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## Preparation.

All chemicals and solvents in the synthesis were reagent grade and used without further purification. 4,4'-Dihydroxy-(1,1'-biphenyl)-3,3'-dicarboxylic acid ( $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc) was synthesized according to the literature procedure. ${ }^{1,2}$

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{Z n}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{d o b p d c}\right)\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right] \cdot \mathbf{0 . 2} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}$ (1): $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc $(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. Sonication of the mixture at room temperature afforded a transparent solution. The solution was maintained in the range of $\mathrm{pH} 8 \sim 9$. An aqueous solution ( 3 mL ) of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the resulting solution and crystals were immediately precipitated. The crude product was washed with acetic acid and water, dried at room temperature under high vacuum condition to produce the 1 D Zn polymer. Single crystals were obtained via slow evaporation of the filtrate of the solution after the initial precipitationYield: 0.45 g (64\%). Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12.4} \mathrm{O}_{8.2} \mathrm{Zn}$ : C $44.58, \mathrm{H}$ 3.31; found: C 44.38, H 3.35 .

## Synthesis of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (dobpdc):

$\left[\mathbf{M g}_{2}\right.$ (dobpdc)(DMF) $\left.\left.\mathbf{2}\right] \cdot \mathbf{1 . 6} \mathbf{D M F} \cdot \mathbf{1 . 3 H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{[} \mathbf{M g}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{d o b p d c})\right]: \mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc $(86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 12 mL of solvent ( $\mathrm{DMF}: \mathrm{EtOH}=1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were loaded into a 24 mL Pyrex cell and sealed with a PTFE cap. The resulting mixture was then placed in a convection oven pre-heated to $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at this temperature for 4 h . Colorless powders were formed, collected by filtration, and washed with DMF and MeOH. Yield: 130 mg (78\%). Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24.8} \mathrm{H}_{33.86} \mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3.6} \mathrm{O}_{10.9}$ : C 49.21, H 5.63, N 8.33; found: C 48.86, H 5.64, N 8.59.
$\left[\mathbf{Z n}_{\mathbf{2}}\right.$ (dobpdc)(DMF) $\left.\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}}\right] \cdot \mathbf{1 . 6 D M F} \cdot \mathbf{1 . 3} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{[ \mathbf { Z n } _ { \mathbf { 2 } } ( \mathbf { d o b p d c } ) ] : ~} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc $(86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(214 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 12 mL of solvent ( $\mathrm{DMF}: \mathrm{EtOH}=1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were loaded into a 24 mL Pyrex cell and sealed with a PTFE cap. The resulting mixture was then placed in a convection oven pre-heated to $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at this temperature for 4 h , and colorless powders formed. The powders were collected by filtration, washed with DMF and MeOH. Yield: 90 mg (93\%). Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24.8} \mathrm{H}_{33.8} \mathrm{Zn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3.6} \mathrm{O}_{10.9}$ : C 43.33, H 4.96, N 7.33; found: C 43.71, H 4.64, N 7.03.

Synthesis of bimetallic $\left[\mathbf{M g}_{1.08} \mathbf{Z n}_{0.92}(\right.$ dobpdc $\left.)(\mathbf{D M F})_{2}\right] \cdot \mathbf{1 . 1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}: \mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc $(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 12 mL of solvent ( $\mathrm{DMF}: \mathrm{EtOH}=1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were loaded into a 24 mL Pyrex cell and sealed with a PTFE cap. The resulting mixture was then placed in a convection oven which was pre-heated to $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at this temperature for 4 h . The powders were collected by filtration and washed with DMF and MeOH. Yield: 80 mg ( $83 \%$ ). Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22.2} \mathrm{Mg}_{1.08} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9.1} \mathrm{Zn}_{0.92}$ : C 45.97, H 4.28, N 5.36; found: C 45.88, H 4.14, N 5.22. The metal composition was checked by ICP-AES.

## Synthesis of bimetallic M/Zn(dobpdc) constructed from polymer 1:

$\left[\mathbf{M g}_{1.02} \mathbf{Z n}_{\mathbf{0 . 9 8}}\right.$ (dobpdc)(DMF) $\mathbf{2}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{1 D M F 0 . 5 H _ { \mathbf { 2 } } \mathbf { O }} \mathbf{[ \mathbf { M g } / \mathbf { Z n } ( \text { dobpdc } ) ] : ~ P o l y m e r} \mathbf{1}$ ( $66.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 12 mL of solvent ( $\mathrm{DMF}: \mathrm{EtOH}=1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were loaded into a 24 mL Pyrex cell and sealed with a PTFE cap. The resulting mixture was then placed in a convection oven pre-heated to $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at this temperature for 4 h , and colorless powders formed. The powders were collected by filtration, washed with DMF and MeOH . Yield: 82 mg (91\%). Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Mg}_{1.02} \mathrm{Zn}_{0.98} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9.5}$ : C 47.03, H 4.81, N 7.15; found: C 46.96, H 4.89, N 7.42. The metal composition was checked by ICPAES.
$\left[\mathbf{M g}_{1.2} \mathbf{Z n}_{0.8}(\text { dobpdc)(DMF) })_{2}\right] \cdot \mathbf{2 . 3 D M F} \cdot \mathbf{1 H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$ : This compound was prepared according to the synthetic procedure similar to $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc) except for the reaction time for 12 h . Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26.9} \mathrm{H}_{38.1} \mathrm{Mg}_{1.2} \mathrm{Zn}_{0.8} \mathrm{~N}_{4.3} \mathrm{O}_{11.3}$ : C 47.24, H 5.61, N 8.81; found: C 47.00, H 5.62 , N 9.00. The metal composition was checked by ICP-AES.
$\mathbf{M n} / \mathbf{Z n}$ phase $[\mathbf{M n} / \mathbf{Z n}$ (dobpdc)]: This compound was prepared according to the synthetic procedure similar to $\mathbf{M g} / \mathbf{Z n}$ (dobpdc) except for the use of $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The metal composition was checked by XPS.
$\mathbf{C o} / \mathbf{Z n}$ phase $[\mathbf{C o} / \mathbf{Z n}$ (dobpde)]: This compound was prepared according to the synthetic procedure similar to $\mathbf{M g} / \mathbf{Z n}\left(\right.$ dobpdc) except for the use of $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The metal composition was checked by XPS.
$\mathbf{N i} / \mathbf{Z n}$ phase $[\mathbf{N i} / \mathbf{Z n}(\mathbf{d o b p d c})]$ : This compound was prepared according to the synthetic procedure similar to $\mathbf{M g} / \mathbf{Z n}$ (dobpdc) except for the use of $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The metal composition was checked by XPS.

## Synthesis of mmen- $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (dobpdc) and of mmen- $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathbf{Z n}$ (dobpdc):

$\left[\mathbf{M g}_{2}(\right.$ dobpdc $\left.)(m m e n)_{1.58}\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.42}\right] \cdot \mathbf{2 . 9 8 \mathbf { H } _ { 2 } \mathrm { O }} \quad\left[\mathrm{mmen}-\mathbf{M g}_{\mathbf{2}}(\right.$ dobpdc $\left.)\right]: \quad$ The solid $\mathbf{M g}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (dobpdc) was soaked in MeOH for 1 d . The MeOH -exchanged sample ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dried for 1 h at an oven preheated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and put into a 250 mL one-neck round-bottom flask. $N, N$ '-Dimethylethylenediamine (mmen) $(1.89 \mathrm{~mL}, 18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ were transferred to the flask and the mixture was sonicated over 6 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solid was separated by centrifugation, washed several times with toluene and hexane in order, and dried under Ar for 30 min . Yield: 170 mg (98.2\%). Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20.32} \mathrm{H}_{31.76} \mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3.16} \mathrm{O}_{9.4}$ : C $46.99, \mathrm{H} 6.16, \mathrm{~N} 8.52$; found: $\mathrm{C} 47.31, \mathrm{H} 6.14, \mathrm{~N} 8.13$. The solid was evacuated at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h to obtain the activated sample.
 prepared according to the synthetic procedure similar to mmen- $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc) except for the use of $\mathbf{Z n}_{2}$ (dobpdc). Yield: 183 mg ( $98.3 \%$ ). Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26.4} \mathrm{Zn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7.2}$ : C 43.30, H 4.80, N 7.57; found: C 43.09, H 5.03, N 7.79. The solid was evacuated at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h to obtain the activated sample.
$\left[\mathbf{M g}_{1.02} \mathbf{Z n}_{0.98}(\text { dobpdc)(mmen) })_{1.7}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.3}\right] \cdot \mathbf{1 . 2} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$ (mmen- $\mathbf{M g} / \mathbf{Z n}($ dobpdc) $)$ : This compound was prepared according to the synthetic procedure similar to mmen- $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc) except for the use of $\mathbf{M g} / \mathbf{Z n}$ (dobpdc) synthesized by polymer $\mathbf{1}$. Yield: 173 mg ( $98.1 \%$ ). Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20.8} \mathrm{H}_{29.4} \mathrm{Mg}_{1.02} \mathrm{Zn}_{0.98} \mathrm{~N}_{3.4} \mathrm{O}_{7.5}$ : C 46.61, H 5.53, N 8.89; found: C 46.42, H 5.24, N 8.92. The solid was evacuated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h to obtain the activated sample.

Physical Measurements. IR spectra were obtained with an ATR module using a Nicolet iS10 FT-IR spectrometer. Powder XRD patterns were recorded using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=1.5406 \AA$ ) on a Rigaku Ultima III diffractometer with a scan speed of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ and a step size of $0.02^{\circ}$. SEM images were acquired using a JSM-7001F scanning electron microscope. Elemental analyses for C, H, and, N were performed at the Elemental Analysis Service Center of Sogang University. XPS data were collected at the Semiconductor \& Display Green Manufacturing

Research Center at Korea University using X-tool. EDS-SEM analysis was performed was performed at the Korea Basic Science Institute using SU-70. EDS-TEM analysis was performed at the Korea Basic Science Institute using TECNAI G2 F30 S-Twin. NMR data were obtained from a 500 MHz Magnet System 500'54 Ascend Bruker NMR.

Gas Sorption Measurements. Highly pure $\mathrm{N}_{2}(99.999 \%)$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (99.999\%) were used in the sorption experiments. The $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isotherms for the samples were measured by using a Micromeritics 3-FLEX instrument.

Crystallographic Structure Determination. Single crystal of 1 was mounted on a cryoloop under a cooling stream of dinitrogen. Diffraction data were collected with synchrotron radiation by using a 2D-SMC ADSC Quantum-210 detector with a Pt-coated Si double crystal under a cooling stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory. The ADSC Quantum-210 ADX program was used for data collection and HKL3000 was used for cell refinement, data reduction, and absorption corrections. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by fullmatrix least-squares analysis using anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms with the SHELXTL program. ${ }^{3}$ All hydrogen atoms except for hydrogens bound to water oxygens were calculated at idealized positions and refined with the riding models. Crystal data for 1: empirical formula $=\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}, M_{\mathrm{r}}=373.61, T=100(2) \mathrm{K}$, space group $=P 2 / c, a=8.4040$ (17) $\AA, b=5.2320(10) \AA, c=15.513(3) \AA, \beta=103.76(3)^{\circ}, V=662.5(2) \AA^{3}, \mathrm{Z}=2, D_{\text {calc }}=1.873 \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}, \mu=1.898 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, 3067$ reflections collected, 1790 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0218$ ), $R 1=0.0426, w R 2$ $=0.1187[I>2 \sigma(I)]$. CCDC 1850759 (1) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


Figure S1. PXRD profiles of simulated and 1.

(a)

(b)

Figure S2. Two types of extended structures of $\mathbf{1}$ (a) showing two neighboring chains entangled by hydrogen bonds among hydroxyl groups, carboxylate oxygens, and water molecules (sky blue lines), and (b) showing hydrogen bonds and $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction between benzene rings (green line).


Figure S3. XPS data of the phases prepared in Mg:Zn ratio of (a) 1:1, (b) 2:1, (c) 3:1, (d) 3.5:1, (e) $6: 1$, and (f) $10: 1$. The solvothermal reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ in DMF/EtOH was carried out at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h .


Figure S4. Ratio of $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ as a function of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+} / \mathbf{1}$ molar ratio. The compositional ratio was obtained by XPS data in Figure S3.

Table S1. ICP-AES results of $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc) for different reaction times.

| Reaction time | $\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 h | 1806.8 | 2422.8 | 0.75 |
| 4 h | 1554.8 | 1500.1 | 1.04 |
| 12 h | 1642.7 | 1096.6 | 1.50 |


(e)

Figure S5. XPS data of the phases prepared by a solvothermal reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with 3.5 equiv of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ for different reaction times: (a) 1 h , (b) 2 h , (c) 3 h , (d) 4 h , and (e) 12 h .


Figure S6. $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ ratio as a fucntion of reaction time. A 3.5 equiv of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ was reacted with compound $\mathbf{1}$ at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ratio was obtained using XPS data in Figure S5
(a)
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Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of (a) $\mathbf{1}$ and (b) $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc (NMR solvent: DMF- $d_{7}$ ).


Figure S8. (a) PXRD profiles and (b) IR spectra of $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc) after 4 and 12 h , formed by 1D precursor 1.


Figure S9. SEM images of intermidate phases during 1D-to-3D phase transformation. The images were collected at indicated reaction time.
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Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the reaction filtrates at different times: (a) 1 h , (b) 2 h , (c) 3 h , and (d) 4 h (NMR solvent: DMSO- $d_{6}$ ).


| Reaction time | $\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 h | 51.36 | 1.77 |
| 1 h | 45.85 | 3.31 |
| 2 h | 40.58 | 1.18 |
| 3 h | 38.42 | 0.58 |
| 4 h | 34.85 | 0.53 |

Figure S11. ICP-AES analysis of concentrations of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ions in the filtrate of $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc) after the reaction at different reaction times.


Figure S12. (a) PXRD profiles of $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc), and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+} / 3.5 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+} / \mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc for the solvothermal reaction of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ( 3.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ (1 equiv), and $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc (1 equiv) in DMF/EtOH at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . (b) IR spectra of the corresponding samples.


| Area | $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.07 |
| 2 | 1.58 |
| 3 | 0.79 |

Figure S13. TEM-EDS images of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+} / 3.5 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+} / \mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc formed by the solvothermal reaction of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ( 3.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ (1 equiv), and $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc (1 equiv) in DMF/EtOH after 4 h

Table S2. ICP-AES results of $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}($ dobpdc $)$ and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+} / 3.5 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+} / \mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc .

|  | Reaction time | $\mathbf{M g}(\mathbf{m m o l ~ L}$ | ) | $\mathbf{Z n}\left(\mathbf{m m o l} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M g} / \mathbf{Z n}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}($ dobpdc $)$ | 4 h | 1554.8 | 1500.1 | 1.04 |
| $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+} / 3.5 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+} / \mathrm{H}_{4}$ dobpdc | 4 h | 2362.9 | 2004.6 | 1.18 |



Figure S14. XPS data of the phases prepared in Mn:Zn ratio of (a) 1:1, (b) 2:1, (c) 3:1, and (d)
3.5:1. The solvothermal reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in DMF/EtOH was carried out at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h .


Figure S15. XPS data of the phases prepared in Co:Zn ratio of (a) 1:1, (b) 2:1, (c) 3:1, and (d) 3.5:1. The solvothermal reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ in DMF/EtOH was carried out at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h .


Figure S16. XPS data of the phases prepared in Ni:Zn ratio of (a) 1:1, (b) 2:1, (c) 3:1, and (d) 3.5:1. The solvothermal reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ in DMF/EtOH was carried out at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h .


Figure S17. Ratio of $\mathrm{M}^{`} / \mathrm{Zn}$ as a function of $\mathrm{M}^{2+} / \mathbf{1}$ molar ratio: $\mathrm{M}^{`}=\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{a})$, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{b})$, and Ni (c). (d) Plots of $\mathrm{M}^{‘} / \mathrm{Zn}$ ratio for the corresponding bimetallic frameworks. The compositional ratio was obtained by XPS data.


Figure S18. PXRD profiles of bimetallic frameworks prepared by the solvothermal reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with 3.5 equiv of $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ions at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3 h .


Figure S19. SEM images of (a) $\mathrm{Mn} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc), (b) $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc), and (c) $\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc). For the Mn-based bimetallic framework, amorphous impurities of Mn were present on the surface of crystals.


Figure S20. PXRD profiles of (a) $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc) and mmen- $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc), (b) $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc) and mmen- $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc), and (c) $\mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ (dobpdc) and mmen- $\mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ (dobpdc).


Figure S21. Pore size distribution images of $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc), $\mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ (dobpdc), and $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}($ dobpdc).


Figure. S22. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isotherms of (a) $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc), (b) $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc), and (c) $\mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ (dobpdc) at three different temperatures.


Figure S23. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption isotherms of $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc) at (a) 298 K , (b) 323 K , and (c) 348 K , fitted by a dual-site Langmuir-Freundlich equation.


Figure S24. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption isotherms of $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc) at (a) 298 K , (b) 323 K , and (c) 348 K , fitted by a dual-site Langmuir-Freundlich equation.


Figure S25. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption isotherms of $\mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ (dobpdc) at (a) 298 K , (b) 323 K , and (c) 348 K , fitted by a dual-site Langmuir-Freundlich equation.


Figure S26. (a) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ isotherms of $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc), $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc), and $\mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ (dobpdc) at 77 K . (b) Pore size distribution of the corresponding samples estimated by DFT calculations. The BET suface areas corresponded to $604 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ for mmen- $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc), $378 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ for mmen$\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc), and $49 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ for mmen- $\mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ (dobpdc). These results could be associated with mmen loading, defect, and formula weight.


Figure S27. In situ IR spectroscopic data of (a) mmen- $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ (dobpdc), (b) mmen- $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Zn}$ (dobpdc), and (c) mmen- $\mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ (dobpdc). During the measurements, the cell was isolated from the external environment by using an airtight IR cell (with KBr windows) and an oil bubbler.
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