## **Supporting Information (SI)**

# Evolution of condensable fine particulate size distribution in flue gas by external regulation for growth enhancement

Chenghang Zheng<sup>a</sup>, Hao Zheng<sup>a</sup>, Jiali Shen<sup>a, b</sup>, Wenchao Gao<sup>c</sup>, Zhengda Yang<sup>a</sup>, Zhongyang Zhao<sup>a</sup>, Yifan Wang<sup>a</sup>, Hao Zhang<sup>a</sup>, and Xiang Gao<sup>a\*</sup>

- a. State Key Laboratory of Clean Energy Utilization, National Environmental Protection Coal-fired Air Pollution Control Engineering Technology Center, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China
- b. Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
- c. ARC Research Hub for Computational Particle Technology, Department of Chemical Engineering, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia

Corresponding author Prof. Dr. Xiang Gao State Key Lab of Clean Energy Utilization, Zhejiang University Hangzhou 310027, P.R. China Tel: +86-571-87951335 Fax: +86-571-87951335 E-mail: xgao1@zju.edu.cn

## Table of contents

Details of size distribution form of CFP	S2
Details of error bars	S2
Details of Pearson's correlation coefficient (PCC)	S2
Figure S1. Solid aerosol generator	S3
Figure S2. Three-dimensional schematic of electrical charging apparatus	S4
Figure S3. Medium diameter of CFPs under different temperatures	S5
Figure S4. Relative concentration of CFPs after cooling	S5

#### Details of size distribution form of CFP

The size distribution of CFP is expressed as  $dc/dlog D_p$ , which can be defined by the following equation:

$$dc / d \log D_p = \frac{\Delta c}{\log D_{p,\max} - \log D_{p,\min}}$$
(S1)

where c and  $D_p$  refer to the concentration (cm<sup>-3</sup>) and diameter (µm) of CFPs in a certain size range, respectively.  $D_{p,up}$  and  $D_{p,low}$  represent the maximum and minimum diameters (µm) for this size range of cascade *p*, respectively.

### **Details of error bars**

The error bar is determined by standard error (SE), which is the quotient of the standard deviation (SD) and the square root of the sample numbers.

$$SE = \frac{SD}{\sqrt{N}}$$
(S2)
$$SD = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(X_i - \overline{X})^2}{N - 1}}$$
(S3)

where  $\overline{X}$  is the mean of the samples, and  $X_i$  is the value of the i-th sample. N is the number of repetitive experiments.

#### Details of Pearson's correlation coefficient (PCC)

PCC passed a linear correlation between two variables, and the resulting value was at [-1; 1], in which -1 indicates a complete negative correlation (as one variable increases, the other variable decreases) and +1 a fully positive correlation; 0 implies the absence of a linear correlation between the two variables. The overall PCC between the two variables is the quotient of the covariance and standard deviation of the two variables:

$$\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{cov(X,Y)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} = \frac{E[(X - \mu x)(Y - \mu y)]}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$
(S4)

where Cov(X,Y) is the covariance between the variables X and Y; and  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  represent the standard deviation of variables X and Y, respectively.

(S3)

The PCC of sample is computed as follows:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \overline{Y})^2}}$$
(S5)

where  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  are the ith samples of sample sets X and Y, respectively.  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  are the average values of the sample sets X and Y, respectively; n is the number of samples.



**Figure S1. Solid aerosol generator.** The feed process mainly included two steps. First, a certain amount of fly ash was quantitatively transmitted by a conveying belt, and the amount of ash could be precisely adjusted by controlling the belt speed. The transmission teeth were arranged on the belt. The fine teeth pitch ensured the continuous and stable feed of fly ash. At the same time, a special scraping device was arranged to ensure the uniformity of the filling. The compressed air could then disperse particles to the greatest extent to reduce the agglomeration of fly ash. The generator was connected with compressed air to form a negative pressure at the venturi tube. When the fly ash on the conveyor belt was transferred to the nozzle of the venturi tube, it could be dispersed into the flue gas under negative pressure. Similar to NH<sub>3</sub>, the flow rate of feeding ash was sufficiently small to not affect the temperature and flow field of simulated flue gas.



**Figure S2. Three-dimensional schematic of electrical charging apparatus.** Under discharge condition, the growth and capture of CFP are the simultaneous processes that simultaneously affect each other. To study the process of growth independently, we designed a new structure with polar wire discharge at the center of the hollow steel shell on top of the growth tube (Figure 3). Ionic wind with charged particles flew into the growth tube by carrier gas. Insulation material was used to isolate other parts except the wire to minimize the removal of condensable particles caused by the electrical field. The flow rate of carrier gas was determined by the flow rate of the mixing zone. The determination principle was the given aperture ratio and the amount of carrier gas, and the flow rate of the carrier gas vas equal to the flow rate of the mixing zone. At 60 L/min main flue gas, the flow rate of the carrier gas on the humidity and temperature of the main simulated flue gas.





Figure S3. Medium diameter of CFPs under different temperatures

Figure S4. Relative concentration of CFPs after cooling