SUPPORTING INFORMATION

(Electrochemical) Properties and Computational Investigations of Ferrocenyl-Substituted Fe₃(μ_3 -PFc)₂(CO)₉ and Co₄(μ_4 -PFc)₂(CO)₉ Clusters and their Reduced Species

Marcus Korb,^{a)*} Xianming Liu,^{b)} Sebastian Walz,^{b)} Marco Rosenkranz,^{c)} Evgenia Dmitrieva,^{c)} Alexey A. Popov,^{c)} and Heinrich Lang^{b,d)*}

- a) The University of Western Australia, Faculty of Sciences, School of Molecular Sciences, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, Perth, Western Australia 6009, Australia
- b) Technische Universität Chemnitz, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Institute of Chemistry, Inorganic Chemistry, D-09107 Chemnitz, Germany
- c) Leibniz Institute for Solid State and Materials Research (IFW Dresden), Helmholtzstrasse 20, 01069 Dresden, Germany
- d) d) Center for Materials, Architectures and Integration of Nanomembranes (MAIN), Rosenbergstr. 6, D-09126 Chemnitz (Germany)

Corresponding Authors

E-mail: M.K.: marcus.korb@uwa.edu.au; H.L.: heinrich.lang@chemie.tu-chemnitz.de

Table of Contents	Page
Figure S1. NIR spectra of 4.	S2
Figure S2. Cyclic voltammogram of 4 measured with a Pt wire.	S2
Figure S3. CV and SWV of 5.	S3
Figure S4. DFT optimized structures of 5, $[5]^-$ and $[5]^{2-}$.	S3
Figure S5. Frequencies of various conformations and dependency between	
Fe···Fe and P···P distances in Fe ₃ P ₂ clusters.	S4
Synthesis of the Starting Materials	
Table S1. Crystal data of 4 and 5.	S6
¹ H, ¹³ C{ ¹ H} and ³¹ P{ ¹ H} NMR spectra of new compounds 4 and 5 .	S7

NIR Spectroelectrochemistry



Figure S1. NIR spectra of **4** at 25 °C in dichloromethane (2 mmol L⁻¹) at rising (left) and decreasing potentials (right). All potentials *vs.* Ag/AgCl; supporting electrolyte 0.1 mol L⁻¹ of [N^{*n*}Bu₄][B(C₆F₅)₄]; Pt working electrode.

CV Measurements



Figure S2. Cyclic voltammogram of **4** measured in a spectroelectrochemical cell (sweep rate 3 mV s^{-1}) in a dichloromethane solution (0.5 mmol L⁻¹) at 25 °C with a Pt working electrode. Supporting electrolyte 0.5 mol L⁻¹ of [NⁿBu₄][B(C₆F₅)₄]. All potentials *vs.* the AgCl-coated Ag wire. An EPR signal could neither be obtained during the first, nor the second oxidation process.



Figure S3. Cyclic voltammogram (left: scan rate 100 mV s⁻¹) and square-wave voltammogram (right: step-height 25 mV, pulse-width 2 s, amplitude 5 mV) of **5** in a dichloromethane solution (1.0 mmol L⁻¹) at 25 °C measured with a glassy carbon working electrode. Supporting electrolyte 0.1 mol L⁻¹ of [N^{*n*}Bu₄][B(C₆F₅)₄]). (Fc* = Fe(η^{5} -C₅Me₅)₂)



Figure S4. DFT optimized structures of 5, [5]⁻ and [5]²⁻.



Figure S5. The histogram (left) shows the difference (Δ_{FeFe}) between the longest and the shortest Fe–Fe bond distance ($\Delta_{FeFe} = 0$, perfectly trigonal bipyramidal with a trigonal Fe₃ plane; $\Delta_{FeFe} > 0$, square pyramidal with a square planar Fe₂P₂ plane, capped by a phosphine) and selected substituents R bonded to the P atoms within the cluster core. The right diagram shows the relationship between longest Fe···Fe and the P···P distance. Further CSD ref codes, which are not shown in the Diagram are: BINMUG, BINMUG, FAZCEO, FAZCIS, FOBWEY, JOGZIO, JOGZOU, MEFWUP, MEFXAW, NAQGIV, NAQHAO, PIFGOA, TOPXEB, VUPLIB, WEZLAO, YUVHOM, YUVHOM, featuring C-, S-, P-, Au- based groups R, or {FeCp(CO)₂}, {MnCp(CO)₂} and H substituents.

Synthesis of the Starting Materials

Synthesis of diethyl ferrocenylphosphonate (1)



In a Schlenk tube, ferrocene (4.00 g, 22 mmol) and KO^tBu (0.54 g, 5 mmol) were dissolved in 100 mL THF and cooled to - 80 °C followed by the dropwise addition of ^tBuLi (10 mL, 19 mmol). After stirring of the reaction mixture at this temperature for 30 minutes, CIP(O)(OEt)₂ (3 mL, 20 mmol) was added. The solution was allowed to warm to ambient temperature where stirring was continued for 12 h. The dark mixture was poured into

200 mL of an aqueous 1 *M* NaOH solution, extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 30 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. After filtration and removal of all volatiles the dark precipitate was purified by column chromatography (Silica, 4 x 12 cm column size). The excess of ferrocene was removed using dichloromethane, followed by a 7/3 dichloromethane/THF mixture to remove the product. After removal of all volatiles the title compound was obtained as a brown oil.

Yield: 4.9 g (15 mmol, 79 % based on ${}^{t}BuLi$). Spectroscopic data are in agreement with those reported in literature.¹ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 1.37 (t, ${}^{3}J(H, H) = 7.1$ Hz, 6H, CH₃), 4.16 (m, 4H, CH₂), 4.34 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.42 (m, 2H, C₅H₄), 4.54 (m, 2H, C₅H₄). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 16.9 (d, ${}^{3}J(C, P) = 6.5$ Hz), 62.0 (d, ${}^{2}J(C, P) = 6$ Hz), 67.3 (d, ${}^{1}J(C, P) = 215.3$ Hz), 70.2 (s), 71.6 (d, ${}^{2}J(C, P) = 14.1$ Hz), 71.9 (d, ${}^{3}J(C, P) = 15.6$ Hz). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 27.0.

Synthesis of ferrocenylphosphane (2)



In a Schlenk tube, **1** (3.00 g, 9.32 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL of degassed diethyl ether and the solution was cooled to 0 °C using an ice bath. A tablet of LiAlH₄ (~ 600 mg, 15.8 mmol) and ClSiMe₃ (1.2 mL, 9.3 mmol) was added in a single portion and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature where stirring was continued for 18 h. A water bath was placed under the

reaction vessel and ~ 5 mL of degassed water was carefully added. Caution: the initial drops may cause an intense gas formation. Afterwards, degassed H₂SO₄ (w = 30%) was added until the pH value turned below 4. The now clear aqueous phase was extracted with degassed diethyl ether under an argon atmosphere until the organic phases stayed colourless. They were dried by filtering them through a plug of MgSO₄ into a Schlenk tube. After washing of the MgSO₄ plug with degassed diethyl ether and removal of all volatiles in vacuo, the title compound was obtained as a red oil, which solidified slowly.

Yield: 2.0 g (9.2 mmol, 97 % based on **1**). Spectroscopic data are in agreement with those reported in literature.² ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 4.31–4.29 (m, 4H, C₅H₄), 4.20 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 3.86 (d, ¹*J*(H, P) = 203 Hz, 2H, PH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 75.7 (d, *J*(C, P) = 14.0 Hz, C₅H₄), 70.7 (d, *J*(C, P) = 3.6 Hz, C₅H₄), 69.3 (s, C₅H₅), 64.1 (d, ¹*J*(C, P) = 4.7 Hz, C–P). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, δ in ppm): –143.6 (t, ¹*J*(P, H) = 203 Hz).

Synthesis of dichloro ferrocenylphosphine (3)



A solution of compound **2** (2.00 g, 9.17 mmol) and 30 mL of degassed dichloromethane was cooled to -10 °C and a phosgene solution (10 mL, 18.34 mmol in toluene) was dropwise added. After completion of the addition the solution was allowed to warm to ambient temperature where stirring was continued overnight. All volatiles were removed in vacuo **3** was obtained as a

red oil, which solidified slowly.

Yield: 2.6 g (9.17 mmol, 99 % based on **2**). Spectroscopic data are in agreement with those reported in literature.² ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 4.61-4.59 (m, 4H, CH an C₅H₄), 4.30 (s, 5H, CH an C₅H₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 79.5 (d, ¹J(C, P) = 52.3 Hz, C-P), 73.3 (d, ³J(C, P) = 4.5 Hz, CH an C₅H₄), 71.1 (d, ²J(C, P) = 22.5 Hz, CH an C₅H₄), 70.0 (s, CH an C₅H₅). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 164.6 (s).

 Table S1. Crystallographic data for clusters 4 and 5.

Compound	4	4	5
CCDC	1935472	1935473	1935474
empirical formula	$C_{29}H_{18}Fe_5O_9P_2$	$C_{29}H_{18}Fe_5O_9P_2$	$C_{30}H_{18}Co_4Fe_2O_{10}P_2$
formula weight	851.62 g/mol	851.62 g/mol	947.8 g/mol
temperature	125(2) K	300 K	299 K
wavelength	0.71073 Å	1.54178 Å	1.54184 Å
crystal system	orthorhombic	orthorhombic	monoclinic
space group	$Cmc2_1$	Cmc2 ₁	P21/c
unit cell dimensions			
a/Å	20.5877(7)	20.7092(17)	10.267(5)
b/Å	15.1700(6)	15.4436(13)	10.871(5)
c/Å	9.6197(3)	9.6876(8)	14.812(5)
α/°	90	90	90
β/°	90	90	107.563(5)
γ/°	90	90	90
volume / Å ³	3004.38(18)	3098.3(4)	1576.1(12)
Ζ	4	4	2
Density / Mg/m ³	1.883	1.826	1.997
absorption coefficient / mm ⁻¹	2.517	19.767	24.758
F(000)	1696	1696	936
crystal size /mm	0.2 x 0.2 x 0.2	0.20 x 0.20 x 0.10	0.10 x 0.10 x 0.10
θ range for data collection	3.258–25.996°	3.570–65.489°	5.135–65.499°
-	–25 ≤ h ≤ 25	$-24 \le h \le 23$	–11 ≤ <i>h</i> ≤ 12
limiting indices	–18 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 18	–18 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 18	–12 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 12
-	–11 ≤ / ≤ 11	–11 ≤ / ≤ 11	–17 ≤ / ≤ 17
collected reflections	12938	10204	24910
independent reflections	3012	2508	2689
R(int)	0.0303	0.0579	0.0426
completeness to θ	99.50%	99.30%	99.30%
data/restraints/parameters	3012 / 1 / 217	2508 / 1 / 218	2689 / 0 / 217
goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.021	1.128	1.112
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}; \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} / e \text{Å}^{-3}$	0.278; -0.178	0.426; -0.433	0.283; -0.270
$R_1 \left[l > 2\sigma(l) \right]$	0.0174	0.0421	0.0245
ωR_2 (all data)	0.0420	0.0992	0.0561
abs. struct. parameter ³	-0.007(8)	0.075(13)	-

References

³ Flack, H. D.; On enantiomorph-polarity estimation; *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A* **1983**, *39*, 876–881.

¹ Oms, O.; Maurel, F.; Carré, F.; Le Bideau, J.; Vioux, A.; Leclercq. D.; Improved synthesis of diethyl ferrocenyl-phosphonate, crystal structure of $(FcPO_3Et_2)_2 \cdot ZnCl_2$, and electrochemistry of ferrocenylphosphonates, $FcP(O)(OR)_2$, $FcCH_2P(O)(OR)_2$, 1,1'-fc[$P(O)(OR)_2$] and $[FcP(O)(OEt)_2]_2 \cdot ZnCl_2$ ($Fc = (\eta^5C_5H_5)Fe(\eta^5C_5H_4)$), $fc = (\eta^5C_5H_4)Fe(\eta^5C_5H_4)$, R = Et, H); *Organometallics* **2004**, *689*, 2654–2661.

² Cotton, F. A.; Troup, J. M.; Reactivity of diiron nonacarbonyl in tetrahydrofuran. I. Isolation and characterization of pyridinetetracarbonyliron and pyrazinetetracarbonyliron; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 3438–3443.

¹H, ¹³C{¹H} and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of new compounds 4 and 5

¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 4

LXM-V19-3-F4 PROTON CDCl3 {C:\Bruker\TOPSPIN} korbm 37



chemical shift / ppm

¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of 4

LXM-V19-3-F4 C13CPD CDCl3 {C:\Bruker\TOPSPIN} korbm 50



¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 5

LXM-V21-F2 PROTON CDCl3 {C:\Bruker\TOPSPIN} korbm 25





¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of 5

LXM-V21-F2 C13CPD CDCl3 {C:\Bruker\TOPSPIN} korbm 25



240 230 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 chemical shift / ppm 90 80 50 30 20S10 70 60 40