was employed using decreasingly polar mixtures using $0.1 \%$ formic acid as solvent A and acetonitrile as solvent B (10-90\% MeCN gradient). The slope value, $k$, is determined by linear regression of the natural logarithm of the area ratio (parent peak area normalised to verapamil peak area) of the parent drug vs. incubation time curve. The in vitro half-life (in vitro $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ ) is determined from the slope value: in vitro $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=-(0.693 / \mathrm{k}$ ).

## Kinetics Experiment Procedures

## NMR

All NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 500 MHz instrument equipped with a 5 mm QNP cryoprobe. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ values) are given in parts per million ( ppm ) and referenced to the DMSO signal ( 2.50 ppm ). NMR experiments used standard pulse sequences available in TopSpin 4.0 (Bruker GmbH). 1H qNMR experiments were carried out using the standard $1 \mathrm{D} 1 \mathrm{H} 30^{\circ}$ pulse sequence at a constant temperature of $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and acquired as the summation of 4 transients and 1 equilibrating transient (signal unrecorded) with 30 s of relaxation time between transients. 64 k points were used for acquisition covering a spectral width of 20 ppm . Data were collected at regular intervals for a defined number of experiments. Samples were retained within the spectrometer for the duration of the experiment and maintained at a steady 20 Hz rotation throughout. The experiment was controlled using a multi-acquisition automation program, which included Fourier-transform and basic phase-correcting commands. Data were processed using standard Bruker phase-correcting algorithm. Line broadening was maintained at 0.30 Hz throughout and a baseline correction was applied to the whole spectrum. Signals were integrated between defined chemical shift values at appropriate intervals throughout the course of the experiment.

NMR experiments were carried out according to a procedure reported by Golding et al. ${ }^{1}$ Heterocycle ( $690 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a stock solution in DMSO-d6 containing $4.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of compound) was added to a DMSO-d6 solution ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) containing DABCO ( $0.14 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and DMF ( $0.33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) to afford a heterocycle concentration of 6 mM in a total volume of $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. $N$-acetylcysteine methyl ester ( $7.48 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and the NMR tube containing the reagents was quickly inverted several times to aid mixing and dissolution. The thoroughly mixed solution was inserted into the NMR machine cavity and the acquisition of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was immediately initiated. The time between the addition of the $N$-acetylcysteine methyl ester and completion of the first ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ experiment was monitored and subsequent time intervals between experiments were calculated based on the defined parameters.

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\frac{d[s m]}{d t}=k^{\prime}[s m] \frac{K[c y s t e i n e]_{0}[D A B C O]_{e}}{\left[D A B C O^{+}\right]_{e}+K[D A B C O]_{e}} \\
-\frac{d[s m]}{d t}=k_{\text {app }}[s m] \\
\ln \frac{[s m]}{[s m]_{t=0}}=-k_{\text {app }}[s m] \\
\frac{\ln 2}{k_{\text {app }}}=t_{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## LCMS

A solution of heterocycle ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.70 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMSO ( 7 mM ) and ( $\pm$ ) Verapamil $\mathrm{HCl}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.70 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in a 1:1 mixture of MeCN:water ( 7 mM ) was added to pH 8 buffer ( 1.2 mL ) in an LCMS vial. 'Capped' amino acid ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $10.00 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in water ( 0.1 M ) was subsequently added and the reaction monitored by LCMS with sampling every 15 minutes using a $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ injection. UPLC was performed on CSH C18 reverse-phase silica, using a Waters XSelect CSH

C18 column with dimensions $2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ and particle size 1.7 micron. Gradient analysis was employed using decreasingly polar mixtures using $0.1 \%$ ammonia as solvent $A$ and acetonitrile as solvent $B$ ( $3-97 \%$ MeCN gradient). The slope value, $k$, is determined by linear regression of the natural logarithm of the area ratio (parent peak area normalised to verapamil peak area) of the parent drug vs. incubation time curve. The in vitro half-life (in vitro $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ ) is determined from the slope value: in vitro $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=-(0.693 / \mathrm{k})$.

## X-ray Crystallography

Protein expression and purification was carried out as described previously ${ }^{2}$. All structures were obtained by cocrystallization after 2-hour pre-incubation with a 3-fold molar excess of inhibitor. Crystallization conditions as well as data collection and refinement statistics are available from the PDB database. X-ray diffraction data were collected at Diamond Light source beamlines 103 and I04, and Soleil beamline Proxima I. Images were processed using XDS³, Aimless ${ }^{4}$ and other programmes from the CCP4 suite ${ }^{5}$. Structures were solved by molecular replacement using Phaser and automatic refinement with Buster ${ }^{6}$ was interspersed with manual refinement using Coot ${ }^{7}$. Initial ligand topologies and restraints were generated by Grade ${ }^{8}$. PDB depositions are available for compounds 24 (6XV9 and $6 \mathrm{XVJ}), \mathbf{2 5}$ (6XVA) and 26 ( 6 XVB and 6 XVK ).


Figure S1. Crystal structure of compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ bound in c-KIT (6XVA).


Figure S2. Analysis of cysteine positioning in c-KIT and KDR. A. Overlay of Cys788 position of c-KIT in PDB crystal structures with compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ bound (6XV9). B. Overlay of Cys1024 position of KDR in PDB crystal structures with compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ bound (6XVJ).


Figure S3. Crystal structure ( 2.15 Å) of compound 26 covalently bound to c-KIT Cys788. Composite $2\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|$ electron density map is shown contoured at $1 \sigma$.

Table S1. Comparison of the in vitro pharmacokinetic properties of compounds $\mathbf{2 5}$ and 26

|  | 26 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KIT IC $_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{*}$ | 2.12 | 0.21 |


| KDR IC $5_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{* *}$ | 0.98 | 0.53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GSH $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~min})^{\text {a }}$ | 65 | 136 |
| PPB (\% free) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | <0.35 | 1.4 |
| Rat Heps ( $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}$ ) ${ }^{\text {c, }} \mathrm{d}$ | 93 | 289 |
| Hu Mics ( $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg})^{\text {c, e }}$ | 36 | 62 |
| LogD | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {f }}$ | 2 | 0.7 |

* [ATP] $300 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{* *}$ [ATP] $75 \mu \mathrm{M}$. a Determined by rate of disappearance of parent using LCMS. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Determined by rapid equilibrium dialysis at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound concentration using $100 \%$ plasma at $370^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Intrinsic clearances are obtained from the first order rate constant of loss of parent compound at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ d Compound concentration $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, hepatocyte concentration $10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. ${ }^{e}$ Compound concentration $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, microsomal protein $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ NADPH. ${ }^{\text {f Solubility }}$ from dried DMSO solution in PBS (phosphate buffer solution) pH 7.4.


## Quantum Mechanical Modelling of Adduct Formation and Transition State Barrier

Compounds 4a-14 and MeS (surrogate for GSH) were imported into Maestro in SMILES format and prepared using LigPrep for the addition of hydrogen atoms. All compounds were preliminarily geometry optimized with Jaguar at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory, subsequently compounds were overlaid using SMARTS matching to ensure modelling consistency. Compound adducts were modelled using the compound optimized geometries and the MeSwas added in the s-cis conformation following observations that this conformation was approximately $1.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower in energy than s-trans, ${ }^{9}$ which was also in agreement with this study [data not included]. Resulting energies and lowest energy molecular orbital (LUMO) of both compounds and compound adducts were calculated using Guassian16 M06-2X/6-31G(d,p)-IEF-PCM(water) level of theory QM. For a subset of compounds (4a-5a, 5e, 8, 12 and 13) transition state energy was calculated using quadratic synchronous transit method (qst3) using Guassian16, this method is significantly more computationally expensive hence, a smaller subset was used to confirm the close linear relationship with adduct formation energy. One imaginary frequency was confirmed for all transition states.

Table S2. Key Experimental and Calculated values for compounds 4-14

| Compound <br> number | GSH $\mathbf{t}_{1 / 2}(\mathbf{m i n})$ | Buffer $\mathbf{t}_{1 / 2}(\mathbf{m i n})$ | LUMO (Hartree) | Adduct <br> Formation <br> Energy <br> $(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Transition State <br> Energy Barrier <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4a | 299 | $>10000$ | -0.02663 | 1.370480748 | 4.627255053 |
| 4b | $>10000$ | $>10000$ | -0.01983 | 3.847260744 | 6.885661743 |


| 4c | >10000 | >10000 | -0.01733 | 4.223138935 | 6.947157674 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4d | 19 | >10000 | -0.02594 | -8.089224964 | 4.453434922 |
| 4e | 1268 | >10000 | -0.01887 | -2.353160625 | 7.123487844 |
| 5a | NV | 2680 | -0.03106 | -4.025473443 | 2.025600666 |
| 5b | 1.6 | 3464 | -0.02666 | -3.514680709 |  |
| 5c | 1.4 | 609 | -0.0299 | -1.65599757 |  |
| 5d | 7 | >10000 | -0.03341 | -9.70192438 |  |
| 5e | 82 | >10000 | -0.02739 | -4.523715986 | 5.526476166 |
| o-MeO-5e | 74 | >10000 | -0.03126 | -1.505395291 |  |
| m-MeO-5e | 78 | >10000 | -0.03041 | -3.448792212 |  |
| $p-\mathrm{MeO}-5 \mathrm{e}$ | 133 | >10000 | -0.02535 | -1.44327185 |  |
| m'-MeO-5e | 77 | >10000 | -0.02707 | -3.194023355 |  |
| 6 | 206 | >10000 | -0.02638 | 0.224020891 |  |
| 7 | 135 | 6153 | -0.02362 | 1.456449549 |  |
| 8 | 620 | 6183 | -0.02996 | -3.933857056 | 6.744472106 |
| 9 | 37 | >10000 | -0.02362 | -4.700673665 |  |
| 10 | 66 | 5924 | -0.01006 | 0.90298617 |  |
| 11 | 1.5 | >10000 | -0.01737 | 1.094376568 |  |
| 12 | 55 | 70 | -0.0491 | -10.3570443 | 4.149092814 |
| 13 | 79 | 3390 | -0.03235 | -3.582451736 | 6.842991097 |
| 14 | 82 | >10000 | -0.03885 | -4.425824504 |  |



Figure S4. Scheme showing the reaction modelling and resultant energies using M06-2X/6-31G(d,p)-IEF-PCM level of theory for an example un-cyclised $\beta$-substituted acetylene warhead.


Figure S5. Scheme showing the reaction modelling and resultant energies using M06-2X/6-31G(d,p)-IEF-PCM level of theory for an example cyclised $\beta$-substituted acetylene warhead.


Figure S6. Correlation between glutathione half-life and LUMO energy


Figure S7. Correlation between glutathione half-life and adduct formation energy


Figure S8. Correlation between glutathione half-life and TS energy barrier


Figure S9. Correlation between adduct formation energy and TS energy barrier for acetylenes and acrylamides


Figure S10. Correlation between glutathione half-life and adduct formation energy by warhead type


Figure S11. LUMO energy diagrams and glutathione half-lives for compound $\mathbf{5 e}$ and it's corresponding methoxy analogues

## JAK3 Protein Incubation and Intact Mass Spec

Incubation of JAK3 protein with covalent ligands
$135 \mu$ l of JAK3 protein ( $3.6 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.24 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was incubated with $0.675 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of compound 18 ( 10 mM in DMSO) for 3 hours at room temperature (final concentration $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$, containing $0.5 \%$ DMSO). The sample was then snap frozen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The above procedure was repeated using a literature JAK3 ligand N -[3-(7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)phenyl]prop-2-enamide for comparison. ${ }^{10}$ JAK3 protein was obtained from ThermoFisher (part no PV3855: 67.4 kDa , amino acids 781-1124, with GST tag) and supplied undiluted in storage buffer; 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH}$ $7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 0.5 mM EDTA, $0.02 \%$ Triton X-100, $50 \%$ glycerol.

## Sample Preparation - Desalting

Samples were thawed on ice. To remove glycerol, salts and unreacted compound, protein samples were buffer exchanged and desalted using Thermo Scientific Zeba spin columns (89882). Columns were removed from the fridge 30 minutes before use and brought to room temperature. Columns were pre-equilibrated with 10 mM Tris pH 8.0.

## Sample Preparation - Intact Mass Analysis

Prior to intact analysis, protein was reduced by the addition of DTT (dithiothreitol) with incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. $100 \mu$ l of water was added to a tube of Pierce ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DTT, No-Weigh ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Format (Thermo, A39255) to give a 0.5 M stock solution. $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of this was added to each protein sample to give a final concentration of 10 mM reducing agent.

## Intact Protein Analysis

Intact protein samples were analysed by reversed phase LC-MS. The reverse-phase separations of reduced samples were performed on a UPLC system (Waters) with an Acquity Protein BEH C4 Column, 300Å, $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ using a gradient of $5-45 \%$ B from 3-14 minutes. Mobile phase B was acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ formic acid or $0.01 \%$ trifluroacetic acid, whilst solvent A was water with $0.1 \%$ formic acid or $0.01 \%$ trifluroacetic acid. The flow rate was maintained at $0.150 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$, and column temperature was maintained at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Mass spectrometric analysis was performed on an Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) operated in intact protein mode. The source voltage was set to 3500 V for positive ionisation with a sheath gas (arb) of 35 and aux gas (arb) of 10 . The ion transfer tube temperature was set to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Orbitrap scan data was collected at a resolution of 15000 fwhm over an $m / z$ range of 1000-3600 with an RF lens value of $80 \%$. The AGC target was set of $4 \mathrm{e}^{5}$ with a maximum inject time of 200 msec . All data were acquired using Xcalibur software and visualised using BioPharma Finder (Thermo Scientific).


Figure S12. Intact mass anlysis of JAK3 protein. A) MS of native JAK3 protein. B) MS of JAK3 with literature reference compound bound. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{C}$ ) MS of JAK3 with compound 18 bound.

## Free cysteine reactivity profiling

$\mathrm{NCl}-\mathrm{H} 358$ cells were treated in biological triplicate with compound 16, 18 or vehicle for 2 hours. After washing with phosphate buffer saline, cells were lysed directly in lysis buffer with sonication. Exposed cysteine residues were labelled by incubation with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ iodoacetamide desthiobiotin (AstraZeneca) for 1 hour at room temperature. Unreacted iodoacetamide desthiobiotin was removed by acetone precipitation. Proteins were denatured in 8M urea, 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate with addition of 10 mM dithiothreitol and heating to 65 oC for 20 minutes. Newly exposed cysteines were then capped by alkylation with 50 mM iodoacetamide. Lysates were de-salted and sequencing grade trypsin added at a ratio of 1:50 (enzyme:protein) with digestion at 37oC overnight. Biotinylated peptides were enriched from the digest by incubation with streptavidin agarose resin (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 2 hours. The resin was sequentially washed with phosphate buffer saline and water before captured peptides were eluted in $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ acetonitrile, $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ trifluoracetic acid. Peptides were dried by centrifugal evaporation and then resuspended in $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ formic acid for analysis. The LC-MS/MS system consisted of an Acquity M-Class UPLC (Waters) coupled to a Fusion Tribrid Orbitrap mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). Peptide separation was achieved across a 25 cm HSS T3 column (Waters) using a 60-minute gradient ranging from 5-35\% acetonitrile, $0.1 \%$
formic acid at a flow rate of $0.3 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$. Peptide identification was obtained by searching MS2 spectra against a database of the non-redundant human proteome downloaded from UniProt (ID:00000UP5640). Search parameters allowed variable modification of peptides as follows; cysteine desthiobiotinylation +296.185 Da , cysteine carbamidomethylation +57.021 Da and methionine oxidation +15.995 Da. Peptide identification and label free quantification between compound treated and vehicle samples was completed within Proteome Discoverer version 2.2 (ThermoFisher Scientific). The figure below highlights acrylamide $\mathbf{1 6}$ but not benzoxazine $\mathbf{1 8}$ binds to RTN4 and PAF1.


RTN4, Reticulon-4 Cysteine 1101: YSNSALGHVNC[+296.185]TIK


PAF1, RNA polymerase II-associated factor 1 homolog Cysteine 36: YC [+296.185] NSLPDIPFDPK

## JAK3 Ligand Docking

Molecular modelling was utilized to identify the likely binding mode of 18 in JAK3. 18 was covalently docked into the JAK3 crystal structure 4Z16. $4 Z 16$ was prepared using the protein preparation modules in Maestro (version 2019-2) and overlaid, specifically adding and optimizing hydrogen positions. All water molecules were removed from the Xray structures for modelling purposes. The covalent bond to Cys 909 was manually formed using the builder module, followed by optimization of ligand and protein sidechains to identify a low energy binding mode. The predicted binding mode is shown to be in excellent agreement with the $4 Z 16$ structure, shown in the green box.


## c-KIT ligand docking

Molecular modelling was utilized to rationally design $\mathbf{2 6}$ to c-KIT. 6XVA was prepared using the protein preparation modules in Maestro (version 2019-2), specifically adding and optimizing hydrogen positions. All water molecules were removed from the X-ray structures for modelling purposes. The predicted distance of the alkenyl warhead of 26 to Cys788 was 3.3 Å, consistent with the potential to form a covalent bond.


Figure S13. Modelling of the $\mathbf{2 6}$ predicts potential to access Cys 788 in KIT.

## PDGFR ligand docking

Molecular modelling was utilized to identify the likely binding mode of $\mathbf{2 6}$ in an isoform of PDGFR. A binding site similarity analysis was carried out using PSILO (version 2019.01) from Chemical Computing Group which identified 5GRN* as the PDGF isoform with the most similar ATP pocket (Score 108.015 and DPI $0.098 \AA$ ). The crystal structure is of the PDGFRA isoform with WQ-C-159 bound, at $1.77 \AA$ A resolution. OAKIT and 5GRN X-ray structures were then prepared using the protein preparation modules in Maestro (version 2019-2) and overlaid, specifically adding and optimizing hydrogen positions. All water molecules were removed from the X-ray structures for modelling purposes. WQ-C-159 was deleted form the 5GRN binding site and replaced with $\mathbf{2 6}$ from OAKIT, with the covalent bond to Cys814 manually formed using the builder module. Ligand and protein side-chains were then optimized using macromodel to identify a suitable low energy binding mode.
*X.E.Yan, C.H.Yun. Crystal structure of PDGFRA in complex with WQ-C-15. To be published

## Protein Labelling Reactions

## Reaction Evaluation by LC-MS

All protein conjugation reactions were assessed using the LC-MS methods described below. As an example, the total ion chromatogram (TIC), combined ion series, and deconvoluted spectrum are shown for a C2Am-Cys95 control sample.


Figure S14. ESI-MS of C2Am-Cys95 control stored in the presence of DTT. Peak at $15726 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ represents an impurity.

## C2Am Studies

C2Am-Cys95 (obtained from collaborator) ${ }^{11}$

Sequence (inserted Cys residue in bold and underlined):

GSPGISGGGGGILDSMVEKLGKLQYSLDYDFQNNQLLVGIIQAAELPALDMGGTSDPYVKVFLLPDKKKKFETKVHRKTLNPVFNEQ FTFKVPYCEELGGKTLVMAVYDFDRFSKHDIIGEFKVPMNTVDFGHVTEEWRDLQSAEK
$M_{w}=16222.58 \mathrm{Da}$

## C2Am-Cys95 reaction with Compound 11



C2Am-Cys95


10 eq TCEP, 10\% DMF $\mathrm{NaP}_{\mathrm{i}}(50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0)$ $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}$


In a $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total reaction volume, C2Am-Cys95 ( $4.32 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at $81 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in 50 mM NaPi pH 8 buffer, $350 \mathrm{pmol}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration), 11 ( $1.75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at 2 mM in DMF, $3.50 \mathrm{nmol}, 100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration), and tris(2carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP; $2.00 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at 1.75 mM in water, $3.50 \mathrm{nmol}, 100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration) were added to DMF/NaP $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(1.75 \mu \mathrm{~L}\right.$ DMF in $25.18 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaP} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pH} 8$ buffer) and vortexed for 30 seconds. Samples of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were taken from the reaction mixture for LC-MS analysis. Approximately $83 \%$ conversion was observed after 3.5 h at 25 ${ }^{\circ}$ C with full conversion observed upon using higher equivalents of $\mathbf{1 1}$ under the same conditions (calculated mass: $16420 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; observed mass: $16420 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$.*

* $(\mathrm{M}+41)^{+}$peaks for the products were observed, where the additional 41 Da is contributed from the acetonitrile in the mobile phase.




## C2Am-Cys95 reaction with compound 5e



10 eq TCEP, 10\% DMF $\mathrm{NaP}_{\mathrm{i}}(50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0)$ $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}$

In a $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total reaction volume, C2Am-Cys95 ( $4.32 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at $81 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaP} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pH} 8$ buffer, $350 \mathrm{pmol}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration), $5 \mathbf{e}(2.58 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at 40.7 mM in DMF, $105 \mathrm{nmol}, 3 \mathrm{mM}$ final concentration), and TCEP ( $2.00 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at 1.75 mM in water, $3.50 \mathrm{nmol}, 100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration) were added to $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{NaP}_{\mathrm{i}}(0.92 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DMF in $25.18 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaPi} \mathrm{pH}$ 8 buffer) and vortexed for 30 seconds. Samples of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were taken from the reaction mixture for LC-MS analysis. Full conversion was observed after 3.5 h at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (calculated mass: $16421 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; observed mass: $16421 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ).*

* $(\mathrm{M}+41)^{+}$peaks for the products were observed, where the additional 41 Da is contributed from the acetonitrile in the mobile phase.


Figure S16. ESI-MS of the reaction of C2Am-Cys95 with $\mathbf{5 e}(300 \mathrm{eq})$ after being shaken for 3.5 h at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Stability of C2Am-5e/11 conjugates in the presence of GSH (1 mM)

A 10 mM stock solution of glutathione (GSH) was prepared in water. To $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{Am}-5 \mathrm{e} / 11$ conjugate (20 $\mu \mathrm{M}, 360 \mathrm{pmol}), 2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the GSH stock solution was added. Each mixture was vortexed and left to shake at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Time points were taken at 24 h and 1 week. Evaluation of the stability of the conjugates was accomplished by LC-MS analysis of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots from each time point. While noticeable GSH replacement occurs for both conjugates, both conjugates are still present even after a 1 week incubation period.


Figure S17. ESI-MS of C2Am-11 conjugate ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cys}$ ) after 24 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of 1 mM GSH.


Figure S18. ESI-MS of C2Am-11 conjugate ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cys}$ ) after 1 week at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of 1 mM GSH.


Figure S19. ESI-MS of C2Am-5e conjugate (O-Cys) after 24 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of 1 mM GSH.


Figure S20. ESI-MS of C2Am-5e conjugate (O-Cys) after 1 week at $3{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of 1 mM GSH.

## Stability of C2Am-5e/11 conjugates in human plasma

To $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each C2Am-5e/11 conjugate ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}, 360 \mathrm{pmol}$ ), $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of reconstituted human plasma (Sigma Aldrich) was added. Each mixture was vortexed and left to shake at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Time points were taken at 24 h and 1 week. Evaluation of the stability of the conjugates was accomplished by LC-MS analysis of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots from each time point. Both conjugates are still present even after a 1 week incubation period with no signs of significant degradation.


Figure S21. ESI-MS of C2Am-11 conjugate (N-Cys) after 24 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of human plasma.


Figure S22. ESI-MS of C2Am-11 conjugate (N-Cys) after 1 week at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of human plasma.


Figure S23. ESI-MS of C2Am-5e conjugate (O-Cys) after 24 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of human plasma.


Figure S24. ESI-MS of C2Am-5e conjugate (O-Cys) after 1 week at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of human plasma.

## LC-MS/MS analysis of C2Am-Cys95 conjugates



C2Am-Cys95

$25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}$
$5 e$ or 11, 10 to 300 equiv.


Protein solutions were subjected to enzymatic digestion in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate ( pH 8 ) with trypsin overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After digestion, the supernatant was pipetted into a sample vial and loaded onto an autosampler for automated LC-MS/MS analysis.

All LC-MS/MS experiments were performed using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 RSLC nanoUPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) system and a QExactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA). Separation of peptides was performed by reverse-phase chromatography at a flow rate of $300 \mathrm{~nL} / \mathrm{min}$ and a Thermo Scientific reverse-phase nano Easy-spray column (Thermo Scientific PepMap C18, $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size, $100 \AA ̊$ pore size, $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ i.d. $\times 50 \mathrm{~cm}$ length). Peptides were loaded onto a pre-column (Thermo Scientific PepMap 100 C18, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size, 100 A pore size, $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ i.d. $\times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ length) from the Ultimate 3000 autosampler with $0.1 \%$ formic acid for 3 minutes at a flow rate of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. After this period, the column valve was switched to allow elution of peptides from the pre-column onto the analytical column. Solvent A was water $+0.1 \%$ formic acid and solvent B was $80 \%$ acetonitrile, $20 \%$ water $+0.1 \%$ formic acid. The linear gradient employed was $2-40 \%$ B in 30 minutes.

The LC eluant was sprayed into the mass spectrometer by means of an Easy-Spray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). All $m / z$ values of eluting ions were measured in an Orbitrap mass analyzer, set at a resolution of 70000 and was scanned between $m / z$ 380-1500. Data dependent scans (Top 20) were employed to automatically isolate and generate fragment ions by higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD, NCE:25\%) in the HCD collision cell and measurement of the resulting fragment ions was performed in the Orbitrap analyser, set at a resolution of 17500. Singly charged ions and ions with unassigned charge states were excluded from being selected for MS/MS and a dynamic exclusion window of 20 seconds was employed.

Post-run, the data was processed using Protein Discoverer (version 2.1., Thermo Scientific). Briefly, all MS/MS data were converted to mgf files and the files were then submitted to the Mascot search algorithm (Matrix Science, London UK) and searched against a custom database containing the Synaptotagmin sequence and a common contaminant sequences (123 sequences; 40594 residues). Variable modifications of oxidation (M), deamidation (NQ), OCCL (C) and NCCL (C) were applied. The peptide and fragment mass tolerances were set to 100 ppm and 0.1 Da, respectively. A significance threshold value of $p<0.05$ and a peptide cut-off score of 20 were also applied.

## Anti-HER2 2Rb17c nanobody Studies

anti-HER2 2Rb17c nanobody (obtained from collaborator) ${ }^{12}$

## Sequence:

EVQLQESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFIFSNDAMTWVRQAPGKGLEWVSSINWSGT
HTNYADSVKGRFTISRDNAKRTLYLQMNSLKDEDTALYYCVTGYGVTKTPTGQGT QVTVSSHHHHHHSPSTPPTPSPSTPPC

Calculated $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=14861.46 \mathrm{Da}$


Figure S25. ESI-MS of anti-HER2 2Rb17c control in the presence of TCEP.
anti-HER2 2Rb17c reaction with 11



20 eq TCEP, 10\% DMF $\mathrm{NaP}_{\mathrm{i}}(50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0)$ $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$


In a $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total reaction volume, anti-HER2 2Rb17c ( $2.35 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at $53 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaP} ; \mathrm{pH} 8$ buffer, $125 \mathrm{pmol}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration), 11 ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at 12.6 mM in DMF, $6.25 \mathrm{nmol}, 500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration), and TCEP ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at 2.5 mM in water, $2.50 \mathrm{nmol}, 200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration) were added to $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{NaP}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}\right.$ DMF in $8.15 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaP} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ pH 8 buffer) and vortexed for 30 seconds. Samples of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were taken from the reaction mixture for LC-MS analysis. Full conversion was observed after 3 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (calculated mass: $15059 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; observed mass: $15064 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ).* * $(\mathrm{M}+41)^{+}$peaks for the products were observed, where the additional 41 Da is contributed from the acetonitrile in the mobile phase.


Figure S26. ESI-MS of the reaction of anti-HER2 2Rb17c with 11 ( 50 eq ) after being shaken for 3 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$.
anti-HER2 2b17c reaction with 5 e



40 eq TCEP, 10\% DMF $\mathrm{NaP}_{\mathrm{i}}(50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0)$ $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$


In a $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total reaction volume, anti-HER2 $2 \mathrm{Rb} 17 \mathrm{c}(2.35 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at $53 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaP} ; \mathrm{pH} 8$ buffer, $125 \mathrm{pmol}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration), 5e ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at 125 mM in DMF, $125 \mathrm{nmol}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ final concentration), and TCEP ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at 2.5 mM in water, $2.50 \mathrm{nmol}, 400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration) were added to $\mathrm{NaP}_{\mathrm{i}}(7.15 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaP} i \mathrm{pH} 8$ buffer $)$ and vortexed for 30 seconds. Samples of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were taken from the reaction mixture for LC-MS analysis. Approximately $40 \%$ conversion was observed after 3 h at 37 으 (calculated mass: $15060 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; observed mass: $15061 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ).*

* $(\mathrm{M}+41)^{+}$peaks for the products were observed, where the additional 41 Da is contributed from the acetonitrile in the mobile phase.


Figure S27. ESI-MS of the reaction of anti-HER2 2Rb17c with $\mathbf{5 e}(1000 \mathrm{eq})$ after being shaken for 3 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$.

Table S3. Conditions and conversions for C2Am-Cys95 conjugation with 5e ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 5e concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | C2Am-Cys95 concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Temperature <br> $(\mathrm{oC})$ | DMF <br> $(\%)$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Conversion (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $821(82$ eq) | 10 | 25 | 10 | 3 | 42 |
| $3000(300$ eq) | 10 | 25 | 10 | 3.5 | $>95^{\text {b }}$ |
| $8210(821$ eq) | 10 | 25 | 10 | 3 | $>95^{b}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All reactions performed in 50 mM NaP i pH 8.0
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No starting material detected

Table S4. Conditions and conversions for C2Am-Cys95 conjugation with 11 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 11 concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | C2Am-Cys95 concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Temperature <br> $(\circ \mathrm{C})$ | DMF <br> $(\%)$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Conversion (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10(1$ eq) | 10 | 25 | 10 | 3 | 70 |
| $100(10$ eq) | 10 | 25 | 10 | 3.5 | 88 |
| $821(82$ eq) | 10 | 25 | 10 | 1 | $>95^{\mathrm{b}}$ |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions performed in $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaP}{ }_{i} \mathrm{pH} 8.0$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No starting material detected

Table S5. Conditions and conversions for anti-HER2 2Rb17c conjugation with 5e ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 5e concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 2Rb17c concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Temperature <br> $(\mathrm{oC})$ | DMF <br> $(\%)$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Conversion (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$|$| $1000(100$ eq) | 10 | 37 | 10 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10,000(1000$ eq) | 10 | 37 | 10 | 5 |
| $20,000(2000$ eq) | 10 | no signal detected |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions performed in $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaP}{ }_{i} \mathrm{pH} 8.0$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No protein detected most likely due to precipitation from high small molecule concentration

Table S6. Conditions and conversions for anti-HER2 2Rb17c conjugation with 11 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 11 <br> concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 2Rb17c concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Temperature <br> $(\mathrm{oC})$ | DMF <br> $(\%)$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Conversion (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $100(10$ eq) | 10 | 37 | 10 | 3 | 0 |
| $500(50$ eq) | 10 | 37 | 10 | 3 | $>95^{\text {b }}$ |
| $1000(100$ eq) | 10 | 37 | 10 | 3 | $>95^{\text {b }}$ |
| $2500(250$ eq) | 10 | 37 | 10 | 5 | $>95^{\text {b }}$ |
| $5000(500$ eq) | 10 | 5 | $>95^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All reactions performed in 50 mM NaP i pH 8.0
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No starting material detected

## Kinase Panel Data

Biochemical potency data for all compounds were obtained at Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Selectivity of inhibitors $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ in a panel of either 140 or 403 human kinases run in the SelectScreen kinase panel at ThermoFisher Scientific at a single concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Data for compound 16

| Kinase Name | Activity flag | Mean Inhibition (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAK1 | Active | 97.3194 |
| ABL1 | Not Active | 55.4901 |
| ABL2 | Not Active | 61.8084 |
| ACVR1B | Not Active | -0.4109 |
| AKT1 | Not Active | 2.8379 |
| AKT2 | Not Active | 14.8043 |
| ALK | Not Active | 24.2618 |
| AMPK A2/B1/G1 | Not Active | 21.8734 |
| ARK5 | Active | 98.672 |
| AurKB | Not Active | 69.0011 |
| AurKC | Not Active | 43.6611 |
| AXL | Not Active | 32.944 |
| BLK | Not Active | 65.2312 |
| BMX | Active | 92.5245 |
| bRAF | Not Active | -2.4128 |
| BTK | Active | 79.4305 |
| CaMK1 | Not Active | -4.9366 |
| CAMK2b | Not Active | 0.7558 |
| CDK1:CB | Not Active | 31.3551 |
| CDK2:CA | Not Active | 48.9856 |
| CDK2:CE | Not Active | 43.174 |
| CDK7:Сyc H:MNAT1 | Not Active | 1.476 |
| CDK8:CC | Not Active | 16.5822 |
| CDK9:CT | Active | 84.1833 |
| CHK1 | Not Active | 15.2969 |


| cKIT | Not Active | 12.4703 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLK1 | Not Active | 13.6522 |
| CLK2 | Not Active | 72.7672 |
| CLK3 | Not Active | 9.4084 |
| CLK4 | Active | 79.7832 |
| cRAF | Not Active | 19.2453 |
| CSF1R | Not Active | 28.589 |
| CSNK1g1 | Not Active | 3.9237 |
| CSNK2a2 | Not Active | 19.8022 |
| DDR2 | Not Active | 6.3062 |
| DMPK | Not Active | 52.2254 |
| DNA-PK | Not Active | 5.381 |
| DYRK2 | Active | 76.3399 |
| EEF2K | Not Active | 3.5692 |
| EGFR | Not Active | 55.8212 |
| EGFR | Active | 86.2323 |
| EPHA5 | Not Active | 15.4978 |
| EPHB1 | Not Active | 9.3567 |
| EphB4 | Not Active | 6.3578 |
| ERBB2 | Not Active | 16.5016 |
| ERBB4 | Not Active | 37.3806 |
| FER | Not Active | 34.105 |
| FES | Not Active | 12.5113 |
| FGFR1 | Not Active | 45.0486 |
| FGFR1 | Not Active | 16.7172 |
| FGFR2 | Not Active | 17.3222 |
| FGFR3 | Not Active | 22.2816 |
| FGFR4 | Not Active | -0.7964 |
| FLT1 | Not Active | 14.964 |
| FLT3 | Not Active | 72.9354 |
| FRAP1 | Not Active | -4.5895 |
| FYN | Not Active | 27.2795 |
| GSG2 | Not Active | 46.2486 |
| GSK3A | Not Active | 67.6405 |
| GSK3b | Active | 82.1826 |


| IGF1R | Not Active | -0.2694 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IKKb | Not Active | 13.2408 |
| INSR | Not Active | 1.1105 |
| INSRR | Not Active | 7.1835 |
| IRAK1 | Not Active | 73.8139 |
| IRAK4 | Not Active | 31.9774 |
| JAK1 | Not Active | 44.5239 |
| JAK2 | Active | 84.1656 |
| JAK3 | Active | 101.1731 |
| JNK1 | Not Active | 10.7556 |
| KDR | Not Active | 49.4142 |
| LCK | Not Active | 25.4086 |
| LRRK2 | Active | 91.6952 |
| LYN | Not Active | 28.4673 |
| MAP2K1 | Not Active | 5.8667 |
| MAP3K7 | Active | 81.8058 |
| MAP3K9 | Active | 97.8003 |
| MAP4K1 | Not Active | 49.9383 |
| MAP4K3 | Not Active | 31.932 |
| MAPK1 | Not Active | 0.3303 |
| MAPKAPK1A | Not Active | 37.8916 |
| MAPKAPK2 | Not Active | 3.5673 |
| MAPKAPK5 | Not Active | 4.1439 |
| MARK1 | Not Active | 23.2688 |
| MARK2 | Not Active | 20.7635 |
| MERTK | Not Active | 19.7312 |
| MINK | Active | 79.2568 |
| MKNK2 | Active | 84.2912 |
| MSK1 | Not Active | 28.4299 |
| MST1R | Not Active | 21.502 |
| MTK | Not Active | 18.9717 |
| MYLK | Not Active | 12.5146 |
| NEK2 | Not Active | -10.8264 |
| NTRK3 | Not Active | 65.4542 |
| p38a | Not Active | 2.9828 |


| P70S6K | Not Active | 7.5888 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAK1 | Not Active | 18.1142 |
| PAK2 | Not Active | 8.1648 |
| PAK4 | Not Active | 22.2334 |
| PAK5 | Not Active | 6.8192 |
| PDGFRb | Not Active | 16.8232 |
| PDPK1 | Not Active | 3.9148 |
| PEAK1 | Not Active | 20.2232 |
| PI3Kb | Not Active | 3.4828 |
| PI3Kd | Not Active | 10.4414 |
| PI3Kg | Not Active | 18.2942 |
| PI4KB | Not Active | 69.9506 |
| PIK3C2A | Not Active | 7.8273 |
| PIK3C3 | Not Active | 15.7651 |
| PIK3CA:PIK3R1 | Not Active | -8.3958 |
| PIM2 | Not Active | -0.6285 |
| PKAa | Not Active | 14.1084 |
| PKCa | Not Active | 43.6714 |
| PKCe | Not Active | -7.9948 |
| PKCt | Not Active | 3.4876 |
| PKG1 | Not Active | 2.7441 |
| PLK1 | Not Active | 10.9429 |
| PTK2 | Not Active | 28.6148 |
| PTK6 | Not Active | 30.4769 |
| RET | Not Active | 33.607 |
| RET | Not Active | 32.832 |
| RET | Not Active | 31.4308 |
| ROCK1 | Not Active | 15.8794 |
| ROCK2 | Not Active | 4.1072 |
| ROS1 | Active | 75.3877 |
| SGK1 | Not Active | 40.3694 |
| src | Not Active | 26.4837 |
| SRPK1 | Not Active | 3.0984 |
| STK17A | Active | 79.099 |
| STK4 | Not Active | 30.1121 |


| SYK | Active | 83.3916 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TBK1 | Not Active | 40.9645 |
| TGFBR1 | Not Active | 25.5106 |
| TNIK | Active | 78.7459 |
| TRKA | Not Active | 53.7019 |
| TRKB | Not Active | 44.8247 |
| TYRO3 | Not Active | 38.5508 |
| ULK2 | Not Active | 9.791 |
| YES | Not Active | 36.5108 |
| ZAP70 | Not Active | 11.8636 |

Data for compound 17

| Kinase Name | Activity flag | Mean Inhibition (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AAK1 | Active | 92.5646 |
| ABL1 | Not Active | 55.5999 |
| ABL2 | Not Active | 60.1362 |
| ACVR1B | Not Active | -4.0594 |
| AKT1 | Not Active | -1.654 |
| AKT2 | Not Active | 9.493 |
| ALK | Not Active | 29.1124 |
| AMPK A2/B1/G1 | Not Active | 15.374 |
| ARK5 | Active | 95.4684 |
| AurKB | Not Active | 57.2626 |
| AurKC | Not Active | 26.7352 |
| AXL | Not Active | 30.0518 |
| BLK | Not Active | 26.5546 |
| BMX | Not Active | 53.9974 |
| bRAF | Not Active | -3.4797 |
| BTK | Not Active | 27.285 |
| CaMK1 | Not Active | 2.7998 |
| CAMK2b | Not Active | -1.6204 |
| CDK1:CB | Not Active | 41.8964 |
| CDK2:CA | Not Active | 57.7548 |
| CDK2:CE | Not Active | 39.0004 |


| CDK7:Cyc H:MNAT1 | Not Active | -1.2371 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDK8:CC | Not Active | 6.8319 |
| CDK9:CT | Active | 89.9563 |
| CHK1 | Not Active | 6.7222 |
| cKIT | Not Active | 19.9857 |
| CLK1 | Not Active | 25.379 |
| CLK2 | Not Active | 51.9707 |
| CLK3 | Not Active | 8.0609 |
| CLK4 | Active | 86.4901 |
| cRAF | Not Active | 25.765 |
| CSF1R | Not Active | 36.8656 |
| CSNK1g1 | Not Active | 0.9319 |
| CSNK2a2 | Not Active | 23.4159 |
| DDR2 | Not Active | 4.8183 |
| DMPK | Not Active | 25.0816 |
| DNA-PK | Not Active | 6.631 |
| DYRK2 | Not Active | 69.5576 |
| EEF2K | Not Active | 7.4156 |
| EGFR | Active | 83.5024 |
| EGFR | Not Active | 40.0748 |
| EPHA5 | Not Active | 13.0144 |
| EPHB1 | Not Active | 10.5602 |
| EphB4 | Not Active | 8.8425 |
| ERBB2 | Not Active | -0.0832 |
| ERBB4 | Not Active | 13.1762 |
| FER | Not Active | 35.4818 |
| FES | Not Active | 17.8417 |
| FGFR1 | Not Active | -0.6718 |
| FGFR1 | Not Active | 16.4125 |
| FGFR2 | Not Active | 16.5932 |
| FGFR3 | Not Active | 13.6832 |
| FGFR4 | Not Active | -3.5054 |
| FLT1 | Not Active | 15.7129 |
| FLT3 | Active | 76.175 |
| FRAP1 | Not Active | 3.1522 |


| FYN | Not Active | 22.9266 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GSG2 | Not Active | 42.7077 |
| GSK3A | Not Active | 61.5468 |
| GSK3b | Active | 77.1159 |
| IGF1R | Not Active | 7.2208 |
| IKKb | Not Active | 8.7254 |
| INSR | Not Active | 3.1558 |
| INSRR | Not Active | 5.6726 |
| IRAK1 | Active | 76.276 |
| IRAK4 | Not Active | 42.1748 |
| JAK1 | Not Active | 32.2331 |
| JAK2 | Active | 75.1496 |
| JAK3 | Active | 76.4822 |
| JNK1 | Not Active | 1.3049 |
| KDR | Not Active | 51.2813 |
| LCK | Not Active | 12.1115 |
| LRRK2 | Active | 91.0334 |
| LYN | Not Active | 30.7276 |
| MAP2K1 | Not Active | 7.6752 |
| MAP3K7 | Active | 102.5824 |
| MAP3K9 | Active | 110.1385 |
| MAP4K1 | Not Active | 43.0356 |
| MAP4K3 | Not Active | 29.4958 |
| MAPK1 | Not Active | 2.3322 |
| MAPKAPK1A | Not Active | 41.3406 |
| MAPKAPK2 | Not Active | 1.5246 |
| MAPKAPK5 | Not Active | 2.2264 |
| MARK1 | Not Active | 12.3413 |
| MARK2 | Not Active | 7.9452 |
| MERTK | Not Active | 20.8866 |
| MINK | Active | 90.2628 |
| MKNK2 | Active | 83.8075 |
| MSK1 | Not Active | 17.404 |
| MST1R | Not Active | 18.8754 |
| MTK | Not Active | 11.8924 |


| MYLK | Not Active | 12.5824 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEK2 | Not Active | -8.8048 |
| NTRK3 | Not Active | 57.3368 |
| p38a | Not Active | 17.6671 |
| P70S6K | Not Active | 5.5026 |
| PAK1 | Not Active | 12.0348 |
| PAK2 | Not Active | 6.973 |
| PAK4 | Not Active | 23.5696 |
| PAK5 | Not Active | 6.8616 |
| PDGFRb | Not Active | 27.6824 |
| PDPK1 | Not Active | 3.2782 |
| PEAK1 | Not Active | 14.7216 |
| PI3Kb | Not Active | -3.895 |
| PI3Kd | Not Active | 18.3563 |
| PI3Kg | Not Active | -0.3296 |
| PI4KB | Active | 75.8411 |
| PIK3C2A | Not Active | 10.8018 |
| PIK3C3 | Not Active | 8.4867 |
| PIK3CA:PIK3R1 | Not Active | 17.7506 |
| PIM2 | Not Active | 2.3057 |
| PKAa | Not Active | 7.4092 |
| PKCa | Not Active | 32.2446 |
| PKCe | Not Active | -0.3923 |
| PKCt | Not Active | 7.095 |
| PKG1 | Not Active | 6.651 |
| PLK1 | Not Active | 10.7478 |
| PTK2 | Not Active | 29.4016 |
| PTK6 | Not Active | 32.7568 |
| RET | Not Active | 28.6842 |
| RET | Not Active | 25.2797 |
| RET | Not Active | 26.231 |
| ROCK1 | Not Active | 7.2227 |
| ROCK2 | Not Active | -0.769 |
| ROS1 | Active | 78.3821 |
| SGK1 | Not Active | 42.4808 |


| SrC | Not Active | 33.6438 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SRPK1 | Not Active | 1.8463 |
| STK17A | Not Active | 68.7291 |
| STK4 | Not Active | 26.472 |
| SYK | Active | 84.3698 |
| TBK1 | Not Active | 44.0277 |
| TGFBR1 | Not Active | 11.6408 |
| TNIK | Active | 87.5815 |
| TRKA | Not Active | 47.9755 |
| TRKB | Not Active | 25.4362 |
| TYRO3 | Not Active | 47.6342 |
| ULK2 | Not Active | 4.6198 |
| YES | Not Active | 37.0873 |
| ZAP70 | Not Active | 11.5708 |

## Data for compound 18

| Kinase Name | Activity flag | Mean Inhibition (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AAK1 | Active | 80.3862 |
| ABL1 | Not Active | 7.1614 |
| ABL2 | Not Active | -7.0958 |
| ACVR1B | Not Active | 1.3127 |
| AKT1 | Not Active | 4.1862 |
| AKT2 | Not Active | 0.0474 |
| ALK | Not Active | 2.6958 |
| AMPK A2/B1/G1 | Not Active | 2.9746 |
| ARK5 | Not Active | 50.6194 |
| AurKB | Not Active | 5.4825 |
| AurKC | Not Active | 1.1874 |
| AXL | Not Active | 2.1918 |
| BLK | Not Active | 13.4886 |
| BMX | Not Active | 70.7044 |
| bRAF | Not Active | -8.6975 |
| BTK | Not Active | 39.3948 |
| CaMK1 | Not Active | -15.5873 |


| CAMK2b | Not Active | 5.848 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDK1:CB | Not Active | 11.8725 |
| CDK2:CA | Not Active | 13.3303 |
| CDK2:CE | Not Active | 4.8887 |
| CDK7:Cyc H:MNAT1 | Not Active | 4.4466 |
| CDK8:CC | Not Active | 9.3184 |
| CDK9:CT | Not Active | 17.7407 |
| CHK1 | Not Active | 6.8989 |
| cKIT | Not Active | -2.4802 |
| CLK1 | Not Active | 3.3321 |
| CLK2 | Not Active | 22.8558 |
| CLK3 | Not Active | -2.2334 |
| CLK4 | Not Active | 31.802 |
| cRAF | Not Active | 14.788 |
| CSF1R | Not Active | 20.2412 |
| CSNK1g1 | Not Active | 1.1816 |
| CSNK2a2 | Not Active | 10.6358 |
| DDR2 | Not Active | -15.5418 |
| DMPK | Not Active | 28.3686 |
| DNA-PK | Not Active | 18.8937 |
| DYRK2 | Not Active | 44.4647 |
| EEF2K | Not Active | 4.1952 |
| EGFR | Not Active | 14.3406 |
| EGFR | Not Active | 7.3997 |
| EPHA5 | Not Active | 1.731 |
| EPHB1 | Not Active | 5.8652 |
| EphB4 | Not Active | 1.2483 |
| ERBB2 | Not Active | -3.1664 |
| ERBB4 | Not Active | 11.3787 |
| FER | Not Active | 7.2245 |
| FES | Not Active | 7.0928 |
| FGFR1 | Not Active | 18.5759 |
| FGFR1 | Not Active | 8.5845 |
| FGFR2 | Not Active | 0.1979 |
| FGFR3 | Not Active | -4.8161 |


| FGFR4 | Not Active | -6.3695 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLT1 | Not Active | 0.545 |
| FLT3 | Not Active | 37.9699 |
| FRAP1 | Not Active | -2.0508 |
| FYN | Not Active | 6.1576 |
| GSG2 | Not Active | -9.345 |
| GSK3A | Not Active | 6.4355 |
| GSK3b | Not Active | 9.9126 |
| IGF1R | Not Active | 9.9446 |
| IKKb | Not Active | -2.1996 |
| INSR | Not Active | 5.3953 |
| INSRR | Not Active | 8.3017 |
| IRAK1 | Not Active | 28.9156 |
| IRAK4 | Not Active | 5.7262 |
| JAK1 | Not Active | 2.8192 |
| JAK2 | Not Active | 10.4032 |
| JAK3 | Active | 91.3381 |
| JNK1 | Not Active | -2.4002 |
| KDR | Not Active | 7.2639 |
| LCK | Not Active | 8.7298 |
| LRRK2 | Not Active | 62.8362 |
| LYN | Not Active | 7.2292 |
| MAP2K1 | Not Active | 23.0239 |
| MAP3K7 | Not Active | 8.709 |
| MAP3K9 | Active | 78.0416 |
| MAP4K1 | Not Active | 63.3246 |
| MAP4K3 | Not Active | 13.7004 |
| MAPK1 | Not Active | -6.6124 |
| MAPKAPK1A | Not Active | 8.7053 |
| MAPKAPK2 | Not Active | -2.2108 |
| MAPKAPK5 | Not Active | 4.0045 |
| MARK1 | Not Active | 6.1203 |
| MARK2 | Not Active | 3.6804 |
| MERTK | Not Active | 6.5516 |
| MINK | Not Active | 27.4689 |


| MKNK2 | Active | 81.8623 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSK1 | Not Active | 13.4108 |
| MST1R | Not Active | 4.3346 |
| MTK | Not Active | 0.739 |
| MYLK | Not Active | 4.6767 |
| NEK2 | Not Active | 8.4752 |
| NTRK3 | Not Active | 3.2836 |
| p38a | Not Active | 1.0674 |
| P70S6K | Not Active | -1.6506 |
| PAK1 | Not Active | 2.7646 |
| PAK2 | Not Active | -5.2479 |
| PAK4 | Not Active | 12.3944 |
| PAK5 | Not Active | -2.015 |
| PDGFRb | Not Active | 4.607 |
| PDPK1 | Not Active | 8.3308 |
| PEAK1 | Not Active | 1.6678 |
| PI3Kb | Not Active | 7.2992 |
| PI3Kd | Not Active | -14.4975 |
| PI3Kg | Not Active | -1.1335 |
| PI4KB | Not Active | 16.5089 |
| PIK3C2A | Not Active | 8.4876 |
| PIK3C3 | Not Active | -15.9787 |
| PIK3CA:PIK3R1 | Not Active | 14.6996 |
| PIM2 | Not Active | -0.671 |
| PKAa | Not Active | -1.9774 |
| PKCa | Not Active | 9.1996 |
| PKCe | Not Active | 0.8238 |
| PKCt | Not Active | 7.0026 |
| PKG1 | Not Active | 6.8632 |
| PLK1 | Not Active | 5.4516 |
| PTK2 | Not Active | 6.0151 |
| PTK6 | Not Active | 6.0857 |
| RET | Not Active | 17.1842 |
| RET | Not Active | 4.4412 |
| RET | Not Active | 6.2398 |


| ROCK1 | Not Active | 4.3474 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ROCK2 | Not Active | 15.2329 |
| ROS1 | Not Active | 42.4392 |
| SGK1 | Not Active | 2.0186 |
| SrC | Not Active | 12.9527 |
| SRPK1 | Not Active | 2.5765 |
| STK17A | Not Active | 33.8272 |
| STK4 | Not Active | 7.2365 |
| SYK | Not Active | 12.783 |
| TBK1 | Not Active | 16.2422 |
| TGFBR1 | Not Active | 26.431 |
| TNIK | Not Active | 18.5095 |
| TRKA | Not Active | 17.3486 |
| TRKB | Not Active | 1.0203 |
| TYRO3 | Not Active | 7.291 |
| ULK2 | Not Active | 19.2106 |
| YES | Not Active | -2.8244 |
| ZAP70 | Not Active | 1.3958 |

Data for compound 26

| Kinase Name | Activity flag | Mean Inhibition (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AAK1 | Not Active | -2.514 |
| ABL1 | Not Active | 36.7956 |
| ABL2 | Not Active | 25.7212 |
| ACVR1 | Not Active | 0.1494 |
| ACVR1B | Not Active | -12.1776 |
| ACVR2A | Not Active | -20.6184 |
| ACVR2B | Not Active | 7.1074 |
| ACVRL1 | Not Active | 9.6785 |
| ADRBK1 | Not Active | -1.8509 |
| ADRBK2 | Not Active | -4.4028 |
| AKT1 | Not Active | -1.6351 |
| AKT2 | Not Active | 0.393 |
| AKT3 | Not Active | -1.8231 |


| ALK | Not Active | 0.7571 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMPK A1/B2/G3 | Not Active | -1.533 |
| AMPK A2/B1/G2 | Not Active | -8.3562 |
| AMPK A2/B1/G3 | Not Active | -0.6813 |
| AMPK A2/B2/G3 | Not Active | 0.4433 |
| AMPK A1/B2/G2 | Not Active | 2.4598 |
| AMPK A1/B1/G1 | Not Active | -2.6216 |
| AMPK A1/B1/G2 | Not Active | -5.4346 |
| AMPK A1/B1/G3 | Not Active | 15.1246 |
| AMPK A1/B2/G1 | Not Active | 3.3355 |
| AMPK A2/B2/G1 | Not Active | -7.8911 |
| AMPK A2/B2/G2 | Not Active | -18.5772 |
| AMPK A2/B1/G1 | Not Active | 1.4163 |
| ANKK1 | Not Active | 6.217 |
| ARK5 | Not Active | -0.9867 |
| AurKA | Not Active | -3.7077 |
| AurkB | Not Active | 20.774 |
| AurkC | Not Active | 25.6249 |
| AXL | Not Active | 4.4458 |
| BLK | Not Active | 26.4655 |
| BMPR1A | Not Active | -7.8191 |
| BMPR1B | Not Active | 1.0099 |
| BMPR2 | Not Active | -8.474 |
| BMX | Not Active | 5.6165 |
| bRAF | Not Active | 67.7454 |
| bRAF | Not Active | 1.8286 |
| BRSK1 | Not Active | -1.6163 |
| BRSK2 | Not Active | 13.0234 |
| BTK | Not Active | -2.3674 |
| CABC1 | Not Active | 1.7936 |
| CaMK1 | Not Active | -6.4542 |
| CAMK1d | Not Active | 1.2912 |
| CAMK1G | Not Active | 2.7656 |
| CAMK2a | Not Active | -4.2435 |
| CAMK2b | Not Active | -3.225 |


| CAMK2d | Not Active | 6.5317 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAMK2g | Not Active | -4.6494 |
| CAMK4 | Not Active | 1.5763 |
| CAMKK1 | Not Active | -3.6928 |
| CAMKK2 | Not Active | 6.2573 |
| CASK | Not Active | -8.2405 |
| CDC42BPa | Not Active | 0.8111 |
| CDC42BPb | Not Active | 7.5027 |
| CDC42BPG | Not Active | -4.537 |
| CDC7 | Not Active | 12.7868 |
| CDK1:CB | Not Active | -5.5598 |
| CDK13:CCNK | Not Active | 0.2454 |
| CDK19 | Not Active | -3.1541 |
| CDK19:CCNC | Not Active | -2.5886 |
| CDK2:CA | Not Active | 11.9094 |
| CDK2:CA | Not Active | 1.5552 |
| CDK2:CE | Not Active | 6.9772 |
| CDK2:Cyclin O | Not Active | 10.4209 |
| CDK3:Cyclin E | Not Active | 8.0254 |
| CDK4:CD1 | Not Active | -3.4815 |
| CDK4:CD3 | Not Active | -1.854 |
| CDK5 | Not Active | 1.2303 |
| CDK5 | Not Active | 4.3012 |
| CDK5 | Not Active | -0.2273 |
| CDK6:CycD1 | Not Active | -6.0844 |
| CDK7:Сyc H:MNAT1 | Not Active | -18.701 |
| CDK8:CC | Not Active | -4.3892 |
| CDK9 | Not Active | -7.7491 |
| CDK9 | Not Active | 12.6031 |
| CDK9:CT | Not Active | -7.7756 |
| CDKL5 | Not Active | -0.0132 |
| CHK1 | Not Active | 21.3479 |
| CHK2 | Not Active | 3.2003 |
| CKIT | Not Active | 48.5912 |
| CLK1 | Not Active | -1.2575 |


| CLK2 | Not Active | 9.9227 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLK3 | Not Active | -0.5732 |
| CLK4 | Not Active | 2.0205 |
| CSF1R | Active | 92.1339 |
| CSK | Not Active | 38.3658 |
| CSNK1A1 | Not Active | 12.8887 |
| CSNK1A1L | Not Active | 2.303 |
| CSNK1d | Not Active | 2.7856 |
| CSNK1E | Not Active | 8.772 |
| CSNK1g1 | Not Active | -1.596 |
| CSNK1g2 | Not Active | -3.9386 |
| CSNK1g3 | Not Active | -2.487 |
| CSNK2A1 | Not Active | 2.4774 |
| CSNK2a2 | Not Active | 6.412 |
| DAPK1 | Not Active | -16.9999 |
| DAPK2 | Not Active | 11.3548 |
| DAPK3 | Not Active | -8.108 |
| DCAMKL2 | Not Active | -3.3181 |
| DCLK1 | Not Active | -6.7092 |
| DDR1 | Not Active | 26.0986 |
| DDR2 | Not Active | 28.761 |
| DMPK | Not Active | -4.9986 |
| DNA-PK | Not Active | 5.1586 |
| DYRK1A | Not Active | 0.816 |
| DYRK1b | Not Active | 1.9828 |
| DYRK2 | Not Active | -3.2872 |
| DYRK3 | Not Active | -3.1968 |
| DYRK4 | Not Active | -1.007 |
| EEF2K | Not Active | 0.4815 |
| EGFR | Not Active | 1.5935 |
| EIF2AK2 | Not Active | -2.4795 |
| EPHA1 | Not Active | 3.2162 |
| EPHA3 | Not Active | 0.5311 |
| EPHA4 | Not Active | -0.9242 |
| EPHA5 | Not Active | -0.2279 |


| EPHA6 | Not Active | 8.1605 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPHA7 | Not Active | -17.0597 |
| EPHA8 | Not Active | 1.1623 |
| EPHB1 | Not Active | 1.9382 |
| EPHB2 | Not Active | -1.1874 |
| EPHB3 | Not Active | -2.4014 |
| EphB4 | Not Active | -5.9307 |
| EPHRA2 | Not Active | -18.3359 |
| ERBB2 | Not Active | -26.3594 |
| ERBB4 | Not Active | -0.6242 |
| ERN1 | Not Active | 4.2634 |
| ERN2 | Not Active | 0.9538 |
| FER | Not Active | 4.9372 |
| FES | Not Active | -3.749 |
| FGFR1 | Not Active | 0.7141 |
| FGFR2 | Not Active | -3.6502 |
| FGFR3 | Not Active | -13.1132 |
| FGFR4 | Not Active | -2.5773 |
| FGR | Not Active | 28.7353 |
| FLT1 | Not Active | -0.679 |
| FLT3 | Not Active | 19.5915 |
| FLT4 | Not Active | 7.1352 |
| FRAP1 | Not Active | -1.5702 |
| FRK | Not Active | 7.0623 |
| FYN | Not Active | 19.1797 |
| FYN | Not Active | 13.2957 |
| GAK | Not Active | 5.772 |
| GRK1 | Not Active | 2.7402 |
| GRK4 | Not Active | -5.7218 |
| GRK5 | Not Active | -0.8492 |
| GRK6 | Not Active | -7.3992 |
| GRK7 | Not Active | -7.1098 |
| GSG2 | Not Active | 2.1795 |
| GSK3A | Not Active | 3.083 |
| GSK3b | Not Active | 3.1896 |


| HCK | Not Active | 22.3389 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIPK1 | Not Active | -4.4768 |
| HIPK2 | Not Active | -0.3725 |
| HIPK3 | Not Active | -2.5806 |
| HIPK4 | Not Active | 3.1703 |
| HUNK | Not Active | 6.1858 |
| IGF1R | Not Active | -2.3744 |
| ІККа | Not Active | -7.5622 |
| IKKb | Not Active | -1.6212 |
| IККе | Not Active | 0.084 |
| INSR | Not Active | -3.2737 |
| INSRR | Not Active | -0.3296 |
| IRAK1 | Not Active | 1.3789 |
| IRAK3 | Not Active | 8.6365 |
| IRAK4 | Not Active | -5.9368 |
| ITK | Not Active | 5.5982 |
| JAK1 | Not Active | 0.1819 |
| JAK2 | Not Active | -5.7933 |
| JAK2 | Not Active | -2.2972 |
| JAK3 | Not Active | -5.9852 |
| JNK1 | Not Active | -13.916 |
| JNK1 | Not Active | 7.274 |
| JNK3 | Not Active | 6.453 |
| JNK3 | Not Active | -0.9194 |
| KDR | Not Active | 58.3984 |
| KSR2 | Not Active | 2.7424 |
| LATS2 | Not Active | -4.9043 |
| LCK | Active | 92.9366 |
| LCK | Not Active | -2.7543 |
| LIMK1 | Not Active | 39.4231 |
| LIMK2 | Not Active | 14.9854 |
| LRRK2 | Not Active | 3.6574 |
| LRRK2 | Not Active | 0.9912 |
| LTK | Not Active | -0.7886 |
| LYN | Not Active | 57.0578 |


| LYN | Not Active | 58.8141 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAP2K1 | Not Active | -11.7041 |
| MAP2K1 | Not Active | -2.5137 |
| MAP2K2 | Not Active | 6.1703 |
| MAP2K2 | Not Active | 3.6096 |
| MAP2K4 | Not Active | 9.4686 |
| MAP2K5 | Not Active | 2.1753 |
| MAP2K6 | Not Active | 3.551 |
| MAP2K6 | Not Active | 8.0006 |
| MAP3K10 | Not Active | -4.7244 |
| MAP3K11 | Not Active | 0.8229 |
| MAP3K14 | Not Active | 0.1223 |
| MAP3K2 | Not Active | -8.8374 |
| MAP3K3 | Not Active | 0.0502 |
| MAP3K5 | Not Active | -11.4194 |
| MAP3K7 | Not Active | 10.4833 |
| MAP3K8 | Not Active | 5.7642 |
| MAP3K9 | Not Active | -14.7382 |
| MAP4K1 | Not Active | 17.783 |
| MAP4K2 | Not Active | 12.8842 |
| MAP4K3 | Not Active | 25.3408 |
| MAP4K4 | Not Active | 36.3272 |
| MAP4K5 | Active | 80.2741 |
| MAPK1 | Not Active | -6.4226 |
| MAPK13 | Not Active | -1.5718 |
| MAPK15 | Not Active | 9.3096 |
| MAPK3 | Not Active | -2.602 |
| MAPK7 | Not Active | -3.0275 |
| MAPK9 | Not Active | 1.7662 |
| MAPK9 | Not Active | 4.0628 |
| MAPKAP3 | Not Active | 1.0734 |
| MAPKAPK1A | Not Active | 5.7407 |
| МАРКАРК1В | Not Active | -1.3214 |
| MAPKAPK2 | Not Active | -0.5722 |
| MAPKAPK5 | Not Active | -3.7368 |


| MARK1 | Not Active | 3.3236 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARK2 | Not Active | -3.6675 |
| MARK3 | Not Active | -1.7215 |
| MARK4 | Not Active | 0.108 |
| MASTL | Not Active | -5.5809 |
| MATK | Not Active | 2.2758 |
| MELK | Not Active | 1.2115 |
| MERTK | Not Active | 2.0088 |
| MINK | Not Active | -28.2092 |
| MKNK1 | Not Active | 1.7727 |
| MKNK2 | Not Active | 2.5003 |
| MLK4 | Not Active | -8.0124 |
| MSK1 | Not Active | 2.1011 |
| MST1R | Not Active | 0.4375 |
| MST4 | Not Active | 5.7471 |
| MTK | Not Active | 4.1741 |
| MUSK | Not Active | 4.534 |
| MYLK | Not Active | -0.0096 |
| MYLK2 | Not Active | -1.484 |
| MYLK3 | Not Active | 11.6614 |
| MYLK4 | Not Active | 13.0256 |
| MYO3A | Not Active | -8.2777 |
| MYO3B | Not Active | 8.194 |
| NEK1 | Not Active | 1.2561 |
| NEK2 | Not Active | -5.1718 |
| NEK4 | Not Active | -2.2358 |
| NEK6 | Not Active | -0.6284 |
| NEK8 | Not Active | -6.9331 |
| NEK9 | Not Active | -2.3288 |
| NIM1 | Not Active | -1.2894 |
| NLK | Not Active | -4.1754 |
| NTRK3 | Not Active | -1.937 |
| NUAK2 | Not Active | -4.9216 |
| p38a | Not Active | 4.6111 |
| p38a | Not Active | 1.1482 |


| p38b | Not Active | -1.3953 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p38g | Not Active | -6.7944 |
| P70S6K | Not Active | -10.8647 |
| PAK1 | Not Active | 15.778 |
| PAK2 | Not Active | -2.7663 |
| PAK3 | Not Active | 7.859 |
| PAK4 | Not Active | -2.0129 |
| PAK5 | Not Active | -12.744 |
| PAK6 | Not Active | 3.8134 |
| PASK | Not Active | 6.9677 |
| PCTK1 | Not Active | 8.201 |
| PCTK2 | Not Active | -1.161 |
| РСТК3 | Not Active | -3.9148 |
| PDGFRa | Active | 87.6201 |
| PDGFRb | Active | 97.8194 |
| PDPK1 | Not Active | -2.128 |
| PDPK1 | Not Active | 2.0565 |
| PEAK1 | Not Active | -7.2694 |
| PFTK1 | Not Active | -9.0361 |
| PHKG1 | Not Active | -3.4148 |
| PHKG2 | Not Active | -10.2921 |
| PI3Ка | Not Active | 2.5011 |
| PI3Kb | Not Active | -0.1373 |
| PI3Kd | Not Active | -2.5212 |
| PI3Kg | Not Active | 32.6563 |
| PI4K? | Not Active | -3.3356 |
| PI4K2A | Not Active | -18.8804 |
| PI4K3A | Not Active | 8.492 |
| PI4KB | Not Active | -31.2582 |
| PIK3C2A | Not Active | -3.5343 |
| PIK3C2B | Not Active | -1.1773 |
| PIK3C2G | Not Active | -7.9012 |
| PIK3C3 | Not Active | -1.3341 |
| PIK3CA:PIK3R1 | Not Active | -3.65 |
| PIK3CB: PIK3R2 | Not Active | -4.9451 |


| PIM1 | Not Active | 3.7972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PIM2 | Not Active | -5.8398 |
| PIM3 | Not Active | 6.4307 |
| PIP5K1A | Not Active | 7.8142 |
| PIP5K1B | Not Active | 0.4546 |
| PIP5K1C | Not Active | 8.4031 |
| PIP5K2A | Not Active | 7.718 |
| PKAa | Not Active | 1.5972 |
| PKCa | Not Active | -1.5834 |
| PKCb | Not Active | -1.4681 |
| PKCb | Not Active | -6.944 |
| PKCd | Not Active | 5.164 |
| PKCe | Not Active | -8.1972 |
| PKCg | Not Active | -2.0944 |
| PKCh | Not Active | 4.9319 |
| PKCi | Not Active | -0.1248 |
| PKCRK2 | Not Active | 5.357 |
| PKCt | Not Active | -10.0319 |
| PKCz | Not Active | 3.7496 |
| PKD1 | Not Active | 4.9023 |
| PKD2 | Not Active | 1.2898 |
| PKG1 | Not Active | 8.9793 |
| PKMYT1 | Not Active | -16.7792 |
| PKN1 | Not Active | 2.4695 |
| PKX | Not Active | -3.094 |
| PLK1 | Not Active | 3.847 |
| PLK2 | Not Active | 0.7585 |
| PLK3 | Not Active | -2.836 |
| PLK4 | Not Active | 8.0021 |
| PRKACB | Not Active | -11.901 |
| PRKACG | Not Active | 2.5296 |
| PRKD3 | Not Active | 4.7444 |
| PRKG2 | Not Active | 0.916 |
| PTK2 | Not Active | 3.7271 |
| PTK2b | Not Active | 4.5302 |


| PTK6 | Not Active | -3.7964 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RET | Active | 98.1885 |
| RIPK2 | Not Active | 48.8754 |
| RIPK3 | Not Active | 61.07 |
| ROCK1 | Not Active | 10.7988 |
| ROCK2 | Not Active | -6.9814 |
| ROS1 | Not Active | -3.638 |
| RPS6KA2 | Not Active | 2.3604 |
| RPS6KA4 | Not Active | -2.1533 |
| RPS6KA6 | Not Active | 3.144 |
| RPS6KB2 | Not Active | 7.5368 |
| SBK1 | Not Active | 0.3238 |
| SGK1 | Not Active | -3.9972 |
| SGK2 | Not Active | 2.3833 |
| SGK3 | Not Active | -3.7416 |
| SIK1 | Not Active | -1.6398 |
| SIK3 | Not Active | -9.9554 |
| SLK | Not Active | -0.814 |
| SNF1LK2 | Not Active | -0.5124 |
| SphK1 | Not Active | -3.937 |
| SphK2 | Not Active | 6.9198 |
| SrC | Not Active | 6.1794 |
| SRMS | Not Active | -1.201 |
| SRPK1 | Not Active | -0.768 |
| SRPK2 | Not Active | -2.8148 |
| STE20 | Not Active | -3.5264 |
| STK16 | Not Active | 8.3312 |
| STK17A | Not Active | -14.4982 |
| STK17B | Not Active | 2.9058 |
| STK23 | Not Active | -4.0315 |
| STK24 | Not Active | 3.6746 |
| STK25 | Not Active | 2.4988 |
| STK32B | Not Active | -3.2289 |
| STK32C | Not Active | 5.001 |
| STK33 | Not Active | 0.0062 |


| STK38 | Not Active | -1.1223 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STK38L | Not Active | -8.2522 |
| STK39 | Not Active | 8.5262 |
| STK4 | Not Active | -5.8051 |
| SYK | Not Active | 0.5504 |
| TAO2 | Not Active | 0.8342 |
| TAO3 | Not Active | 4.9162 |
| TAOK1 | Not Active | 2.1886 |
| TBK1 | Not Active | -2.248 |
| TEC | Not Active | -6.9758 |
| TESK1 | Not Active | -4.7141 |
| TESK2 | Not Active | 14.1032 |
| TGFBR1 | Not Active | 9.5964 |
| TGFbR2 | Not Active | 5.2548 |
| TIE2 | Not Active | 6.1946 |
| TLK1 | Not Active | -6.0237 |
| TLK2 | Not Active | -3.7008 |
| TNIK | Not Active | 70.6957 |
| TNK1 | Not Active | 8.6212 |
| TNK2 | Active | 76.6878 |
| TRKA | Not Active | 6.9286 |
| TRKB | Not Active | -4.9116 |
| TSSK1A; TSSK1B | Not Active | -0.7173 |
| TSSK2 | Not Active | 1.3973 |
| TTK | Not Active | -10.3016 |
| TXK | Not Active | 6.6321 |
| TYK2 | Not Active | -0.5864 |
| TYRO3 | Not Active | 0.3211 |
| ULK1 | Not Active | -10.1536 |
| ULK2 | Not Active | -1.6615 |
| ULK3 | Not Active | -11.1906 |
| VRK2 | Not Active | 11.7068 |
| WEE1 | Not Active | -10.8813 |
| WNK1 | Not Active | -3.1211 |
| WNK2 | Not Active | 3.8232 |


| WNK3 | Not Active | -18.605 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YES | Not Active | 6.4422 |
| YSK4 | Not Active | -9.3702 |
| ZAK | Not Active | 33.165 |
| ZAP70 | Not Active | 0.5569 |

## Synthetic Experimental Section

## General Experimental

Air and/or moisture sensitive reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Dry organic solvents and starting materials were obtained from commercial sources and used as received unless otherwise specified. $4 \AA$ molecular sieves were oven-dried prior to use.

Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using Merck silica gel 60 covered alumina plates F254 or by analytical UPLC. Visualisation of TLC plates was carried out under UV light and stained using potassium permanganate solution. Analytical UPLC was performed on CSH C18 reverse-phase silica, using a Waters XSelect CSH C18 column with dimensions $2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ and particle size 1.7 micron). Gradient analysis was employed using decreasingly polar mixtures as eluent, for example decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $0.1 \%$ formic acid or $0.1 \%$ ammonia) as solvent $A$ and acetonitrile as solvent B. Normal phase flash column chromatography was performed using ultra performance Interchim puriflash $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ silica columns and carried out using Teledyne ISCO Combiflash Lumen system. Reverse phase column chromatography was carried out using preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length) Interchim 4250 system.

All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 MHz or 500 MHz spectrometers at rt . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shifts in ppm relative to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(7.26)$ or $\mathrm{d} 6-\mathrm{DMSO}(2.50)$ on the $\delta$ scale, multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, $\mathrm{app} .=$ apparent or a combination of thereof), coupling constant(s) J (Hz) and integration,. All ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 MHz and Bruker 500 MHz spectrometers at 101 MHz and 126 MHz respectively. Data are reported as follows; chemical shifts in ppm relative to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (77.16) or d6-DMSO (39.52) on the $\delta$ scale. Low resolution mass spectra were recorded by UPLC methods on reverse-phase C18 silica with detection by Electrospray Mass Spectrometry using positive or negative ion electrospray (ESI+ or ESI-) and by UV absorbance recording a wavelength range of 220-320 nm. High resolution mass spectra were recorded on Thermo Scientific and Fusion Orbitrap MS using positive or negative ion electrospray (ESI+ or ESI-).

## Experimental Procedures



5,5-diphenyl-2-vinyl-4H-oxazole (1): Acryloyl chloride ( $0.052 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-amino-1,1-diphenylethan-1-ol ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.212 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 3 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 5 minutes under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to rt and stirred at rt for 2.5 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The biphasic mixture was separated, and the organic layer washed with saturated brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried over MgSO4, filtered and evaporated to afford crude material. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing 1\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were combined and diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The biphasic mixture was separated and the organic phase dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to afford N -(2-hydroxy-2,2-diphenylethyl)acrylamide ( 57.1 mg ) as a yellow solid.

Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(2-hydroxy-2,2-diphenylethyl)acrylamide ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 2.000 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO} 3(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude material. The crude materal was loaded on to two 0.25 mm silica plates and purified by prep TLC (eluent $35 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in heptane). UV active material at Rf 0.40 was taken and dissolved in DCM, silica was filtered off and the solvent was removed under vacuum to afford 5,5-diphenyl-2-vinyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole ( $6.1 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$, over 2 steps) as a colorless wax. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.32$ (m, 2H), $7.35-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 161.1, 144.3, 128.5, 127.6, 126.7, 125.2, 124.8, 89.0, 67.9; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 250.1226$, found 250.1232
a-amino-1,1-diphenylethan-1-ol was made according to literature procedure ${ }^{13}$


1-benzyl-3,3-dimethyl-5-methylene-pyrrolidine-2,4-dione (3): Diisopropylamine ( $0.650 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 1-benzyl-3,3-dimethylpyrrolidine-2,4-dione ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ in THF ( 20 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 minutes. Paraformaldehyde ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction stirred for 4 hours. Water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was subsequently added and the solution heated at reflux for a further 17 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with saturated brine ( 100 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $50 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 1-benzyl-3,3-dimethyl-5-methylenepyrrolidine-2,4-dione (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.24-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ - $7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 199.7, 175.0, 140.1, 135.4, 128.6, 127.4, 127.0, 92.3, 44.7, 42.6, 20.1; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$230.1176, found 230.1176
${ }^{\text {b }} 1$-benzyl-3,3-dimethylpyrrolidine-2,4-dione was made according to literature procedure ${ }^{14}$


4,4-dimethyl-2-vinyl-3,1-benzoxazine (5a): Acryloyl chloride ( $0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to 2-(2-aminophenyl)propan-2-ol ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $1.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(13.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 5 minutes under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 90 minutes. The reaction mixture was washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2$\mathrm{yl})$ phenyl)acrylamide ( 589 mg ) as an orange oil, which was used directly without further purification.

Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to crude N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)acrylamide (50 mg ) in DCM ( 5 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 hours. The reaction was cooled to rt and quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford 4,4-dimethyl-2-vinyl-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine (45.8 mg, quant., over 2 steps) as an orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.58 (s, 6 H ), 5.74 (dd, $J=10.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.14 (dd, $J=17.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 155.5, 137.9, 131.7, 131.4, 128.4, 126.9, 124.9, 124.4, 122.8, 77.6, 27.9; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$188.0679, found 188.0699.

(E)-N-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]but-2-enamide: (E)-but-2-enoyl chloride ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-aminophenyl)propan-2-ol ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 4.5 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 90 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford (E)-N-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-enamide ( $43.8 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ (dd, J=6.8, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.96-6.02$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.3,7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (dd, J = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), $10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $162.6,139.5,137.1$, 127.1, 126.9, 125.4, 123.1, 121.6, 72.8, 30.0, 17.4; LRMS m/z (ESI-) [M-H]- 218.3


4,4-dimethyl-2-[(E)-prop-1-enyl]-3,1-benzoxazine (5b): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to (E)-N-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-enamide ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 4.0 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 5 mL ) and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford desired (E)-4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-en-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $26.0 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ) as a white waxy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.71(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 155.7, 138.3, 138.1, 131.4, 128.3, 126.4, 125.8, 124.1, 122.7, 77.3, 27.8, 17.8; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 202.12264$, found 202.12282 .


N-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]-2-methyl-prop-2-enamide: Methacryloyl chloride ( $0.094 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-aminophenyl)propan-2-ol ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford desired product. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)methacrylamide (108 $\mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.51-5.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.8-5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 11.05 (s, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O, ~ 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 164.6, 140.6, 137.1, 135.9, 127.2, 125.4, 123.1, 121.0, 119.9, 72.9, 30.0, 18.4; LRMS m/z (ESI-) [M-H] 218.2


2-isopropenyl-4,4-dimethyl-3,1-benzoxazine (5c): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)methacrylamide ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 5 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 5 mL ) and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford desired product 4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $43.2 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) as a white waxy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.52-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $\left.30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 156.6,138.0,137.9,131.5,128.3$, 126.9, 124.6, 122.7, 121.2, 77.4, 27.7, 18.4; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 202.12264$, found 202.12302.

$\boldsymbol{N}$-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]prop-2-ynamide: Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-aminophenyl)propan-2-ol ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and propiolic acid ( $0.039 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 3.3 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered and solvent evaporated to afford crude product.The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)propiolamide ( $67.8 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ) as a pale yellow waxy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ) $1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-$ $8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.1-11.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 148.7, 136.3, 135.9, 127.3, 125.7, 124.2, 121.8, 78.5, 76.5, 72.9, 30.1; LRMS m/z (ESI-) [M-H] 202.0


2-ethynyl-4,4-dimethyl-3,1-benzoxazine (5d): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)propiolamide ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(6.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 5 mL ) and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford 2-ethynyl-4,4-dimethyl-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $19.6 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) as an amorphous orange solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 142.4, 136.8, 131.2, 128.6, 128.1, 124.4, 123.1, 79.6, 79.1, 77.3, 28.1; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 186.09134$, found 186.09149.


N-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-aminophenyl)propan-2-ol ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and but-2-ynoic acid ( $52.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 3.3 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered and solvent evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide (128 mg, 94\%) as a colorless waxy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $10.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O, ~ 30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 149.6, 136.2, 136.1, 127.2, 125.5, 123.8, 121.7, 83.8, 75.9, 72.8, 30.1, 3.0; LRMS m/z (ESI-) [M-H] 216.2


4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine (5e): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 3.9 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $30 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) as a colorless oil which solidified on standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O\right) 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 143.1, 137.3, 131.1, 128.5, 127.5, 124.1, 122.9, 86.3, 79.1, 74.4, 28.0, 3.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 200.10699$, found 200.10704.


2-(2-amino-3-methoxy-phenyl)propan-2-ol: Methylmagnesium bromide ( 3 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( $11.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to methyl 2-amino-3-methoxybenzoate ( $1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 25 mL ) over a period of 30 minutes under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford 2-(2-amino-3-methoxyphenyl)propan-2-ol (1.96 g, 99\%) as a yellow oil which solidified on standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{t}$, J $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 146.9 , 135.7, 131.0, 117.7, 115.1, 109.0, 72.1, 55.5, 29.2; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M-OH] 164.1

$\boldsymbol{N}$-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)-6-methoxy-phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Dicyclohexylmethanediimine (2.45 g, 11.9 mmol ) was added to 2-(2-amino-3-methoxyphenyl)propan-2-ol (1.96 g, 10.8 mmol ) and 2-butynoic acid ( 0.918 g , 10.9 mmol ) in DCM ( 55 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting suspension was stirred at rt for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to afford the crude as a pale yellow solid. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 40 to 60\% EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenyl)but-2ynamide ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 155.3, 151.5, 146.7, 127.1, 122.5, 117.6, 110.5, 82.9, 76.0, 71.1, 55.7, 30.2, 3.0; LRMS m/z (ESI-) [M-H] 246.1


8-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine (o-MeO-5e): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to $N$-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 27.5 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford colorless oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 35 to $50 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 8-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $153 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.54 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 152.2, 141.8, 132.2, 128.0, 126.6, 114.5, 111.5, 86.0, 78.6, 74.7, 55.6, 27.9, 3.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$230.1181, found 230.1182.

methyl 2-amino-4-methoxy-benzoate: A solution of methyl 4-methoxy-2-nitrobenzoate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH $(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to $10 \%$ palladium on charcoal ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under a positive flow of nitrogen. The resulting suspension was placed under a hydrogen atmosphere and stirred at $r t$ for 17 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered over celite and washed with $\mathrm{EtOH}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford the crude as a brown solid. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 50\% EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford methyl 2-amino-4-methoxybenzoate (0.794 g, 93\%) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 6.13 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (d, J $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 167.4, 163.7, 153.3, 132.3, 103.6, 102.4, 98.6, 54.9, 51.0; LRMS $m / z(E S I+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 182.0$


2-(2-amino-4-methoxy-phenyl)propan-2-ol: Methylmagnesium bromide ( 3 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( $4.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to methyl 2-amino-4-methoxybenzoate ( $785 \mathrm{mg}, 4.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) over a period of 20 minutes under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford 2-(2-amino-4-methoxyphenyl)propan-2-ol ( 782 mg , quant.) as a yellow
oil which solidified on standing and was used without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 158.7, 148.1, 125.9, 124.0, 101.2, 100.8, 71.7, 54.5, 29.4; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M-OH] ${ }^{+}$ 164.1


N-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)-5-methoxy-phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Dicyclohexylmethanediimine (971 mg, 4.70 mmol ) was added to 2-(2-amino-4-methoxyphenyl)propan-2-ol ( $775 \mathrm{mg}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-butynoic acid ( 363 mg , 4.32 mmol ) in DCM ( 21 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to afford the crude as a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 5 to $50 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-5-methoxyphenyl)but-2-ynamide (927 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$ as a colorless oil which solidified on standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 158.1, 149.6, 137.3, 128.2, 126.3, 108.5, 107.4, 83.8, 75.9, 72.6, 55.0, 30.3, 3.0; LRMS m/z (ESI-) [M-H] 246.1


7-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine (m-OMe-5e): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to $N$-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-5-methoxyphenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 23 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 30 to $40 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 7-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop1 -yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.54 (s, 6H), 2.04 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz ,

DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $159.3,143.5,138.5,123.9,123.4,113.1,109.1,86.4,79.2,74.4,55.2,28.2,3.3 ;$ HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 230.1181$, found 230.1182 .


2-(2-amino-5-methoxy-phenyl)propan-2-ol: Methylmagnesium bromide ( 3 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( $11.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to methyl 2-amino-5-methoxybenzoate ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 25 mL ) over a period of 30 minutes under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 20 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 5 to $60 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 2-(2-amino-5-methoxyphenyl)propan-2-ol ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.97$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52-6.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 150.4, 140.5, 132.2, 116.8, 112.2, 112.0, 71.9, 55.3, 29.0; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M-OH] 164.1


N-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)-4-methoxy-phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $2.02 \mathrm{~g}, 9.79$ mmol ) was added to 2-(2-amino-5-methoxyphenyl)propan-2-ol ( $1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 8.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-butynoic acid ( 0.752 g , $8.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 45 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting suspension was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with Et2O ( 50 mL ), filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to afford the crude as a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-4-methoxyphenyl)but-2ynamide ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ) as a yellow foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $155.4,149.5,138.5$, 129.2, 123.5, 112.0, 111.3, 83.4, 76.0, 72.6, 55.2, 30.0, 3.0; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M-OH] 230.0


6-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine (p-OMe-5e): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-4-methoxyphenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 1.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 40 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to $50 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 6-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop1 -yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $237 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.55 (s, 6H), 2.03 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 158.6, 141.1, 132.5, 130.8, 125.4, 113.3, 108.8, 85.7, 78.8, 74.5, 55.4, 27.9, 3.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 230.1181$, found 230.1182.

methyl 2-amino-6-methoxy-benzoate: A solution of methyl 2-methoxy-6-nitrobenzoate (1.01 g, 4.74 mmol ) in EtOH $(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to $10 \%$ Palladium on charcoal ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under a positive flow of nitrogen. The resulting suspension was placed under a hydrogen atmosphere and stirred at rt for 17 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered over celite and washed with $\mathrm{EtOH}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford the crude as a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 10 to 50\% EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford methyl 2-amino-6-methoxybenzoate (841 $\mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil which solidified on standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.64$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $167.6,158.8,149.1,131.9,108.6,104.7,98.6,55.5,51.4 ;$ LRMS $m / z(E S I+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 182.0$


2-(2-amino-6-methoxy-phenyl)propan-2-ol: Methylmagnesium bromide ( 3 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( $4.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to methyl 2-amino-6-methoxybenzoate ( $839 \mathrm{mg}, 4.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10.7 mL ) over a period of 30 minutes under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 17 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford 2-(2-amino-6-methoxyphenyl)propan-2-ol ( $831 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) as an orange oil which solidified on standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14$ (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), $6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 157.4 , 148.5, 126.7, 118.1, 110.5, 100.3, 74.1, 55.3, 30.7; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M-OH] 164.1


N-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)-3-methoxy-phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Dicyclohexylmethanediimine (1.04 g, 5.03 mmol ) was added to 2-(2-amino-6-methoxyphenyl)propan-2-ol ( $829 \mathrm{mg}, 4.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-butynoic acid ( 388 mg , 4.62 mmol ) in DCM ( 23 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting suspension was stirred at rt for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to afford a yellow solid. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $50 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-3-methoxyphenyl)but-2-ynamide (195 mg, 17\%) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 156.7, 149.5, $137.7,127.3,123.1,114.4,108.4,83.0,76.4,75.4,55.8,30.7,3.0 ;$ LRMS $m / z$ (ESI-) [M-H] 246.2


5-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine ( $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$-OMe-5e): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to $N$-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-3-methoxyphenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 16.5 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered
and evaporated to afford yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 25 to 40\% EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 5-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop1 -yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.62 (s, 6H), 2.04 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 154.6, 142.7, 138.5, 128.9, 117.6, 117.5, 111.1, 86.1, 79.4, 74.3, 55.8, 28.3, 3.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 230.1181$, found 230.1182.

$N$-[2-bromo-6-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Methylmagnesium bromide ( 3 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( 2.6 mL , 7.80 mmol ) was added dropwise to methyl 2-amino-3-bromobenzoate ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to rt and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 10 mL ), brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ added and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude as pale yellow oil ( 361 mg ) which was used directly without further purification.
dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $338 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-amino-3-bromophenyl)propan-2-ol ( 359 mg ) and but-2-ynoic acid ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 3 hours. The reaction was incomplete and further but-2-ynoic acid (138 mg, 1.64 mmol ) and dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $338 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the suspension was stirred at rt for a further 18 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to afford yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $100 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(2-bromo-6-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2ynamide ( $264 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$, over 2 steps) as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.33$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $151.5,149.2,132.3,131.1,128.4,125.7,124.7,83.9,75.7,71.2,30.2,3.1$; LRMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI-) [MH] 294.0


8-bromo-4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine (o-Br-5e): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to $N$-(2-bromo-6-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 9.2 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 5 mL ) and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $25 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 8-bromo-4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $63.5 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O\right) 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 144.1, 135.4, 133.1, 132.3, 128.7, 122.7, 119.2, 87.9, 79.5, 74.3, 27.9, 3.4; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$278.0181, found 278.0176

$\boldsymbol{N}$-[5-bromo-2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Methylmagnesium bromide (3M in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(2.6 \mathrm{~mL}$, 7.80 mmol ) was added dropwise to methyl 2-amino-4-bromobenzoate ( $363 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to rt and stirred for 45 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 10 mL ), brine ( 20 mL ) added and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude ( 368 mg ) as brown oil which was used directly without further purification.
Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $338 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-amino-4-bromophenyl)propan-2-ol ( 364 mg ) and but-2-ynoic acid ( $139 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $35 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(5-bromo-2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $259 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$, over 2 steps) as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.52 (s, 6H), $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 149.8 , 137.7, 135.2, 127.7, 126.3, 123.6, 119.8, 84.7, 75.6, 72.8, 29.9, 3.0; LRMS $m / z$ (ESI-) [M-H] 294.0


7-bromo-4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine ( $\boldsymbol{m}$ - $\mathrm{Br}-5 \mathrm{e}$ ): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(5-bromo-2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 9.2 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $25 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 7-bromo-4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) 1.57 (s, 6H), 2.06 (s, 3 H ), $7.2-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 144.2, 139.1, 130.3, 130.0, 126.4, 125.2, 121.0, 87.5, 79.4, 74.1, 27.9, 3.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+278.0181$, found 278.0176


N-[4-bromo-2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Methylmagnesium bromide (3M in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ( 2.6 mL , 7.80 mmol ) was added dropwise to methyl 2-amino-5-bromobenzoate ( $358 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to rt and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 10 mL ), brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ added and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude as pale yellow solid ( 362 mg ) which was used directly without further purification.

Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $338 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-amino-5-bromophenyl)propan-2-ol (359 mg) and but-2-ynoic acid ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $35 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(4-bromo-2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $302 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$, over 2 steps) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.52 (s, 6H), 2.03 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) , $6.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 149.7, 138.9, 135.5, 130.0, 128.3, 123.7, 116.0, 84.4, 75.7, 72.7, 29.8, 3.0; LRMS m/z (ESI-) [M-H] 294.0


6-bromo-4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine ( $\boldsymbol{p}$ - $\mathrm{Br}-5 \mathrm{e}$ ): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(4-bromo-2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 6.3 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 5 mL ) and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $25 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 6-bromo-4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine (15.6 mg, 20\%) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ (dd, $J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 143.5, 136.6, 133.2, 131.5, 126.2, 125.9, 119.6, 87.2, 79.0, 74.2, 27.9, 3.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+278.0181$, found 278.0176


5-bromo-4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine ( $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ - Br - 5 e ): Methylmagnesium bromide ( $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( 2.6 mL , 7.80 mmol ) was added dropwise to methyl 2-amino-6-bromobenzoate ( $0.363 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to rt and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 10 mL ), brine ( 20 mL ) added and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford the crude as yellow oil ( 368 mg ) which was used directly without further purification.

Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $0.338 \mathrm{~g}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-amino-6-bromophenyl)propan-2-ol (364 mg) and but-2-ynoic acid ( $139 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $35 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Fractions containing product were evaporated to dryness to afford impure N -(3-bromo-2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( 85 mg ) as a pale yellow solid.

Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(3-bromo-2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2ynamide $(80 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM $(4.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and
saturated brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $35 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 5-bromo-4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4Hbenzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$, over 3 steps) as a white waxy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.79 (s, 6H), 2.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.09 (dd, J=7.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), $7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $142.8,139.5,133.4,130.0,129.2,124.9,117.5,87.4,80.9,73.9,28.0,3.3$; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 278.0181$, found 278.0176

methyl 3-amino-4-isopropenyl-benzoate: $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a degassed solution of methyl 3-amino-4-bromobenzoate (200 mg, 0.87 mmol$), 4,4,5,5$-tetramethyl-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cesium carbonate ( $850 \mathrm{mg}, 2.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 4 mL ) and water $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 40 mL ) and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine ( 50 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product.The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $40 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford methyl 3-amino-4-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate ( $33.7 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $1.99-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 166.5, 144.9, 142.5, 131.9, 128.8, 128.1, 116.6, 115.7, 115.3, 51.8, 22.8; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 192.1$

methyl 4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine-7-carboxylate ( $m-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}-5 \mathrm{e}$ ):
Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $37.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to methyl 3-amino-4-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate ( 30.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and but-2-ynoic acid ( $15.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered
and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $40 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Fractions containing product were evaporated to dryness to afford crude methyl 3-(but-2-ynamido)-4-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate ( 49.0 mg ) as a pale yellow waxy solid.

Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to methyl 3-(but-2-ynamido)-4-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate (49 $\mathrm{mg})$ in DCM ( 3.5 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford pale yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford methyl 4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine-7-carboxylate ( $20.7 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$, over 2 steps) as a colorless oil which solidified on standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.61 (s, 6H), $2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz , DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 165.5, 144.0, 137.7, 135.8, 130.1, 128.1, 124.4, 123.7, 87.4, 79.4, 74.2, 52.2, 27.9, 3.4; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$258.1130, found 258.1135

methyl 4-amino-3-isopropenyl-benzoate: $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a degassed solution of methyl 4-amino-3-bromobenzoate ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cesium carbonate ( $1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 3.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 6 mL ) and water ( 0.6 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 40 mL ), and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product.The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $40 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford methyl 4-amino-3-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ) as an orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O\right) 2-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{dq}, J=3.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 166.3, 149.5, 142.4, 129.7, 129.5, 126.5, 116.0, 115.8, 113.8, 51.2, 23.0; LRMS $m / z(E S I+)[M+H]^{+} 192.1$.

methyl 4-(but-2-ynoylamino)-3-isopropenyl-benzoate: Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to methyl 4-amino-3-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and but-2-ynoic acid ( $49.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 3.4 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours. The reaction was incomplete and further but-2-ynoic acid ( $49.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the solution was stirred at rt for a further 5 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $40 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford methyl 4-(but-2-ynamido)-3-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate (128 mg, 97\%) as a pale yellow waxy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.99-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 166.6 , 151.0, 141.9, 137.8, 132.6, 129.8, 129.4, 125.6, 120.2, 118.2, 85.3, 75.4, 52.2, 24.6, 4.0; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M+H] ${ }^{+}$ 258.1.

methyl 4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine-6-carboxylate ( $\boldsymbol{p}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}-5 \mathrm{e}$ ):
Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to methyl 4-(but-2-ynamido)-3-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate $(123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 10.5 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 90 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 15$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford methyl 4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine-6carboxylate ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ) as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$
(d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 165.5 , 145.0, 141.4, 131.3, 129.8, 128.3, 124.4, 124.1, 88.0, 79.6, 74.2, 52.1, 27.9, 3.4; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 258.1130$, found 258.1135


## methyl 4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazine-5-carboxylate (o' $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}-5 \mathrm{e}$ ):

$\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a degassed solution of 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $0.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cesium carbonate ( $2.12 \mathrm{~g}, 6.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl 3-amino-2-bromobenzoate $(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of dioxane $(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 25 mL ) and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $40 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Fractions containing product were evaporated to dryness to afford impure methyl 3-amino-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate ( 245 mg ) as a brown oil which was used immediately in the next reaction.
Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to methyl 3-amino-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate ( 242 mg ) and but-2-ynoic acid ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 8.5 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at $r t$ for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product $(88 \mathrm{mg})$ as a pale pink waxy solid which was used without further purification.

Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to methyl 3-(but-2-ynamido)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzoate ( 80 mg ) in DCM ( 6.9 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford pale yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $30 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford methyl 4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine-5-carboxylate ( $34.4 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$, over 3 steps) as a white waxy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.61 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.07 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.86 (s, 3H), 7.23 (dd, J=7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.31 - 7.44 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 169.5, 143.8, 138.6, 129.4, 128.7, 128.7, 127.5, 127.0, 87.7, 79.7, 74.0, 52.8, 26.7, 3.4; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$258.1130, found 258.1135

$\boldsymbol{N}$-[2-(2-hydroxy-1,1-dimethyl-ethyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 2-(2-aminophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol (920 mg, 5.57 mmol ) and but-2-ynoic acid ( 472 mg , $5.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(28 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent removed under vaccum to afford the crude as a brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 25 to $60 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $898 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) as a pale yellow foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 10.83 (s, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ \mathrm{DMSO}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 150.7, 140.3, 135.7, 127.5, 126.9, 126.3, 125.5, 83.6, 75.9, 71.6, 25.6, 3.1; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M+H] 231.2

2-(2-aminophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol was synthesised according to literature procedure. ${ }^{15}$


5,5-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-4H-3,1-benzoxazepine (6): Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $0.075 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to N -(2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with half saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 15 to $30 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 5,5-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4,5-dihydrobenzo[d][1,3]oxazepine ( $63.2 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.31 $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $150.5,141.7,140.3,127.3,124.5,122.5,116.1,89.5,74.5,63.1$, 42.9, 28.0, 3.4; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$214.1232, found 214.1227.


N -(2-formylphenyl)but-2-ynamide: Manganese(IV) oxide ( $1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(2-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 12.5 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting suspension was stirred at rt for 17 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite and washed with DCM (50 mL ). The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford $N$-(2-formylphenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.3,7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90$ (dd, J = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 194.3, 151.1, 138.8, 135.4, 133.5, 124.6, 121.4, 121.3, 85.9, 75.2, 3.1; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M+H] 188.2


N-[2-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide: $n$-Butyllithium (1.6 M in hexane) ( $2.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to isopropyltriphenylphosphonium iodide ( $1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at for 30 minutes. A solution of $N$-(2-formylphenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $319 \mathrm{mg}, 1.70$ mmol ) in THF ( 8 mL ) was subsequently added and the resultant suspension warmed to rt and stirred for 3.5 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford orange oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $30 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(2-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ) as an orange oil which solidified upon standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 7.1 - $7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 150.9, 136.1, 134.6, 132.5, 129.8, 126.5, 125.3, 125.2, 121.3, 83.9, 75.8, 26.2, 19.2, 3.2; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M+H] 214.2


4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-5H-3,1-benzoxazepine (7): Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(2-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $151 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 15.5 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1.5 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford pale yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $20 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4,5-dihydrobenzo[d][1,3]oxazepine ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.24 $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 143.0 , $138.5,132.3,129.6,127.3,127.2,125.4,86.4,80.8,77.5,45.3,28.0,3.1$; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 214.1232, found 214.1227.


2-prop-1-ynyl-1,3-benzoxazole (8): trimethyl(prop-1-yn-1-yl)silane ( $0.26 \mathrm{ml}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBAF (1M in THF) (1.8 $\mathrm{ml}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to 2-chlorobenzo[d]oxazole ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, copper ( I ) iodide ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.68 \mathrm{ml}, 4.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 13 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 20 mL ) and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $30 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)benzo[d]oxazole ( 33.6 mg , $13 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.71 (ddd, $J=8.1,1.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ (ddd, $J=7.7,1.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 149.4, 146.7, 140.1, 126.5, 125.2, 119.9, 110.7, 93.6, 68.3, 3.8; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{ON}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 158.0606$, found 158.0601.

tert-butyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-oxo-1,4-benzoxazine-4-carboxylate: Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $1.92 \mathrm{~g}, 8.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2,2-dimethyl-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 7.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $1.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, N$-dimethylpyridin-4-amine ( $0.090 \mathrm{~g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge,
filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $20 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford tert-butyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine-4-carboxylate ( $1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $167.9,150.5,143.1$, 126.7, 125.2, 122.5, 118.3, 116.8, 85.8, 78.2, 27.8, 23.5; LRMS m/z (ESI-) [M+H]+ 278.0

2,2-dimethyl-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one was synthesised according to literature procedure. ${ }^{16}$


2,2-dimethyl-3-prop-1-ynyl-1,4-benzoxazine (9): Prop-1-yn-1-ylmagnesium bromide ( 0.5 M in THF) ( $3.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.80$ mmol ) was added dropwise to tert-butyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine-4-carboxylate $(450 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours before gradual warming to rt and stirring for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 15 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude tert-butyl (2-((2-methyl-3-oxohex-4-yn-2-yl)oxy)phenyl)carbamate ( 475 mg ) as a pale yellow oil which was used directly in the next reaction.
Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.045 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to crude tert-butyl (2-((2-methyl-3-oxohex-4-yn-2yl)oxy)phenyl)carbamate ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $30 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 2,2-dimethyl-3-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-2Hbenzo[b][1,4]oxazine ( $40.6 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$, over 2 steps) as a yellow oil which solidified on standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO) $1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (dd, J = 7.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 152.7, 144.9, 132.4, 129.4, 126.6, 122.0, 116.2, 93.9, 76.7, 74.3, 24.0, 4.0; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+200.1075$, found 200.1082


N-[1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)ethylidene]-2-methyl-propane-2-sulfinamide: Tetraethoxytitanium ( $5.96 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)ethan-1-one ( $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $(3.62 \mathrm{~g}, 29.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 30 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 90 minutes. The reaction was cooled to rt and diluted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ), quenched with water ( 50 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 20 to $50 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)ethylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide ( $1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.91-7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 182.2, 160.4, 134.6, 130.3, 120.0, 119.0, 117.6, 56.3, 21.5, 20.7; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M+H] 240.3

$\mathbf{N}$-[1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1-methyl-ethyl]-2-methyl-propane-2-sulfinamide: Methylmagnesium bromide ( 3.5 mL , 10.5 mmol ) was added dropwise to $N$-(1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)ethylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide ( 500 mg , $2.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10.5 mL ) at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes before warming to rt and stirring for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with half sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford $N$-(2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-yl)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide ( 534 mg , quant.) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 154.7, 132.6, 127.8, 126.0, 118.9, 116.3, 57.2, 54.7, 28.6, 28.4, 22.3; LRMS m/z (ESI-) [M-H]- 254.2

$N$-[1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1-methyl-ethyl]but-2-ynamide: Hydrogen chloride ( 2 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-yl)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide ( $520 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hours. Heptane was added ( 50 mL ) and the precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with heptane ( 20 mL ) and dried under vacuum to afford 2-(2-aminopropan-2$\mathrm{yl})$ phenol. HCl ( $253 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) as a white solid, which was used without further purification.

Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-aminopropan-2-yl)phenol. HCl ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33$ mmol ), but-2-ynoic acid ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N$-ethyl- $N$-isopropylpropan-2-amine ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours. 1 H -benzo[d][1,2,3]triazol-1-ol hydrate ( $206 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the resultant stirred for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 30 to $60 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Fractions containing product were evaporated to dryness to afford a mixture of product and starting material as a colorless oil. The oil was dissolved in DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with 2 M aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, passed through a phase separator and the solvent removed under vacuum to afford $N$-(2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-yl)but-2-ynamide (112 mg, 39\%, over 2 steps) as a white foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (td, J = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), $8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 154.4, 151.4, 131.1, 127.3, 126.7, 118.3, 116.2, 80.5, 76.7, 55.4, 27.1, 3.0; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 218.2$


4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-1,3-benzoxazine (10): Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ( $0.085 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to N -(2-(2-hydroxyphenyl) propan-2-yl)but-2-ynamide ( $99.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(4.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred for 20 minutes before addition of triethylamine ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.06$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, warming to rt and stirring for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and
evaporated to afford brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $30 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4Hbenzo[e][1,3]oxazine ( $13.9 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.43 (s, 6H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 6.94 (dd, $J=8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 147.0, 136.4, 128.1, 127.3, 125.6, 125.2, 114.8, 84.1, 73.0, 53.1, 32.1, 3.1; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$200.1075, found 200.1082.


4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,4-dihydroquinazoline (11): Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $295 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-aminopropan-2-yl)aniline ( $215 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and but-2-ynoic acid ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 8.9 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 20 to $70 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinazoline ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as a yellow oil which solidified on standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 4.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2.4 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 9.59(\mathrm{~s}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 141.9, 140.8, 136.0, 135.5, 130.9, 127.3, 126.8, $124.5,124.5,123.4,123.2,122.7,112.9,84.0,82.3,76.2,75.6,54.5,51.8,31.2,3.3,3.2$; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 199.12297$ found 199.12346


2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazin-4-one (12): Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $790 \mathrm{mg}, 3.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of but-2-ynoic acid ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 3.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 18 mL ) and the resultant stirred at rt for 10 minutes. 2aminobenzoic acid ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was subsequently added and the resultant suspension stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to afford crude amide as a yellow solid which was used directly.

The crude was dissolved in DCM ( 50 mL ), acetic anhydride ( $3.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) added and the resultant solution heated to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazin-4-one (113 $\mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$, over 2 steps) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.9,7.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $158.4,145.8,142.5,136.8,129.2$, 128.0, 126.6, 117.9, 90.7, 72.6, 3.6; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 186.0555$, found 186.0545.


N-[2-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide: Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $880 \mathrm{mg}, 4.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to (2aminophenyl) $\mathrm{MeOH}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 4.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and but-2-ynoic acid ( $341 \mathrm{mg}, 4.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 20 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting suspension was warmed to $r$ and stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 20 to $60 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford N -(2-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide (593 mg, 77\%) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 2.04 (s, 3H), 4.49 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), $5.34(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $150.8,134.9,134.4,127.3,127.0,125.3,124.1,84.1$, 75.6, 60.1, 3.1; LRMS $m / z$ (ESI-) found 188.1


2-prop-1-ynyl-4H-3,1-benzoxazine (13): Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to N -(2-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM (11 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was washed sequentially with water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude benzyl chloride.

Sodium hydride ( $46.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of crude benzyl chloride in DMF ( 25 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $r t$ and stirred for 7 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated brine ( 10 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and
brine ( 50 mL ) and dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford orange oil. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $50 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazine ( $5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%$, over 2 steps) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H); 13C NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 144.8, 138.9, 129.2, 127.4, 124.8, 123.9, 122.1, 86.7, 73.9, 66.8, 4.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 172.0762$, found 172.0759.


4-(2-aminophenyl)-1-methyl-piperidin-4-ol: $n$-Butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexanes) ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to tert-butyl (2-bromophenyl)carbamate (191 mg, 0.70 mmol ) in THF ( 2 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. 1-methylpiperidin-4-one ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the solution warmed to $r t$ and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous phase was adjusted to pH 8 using saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and washed with DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude 1'-methylspiro[benzo[d][1,3]oxazine-4,4'-piperidin]-2(1H)-one $(210 \mathrm{mg})$ as a yellow oil which was used without further purification in the next step.

Sodium hydroxide ( 2 M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to crude 1'-methylspiro[benzo[d][1,3]oxazine-4,4'-piperidin]-2(1H)-one ( 210 mg ) in EtOH ( 3 mL ). The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours, warmed to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 hour, then further heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 16 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue suspended in $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered. The solution was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing 1\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford 4-(2-aminophenyl)-1-methylpiperidin-4-ol ( $40.1 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$, over 2 steps) as a pale yellow waxy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ \mathrm{DMSO}) 1.78-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96$ (s, 1H), $5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49$ (ddd, $J=7.8,7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ (ddd, J = 7.9, 7.3, 1.5 Hz , 1H), 7.01 (dd, J = 7.8, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 147.4, 130.7, 127.2, 124.8, 116.2, 115.7, 69.9, 51.0, 46.1, 34.8; LRMS $m / z(E S I+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 207.2$


## 1'-methyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-spiro[3,1-benzoxazine-4,4'-piperidine] (14):

Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $39.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 4-(2-aminophenyl)-1-methylpiperidin-4-ol ( 39 mg , $0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and but-2-ynoic acid ( $16.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 1 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours. The reaction was incomplete and further but-2-ynoic acid ( $16.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $39.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the solution was stirred at rt for a further 45 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 2 mL ) and filtered through a syringe filter. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford crude amide as a white foam.

To a solution of the crude intermediate in DCM ( 4 mL ) was added methanesulfonic acid ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resultant solution was warmed to $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford yellow oil. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing 1\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford 1'-methyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)spiro[benzo[d][1,3]oxazine-4,4'-piperidine] ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$, over 2 steps) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $1.97-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{td}, J=11.7,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.72(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $6.98-7.05(m, 1 H), 7.09-7.15(m, 2 H), 7.16-7.21(m, 1 H) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 143.8, 138.5 , 130.1, 128.8, 127.7, 125.1, 122.4, 86.0, 77.7, 74.6, 50.7, 46.3, 36.0, 4.4; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 255.1497 found 255.1491


4-(dimethylamino)-N-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide: HATU ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 2-(2-aminophenyl)propan-2-ol ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-(dimethylamino)but-2-ynoic acid ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 3 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 15 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 20 mL ) and washed sequentially with half saturated brine ( 20 mL ). The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford 4-(dimethylamino)-N-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2ynamide ( $31.5 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ $-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $150.9,137.5,136.7,128.6,126.7,125.0,123.2,82.4,81.7,74.8,48.0,44.2,30.5$; LRMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI-) [MH] 259.1


3-(4,4-dimethyl-3,1-benzoxazin-2-yl)-N,N-dimethyl-prop-2-yn-1-amine (15): Methanesulfonic acid (0.03 ml, 0.46 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to 4-(dimethylamino)- N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 1.9 ml ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford orange oil. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford 3-(4,4-dimethyl-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazin-2-yl)-N,N-dimethylprop-2-yn-1-amine ( $13.9 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 144.4, 138.7, 132.4, 129.6, 128.8, 125.6, 123.8, 85.0, 80.5, 80.3, 48.4, 44.4, 28.7; LRMS $m / z(E S I+)[M+H]^{+} 243.2 ;$ HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 243.1497 found 243.1504


N3-[4-(1-methylindol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl]benzene-1,3-diamine (21): 3-Nitroaniline (567 mg, 4.10 mmol ), 3-(2-chloropyrimidin-4-yl)-1-methyl- 1 H -indole ( $1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 4.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid ( $777 \mathrm{mg}, 4.51$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were suspended in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sealed into a microwave tube. The reaction was heated to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour in the microwave reactor and cooled to rt. The reaction mixture was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude gum was triturated with MeOH to give a solid which was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum to afford crude 4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-N-(3-nitrophenyl)pyrimidin-2-amine ( 1.50 g ) as a pale yellow solid which was used directly without further purification.

Iron ( $576 \mathrm{mg}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to crude 4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-N-(3-nitrophenyl)pyrimidin-2-amine (890 mg ) and ammonia hydrochloride ( $64.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of $\mathrm{EtOH}(43.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and water ( 14.5 mL ). The resulting suspension was warmed to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2.5 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite and the solvent removed under vacuum. The crude residue was taken in DCM ( 50 mL ), washed with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and passed through a phase separator. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford N 1 -(4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)benzene-1,3-diamine ( $524 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$, over 2 steps) as a brown foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26-8.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 27{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 162.0, 160.2, 156.7, 148.7, 141.4, 137.6, 132.7, 128.6, 125.6, 122.5, 122.1, 120.8, $112.6,110.2,107.8,107.7,106.8,105.1,33.0 ;$ LRMS $m / z(E S I+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 316.2$


N-[3-[[4-(1-methylindol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl]amino]phenyl]prop-2-enamide (16): Acryloyl chloride ( $0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.87$ mmol ) was added dropwise to N1-(4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)benzene-1,3-diamine ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82$ mmol ) and triethylamine ( $0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 5 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO} 3(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM (3 x 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford brown waxy solid. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 40 to $90 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford N -(3-((4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2yl)amino) phenyl)acrylamide ( $57.0 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 3.90 (s, 3H), 5.75 (dd, $J=10.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ 6.6, 2.0 Hz, 1 H ), $7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.41$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 10.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 163.0, 161.9, 160.0, 157.0, 141.2, 139.0, 137.6, 133.2, 132.1, 128.4, 126.5, 125.5, 122.1, 122.1, 120.8, 114.8, 112.8, 112.5, 110.6, 110.3, 107.2, 33.0; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 370.1668$, found 370.1672


## $N$-[3-[[4-(1-methylindol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl]amino]phenyl]but-2-ynamide (17):

Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N 1 -(4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)benzene-1,3-diamine ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-butynoic acid ( $70.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 5 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford the crude as a brown wax. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 40 to $90 \%$ EtOAc in heptane. Fractions containing product were evaporated to dryness to afford N -(3-()4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-$3-\mathrm{yl})$ pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( $177 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid. 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.3-$ $8.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 13 \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 161.9, 160.0, 156.9,

ethyl 2-amino-4-[[4-(1-methylindol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl]amino]benzoate (22): Ethyl 4-amino-2-nitrobenzoate (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-(2-chloropyrimidin-4-yl)-1-methyl-1H-indole ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were suspended in $\mathrm{EtOH}(1.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sealed into a microwave tube. The reaction was heated to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour in the microwave reactor and cooled to rt . The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude residue purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM . Fractions containing products were evaporated to dryness to afford a 2:1 mixture of ethyl 4-((4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)-2-nitrobenzoate and $p$ TSA ( 317 mg ) as a brown solid which was used directly in the next reaction without further purification.

Iron ( $257 \mathrm{mg}, 4.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to methyl 4-((4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)-2-nitrobenzoate $(310 \mathrm{mg})$ and ammonia hydrochloride ( $28.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of EtOH ( 16 mL ) and water ( 5 mL ). The resulting suspension was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite and the solvent removed under vacuum to afford a brown residue. The residue was dissolved in DCM ( 20 mL ) and passed through a phase separator. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford ethyl 2-amino-4-((4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)benzoate ( $228 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$, over 2 steps) as a yellow foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 1.30 (t, J $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ $-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 167.2, 162.2, 159.7, 156.6, 152.4, $145.9,137.7,133.1,131.1,125.5,122.3,122.3,120.9,112.3,110.4,108.0,107.3,103.8,102.7,59.3,33.0,14.4 ;$ LRMS $m / z(E S I-)[M-H]^{-377.2}$.


4,4-dimethyl-N-[4-(1-methylindol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl]-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazin-7-amine
(18):

Methylmagnesium bromide ( 3 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( $2.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to ethyl 2-amino-4-((4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)benzoate ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to rt and stirred for 2.5 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (40 $\mathrm{mL})$ and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford 2-(2-amino-4-((4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)phenyl)propan-2-ol (314 mg) as a yellow solid which was used in the next reaction without further purification.

Dicyclohexylmethanediimine (176 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to 2-(2-amino-4-((4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)phenyl)propan-2-ol ( 370 mg ) and 2-butynoic acid ( $65.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 10 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with Et2O ( 50 mL ), filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to afford crude $N$-(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-5-((4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( 285 mg ) as a yellow solid.
Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-5-((4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)phenyl)but-2-ynamide ( 279 mg ) in DCM ( 10.7 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1.5 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford yellow foam. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford 4,4-dimethyl-N-(4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazin-7-amine ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$, over 3 steps) as a cream solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (ddd, J=8.2, 7.1, 1.2 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 162.2, 160.0, 156.7, 143.1, 141.0, 137.6,

ethyl 2-[3-methoxy-5-[(7-methoxy-4-quinolyl)oxy]-2-pyridyl]acetate:
4-Dimethylaminopyridine ( $3.01 \mathrm{~g}, 24.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to 4-chloro-7-methoxyquinoline ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 8.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl 2-(5-hydroxy-3-methoxypyridin-2-yl)acetate ${ }^{\text {a }}(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 8.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chlorobenzene ( 17 ml ) under nitrogen. The resulting suspension was warmed to $135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 18 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford brown solid. The crude product was absorbed on to silica and purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $5 \% 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. Fractions containing product were evaporated to dryness to afford ethyl 2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetate ( $2.62 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as a pink solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $1.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.31 (dd, $J=9.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.65 (d, J = $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 169.8, 160.8, 160.5, 154.5, 151.8, 151.3, 150.7, 141.9, 132.5, 122.7, 118.9, 115.1, 111.9, 107.4, 103.0, 60.2, 56.2, 55.5, 38.4, 14.0, 13.9; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M+H] ${ }^{+}$ 369.2
${ }^{\text {a }}$ ethyl 2-(5-hydroxy-3-methoxypyridin-2-yl)acetate was obtained from an in-house building block collection and previously made according to literature procedure ${ }^{17}$


2-[3-methoxy-5-[(7-methoxy-4-quinolyl)oxy]-2-pyridyl]acetic acid: Lithium hydroxide monohydrate (446 mg, 10.6 mmol ) was added to ethyl 2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetate ( $2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 7.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of THF ( 16.5 ml ) and water ( 11 ml ). The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours. THF was removed under vacuum and the resulting solution acidified to pH 3 by dropwise addition of 2 M HCl until pH 8 , followed by
addition of 1 M citric acid. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried under vacuum to afford 2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetic acid ( $2.32 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) as a cream solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.1,2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $12.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 171.3, 160.8, 160.5, 154.5, 151.8, 151.3, 150.5, 142.4, 132.4, $122.7,118.9,115.1,111.8,107.4,103.0,56.1,55.5,38.5 ;$ LRMS $m / z(E S I+)[M+H]^{+} 341.1$

tert-butyl $\quad N$-[[3-[[2-[3-methoxy-5-[(7-methoxy-4-quinolyl)oxy]-2-pyridyl]acetyl]amino]-5-methylphenyl]methyl]carbamate: O-(7-Azabenzotriazol-1-yl)- $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (275 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to tert-butyl 3-amino-5-methylbenzylcarbamate ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetic acid ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $0.21 \mathrm{ml}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(2 \mathrm{ml})$ at rt under nitrogen. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 16 hours. Water ( 20 mL ) and EtOAc ( 50 mL ) were added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated brine ( 20 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $100 \%$, 3:1 EtOAc:EtOH in heptane. Pure fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford tert-butyl 3-(2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetamido)-5methylbenzylcarbamate ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O, ~ 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 167.7, 160.8, 160.7, 155.7, 154.6, 151.9, 151.3, 150.3, 143.4, $140.6,139.2,137.6,132.5,122.7,122.4,118.9,118.0,115.1,114.9,111.8,107.4,102.9,77.7,56.2,55.5,43.4,40.6$, 28.3, 21.2; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M+H]+559.3


N-[3-(aminomethyl)-5-methyl-phenyl]-2-[3-methoxy-5-[(7-methoxy-4-quinolyl)oxy]-2-pyridyl]acetamide: 2,2,2trifluoroacetic acid ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to tert-butyl (3-(2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetamido)-5-methylbenzyl)carbamate ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 4 mL ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 90 minutes. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the resultant residue was diluted with EtOAc ( 50 mL ), and washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and saturated brine ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge. The resulting solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue was azeotroped with heptane to afford desired $N$-(3-(aminomethyl)-5-methylphenyl)-2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetamide (178 mg, $83 \%$ ) as a cream solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ - 7.36 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 9.99 (s, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 167.6, 160.8, 160.7, 154.6, 151.9, 151.3, 150.3, 144.7, 143.4, $139.1,137.4,132.5,122.7,122.5,118.9,117.5,115.1,115.0,111.8,107.4,102.9,56.2,55.5,45.7,40.5,21.2 ;$ LRMS $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 459.3$


N-[[3-[[2-[3-methoxy-5-[(7-methoxy-4-quinolyl)oxy]-2-pyridyl]acetyl]amino]-5-methyl-phenyl]methyl]prop-2-
enamide (25): A solution of acryloyl chloride ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of $N$-(3-(aminomethyl)-5-methylphenyl)-2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2yl )acetamide ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and N -ethyl- N -isopropylpropan-2-amine ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 5 mL ) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 minutes. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue purified by flash silica chromatography, elution gradient 0 to $60 \%$ 3:1 EtOAc:EtOH in heptane. Pure fractions were
evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(3-(2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetamido)-5methylbenzyl)acrylamide ( $55.0 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as a white foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO) $2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $17.1,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $167.8,164.5,160.8,160.7,154.6,151.9,151.3,150.3$, $143.3,139.7,139.3,137.8,132.5,131.7,125.4,122.9,122.7,118.9,118.1,115.2,115.1,111.8,107.4,102.9,56.2$, 55.5, 42.2, 40.5, 21.2; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 513.2138$, found 513.2121

methyl 2-amino-5-[[2-[3-methoxy-5-[(7-methoxy-4-quinolyl)oxy]-2-pyridyl]acetyl]amino]benzoate: HATU (614 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to methyl 2,5 -diaminobenzoate ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetic acid ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N$-ethyl- $N$-isopropylpropan-2-amine $(0.77 \mathrm{ml}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 200 mL ) and washed with saturated brine $(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford methyl 2-amino-5-(2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetamido)benzoate ( $523 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) as a beige solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.81 $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $167.6,167.1,160.8,160.6,154.6,151.8$, $151.3,150.3,147.7,143.4,132.4,127.5,127.0,122.7,121.0,118.9,116.6,115.1,111.7,108.1,107.4,102.9,56.2$, 55.5, 51.3; LRMS m/z (ESI+) [M+H]+ 489.3


N-(4,4-dimethyl-2-prop-1-ynyl-3,1-benzoxazin-6-yl)-2-[3-methoxy-5-[(7-methoxy-4-quinolyl)oxy]-2-
pyridyl]acetamide (26): Methyl 2-amino-5-(2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2yl )acetamido) benzoate ( $435 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 8 ml ) and methylmagnesium bromide (3M in Et2O) ( $1.187 \mathrm{ml}, 3.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) added dropwise. The resultant solution was stirred at rt for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 10 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude $N$-(4-amino-3-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl) acetamide ( 438 mg ) as a brown waxy solid.

Dicyclohexylmethanediimine ( $193 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to N -(4-amino-3-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl) acetamide ( 435 mg ) and but-2-ynoic acid ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 4.0 ml ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\operatorname{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford crude $N$-[4-[[2-[3-methoxy-5-[(7-methoxy-4-quinolyl)oxy]-2-pyridyl]acetyl]amino]-2-(1-methoxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide ( 512 mg ) which was used directly without further purification. Methanesulfonic acid ( $0.289 \mathrm{ml}, 4.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to crude $N$-[4-[[2-[3-methoxy-5-[(7-methoxy-4-quinolyl)oxy]-2-pyridyl]acetyl]amino]-2-(1-methoxy-1-methyl-ethyl)phenyl]but-2-ynamide (510 mg ) in DCM ( 20 ml ) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was warmed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over a phase separating cartridge, filtered and evaporated to afford orange oil. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (Waters XSelect CSH C18 ODB column, $5 \mu$ silica, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length), using decreasingly polar mixtures of water (containing $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) and MeCN as eluents. Fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$-(4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazin-6-yl)-2-(3-methoxy-5-((7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)oxy)pyridin-2-yl)acetamide ( $255 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$, over 3 steps) as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O\right) ~ 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $167.9,160.8,160.6,154.6,151.9,151.3,150.4,143.1,141.9,138.7,132.8,132.5,131.5,124.7,122.7$,
118.9, 118.8, 115.1, 113.1, 111.8, 107.4, 102.9, 86.2, 78.8, 74.5, 56.2, 55.5, 40.5, 27.9, 3.4; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 537.2138$, found 537.2120
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