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## 1. Experimental details

### 1.1 Materials:

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources (Sigma Aldrich and Adamasbeta) and used as supplied unless otherwise mentioned. The starting material $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{IrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}{ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}=\right.$ $\eta^{5}$-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) was prepared by literature method.

### 1.2 Methods:

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE I 400 and VANCE-DMX 500 Spectrometers. Spectra were recorded at room temperature and referenced to the residual protonated solvent for NMR spectra. Proton chemical shift $\left(\delta \mathrm{H}=7.26\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\delta \mathrm{C}=77.16\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\right)$; and $((\delta \mathrm{H}=$ $\left.3.31\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)\right)$ are reported relative to the solvent residual peak. Coupling constants are expressed in hertz. Elemental analyses were performed on an Elementar Vario EL III analyzer. IR spectra of the solid samples ( KBr tablets) in the range $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were recorded on a Nicolet AVATAR360IR spectrometer. ESI-MS spectra were recorded on a Micro TOF II mass spectrometer using electrospray ionization.

Thermogravimetric (TGA) analysis was carried out using a Q5000IR analyzer (TA Instruments) with an automated vertical overhead thermobalance. The samples were heated at the rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ using $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as the protective gas. Before measurements, the solids were blown under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ for 30 min to remove the surface-physically adsorbed molecules.

Intelligent Gravimetric Analyser (IGA) analysis was carried out using an IGA100B instrument with an automated vertical thermobalance. Samples were analyzed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}_{0}=0.05$, $0.10,0.15,0.20,0.25,0.30,0.35 \ldots 0.90,0.95$.

Gas chromatography (GC) analysis: GC measurements were carried out using a PE680-ST8 instrument configured with an FID detector and a DB-624 column ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Samples were analyzed using headspace injections and were performed by incubating the sample at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes followed by sampling 1 mL of the headspace. The relative uptakes of hexane
isomers in complex 3a were measured by heating to release the adsorbed vapor and detecting the relative amounts of isomers in the released vapor using gas chromatography. Before measurements, the solids were blown under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ for 30 min to remove the surface-physically adsorbed molecules.

### 1.3 Synthetic Procedures:



Scheme S1. Synthesis of Ligand 1.
synthesis of Ligand 1. A suspension of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ in $n$-hexane, $1.3 \mathrm{ml}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $p$-carborane ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether 10 ml at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 1 h , then Phenyl isothiocyanate $(2 \mathrm{ml})$ was added at room temperature and the resulting mixture was stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with dilute HCl and the organic phase was separated and the water phase extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was then removed under vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with petroleum ether gave Ligand 1 as a yellow solid (yield: $320 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=2.43-3.50(\mathrm{br}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H); 7.46 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar-H}) ; 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (101 MHz; CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $\delta=88.87$ (cage C); 124.10, 127.82, 129.25, 138.39 (Ar-C); 188.07 (N-C=S). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( 160 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=-12.97,-14.03 . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=693,752,1029,1358,1497,1645,2561$, $3437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Calcd for Ligand 1 : $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 46.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.35, \mathrm{~N}, 6.76$. Found: C, $46.03 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.52; $\mathrm{N}, 6.44$. $\mathrm{ESI}-\mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=416.2298$ (calcd for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 416.2268$ ).



Scheme S2. Synthesis of Ligand 2.

Synthesis of Ligand 2. A suspension of $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ in $n$-hexane, $1.3 \mathrm{ml}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $p$-carborane $(144 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether 10 ml at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 1 h , then methyl isothiocyanate $(150 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at room temperature and the resulting mixture was stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with dilute HCl and the organic phase was separated and the water phase extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was then removed under vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with petroleum ether gave Ligand 2 as a light yellow solid (yield: $230 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=1.63-2.84(\mathrm{br}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}) ; 3.04,3.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 7.41$ (s, 2H, N-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (101 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=35.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 81.69$ (cage C); $189.28(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (160 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=-12.59,-13.13,-14.18,-14.82,-15.59 . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=$ 695, 754, 1023, 1495, 2559, $3427 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Calcd for Ligand 2: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 24.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.25, \mathrm{~N}$, 9.65. Found: C, 24.50; H, 6.52; N, 9.44. ESI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=291.1980$ (calcd for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 291.1991$ ).


Scheme S3. Synthesis of complex 3a.

Synthesis of complex 3a. Precursor 1: $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{IrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1,4-di(4-pyridyl) benzene ( $23.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\operatorname{AgOTf}(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ stirring for 10 h . Then potassium acetate $(10 \mathrm{mg})$ and the ligand $\mathbf{1}(40.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the mixture at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 24 h then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and further purified via neutral alumina gel chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ : $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 50: 1\right)$. Red solids were obtained and dried under vacuo to give the complex 3a: $138 \mathrm{mg} 82 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 1.68-3.38(\mathrm{br}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}) ; 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.00(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.29$ (t, $8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H); 7.41 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazine-H); 8.75 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 8 H, pyrazine-H). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=-13.12$. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=814$, $1028,1093,1156,1265,1443,1485,1525,1638,1715,2192,2580,2850,2967 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for complex 3a: $\mathrm{C}_{104} \mathrm{H}_{120} \mathrm{~B}_{20} \mathrm{Ir}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 48.13 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.66 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.32$. Found: C, 48.47; H, 4.42; N, 4.31 .


Scheme S4. Synthesis of complex 3b.

Synthesis of complex 3b. The ligand $2(29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium acetate $(10 \mathrm{mg})$ and AgOTf $(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the precursor 1 at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 24 h then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and further purified via neutral alumina gel chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 50: 1\right)$. Yellow solids were obtained and dried under vacuo to give the complex 3b: $123 \mathrm{mg} 85 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=1.78-2.63$ (br, 16H, B-H); 1.71 (s, 60H, Cp*-H); $3.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}) ; 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}) ; 8.97(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}) ; 9.77$ (s, 4H, NH); 7.75 (s, 8H, Ph-H). ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=78.77 .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $\mathrm{ppm}): \delta=-12.01 . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=817,1025,1090,1153,1268,1441,1483,1584,1634$, 1773, 2575, $3245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for complex 3b: $\mathrm{C}_{86} \mathrm{H}_{116} \mathrm{~B}_{20} \mathrm{Ir}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{12}: \mathrm{C}, 35.33 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.00; N, 3,83. Found: C, 35.20; H, 3.79; N, 4.11.


Scheme S5. Synthesize of complex 4a.
Synthesis of complex 4a. Precursor 2: $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{IrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}), 1,2 \mathrm{di}(4$-pyridyl) ethylene ( $18.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and AgOTf $(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ stirring for 10 h . Then the ligand $\mathbf{1}(40.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium acetate $(10 \mathrm{mg})$ were added to the precursor $\mathbf{2}$ in dark at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 24 h then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and further purified via neutral alumina gel chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ : $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 50: 1$ ). Red solid were obtained and dried under vacuo to give the complex $\mathbf{4 a}: 99.8 \mathrm{mg}$ $79 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=1.95-2.88(\mathrm{br}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}) ; 1.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 6.89$ (d, 8H, Ar-H); $7.12(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.17(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, ethylene-H); $7.26(8 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazineH); 8,80,8.86 ( 8 H, pyrazine-H). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $\left.160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=-12.74$. IR ( KBr disk, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $v=814,1028,1095,1153,1261,1442,1485,1524,1593,1635,1670,1712,2585,2850,2961 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for complex 4a: $\mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{116} \mathrm{~B}_{20} \mathrm{Ir}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 46.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.69 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.49$. Found: C, 46.01; H, 4.95; N, 4.16.


Scheme S6. Synthesize of complex 5a.
Synthesis of complex 5a. Precursor 3: $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{IrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}), 4,4$-bipyridine $(15.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgOTf}(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ stirring for 10 h . Then the ligand $\mathbf{1}(40.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium acetate $(10 \mathrm{mg})$ were added to the precursor $\mathbf{3}$ in dark at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 24 h then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and further purified via neutral alumina gel chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ : $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 50: 1\right)$. Red solids were obtained and dried under vacuo to give the complex 5a: $96.3 \mathrm{mg} 80 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=1.94-2.87(\mathrm{br}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}) ; 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp} *-\mathrm{H}) ; 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4$ Hz, Ar-H); $7.0(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazine-H); $8.97(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazine-H$) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $\left.160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=-12.57 . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=$ $814,1028,1095,1153,1261,1442,1485,1524,1593,1635,1712,2585,2850,2961 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for complex 5a: $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{112} \mathrm{~B}_{20} \mathrm{Ir}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ : C, 45.23; H, 4.62; N, 4.59. Found: C, 45.03; H, 4.94; N, 4.19.


Scheme S7. Synthesize of complex 5b.
Synthesis of complex $\mathbf{5 b}$. The ligand $2(29.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium acetate $(10 \mathrm{mg})$ were added to the precursor 3 in dark at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 24 h then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and further purified via neutral alumina gel chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 50: 1\right)$. Red solids were obtained and dried under vacuo to give the complex 5b: $104.8 \mathrm{mg} 75 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=1.78-2.04(\mathrm{br}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}) ;$ $1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp} *-\mathrm{H}) ; 3.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{py}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, py-H); $8.46(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, py-H); $9.12(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, py-H); $9.71(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=78.13 .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=-14.22$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=814,1028,1095,1153,1261,1442,1485,1524,1593,1635,1712,2585$, 2850, $3421 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for complex 5b: $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{108} \mathrm{~B}_{20} \mathrm{Ir}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ : C, 32.66; H, 3.89; N, 4.01. Found: C, 32.33; H, 3.94; N, 4.29.


Scheme S8. Synthesize of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexanec3a.
Synthesis of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexaneс3a. The complex $\mathbf{3 a}$ was soaked in the $n$-hexane solution for one day. Then the solids were blown under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 mins. Red solids were obtained to give the complex n-hexane $\subset 3 a .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm): $\delta=0.88,1.25$ (m, 28H, $n$-hexane); $\delta=1.82-2.20$ (br, 16H, B-H); 1.67 (s, 60H, Cp*-H); 7.34 (m, 20H, Ph-Carborane); 7.56 (d, $J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, PyH); $8.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Py-H); 7.77 (s, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-1,4-\mathrm{di}\left(4\right.$-pyridyl) benzene). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( 160 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=-9.95,-21.23,-52.70 . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=817,1025,1090,1156,1265,1442$, $1483,1524,1634,1713,2575,2850,2967 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Scheme S9. Synthesize of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-pentaneС4a.
Synthesis of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-pentanec4a. The complex $\mathbf{4 a}$ was soaked in the $n$-pentane solution for one day. Then the solids were blown under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 mins. Dark red solids were obtained to give the complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-pentane $\subset \mathbf{4 a} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=0.92,1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, n$-pentane $) ;$ $1.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 6.98(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.20(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.25(4 \mathrm{H}$, enthylene-H) 7.07, $7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}$,

Py-H); 8.08, $8.64(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $\left.160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=-9.95,-21.23,-52.70 . \mathrm{IR}$ $\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disk $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=814,1027,1096,1152,1261,1442,1485,1524,1594,1636,1673,1712$, 2585, 2850, $2961 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Scheme S10. Synthesize of complex 2-methylpentane $\subset 4$ a.
Synthesis of complex 2-methylpentane $\subset 4$ a. The complex 4a was soaked in the 2-methylpentane solution for one day. Then the solids were blown under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 mins. Dark red solids were obtained to give the complex 2-methylpentanec4a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=0.88$, 1.11, 1.26 (m, 14H, 2-methylpentane); 1.61 ( $\mathrm{s}, 60 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}) ; 6.99$ (4H, Ph-H); 7.12 (8H, Ph-H); 7.16 (8H, Py-H) 7.28 (s, 4H, enthylene-H); 8.79, 8.89 (8H, Py-H). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $\left.160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=-0.51,-11.77$. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=814,1025,1096$, $1153,1260,1440,1485,1525,1594,1636,1672,1712,2585,2850,2960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Synthesis of complex 3-methylpentaneС4a. The complex 4a was soaked in the 3-methylpentane solution for one day. Then the solids were blown under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 mins. Dark red solids were obtained to give the complex 3-methylpentaneС4a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=0.85$,
$0.99,1.25,1.33$ (3-methylpentane); $1.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}) ; 6.99(4 \mathrm{H}$, Ph-H); 7.12 ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.17$ ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}$ ) 7.28 (s, 4H, enthylene-H); 8.81, 8.90 (8H, Py-H).


Scheme S11. Synthesize of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-butane $\subset 5 \mathbf{5 a}$.
Synthesis of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-butanec5a. The gas of $\boldsymbol{n}$-butane was passed in the solution of complex $\mathbf{5 a}$ solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. One day later, the solution was evaporated. Then the solids were blown under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 mins. Yellow solids were obtained to give the complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-butaneC5a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=0.88,1.27(\mathrm{~m}, n$-butane) ; $1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp} *-\mathrm{H}) ; 6.85(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.01(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-$ H); $7.31(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.45,7.53(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $\left.160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=-4.91,-11.65$, -19.11. IR (KBr disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v=814,1027,1096,1150,1260,1443,1485,1525,1595,1636,1713$, 2585, 2850, $2960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## NMR Data:



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\mathbf{L} 1$.


Figure S2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathbf{L 1}$.

## 金登



Figure S3. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathbf{L} 1$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathbf{L 2}$.


Figure S5. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathbf{L 2}$.


Figure S6. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathbf{L 2}$.


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) of $\mathbf{3 a}$.
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Figure S8. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 a}$.


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}$.

[^0]Figure S10. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $378 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathbf{3 b}$.


Figure S11. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathbf{3 b}$.


Figure S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ROESY $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ spectrum of complex $\mathbf{3 b}$ (Py: the pyridine of 1,4-di(4-pyridyl) benzene).


Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S14. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $\left.160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S15. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{5 a}$.


Figure S16. ${ }^{11}$ B NMR ( $\left.160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 a}$.


Figure S17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{5 b}$


Figure S18. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of 5b.


Figure S19. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $378 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathbf{5 b}$.


Figure S20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ROESY $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ spectrum of complex $\mathbf{5 b}$ (Py: the pyridine of 1,4-di(4-pyridyl) benzene).


Figure S21. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 a}$.


Figure S22. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 a}$.


Figure S23. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) for $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 a}$.


Figure S24. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 a}$. The diffusion coefficient of 1 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was measured to be $3.56 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure S25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of free $n$-hexane. The diffusion coefficient of 1 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was measured to be $4.75 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure S26. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) for transformation from $\mathbf{3 a}$ to $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexanec3a. (a) complex 3a, (b) $n$-hexane: complex 3a = 1: 1, (c) complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexanec3a. Green triangles denote complex 3a signals; red circles denote complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 a}$ signals.


Figure S27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) (a) $n$-hexane; (b) complex 3a after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuo; (c) complex 3a after exposing to $n$-hexane vapor for 12 h ; (d) complex 3a after soaking in $n$-hexane solution for 24 h . The proton signals change in the spectrum. It indicates that the complex 3a can uptake $n$-hexane both in vapour and solution system.


Figure S28. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) (a) 2-methylpentane; (b) complex 3a after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuo; (c) complex 3a after exposing to 2-methylpentane vapor for 12 h ; (d) complex 3a after soaking in 2-methylpentane solution for 24 h . The proton signals don't change in the spectrum. It indicates that the complex 3a can't uptake 2-methylpentane both in vapour and solution system.
(a) 3-methylpentane


Figure S29. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) (a) 3-methylpentane; (b) complex 3a after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuo; (c) complex 3a after exposing to 3-methylpentane vapor for 12 h ; (d) complex 3a after soaking in 3-methylpentane solution for 24 h . The proton signals don't change in the spectrum. It indicates that the complex 3a can't uptake 2-methylpentane both in vapour and solution system.


Figure S30. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) (a) 2,2-dimethylbutane; (b) complex 4a after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuo; (c) complex $\mathbf{4 a}$ after exposing to 2,2-dimethylbutane vapor for 12 h ; (d) complex $\mathbf{4 a}$ after soaking in 2,2-dimethylbutane solution for 24 h . The proton signals don't change in the spectrum. It indicates that the complex 4a can't uptake 2,2-dimethylbutane both in vapour and solution system.


Figure S31. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) (a) 2,3-dimethylbutane; (b) complex 4a after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuo; (c) complex $\mathbf{4 a}$ after exposing to 2,3-dimethylbutane vapor for 12 h ; (d) complex 4a after soaking in 2,3-dimethylbutane solution for 24h. The proton signals don't change in the spectrum. It indicates that the complex 4a can't uptake 2,3-dimethylbutane both in vapour and solution system.


Figure S32. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ for transformation from 3b to $\boldsymbol{n}$ hexanec3b. (a) complex 3b, (b) $n$-hexane: complex $\mathbf{3 b}=2$ : 1 , (c) $n$-hexane: complex $\mathbf{3 b}=2: 1$ one day later: (d) $n$-hexane: complex $\mathbf{3 b}=2$ : 1 three days later. Green triangles denote complex $\boldsymbol{n}$ hexanec3b signals; red circles denote complex $\mathbf{3 b}$ signals. The solubility of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 b}$ is very poor, so in the end the signals are very weak.


Figure S33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) (a) 2-methylpentane; (b) complex 4b after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuo; (c) complex 3b after exposing to 2-methylpentane vapor for 12 h ; (d) complex $\mathbf{3 b}$ after soaking in 2-methylpentane solution for 24 h . The proton signals don't change in the spectrum except for $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ protons. It indicates that the complex $\mathbf{3 b}$ can't uptake 2methylpentane both in vapour and solution system


Figure S34. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) (a) 3-methylpentane; (b) complex 3b after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuo; (c) complex 3b after exposing to 3-methylpentane vapor for 12 h ; (d) complex 3b after soaking in 3-methylpentane solution for 24 h . The proton signals don't change in the spectrum except for N-H protons. It indicates that the complex 3b can't uptake 2methylpentane both in vapour and solution system.


Figure S35. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) (a) 2,2-dimethylbutane; (b) complex 3b after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuo; (c) complex 3b after exposing to 2,2-dimethylbutane vapor for 12 h ; (d) complex 3b after soaking in 2,2-dimethylbutane solution for 24 h . The proton signals don't change except for $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ protons in the spectrum. It indicates that the complex 3b can't uptake 2,2-dimethylbutane both in vapour and solution system.


Figure S36. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) (a) 2,3-dimethylbutane; (b) complex 3b after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuo; (c) complex 3b after exposing to 2,3-dimethylbutane vapor for 12 h ; (d) complex 3b after soaking in 2,2-dimethylbutane solution for 24h. The proton signals don't change except for N-H protons in the spectrum. It indicates that the complex 3b can't uptake 2,3-dimethylbutane both in vapour and solution system.


Figure S37. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{n}$-pentaneC4a.
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Figure S38. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{n}$-pentane $\subset \mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S39. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) (a) Complex 4a; (b) Complex 4a after soaking in $n$-pentane solution for 24 h . The changing proton signals indicates the interaction between $n$-pentane and complex $\mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S40. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of 2-methylpentaneC4a.


Figure S41. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of 2-methylpentane $\subset \mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S42. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of complex 2-methylpentane $\subset \mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S43. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of 3-methylpentaneC4a.


Figure S44. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) (a) Complex 4a; (b) Complex 2methylpentane $\subset \mathbf{4 a}$; (c) Complex 3-methylpentane $C 4 \mathbf{a}$. The changing proton signals indicates the interaction between 2-methylpetane/ 3-methylpentane and complex $\mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S45. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{n}$-butanec5a.


Figure S46. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{n}$-butaneC5a.


Figure S47. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of complex $\mathbf{5 a}$ with $n$-butane.


Figure S48. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of free $n$-butane.

## ESI-MS spectra:



Figure S49. Experimental (top) and theoretical (bottom) ESI-MS spectra of complex L1.


Figure S50. Experimental (top) and theoretical (bottom) ESI-MS spectra of complex L2.

## TGA:






Figure S51. TGA study of (a) the complex 3a; the complex solids prepared by exposing activated complex 3a to hexane isomers solution: (b) n-hexane, (c) 2-methylpentane and (d) 2,2dimethylbutane containing. It indicates that the complex 3a remained stable at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solids immersed in 2-methylpentane and 2,2-dimethylbutane almost don't lose weight and the solids immersed in $n$-hexane lost about $3.5 \%$ weight.

## IGA:



Figure S52. Adsorption profiles of $n$-hexane, 2-methyl pentane and 2,2-dimethyl butane on complex 3a (measured by Intelligent Gravimetric Analyser at a constant temperature of 298 K ). This adsorption curve indicates that the selectivity adsorption of $n$-hexane.

## GC-MS:



Figure S53. Mixture separation performance. Relative uptakes of $n$-hexane adsorbed in complex 3a after absorption of the (a) $n$-hexane (N-HE), 2-methyl pentane (2-MP) and 3-methyl pentane (3MP); (b) $n$-hexane (N-HE), 2,2-methyl butane (2,2-MB) and 2,3-methyl butane (2,3-MB); (c) NHE, 2-MP, 3-MP, 2,2-MB and 2,3-MB equimolar mixture vapour for 24 h using head space gas chromatography. (d) The shift of the five isomers.

## The Control-experiments:



Scheme S12. Synthesis of complex 6 .

Synthesis of complex 6. $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{IrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,5-Dihydroxy-1,4-benzoquinone ( $4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ stirring for 10 h . Then the $1,4-\mathrm{di}(4-$ pyridyl) benzene ( $7.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{AgOTf}(31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the mixture in dark at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 24 h then filtered. The filtrate was crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} /$ diethyl ether. Brown solids were obtained to give the complex 6: 21 mg $75 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.86(\mathrm{~d}$, 16 H, Pyridine-H); $8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for complex 6: $\mathrm{C}_{88} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{Ir}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{12}: \mathrm{C}$, 39.93; H, 3.35; N, 2.12. Found: C, 39.65; H, 3.09; N, 2.31.


Figure S54. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of $\mathbf{6}$.


Figure S55. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 298 K , $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, ppm) (a) Complex 6; (b) Complex 6 after soaking in $n$-hexane solution for 24 h; (c) complex 6 after soaking in $n$-heptane solution for 24 h . The proton signals don't change in the spectrum.


Figure S56. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of complex 6 with $n$-hexane.


Figure S57. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of complex 6 with $n$-heptane.


Figure S58. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 298 K , $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, ppm) (a) Complex 6; (b) Complex 6 after soaking in $n$-octane solution for 24 h ; (c) complex 6 after soaking in $n$-octane solution for 24 h . The proton signals don't change in the spectrum.


Figure S59. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of complex 6 with $n$-octane.


Figure S60. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of free $n$-heptane.

## Crystallographic Information :

Single crystal of L1 suitable for X-ray diffraction study was obtained at room temperature. Single crystals of 3a, $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 a}$, 4a, $\boldsymbol{n}$-pentane $\subset 4 a$, 2-methylpentane $\subset 4 \mathrm{a}, 3$-methylpentane $\subset 4 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~b}$ and 6 suitable for X-ray diffraction study were obtained at low temperature. X-ray intensity data of $\mathbf{L 1}$ was collected on a CCD-Bruker SMART APEX system. X-ray intensity data of the others were collected on a Bruker D8 Venture system.

In asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{3 a}$, there were disordered solvents (one diethyl ether and three water molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. Phenyl group of carborane ligand, benzene ring of bipyridine ligand and pentamethylcyclopentadienyl fragments ( $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ for short) were disordered and they were divided into two parts (38:62, 60:40 for phenyl group, 38:62 for benzene ring and 53:47, 58:42 for $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ). 79 ISOR, 5 SIMU and 15 DFIX instructions were used to restrain anions, ligands and Cp* fragments so that there were 579 restraints in the data.

In asymmetric unit of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 a}$, there were disordered solvent molecules (one chloroform and half of an n-hexane molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. One phenyl group of carborane ligand and one Cp * fragment were disordered and they were divided into two parts (65:35 for phenyl group and 63:37 for Cp * fragment). 40 ISOR, 1 FLAT, 2 SIMU and 10 DFIX instructions were used to restrain anions, ligands and Cp* fragments so that there were 325 restraints in the data.

In asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{4 a}$, there were disordered solvent molecules (one diethyl ether, half of a dichloromethane and one water molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. C50 and O1 were refined isotropically and other nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. 15 ISOR, 4 DELU and 15 DFIX instructions were used to restrain anions, solvents and $\mathrm{Cp} *$ fragments so that there were 113 restraints in the data.

In asymmetric unit of $\boldsymbol{n}$-pentanec4a, there were disordered solvent molecules (two dichloromethane and one water molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. One phenyl group and one pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand ( $\mathrm{Cp} *$ for short) were disordered and they were divided into two parts (73:27 and 70:30). 37 ISOR, 3 SIMU, 1 DELU and 15 DFIX instructions were used to restrain anions, solvents and Cp * fragments so that there were 256 restraints in the data.

In asymmetric unit of 2-methylpentanec4a, there were disordered solvent molecules (two dichloromethane molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. 17 ISOR and 9 DFIX instructions were used to restrain solvents and Cp * fragments so that there were 111 restraints in the data.

In asymmetric unit of 3-methylpentanec4a, there were disordered solvent molecules (one dichloromethane and one diethyl ether molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. C50 and C54 refined isotropically and other non-
hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. 11 ISOR, 1 SIMU and 16 DFIX instructions were used to restrain solvents and $\mathrm{Cp} *$ fragments so that there were 100 restraints in the data.

In asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{5 b}$, there were disordered anions and solvents (three triflate anions, one diethylether, nine acetonitrile, three methanol and three water molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. One metallo-angle (including Ir3 and coordinated Cp* fragment) was disordered and it was divided into two parts (69:31). 33 ISOR, 2 DELU and 8 DFIX instructions were used to restrain anion and $\mathrm{Cp} *$ fragments so that there were 209 restraints in the data.

In asymmetric unit of complex 6, there were disordered solvent molecules (one diethyl ether and one methanol molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. One pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand ( Cp * for short) and one diethyl ether molecule were disordered and they were divided into two parts (56:44 for $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ and 47:53 for diethyl ether). 30 ISOR, 2 SIMU and 13 DFIX instructions were used to restrain anions, solvent molecule and Cp * fragments so that there were 265 restraints in the data.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{L} 1$.

| CCDC | 1860025 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C8 H11 B5 N S |
| Formula weight | 207.29 |
| Temperature | 173(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=12.2385(19) \AA \quad a=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=7.2735(11) \AA \quad b=106.522(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=12.3850(19) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1057.0(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.303 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.259 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 428 |
| Crystal size | $0.450 \times 0.360 \times 0.130 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.736 to $27.408^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-15<=\mathrm{h}<=15,-5<=\mathrm{k}<=9,-14<=\mathrm{l}<=15$ |
| Reflections collected | 7247 |
| Independent reflections | $2386[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0248]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.242^{\circ}$ | 99.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.746 and 0.641 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2386 / 1/140 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.144 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0441, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1173$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0528, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1217$ |
| Extinction coefficient | n/a |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.343 and -0.328 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for 3a.

| CCDC | 1979011 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C112 H152 B20 Ir4 N8 O8 S4 |
| Formula weight | 2851.65 |
| Temperature | 173(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.34138 A |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pbca |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=22.3072(9) \AA \quad a=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=17.7179(8) \AA \quad \mathrm{d}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=30.6044(14) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 12096.0(9) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.566 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $6.231 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 5664 |
| Crystal size | $0.230 \times 0.210 \times 0.120 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.043 to $54.971^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-27<=\mathrm{h}<=25,-20<=\mathrm{k}<=21,-33<=1<=37$ |
| Reflections collected | 61627 |
| Independent reflections | $11494[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0820]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=53.594^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.751 and 0.524 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 11494 / 579 / 859 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.068 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0730, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1754$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0926, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1859$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.876 and -1.370 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 a}$.

| CCDC | 1860033 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C126 H166 B20 Cl12 Ir4 N8 S4 |
| Formula weight | 3331.30 |
| Temperature | 173(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.34138 Å |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=12.9434(5) \AA \quad a=78.963(2)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=13.4088(5) \AA \quad \mathrm{b}=74.853(2)^{\circ}$. |
|  |  |
| Volume | 3564.2(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 1 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.552 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $6.667 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1654 |
| Crystal size | $0.200 \times 0.070 \times 0.010 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.702 to $56.056^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-15<=\mathrm{h}<=15,-16<=\mathrm{k}<=16,-27<=\mathrm{l}<=27$ |
| Reflections collected | 40238 |
| Independent reflections | $13981[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0873]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=53.594^{\circ}$ | 99.8 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.657 and 0.416 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 13981 / 325 / 858 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.043 |
| Final R indices [I $>2 \operatorname{sigma}$ ( I ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0603, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1475$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0946, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1634$ |
| Extinction coefficient | n/a |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 2.685 and -2.243 e. $\AA^{\AA}-3$ |

Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{4 a}$.

| CCDC | 1979012 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C109 H152 B20 Cl2 Ir4 N8 O5 S4 |
| Formula weight | 2838.52 |
| Temperature | 173(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.34138 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=16.1210(12) \AA \quad \mathrm{a}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=20.2886(17) \AA\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { a }\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=19.1144(15) \AA$ 这 $\quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 6132.7(8) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.537 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $6.392 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2816 |
| Crystal size | $0.120 \times 0.110 \times 0.100 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.431 to $56.500^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-17<=\mathrm{h}<=20,-25<=\mathrm{k}<=17,-23<=\mathrm{l}<=21$ |
| Reflections collected | 55959 |
| Independent reflections | $12318[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1380]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=53.594^{\circ}$ | 99.7 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.580 and 0.379 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 12318 / 113 / 639 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.025 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{l}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1127, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2765$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1665, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.3300$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 2.708 and -1.538 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table S5. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\boldsymbol{n}$-pentane $\subset \mathbf{4 a}$.

| CCDC | 1979017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C103 H140 B20 Cl4 Ir4 N8 O2 S4 |
| Formula weight | 2777.26 |
| Temperature | 173(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.34138 Å |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=16.1658(6) \AA \quad a=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=20.1310(8) \AA \quad b=101.290(2)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=19.0507(8) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 6079.8(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.517 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $6.691 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2740 |
| Crystal size | $0.140 \times 0.120 \times 0.110 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.087 to $56.500^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-18<=\mathrm{h}<=20,-19<=\mathrm{k}<=25,-23<=1<=23$ |
| Reflections collected | 45118 |
| Independent reflections | 12204 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0757]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=53.594^{\circ}$ | 99.8\% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.751 and 0.539 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 12204 / 256 / 765 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.038 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0770, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2225$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1160, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2569$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 2.295 and -2.071 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table S6. Crystal data and structure refinement for 2-methylpentane $\subset \mathbf{4 a}$.

| CCDC | 1979016 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C106 H138 B20 C18 Ir4 N8 S4 |
| Formula weight | 2921.08 |
| Temperature | 172.99 K |
| Wavelength | 1.34138 Å |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P $121 / \mathrm{c} 1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=16.1760(7) \AA \quad \mathrm{a}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=20.1918(9) \AA \quad b=101.191(2)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=19.1978(8) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 6151.2(5) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.577 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $7.378 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2876 |
| Crystal size | $0.23 \times 0.21 \times 0.19 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.081 to $56.499^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-20<=\mathrm{h}<=20,-21<=\mathrm{k}<=25,-23<=1<=23$ |
| Reflections collected | 56534 |
| Independent reflections | $12376[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0627]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=53.594^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.7512 and 0.4715 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 12376 / 111 / 662 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.034 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0639, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1847$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0731, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1952$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 4.660 and -1.690 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table S7. Crystal data and structure refinement for 3-methylpentanec4a.

| CCDC | 1979015 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C112 H154 B20 C14 Ir4 N8 O2 S4 |
| Formula weight | 2899.46 |
| Temperature | 173(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.34138 Å |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=16.0980(5) \AA \quad a=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=20.2517(7) \AA\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { a }\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=19.1809(6) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 6134.4(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.570 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $6.649 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2876 |
| Crystal size | $0.150 \times 0.130 \times 0.110 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 4.088 to $56.500^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-20<=\mathrm{h}<=20,-25<=\mathrm{k}<=20,-23<=1<=23$ |
| Reflections collected | 46685 |
| Independent reflections | $12318[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1167]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=53.594^{\circ}$ | 99.8\% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.751 and 0.520 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 12318 / 100 / 649 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.950 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0676, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1653$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1466, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2050$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.606 and -1.055 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table S8. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{5 b}$.

| CCDC | 1979014 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C101 H163 B20 F12 Ir4 N17 O19 S8 |
| Formula weight | 3388.95 |
| Temperature | 173(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.34138 Å |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} a=30.973(2) \AA & a=90^{\circ} . \\ b=36.1780(18) \AA & b=118.943(5)^{\circ} . \\ c=26.393(2) \AA & g=90^{\circ} . \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 25880(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.740 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $6.427 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 13472 |
| Crystal size | $0.400 \times 0.240 \times 0.160 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.699 to $58.000^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-39<=\mathrm{h}<=39,-43<=\mathrm{k}<=45,-33<=\mathrm{l}<=33$ |
| Reflections collected | 290568 |
| Independent reflections | $27356[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0537]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=53.594^{\circ}$ | 99.8 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.751 and 0.301 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 27356 / 209 / 1151 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.010 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{l}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0776, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2210$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0828, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2266$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 10.365 and -2.898 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table S9. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 6.

| CCDC | 1979013 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C106 H136 F12 Ir4 N4 O26 S4 |
| Formula weight | 3007.22 |
| Temperature | 143(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.34138 Å |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pbca |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=21.999(4) \AA \quad a=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=18.835(4) \AA \quad \mathrm{d}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=27.602(6) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 11437(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.747 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $6.799 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 5952 |
| Crystal size | $0.230 \times 0.190 \times 0.180 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.872 to $59.999^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-26<=\mathrm{h}<=28,-24<=\mathrm{k}<=23,-35<=\mathrm{l}<=35$ |
| Reflections collected | 171034 |
| Independent reflections | $12788[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0483]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=53.594^{\circ}$ | 98.8 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.754 and 0.445 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 12788 / 265 / 742 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.035 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0422, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1206$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0439, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1226$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.568 and $-1.274 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{\AA}-3$ |



Figure S61. Crystallographically derived molecular structure of Ligand 1. Color code: S, yellow; N, blue; C, grey; B, green; H, pink.


Figure S62. Crystallographically derived molecular structure of complex 3a: (a) the space-filling mode; (b) the packing mode (Along a axis). (The H atoms except for carborane cage have been omitted for clarity). Color code: Ir, red; S, yellow; N, blue; C, grey; B, green.


Figure S63. Crystallographically derived molecular structure of complex $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane $\subset \mathbf{3 a}$ : (a) the packing mode (Along c axis); (b) the C $\cdots \mathrm{C}$ interaction between every two metallacycles; (c) the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ distance between the $n$-hexane and DPB. (The H atoms of Cp* and the benzene of Ligand 1 have been omitted for clarity). Color code: Ir, red; S, yellow; N, blue; C, grey; B, green; H, pink.


Figure S64. Crystallographically derived molecular structure of complex $\mathbf{5 b}$ : (a) the packing mode to form a one-dimensional channel (Along baxis); (b) the cavity of the complex $\mathbf{5 b}$; (c) the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{F}$ and C-H...O interactions between every two metallacycles; (d) C-H $\cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ dihydrogen interactions of $\mathbf{5 b}$. (The H atoms have been omitted for clarity except for carborane cage). Color code: Ir, red; S, yellow; N, blue; C, grey; B, green; H, pink; O dark red.


Figure S65. The data obtained for $\boldsymbol{n}$-butanec5b was of poor resolution, hence a full structure will not be presented. Because of the degraded single crystallinity of the samples, as commonly encountered in similar systems ${ }^{7}$, the SCXRD data are not of sufficient quality to determine the precise positions of the hydrogen atoms, especially for the labile guest molecules. Nevertheless, the adsorbed C4 hydrocarbon molecules could be observed inside $\mathbf{5 b}$, with clearly distinguishable molecular conformations.

## DFT Calculation details:

All the calculations were performed with the B97D3 density functional method ${ }^{2}$ using the Gaussian 09 software package. ${ }^{3}$ The empirical long-range correction of Grimme et al was used for the B97 functional. ${ }^{4}$ The basis sets used are: the aug-cc-pVDZ-PP pseudo potential basis set ${ }^{5,6}$ for $\operatorname{Ir}$ and the $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set ${ }^{7,8}$ for the other atoms.

|  | $n$-hexane | 3-methylpentane | 2,2-dimethylbutane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Binding energy $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -36.5 | -29.6 | -24.7 |

Table S10. Binding energy of the hexane isomers with the complex 3a.


Figure S66. The DFT-D optimized $n$-hexane (a), 3-methylpentane (b) and 2,2-dimethylbutane (c) adsorption configuration in complex $\mathbf{3 a}$.
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