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## Supplemental methods

Bulk isotopic composition. The isotopic compositions of in-house reference standards of sulfate salts were obtained by conventional IRMS methods. Sulfur-34 isotope measurements were done on an elemental analyzer-isotope ratio mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS) using the same $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ stock solutions used for ESMS measurements. The solution was pipetted directly into tin capsules and dried overnight at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Results were normalized to international reference materials IAEA-S-1, IAEA-S-2, and IAEA-S-3 with $\delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}$ values taken from Brand et al. ${ }^{1}$ as well as in-house $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and seawater solutions. The precisions for $\delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{SO} 4}$ measurements are better than $0.2 \%$.

Oxygen-18 isotope measurements were analyzed on a thermal-conversion (TC) EA-IRMS. Sulfate in solution was converted to barite through precipitation with $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$. The barite was cleaned using 6 M HCl , to dissolve any barium carbonate co-precipitate, and then rinsed three times with deionized water and dried in the oven overnight. Barite was weighed into silver capsules and pyrolyzed in a TC/EA, and measured via continuous helium flow on a Delta V mass spectrometer. Samples were run for $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{SO} 4}$ ten times and the average and standard deviation presented. These samples were bracketed with NBS-127 $\left(\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{SO} 4}=8.6 \%_{\text {VSMOW }}\right)$, which was used to correct for drift over the course of the run. The isotopic composition of sulfate and nitrate materials determined by conventional isotope-ratio analysis are summarized in Table S2.

## Supplemental tables

Table S1. Existing methods for isotopic analysis of sulfate and nitrate

| Method* | Conversion and Analyte | Isotope <br> Ratio | Precision (\%; 2sd) | Sensitivity | Throughput (samples/day) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MC-ICP-MS | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}, \Delta^{33} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}: 0.08-0.15 \\ & \Delta^{33} \mathrm{~S}: 0.1-0.3 \end{aligned}$ | $>5 \mathrm{nmol}$ sulfur, typically 20 nmol | $<20$ | Paris et al. (2013) ${ }^{2}$ |
| dual-inlet IRMS | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}->\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}, \Delta^{33} \mathrm{~S}, \\ & \Delta^{36} \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}: \sim 0.2, \\ & \Delta^{33} \mathrm{~S}: \sim 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ | $<10$ | Hulston and Thode $(1965)^{3}$ |
| EA-IRMS | Sulfate -> $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $\delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}$ | > 0.05 | > $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ sulfur | <60 | Thode et al. (1961) ${ }^{4}$ |
| IRMS | Sulfate ->O2 | $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}, \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}$ | $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}: 1.6, \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}: 0.1$ (2 for smaller samples) | > $17 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ sulfur | $>12$ | Bao and Thiemens (2000) ${ }^{5}$ |
| TC/EA-IRMS | Sulfate $->\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or CO | $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ | $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}: 0.1-0.3$ | $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ | $<40$ | Boschetti \& Iacumin $(2005)^{6}$ |
| GB-IRMS | Nitrate -> $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (bacterial/chemical conversion) | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}, \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}, \\ & \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}: 0.2 ; \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}: 0.3 ; \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}: \\ & 0.2-0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $>2$ nmol nitrate, typically 5-20 nmol | $<120$ | Sigman et al. (2001) ${ }^{7}$, <br> Kaiser et al. (2007) , <br> Weigand et al. (2016) ${ }^{9}$ |
| TC/EA-IRMS | Nitrate -> $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}, \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}, \\ & \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}: 0.2 ; \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}: 0.3, \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}: \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | >1 $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ nitrate | $<60$ | Michalski et al. (2002) ${ }^{10}$, Böttcher et al $(1990)^{11}$ |
| ESMS | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}, \delta^{33} \mathrm{~S} \\ & \delta^{36} \mathrm{~S}, \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}, \\ & \Delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}^{18} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\delta^{34} \mathrm{~S}:<2, \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}:<2$ | $<1$ nmol sulfate (data acquisition) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 10 \text { (manual } \\ & \text { sample changing) } \end{aligned}$ | This study |
| ESMS | $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}, \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}, \\ & \delta^{17} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}:<2, \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}:<2$ | $<1$ nmol nitrate (data acquisition) | ~ 10 (manual sample changing) | This study |

*EA: elemental analyzer, ICP: inductively coupled plasma, IRMS: isotope-ratio mass spectrometry, MC: multi-collector, TC: thermal-conversion, GB: gas bench

Table S2. Description of the sulfate and nitrate materials used in this study, including their isotopic composition as determined by IRMS.

| Name | Provider | Origin |  | $\mathbf{\delta}^{34} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathbf{\% o}_{\mathrm{VCDI}} ; \mathbf{S D}\right)$ | Purity and comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antarctica | G. Rossman, Caltech | McMurdo Station, Antarctica | $+8.73 \pm 0.82(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $+21.44 \pm 0.15$ ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) | likely anhydrous; obtained as a powder |
| Cedar Lake | Saltex, Tx (Cooper Natural) | Cedar Lake, Texas, USA | $+12.45 \pm 0.44(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $+10.92 \pm 0.2(\mathrm{n}=2)$ | 99.8\%; $0.01 \%$ water |
| Chaplin | Airborne Industrial Minerals | Chaplin, Saskatchewan, Canada | $+11.54 \pm 1.14$ ( $\mathrm{n}=9)$ | $+3.15 \pm 0.2(\mathrm{n}=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.57 \% ; 0.15 \% \mathrm{MgSO}_{4} ; \\ & 0.013 \% \text { water } \end{aligned}$ |
| Laguna del Rey | Peñoles | Laguna del Rey, Coahuila, Mexico | $+12.92 \pm 0.69$ ( $\mathrm{n}=9$ ) | $+13.91 \pm 0.2(\mathrm{n}=2)$ | 99.90\% |
| Mexico | Macron, 8024-04, Batch 0000177887 | Made in Mexico | $+8.24 \pm 0.88(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $-0.97 \pm 0.2(\mathrm{n}=2)$ | 99.20\% |
| Rio Tiron | Crimidesa, <br> Lot \#19-0579 | Minera Rio Tiron, Burgos, Spain | $+13.97 \pm 0.69(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $+12.61 \pm 0.2(\mathrm{n}=2)$ | 99.8\%; $0.01 \%$ water; |
| Soda Lake | G Rossman, Caltech | Soda Lake, Carrizo Plain, San Luis Obispo Co., California, USA | $+11.14 \pm 0.57(\mathrm{n}=9)$ | $-9.76 \pm 0.09(\mathrm{n}=5)$ | Thénardite; likely anhydrous; rocks were ground into a powder |
| Synthetic India | Sigma Aldrich, 239313-500G <br> Lot \# SLBR3461V | synthetic inorganic (manufactured in India) | $+11.86 \pm 0.33$ ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | $+1.04 \pm 0.2(\mathrm{n}=2)$ | 99.90\% |
| Trona | Searles Valley Minerals | Trona, California, USA | $+19.76 \pm 0.64(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $+14.69 \pm 0.2(\mathrm{n}=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.5 \% ; 0.10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 0.34 \% \\ & \mathrm{NaCl} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}\left(\% \mathbf{o}_{\text {VSMow }} ; ~ \mathrm{SD}\right), \\ & \mathbf{\delta}^{17} \mathrm{O}\left(\%_{\mathbf{v}_{\text {vsMow }}} ; \mathrm{SD}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}\left(\%_{\text {air } \mathrm{N} 2} ; 2 \mathrm{SD}\right)$ |  |
| USGS32 | Reston Stable Isotope <br> Laboratory - USGS <br> (Reston, Virginia, USA) | USGS32 is a dried potassium nitrate salt, prepared by J. K. Böhlke in 1992 via dissolving and recrystallizing a mixture of normal reagent salt and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-enriched salt. | $\begin{aligned} & +25.55 \pm 0.2 \\ & 13.01 \pm 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | +180 exactly | Böhlke et al. performed ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ analysis using a TC/EA by on-line reduction with carbon. IRMS of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ was performed after combustion/reduction to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. ${ }^{12,13}$ $\delta^{17} \mathrm{O}$ provided by Andrew Schauer, Univ. Washington. USGS34 and USGS35 were used to calibrate $\delta^{17} \mathrm{O}$ using the bacterial denitrifier method and thermal decomposition. |
| USGS34 | Reston Stable Isotope <br> Laboratory - USGS <br> (Reston, Virginia, USA) | Prepared by equilibrating nitric acid with $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ depleted Antarctic snow-melt water and subsequent neutralization with KOH | $\begin{aligned} & -27.84 \pm 0.3 \\ & -14.55 \end{aligned}$ | $-1.8 \pm 0.1$ | Prepared and characterized similar to USGS32 by Böhlke et al. ${ }^{10}{ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ was measured by off-line decomposition to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. |
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