Electronic Supporting Information

Fashioning Prussian blue nanoparticles by adsorption of luminophores: synthesis, properties and *in vitro* imaging

Ekaterina Mamontova,^{a,§} Morgane Daurat,^{b,c,§} Jérôme Long^{*},^a Anastasia Godefroy,^{b,c} Fabrice Salles,^d Yannick Guari,^a Magali Gary-Bobo^{*b} and Joulia Larionova^a

a. Institut Charles Gerhardt, Equipe Ingénierie Moléculaire et Nano-Objets, Université de Montpellier, ENSCM, CNRS. Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France, *E-mail : jerome.long@umontpellier.fr*

b. Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron, UMR5247, Université de Montpellier, ENSCM, CNRS, Faculté de Pharmacie, 15 Avenue Charles Flahault, 34093 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

c. NanoMedSyn, 15 Avenue Charles Flahault, 34093 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

d. Institut Charles Gerhardt, Equipe Agrégats, Interfaces et Matériaux pour l'Energie, ENSCM, CNRS, Université de Montpellier. Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France.

§. Both authors contributed equally to this work.

Table of Contents

Fig. S1. TEM image (left) and size distribution (right) for 1. The solid line represents the fit with a Gaussian function
Fig. S2. Left: TGA analysis obtained with a 5°C.min ⁻¹ heating rate for 1, AA and 1@AA; Right: TGA curve obtained with a 5°C.min ⁻¹ heating rate demonstrating the decomposition of 1@AA4
Fig. S3. Left: TGA analysis obtained with a 5° C.min ⁻¹ heating rate for 1, RhB and 1@RhB; Right: TGA curve obtained with a 5° C.min ⁻¹ heating rate demonstrating the decomposition of 1@RhB4
Fig. S4. IR spectra of 1
Fig. S5. Comparison between IR spectra of AA, 1 and 1@AA (left) and between RhB, 1 and 1@RhB (right)
Fig. S6. PXRD patterns of 1 (black), 1@AA (blue), 1@RhB (red)5
Fig. S7. TEM image of 1@RhB6
Fig. S8. Size distribution for 1@AA (left) and for 1@RhB (right). The solid line represents the fit with a Gaussian function
Fig. S9. Pore size distribution of 1 obtained from Monte Carlo simulations illustrating the presence of two types of pores corresponding to the tetrahedral <i>fcc</i> sites and the larger pores formed by the cyanometallate vacancies
Fig. S10. Left: Room temperature normalized emission spectra for AA and 1@AA re- dispersed in ethanol (500 μ g.mL ⁻¹) and excited at 360 nm. Right: Room temperature normalized emission spectra for RhB and 1@RhB re-dispersed in water (500 μ g.mL ⁻¹) and excited at 520 nm
Fig. S11. Left: Room temperature normalized excitation spectra for AA and 1@AA re- dispersed in ethanol (500 μ g.mL ⁻¹) monitored at 500 nm. Right: Room temperature emission spectra for 1@AA re-dispersed in ethanol excited at different wavelengths7
Fig. S12. Left: Room temperature normalized excitation spectra for RhB and 1@RhB re- dispersed in water (500 μ g.mL ⁻¹) monitored at 580 nm. Right: Room temperature emission spectra for 1@RhB re-dispersed in water excited at different wavelengths7
Fig. S13. Room temperature emission (left) and excitation (right) spectra excited at 360 and monitored around 500 nm, respectively, for powdered AA and 1@AA8
Fig. S14. Left: Room temperature emission spectra for powdered RhB and 1@RhB excited at 467 nm and 520 nm, respectively; Right: Room temperature excitation spectra for powdered RhB and 1@RhB monitored around 690 nm and 580 nm, respectively
Fig. S15. Pictures of 1, 1@AA and 1@RhB showing the colloidal stability in aqueous suspensions
Fig. S16. Room temperature emission (left) and excitation (right) spectra excited at 360 and monitored around 500 nm, respectively, for 1@AA re-dispersed in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) without and in the presence of 10% fetal bovine serum (BS) with antibiotic (0.05 mg.mL ⁻¹ gentamicin). The Dash-dot magenta lines represent the emission and excitation spectra for DMEM with BS9
Fig. S17. Room temperature emission (left) and excitation (right) spectra excited at 360 and monitored around 500 nm, respectively, for 1@RhB re-dispersed in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) without and in the presence of 10% fetal bovine serum (BS with

antibiotic (0.05 mg.mL ⁻¹ gentamicin). The Dash-dot magenta lines represent the emission and excitation spectra for DMEM with BS
Fig. S18. Emission spectra obtained before and after an exposure of 16 H at the corresponding excitation wavelength for 1@AA (left) and 1@RhB (right)10
Fig. S19. Emission spectra obtained before and after an exposure of 16 H at the corresponding excitation wavelength for AA (left) and RhB (right)10
Fig. S20. Electronic spectra of dialysis medium (water) after release's experiments for 1@AA (top) and 1@RhB (bottom)
Fig. S21. Cell viability (%) of MDA-MB-231 cells treated with increasing concentrations of nanoparticles (1, 1@AA and 1@RhB) after three days of incubation. Data are presented as (mean \pm SEM), n = 3
Fig. S22. MDA-MB-231 were incubated for 20 h with AA and RhB at a concentration of 100 μ g.mL ⁻¹ . One photon fluorescence imaging was performed on living cells with similar parameters that 1@AA and 1@RhB imaging, respectively
Fig. S23. MDA-MB-231 were incubated for 20 h with 1 at a concentration of 100 μ g.mL ⁻¹ . One photon fluorescence imaging was performed on living cells with similar parameters that 1@AA (a) and 1@RhB (b) imaging, respectively
Fig. S24. TEM imaging of MDA-MB-231 cancer cells (A, control) and exposed with $1@AA$ (B), $1@RhB$ (C) for 20 h at a concentration of 100 µg.mL ⁻¹ . The nanoparticles are localized by arrows in the cells
Fig. S25. Internalization of 1@RhB by living MDA-MB-231 cells by flow. Cells were treated or not with 100 μ g.mL ⁻¹ of 1@RhB for 1, 3, 6 and 24 h. Non-fixed cells were incubated with propidium iodide (1 μ g.mL ⁻¹) to remove dead cells and 1@RhB fluorescence was analyzed. Data represent means ± SEM of two experiments
Table S1. Crystallographic parameters for 1, 1@AA and 1@RhB15
Table S2. Values of the zeta potential for 1, 1@AA and 1@RhB



Fig. S1. TEM image (left) and size distribution (right) for **1**. The solid line represents the fit with a Gaussian function.



Fig. S2. Left: TGA analysis obtained with a 5°C.min⁻¹ heating rate for 1, AA and 1@AA; Right: TGA curve obtained with a 5°C.min⁻¹ heating rate demonstrating the decomposition of 1@AA.



Fig. S3. Left: TGA analysis obtained with a 5°C.min⁻¹ heating rate for **1**, **RhB** and **1@RhB**; Right: TGA curve obtained with a 5°C.min⁻¹ heating rate demonstrating the decomposition of **1@RhB**.



Fig. S5. Comparison between IR spectra of AA, 1 and 1@AA (left) and between RhB, 1 and 1@RhB (right).



Fig. S6. PXRD patterns of 1 (black), 1@AA (blue), 1@RhB (red).



Fig. S7. TEM image of 1@RhB.



Fig. S8. Size distribution for 1@AA (left) and for 1@RhB (right). The solid line represents the fit with a Gaussian function.



Fig. S9. Pore size distribution of **1** obtained from Monte Carlo simulations illustrating the presence of two types of pores corresponding to the tetrahedral *fcc* sites and the larger pores formed by the cyanometallate vacancies.



Fig. S10. Left: Room temperature normalized emission spectra for **AA** and **1@AA** re-dispersed in ethanol (500 μ g.mL⁻¹) and excited at 360 nm. Right: Room temperature normalized emission spectra for **RhB** and **1@RhB** re-dispersed in water (500 μ g.mL⁻¹) and excited at 520 nm.



Fig. S11. Left: Room temperature normalized excitation spectra for **AA** and **1@AA** re-dispersed in ethanol (500 μg.mL⁻¹) monitored at 500 nm. Right: Room temperature emission spectra for **1@AA** re-dispersed in ethanol excited at different wavelengths.



Fig. S12. Left: Room temperature normalized excitation spectra for RhB and 1@RhB re-dispersed in water (500 μg.mL⁻¹) monitored at 580 nm. Right: Room temperature emission spectra for 1@RhB re-dispersed in water excited at different wavelengths.



Fig. S13. Room temperature emission (left) and excitation (right) spectra excited at 360 and monitored around 500 nm, respectively, for powdered AA and 1@AA.



Fig. S14. Left: Room temperature emission spectra for powdered RhB and 1@RhB excited at 467 nm and 520 nm, respectively; Right: Room temperature excitation spectra for powdered RhB and 1@RhB monitored around 690 nm and 580 nm, respectively.



Fig. S15. Pictures of 1, 1@AA and 1@RhB showing the colloidal stability in aqueous suspensions.



Fig. S16. Room temperature emission (left) and excitation (right) spectra excited at 360 and monitored around 500 nm, respectively, for 1@AA re-dispersed in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) without and in the presence of 10% fetal bovine serum (BS) with antibiotic (0.05 mg.mL⁻¹ gentamicin). The Dash-dot magenta lines represent the emission and excitation spectra for DMEM with BS.



Fig. S17. Room temperature emission (left) and excitation (right) spectra excited at 360 and monitored around 500 nm, respectively, for 1@RhB re-dispersed in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) without and in the presence of 10% fetal bovine serum (BS with antibiotic (0.05 mg.mL⁻¹ gentamicin). The Dash-dot magenta lines represent the emission and excitation spectra for DMEM with BS.



Fig. S18. Emission spectra obtained before and after an exposure of 16 H at the corresponding excitation wavelength for 1@AA (left) and 1@RhB (right).



Fig. S19. Emission spectra obtained before and after an exposure of 16 H at the corresponding excitation wavelength for AA (left) and RhB (right).



Fig. S20. Electronic spectra of dialysis medium (water) after release's experiments for 1@AA (top) and 1@RhB (bottom).



Fig. S21. Cell viability (%) of MDA-MB-231 cells treated with increasing concentrations of nanoparticles (1, 1@AA and 1@RhB) after three days of incubation. Data are presented as (mean \pm SEM), n = 3.



Fig. S22. MDA-MB-231 were incubated for 20 h with AA and RhB at a concentration of 100 μ g.mL⁻¹. One photon fluorescence imaging was performed on living cells with similar parameters that 1@AA and 1@RhB imaging, respectively.



Fig. S23. MDA-MB-231 were incubated for 20 h with 1 at a concentration of 100 μg.mL⁻¹. One photon fluorescence imaging was performed on living cells with similar parameters that 1@AA (a) and 1@RhB (b) imaging, respectively.



Fig. S24. TEM imaging of MDA-MB-231 cancer cells (A, control) and exposed with 1@AA (B), 1@RhB (C) for 20 h at a concentration of 100 μg.mL⁻¹. The nanoparticles are localized by arrows in the cells.



Fig. S25. Internalization of 1@RhB by living MDA-MB-231 cells by flow. Cells were treated or not with 100 μ g.mL⁻¹ of 1@RhB for 1, 3, 6 and 24 h. Non-fixed cells were incubated with propidium iodide (1 μ g.mL⁻¹) to remove dead cells and 1@RhB fluorescence was analyzed. Data represent means ± SEM of two experiments.

Table S1. Crystallographic	parameters for 1, 1@AA and	1@RhB
		0

Sample	Lattice parameter (Å)	Crystallite size (nm)
1	10.07	55
1@AA	10.08	55
1@RhB	10.06	54

Sample	Zeta potential (mV)
1	-27 ± 5
1@AA	-25 ± 5
1@RhB	-15 ± 5