Supporting Information for:

# Intramolecular Hydrogen Bonding Facilitates 

# Electrocatalytic Reduction of Nitrite in Aqueous 

## Solution

Song Xu, Hyuk-Yong Kwon, Daniel C. Ashley, Chun-Hsing Chen, Elena Jakubikova, Jeremy M. Smith

## Table of Contents

Experimental and Supplementary Figures ..... S3
Electrochemical Calculations ..... S13
Cyclic Voltammogram of Catalytic $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$Reduction Under Acidic pH ..... S16
Calculation of Activation Parameters ..... S17
CVs for Kinetic Isotope Effect (KIE) Value Calculation ..... S18
Macrocycle Properties ..... S20
Computational Methods ..... S21
O-bound Nitrite Reduction Mechanism ..... S23
Crystallographic Data Collection ..... S31
References ..... S36

## Experimental and Supplementary Figures

## General Considerations

All anaerobic manipulations, including non-aqueous electrochemical measurements, were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or in an MBraun Labmaster glovebox. Deionized water was used for all aqueous experiments or measurements. All reagents were purchased from commercial venders and used as received. $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+} \quad(\mathrm{DIM}=2,3$-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradeca-1,3-diene) were prepared according to literature procedures. ${ }^{1-2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data were recorded on a Varian Inova 400 MHz spectrometer at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UV-visible spectra were recorded with an Agilent Cary 60 UV -visible spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded using positive electrospray ionization on a Thermo Electron Corp MAT-95XP spectrometer. Solution magnetic susceptibilities were determined by Evans method. ${ }^{3}$

## Characterization of Nitrite Reduction Products

Ammonia and hydroxylamine after bulk electrolysis are characterized according to literature procedures. ${ }^{4-5}$ For a typical experiment to characterize $\mathrm{NH}_{3}: 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of reagent $\mathrm{A}(500 \mathrm{~mL}$ aqueous solution of 5 g phenol plus 25 mg sodium nitroprusside) and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sample solution are added into a test tube. After the tube is vigorously shaken, 5 mL of reagent B ( 500 mL aqueous solution of 2.5 g NaOH and 4.2 mL of sodium hypochlorite) is added into the solution. The color of the solution is developed at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes and the absorbance at 625 nm measured at room temperature. A calibration curve for ammonia quantification is constructed using standard ammonium sulfate solution. The amount of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ after electrolysis is quantified according to this calibration curve. Control experiments reveal that color development does not occur in the
presence of any of the species present in the electrocatalysis experiments, i.e. catalyst, electrolyte, etc. The possible formation of $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ was similarly tested for using following literature procedures, ${ }^{5}$ however no absorption band is observed at $c a .700 \mathrm{~nm}$, ruling out the formation of hydroxylamine.

## Physical Methods

Cyclic voltammetry experiments in aqueous solution were carried out in an argon purged, airtight, single compartment cell, while CV experiments in non-aqueous media were carried out in an MBraun Labmaster glovebox. Working electrode: glassy carbon electrode $\left(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$ Instruments). Auxiliary electrode: platinum wire (Alfa Aesar, 99.99\%). Pseudo-reference electrode: Ag wire (Alfa Asear, $99.99 \%$ ) for non-aqueous and $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{CH}$ Instruments, 1 M KCl , -0.006 V vs SCE) for aqueous solutions. The final redox potentials measured under non-aqueous conditions were calculated by comparing the measured potentials with $E_{1 / 2}$ of $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ in the same solution then converted to potential vs SCE by adding $0.40 \mathrm{~V} .{ }^{6}$ The reproducibility of all cyclic voltammetry experiments are verified by several repeated scans. Average peak current densities calculated from multiple scans were used for quantitative kinetic studies.

Controlled-potential electrolysis (CPE) were carried out in a two-compartment cell separated by frit. Working electrode: carbon rod electrode ( 0.5 cm in diameter, 5 cm in length), auxiliary electrode: carbon rod electrode, reference electrode $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{CH}$ Instruments, $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KCl},-0.006$ V vs SCE). The solution after electrolysis at -1.05 V vs SCE for 2 hours was analyzed for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, allowing the Faradaic efficiency and TON to be calculated.

Preparation of $\left[\mathbf{C o}(\mathbf{D I M})\left(\mathbf{N O}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathbf{B P h}_{4}\right)$ : A 20 mL vial (wrapped with aluminum foil) was charged with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{2}$ powder $(46.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.05 \mathrm{eq})$ and a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}(50$
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ in methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution of mixture was stirred in an ice bath for 2 h until the green solution changed to pale yellow. The off-white precipitate ( AgBr ) was removed through filtration and the pale yellow solution was collected. Solid $\mathrm{NaBPh}_{4}$ (34.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq})$ was added, the methanol was removed in vacuo and the complex extracted with dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The dichloromethane was removed under reduced pressure to give a pale-yellow powder $(28.0 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%)$. Crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{BPh} 4)$ suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) ; 3.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CHb} / \mathrm{c})$; $3.82\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{c}}\right) ; 2.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{j}}\right) ; 2.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{f}}\right) ; 2.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) ; 2.58\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) ;$ 2.45-2.55 (2H, d, CHg/f); $2.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{e}}\right), 1.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{e}}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals are assigned according to the NMR spectra of Figure S 1 to S3. ESI-MS: calcd for $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{CoN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 375.12(\mathrm{M}+)$; found: 375.1.

In situ generation of $\left[\mathbf{C o}(\mathbf{D I M})\left(\mathbf{N O}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathbf{B r}$ : A 20 mL vial was charged with $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$ $(10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred in an ice bath for half hour until the green solution became yellow. The resulting $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ solution was then directly characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ matches that of the isolated $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BPh}_{4}$ complex (Figure S5).

Preparation of $\mathbf{C o}(\mathbf{D I M})\left(\mathbf{N O}_{2}\right)_{2}$ : Under anaerobic conditions, cobaltocene $(5.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1.05 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BPh} 4(20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ). Upon addition, the color of solution immediately changed from pale yellow to dark purple. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight before being dried under reduced pressure to afford mixture of dark purple $\left(\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)$ and pale yellow $\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Co}\right]^{+}\right)$ powders. The cobaltocenium salt could be partially removed by extensive washing with THF. Dark
purple crystals of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into its dichloromethane solution. Approximate yield (with $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Co}^{+}\right]^{+}$impurity): $4 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$. $\mu_{\text {eff }}=1.73 \mu_{\text {B. }}$. We were unable to obtain sufficient quantities of pure complex for analysis of bulk purity.


Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BPh}_{4}$ (solvent: $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) with peak assignments based on COSY, HSQC and spectra (Figure S2 and Figure S3), $s=$ protio impurities in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.


Figure S2. COSY NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$(solvent: $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, $\mathrm{s}=$ protio impurities in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.


Figure S3. HSQC NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$(solvent: $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ), $\mathrm{s}=$ protio impurities in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Br}$ prepared in situ prepared from $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Br}$ and 2 eq of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ (solvent: $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ). The spectrum is the same as that of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{BPh} 4)$ in Figure S 5 (top).


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of isolated $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right)$ (top) and in situ generated $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Br}$ (bottom) (solvent: $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$.


Figure S6. Dependence of peak current densities on the square root of the scan rate for the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III}) / \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})-(\mathrm{DIM}) / \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})-\left(\mathrm{DIM}^{-1}\right)$ couples of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BPh}_{4}$ under nonaqueous condition. Linear dependencies are observed in both the cathodic and anionic directions, suggesting both redox processes in Figure 2 are electrochemically reversible.


Figure S7. UV-vis spectra of 0.5 mM . $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BPh}_{4}$ in MeCN (blue) and 0.5 mM [ $\left.\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$with 100 mM NaNO 2 in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (orange). The similarity of the UV-vis spectra support the in situ generation of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


Figure S8. Cyclic voltammograms of $0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$with 50 mM NaNO 2 under different scan rates. The reversibility observed in the cyclic voltammogram suggests the redox process observed at $c a .-0.3 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE under aqueous condition is reversible in the presence of excess $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ (Scheme 2).


Figure S9. Dependence of peak current densities on the square root of the scan rate for the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III}) / \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ couples of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$with excess $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ under aqueous condition (Figure 3 a , Figure S8). Since linear dependences are observed in both the cathodic and anionic directions, the redox process is electrochemically reversible.


Figure S10. Cyclic Voltammogram of $0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$without substrate (black), 10 mM
$\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ (red) and $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ (blue) at $\mathrm{pH}=6.3$. Scan rate $=5 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$. Electrolyte: 50 mM KBr. Working electrode: GC.


Figure S11. Charge passed during CPE for solutions of 0.1 M NaNO 2 (black), 0.5 mM $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$(red) and $0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ (blue). Carbon rod working electrode, initial $\mathrm{pH}=7.2$. Potential applied: -1.05 V vs. SCE.

## Electrochemical Calculations

After the solution ( $0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}+100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaNO} 2,15 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{pH}=7.2$ ) was electrolyzed under -1.05 V vs SCE for 2 hr , the amount of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ generated through CPE at -1.05 V vs SCE was quantified by the indophenol method ${ }^{4}$ as 0.142 mmol .
(1) Faradaic efficiency calculation. Since ammonia quantification showed $0.142 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was generated by the CPE experiment (after background subtraction), 0.85 mmol electrons ( 82 C ) were used for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ generation.

$$
\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}+7 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Since 93 C (background subtracted) electrons were consumed in total according to the total charge accumulation diagram, the Faraday efficiency can be calculated as:
$\left(\mathrm{e}^{-}\right.$used for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ generation $) /\left(\right.$ total $\mathrm{e}^{-}$consumed $)=82 / 93=88 \%$.
(2) Turnover number (TON) calculation. Since total $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$was used for electrocatalysis and $0.142 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was generated during electrolysis, turnover number (TON) $=142 / 7.5=18.9$ for the 2 h electrolysis.
(3) Rate constant ( $k$ ) calculation from CV data. Similar to the literature precedent of rate constant calculation under pure kinetic condition, ${ }^{7-8}$ the rate constant can be obtained by the following equations:
(i) $\quad i_{p}=0.4463 n_{p}^{3 / 2} F A C_{p}(F v D / R T)^{1 / 2}$
(ii) $\quad i_{c}=n_{c} F A C_{p}\left(D k C_{A}\right)^{1 / 2}$

In the electrocatalytic reduction of nitrite to ammonia, 6 electrons are consumed, $n_{c}=6$. Since $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$is reduced by two separate one-electron reductive processes, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}=1$. By dividing equation (i) by (ii), equation (iii) can be obtained:

$$
\text { (iii) } i_{c}=13.45 \times i_{p} v^{-1 / 2} \times(R T / F)^{1 / 2 \times\left(k C_{A}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

In these equations,
$i_{p}=$ maximum non-catalytic current
$i_{c}=$ maximum catalytic current
$n_{p}=$ number of electrons transferred in the non-catalytic event.
$F=$ Faraday constant
$A=$ area of the electrode $=0.09 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
$C_{A}=$ bulk concentration of the catalyst
$n=$ scan rate
$D=$ diffusion constant of catalyst
$T=$ temperature
$k=$ rate constant of catalytic reaction
Since the second reductive process at $c a .-1.0 \mathrm{~V}$ vs $\mathrm{SCE}, \mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{DIM} / \mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{DIM}^{-}$) overlaps with catalytic wave, this process cannot be observed directly. Based on the assumption that diffusion constant of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$is similar to that of the Co - ${ }^{\text {II }}$-DIM species, we applied equation (i) to analyze the first reversible wave ( $E_{1 / 2} \sim-0.30 \mathrm{~V}$ vs $\mathrm{SCE}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III}) /(\mathrm{II})$ ) to obtain data for further calculation to obtain value of $i_{p} v^{-1 / 2}$, which is equal to the slope of the $i_{p}$ vs $v^{1 / 2}$ plot (slope $=3 \times 10^{-}$ ${ }^{5}$, Figure S 12 ). After $i_{p} v^{-1 / 2}$ is obtained from analysis of $1^{\text {st }}$ reductive wave $(\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III}) /(\mathrm{II})), k$ can be calculated according to equation (iii).

These calculations give TOF $=118 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Note that this value differs from that obtained from the CPE experiment described above because these values are obtained under slightly different conditions. Specifically, since the CPE determination was conducted under buffer-free conditions there is an increase in pH during the course of the electrolysis, whereas for the CV determination there is no pH change. It is also evident that there is loss of catalytic activity after longer time periods during the CPE experiment (Figure S11). Since the CPE experiment was conducted in order to characterize the nitrite reduction products, we did not attempt to optimize these conditions. Note that no ammonia was detected for the CPE of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$in the absence of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$.


Figure S12. Cyclic voltammogram of $0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$with 50 mM NaNO 2 at different scan rates (left). Plot of peak cathodic current $i_{p}$ vs square root of scan rate $v^{1 / 2}$. In this plot, according to equation (i), $i_{p} v^{-1 / 2}$ is equal to the slope of plot, $3 \times 10^{-5}$. The data obtained from this Figure is applied to the rate constant calculation.

## Variable Scan Experiments for Catalytic $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$Reduction (Pure Kinetic Condition).



Figure S13. Cyclic voltammograms of $0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$with 10 mM NaNO 2 at $\mathrm{pH}=6.0$ under different scan rates. Electrolyte: $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} \mathbf{N O}_{4}$. Working electrode: GC. Pure kinetic conditions (S-shaped CV) are achieved at $v=3 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$.

## Cyclic Voltammogram of Catalytic $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$Reduction Under Acidic $\mathbf{p H}$



Figure S14. Cyclic voltammograms of $0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$with $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ at $\mathrm{pH}=3.5$.
Electrolyte: $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Working electrode: GC. Scan rate $=3 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$.

## Calculation of Activation Parameters

To calculate activation parameters of the reaction, the cyclic voltammograms of electrocatalytic $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$reduction were recorded at different temperatures. According to the CVs, the rate constant for each temperature, $k$, is calculated according to the equation: $i_{c}=n_{c} F A C_{p}\left(D k C_{A}\right)^{1 / 2} .{ }^{9}$ The plot of $\ln (k / T)$ vs. $(1 / \mathrm{T})$ fit to the equation: $\mathrm{y}=-3678.9 \mathrm{x}+17.13 . \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\ddagger}$ are calculated according to the Eyring equation: $\ln (k / T)=\left(-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{R}\right) \times(1 / \mathrm{T})+\ln \left(\kappa \mathrm{kB}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{h}\right)+\Delta \mathrm{S} \ddagger / \mathrm{R}$.


Figure S15. Cyclic voltammograms of $0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$with 20 mM NaNO 2 at variable temperatures, $\mathrm{pH}=6.0$. Electrolyte: $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Working electrode: GC. Inset: plot of $\ln (k / \mathrm{T})$ vs $1 / \mathrm{T}$ for activation parameter calculation.

## CVs for Kinetic Isotope Effect (KIE) Value Calculation



Figure S16. CVs of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$recorded in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (red) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (black). Conditions: 0.5 mM $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$with $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{pH}=6.0 . \mathrm{GC}$ working electrode, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, scan rate: $3 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ experiment while $2.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$ for $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ experiment. KIE value was calculated based on peak current ratio at -1.2 V vs. $\mathrm{SCE}, \mathrm{KIE}=k \mathrm{H} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=\left(i_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{H}} / i_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{D}}\right)^{2} .{ }^{10}$

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$



Figure S17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$. Resonance for amine protons $(\delta \mathrm{ca}$. 6.0 ppm ) are circled. In $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, this peak disappears over the course of several hours due to exchange with deuterium.

## Macrocycle Properties

The catalytic activity of related macrocyclic complexes, $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { cyclam }) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{+11}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{TIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$ (TIM $=$ 2,3,9,10-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11- tetraazacyclotetradeca-1,3,8,10-tetraene) ${ }^{1}$ toward $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ reduction were characterized to investigate the ligand effect. According to CVs summarized in Figure $\mathrm{S} 18,\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$exhibits superior catalytic activity as compared to $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { cyclam }) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{+}$ (redox inactive ligand) and [Co(TIM) $\left.\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$(no $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ hydrogen available for intramolecular $\mathrm{H}-$ bonding), which is consistent with the hypothesis that both redox noninnocence and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ hydrogens are essential to the catalytic activity.


Figure S18. Cyclic voltammograms of $0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$(black), $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{TIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$(red) and $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { cyclam }) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{+}$(blue) with 10 mM NaNO 2 . GC electrode, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, scan rate $=100$ $\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{pH}=6.0$. Inset: structure of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{TIM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { cyclam }) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{+}$.

## Computational Methods

All structures were optimized with the B3LYP ${ }^{12-15}$ functional including Grimme's D 2 dispersion correction ${ }^{16}$ (B3LYP + D2), unless noted otherwise. These optimizations were performed using the implicit SMD ${ }^{17}$ model to account for solvent effects using either water or acetonitrile depending on what experimental results were being compared to. Frequencies were calculated for all optimized structures using the harmonic oscillator approximation to verify that the structures were true minima with no imaginary frequencies. The results of these frequency calculations were also used to calculate zero-point energy and entropic corrections to the free energy at 298.15 K and 1.0 atm using standard statistical mechanical conventions. Additionally, wavefunction stability tests were performed on all complexes to verify the nature of the electronic state, and only complexes with stable wavefunctions were reported.

A smaller basis set (BS-I) was used for the geometry optimizations and the frequency calculations. The electronic energies were then determined from single point energy calculations performed on these geometries with a larger basis set (BS-II) that also incorporated diffuse functions. Both BSI and BS-II employed an SDD pseudopotential (ECP10MDF) and accompanying basis set for Co. ${ }^{18-19} \mathrm{Br}$ was also modeled with an SDD pseudopotential (ECP10MDF) and associated cc-pVTZ-PP basis set for BS-I, while the larger aug-cc-pVTZ-PP basis set was used for BS-II. ${ }^{20}$ All other atoms used $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ for BS-I ${ }^{21-22}$ and $6-311+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ for BS-II. ${ }^{23-24}$ An ultrafine grid was used for all calculations. The final solvated free energy ( $G_{\text {sol }}$ ) was then adjusted ${ }^{25}$ to have the standard state concentration of 1 M or 55.5 M for water, a correction of -1.9 and $-4.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. All DFT calculations were performed with the Gaussian 16 software package Revision A.03. ${ }^{26}$

Calculated reduction potentials $\left(E^{0}\right)$ were determined relative to the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) through equation S 1 :

$$
E^{\circ}(\mathrm{eV})=-\frac{\Delta G_{s o l}}{n F}-4.28 \quad \text { (Eq. S1) }
$$

Here, $\Delta G_{\text {sol }}$ is the change in solvated free energy upon reduction, $n$ is the number of electrons transferred, in our case this is always one, and $F$ is Faraday's constant. The calculated potentials are referenced to NHE by subtracting the absolute reduction potential of NHE, 4.28 V. ${ }^{27}$ This value of NHE is determined by the aqueous solvation free energy of the proton, $265.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and this solvation energy was also used when calculating $\mathrm{p} K_{\text {as }}$ and/or the solvated free energy of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. Note that much work has been done on determining the absolute value of NHE, and not all estimates agree, ${ }^{27-32}$ and hence our calculated values may be subject to a modest systematic error. Finally, the calculated values vs. NHE are all reported relative to SCE ( 0.2412 vs. NHE) $)^{33}$.

MECPs were optimized using a freely available code that interfaces with Gaussian 09 (here Gaussian Revision D. 01 was used) from Harvey and co-workers. ${ }^{34}$ These geometries were used to calculate the single point energies reported in the text, using Gaussian 16. The MECP optimizations and single point energy calculations were all performed at the same level of theory described above.

## O-bound Nitrite Reduction Mechanism
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Figure S19. Oxygen bound nitrite reduction mechanisms examined with DFT with energy corrections at $\mathrm{pH}=6$ ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is reported in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).

Several plausible O-bound mechanisms were investigated. The most favored mechanism in the figure S19 is also an amino-proton-assisted mechanism (red) with the activation barrier of 6.1 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. However, as shown in figure 5, shifting the protonated nitro ligand to N -bound (the $\mathbf{1 0 -}$

4 transition state energy relative to complex 8 ) has $2.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower barrier than the O -bound amino-proton-assisted mechanism (the 8-9 MECP energy relative to complex 8).

## Electronic Structure for AF-T and AF-S of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$



Figure S20. $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$AF-triplet natural orbitals. The occupation numbers of each NO are indicated.


Figure S21. $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$AF-singlet natural orbitals. The occupation numbers of each NO are indicated.

The degree of pyramidalization of the $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ group is indicated by the measured out-of-plane angle of the nitrogen atom (this can also be referred to as an improper dihedral). This is $6.1^{\circ}$ for AF-
triplet geometry and $37.3^{\circ}$ for AF-singlet geometry. The AF-coupled orbitals are Co-N $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{*}$ in singlet while $\pi$ and $\pi^{*}$ in triplet preventing its $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ geometry from bending to tetrahedral.


Figure S22. Additional square schemes related to speciation and electrochemistry.


Figure S23. More detailed version of Scheme 3. The lowest energy spin state and nitrite-binding mode (when applicable) are indicated below each structure.

Table S1. Electronic energies (E), zero-point energies (ZPE), entropic corrections to free energies (-TS), enthalpies (H), and solvated Gibbs free energies ( $\mathrm{G}_{\text {sol }}$ ) calculated with DFT. Solvated free energies not adjusted for concentration are listed as $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$. All optimizations were done with the SMD correction for solvent (water unless specified otherwise), hence E contains the solvation effects. The temperature (T) was set to 298.15 K , and the pressure to 1 atm . All values are reported in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The concentration of all species is considered to be 1 M with the exception of water, which is treated as 55.5 M .

| Compound | E | ZPE | -T $\Delta$ S | H | $\mathrm{G}_{\text {sol }}$ | G' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nitrite | -128847.37 | 4.91 | -17.30 | -128775.90 | -128855.44 | -128857.33 |
| Water | -47986.89 | 13.15 | -13.87 | -47938.86 | -47980.96 | -47985.24 |
| Hydroxide | -47677.25 | 5.17 | -12.28 | -47611.90 | -47680.39 | -47682.28 |
| $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$ | -81613.89 | 2.06 | -14.99 | -81562.87 | -81622.84 | -81624.74 |
| [Co-DIM] ${ }^{3+}$ (III) singlet | -524879.88 | 233.00 | -37.01 | -524518.43 | -524671.34 | -524673.24 |
| [Co-DIM] ${ }^{3+}$ (III) triplet | -524893.92 | 232.80 | -38.95 | -524532.12 | -524686.99 | -524688.89 |
| [Co-DIM] ${ }^{3+}$ (III) quintet | -524881.00 | 230.76 | -40.14 | -524519.56 | -524676.83 | -524678.72 |
| [Co-DIM ${ }^{2+}$ (II) quartet | -525021.30 | 230.80 | -40.97 | -524658.94 | -524817.69 | -524819.58 |
| [Co-DIM] ${ }^{2+}$ (II) doublet | -525024.36 | 232.57 | -39.73 | -524661.55 | -524818.27 | -524820.17 |
| [Co-DIM] ${ }^{+}$(I) singlet | -525098.87 | 230.91 | -38.74 | -524734.80 | -524893.35 | -524895.24 |
| [Co-DIM] ${ }^{+}$(I) triplet | -525099.46 | 231.15 | -38.99 | -524735.31 | -524894.10 | -524896.00 |
| [Co-DIM] ${ }^{+}$(I) AF-triplet | -525097.66 | 229.75 | -40.48 | -524734.12 | -524894.72 | -524896.61 |
| [Co-DIM] ${ }^{+}$(I) quintet | -525094.30 | 229.46 | -40.30 | -524730.65 | -524891.50 | -524893.39 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{3+}$ (III) singlet | -572886.18 | 250.70 | -40.62 | -572482.13 | -572661.85 | -572663.75 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{3+}$ (III) triplet | -572891.38 | 249.94 | -41.28 | -572487.28 | -572668.32 | -572670.22 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{3+}$ (III) quintet | -572873.19 | 248.01 | -42.48 | -572470.18 | -572652.86 | -572654.76 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+}$ (II) doublet | -573017.96 | 248.67 | -43.02 | -572612.61 | -572797.43 | -572799.33 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+}$ (II) quartet | -573017.39 | 247.88 | -42.46 | -572612.61 | -572797.09 | -572798.99 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}$(I) triplet | -573090.69 | 246.58 | -43.08 | -572684.05 | -572872.12 | -572874.02 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}$(I) AF-singlet | -573090.95 | 247.03 | -41.81 | -572684.23 | -572870.82 | -572872.72 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}$(I) AF-triplet | -573090.40 | 245.55 | -43.64 | -572684.45 | -572873.13 | -572875.03 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}$(I) quintet | -573085.51 | 245.04 | -43.71 | -572679.66 | -572868.81 | -572870.70 |
| $[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}(\mathrm{OH})]^{2+}$ (III) singlet | -572609.53 | 241.64 | -40.51 | -572215.85 | -572394.16 | -572396.06 |
| $[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}(\mathrm{OH})]^{2+}$ (III) triplet | -572609.49 | 242.04 | -40.66 | -572215.91 | -572394.07 | -572395.97 |
| $[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}(\mathrm{OH})]^{2+}$ (III) quintet | -572602.08 | 239.87 | -42.74 | -572210.31 | -572390.24 | -572392.14 |
| $[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}(\mathrm{OH})]^{+}$(II) quartet | -572722.29 | 238.64 | -43.79 | -572326.46 | -572512.36 | -572514.26 |
| [Co-DIM $(\mathrm{OH})]^{+}$(II) doublet | -572714.17 | 240.11 | -41.79 | -572315.65 | -572501.29 | -572503.19 |
| [Co-DIM(OH)] (I) AF-singlet | -572783.60 | 238.64 | -40.80 | -572382.74 | -572571.25 | -572573.14 |


| [Co-DIM(OH)] (I) triplet | -572780.68 | 237.83 | -42.16 | -572379.21 | -572570.26 | -572572.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Co-DIM(OH)] (I) AF-triplet | -572789.63 | 237.14 | -43.20 | -572391.54 | -572580.60 | -572582.49 |
| [Co-DIM $(\mathrm{OH})]$ (I) quintet | -572784.12 | 236.59 | -43.70 | -572386.26 | -572576.02 | -572577.92 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$(III) singlet ( N -bound) | -782650.47 | 249.55 | -46.04 | -782179.41 | -782430.30 | -782432.19 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$(III) triplet ( N -bound) | -782597.12 | 247.21 | -47.04 | -782128.66 | -782380.11 | -782382.00 |
| [Co-DIM $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] (II) doublet ( N -bound) | -782729.57 | 247.56 | -46.91 | -782256.39 | -782512.09 | -782513.99 |
| [Co-DIM $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] (II) doublet ( N -bound) | -782742.27 | 245.40 | -50.61 | -782265.03 | -782529.36 | -782531.25 |
| [Co-DIM( $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] (II) quartet ( N -bound) | -782737.10 | 244.01 | -51.16 | -782261.36 | -782525.90 | -782527.79 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$(I) AF-singlet ( N -bound) | -782807.51 | 243.48 | -50.55 | -782326.87 | -782596.30 | -782598.20 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-} \text {(I) triplet }(\mathrm{N}-} \\ \text { bound }) \end{gathered}$ | -782808.49 | 243.61 | -50.68 | -782327.93 | -782597.42 | -782599.32 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-} \text {(I) AF-triplet }} \\ (\mathrm{N} \text {-bound }) \end{gathered}$ | -782804.56 | 242.24 | -51.13 | -782325.48 | -782594.97 | -782596.86 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$(I) quintet ( N bound) | -782801.19 | 242.15 | -51.74 | -782322.08 | -782592.27 | -782594.16 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}$ (III) singlet ( N -bound) | -653769.62 | 241.42 | -42.10 | -653353.63 | -653555.41 | -653557.31 |
| $\left[\text { Co-DIM }\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}$ (III) triplet ( N -bound) | -653761.54 | 239.69 | -45.20 | -653344.72 | -653551.52 | -653553.42 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$(II) doublet (N-bound) | -653885.77 | 239.26 | -44.83 | -653466.11 | -653675.81 | -653677.71 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$(II) quartet <br> ( N -bound) | -653881.93 | 237.93 | -46.26 | -653462.63 | -653674.31 | -653676.20 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { [Co-DIM(NO }\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \text { (I) AF-singlet } \\ \text { (N-bound) } \end{gathered}$ | -653957.69 | 237.88 | -43.79 | -653536.16 | -653748.10 | -653749.99 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]$ (I) triplet ( N bound) | -653955.54 | 237.51 | -44.74 | -653533.71 | -653747.16 | -653749.05 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]$ (I) AF-triplet <br> ( N -bound) | -653955.92 | 236.60 | -45.30 | -653535.10 | -653748.70 | -653750.60 |
| [Co-DIM( $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ (I) quintet ( N bound) | -653950.05 | 236.02 | -46.38 | -653529.00 | -653744.31 | -653746.20 |
| $\begin{gathered} \left.\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right)\right]^{2+}(\mathrm{III}) \\ \text { Singlet }(\mathrm{N} \text {-bound }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -701775.09 | 259.87 | -43.47 | -701315.90 | -701542.97 | -701544.87 |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+}(\mathrm{III})} \\ & \text { triplet (N-bound) } \end{aligned}$ | -701757.06 | 256.92 | -47.05 | -701297.68 | -701530.45 | -701532.35 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}(\mathrm{II})} \\ \text { doublet }(\mathrm{N} \text {-bound }) \end{gathered}$ | -701876.46 | 254.98 | -48.53 | -701413.96 | -701652.64 | -701654.54 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}(\mathrm{II})} \\ \text { quartet }(\mathrm{N} \text {-bound }) \end{gathered}$ | -701872.12 | 253.64 | -49.24 | -701411.10 | -701650.15 | -701652.04 |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{+}(\mathrm{III})} \\ & \text { singlet }(\mathrm{N} \text {-bound }) \end{aligned}$ | -701488.24 | 249.73 | -44.81 | -701038.45 | -701267.27 | -701269.17 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{+}(\mathrm{III})} \\ \text { triplet (N-bound) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -701464.18 | 247.77 | -45.95 | -701016.40 | -701245.87 | -701247.77 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]$ (II) doublet ( N -bound, ligand reduced) | -701564.36 | 247.87 | -44.55 | -701112.23 | -701344.95 | -701346.85 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]$ (II) doublet ( N -bound, metal reduced) | -701569.15 | 246.38 | -47.13 | -701112.00 | -701352.87 | -701354.77 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+} \text {(III) singlet }$ <br> (O-bound) | -782641.83 | 247.57 | -48.29 | -782172.95 | -782425.26 | -782427.16 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$(III) triplet (O-bound) | -782624.46 | 245.56 | -50.14 | -782154.93 | -782411.10 | -782413.00 |
| [Co-DIM $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] (II) doublet (O-bound) | -782738.15 | 244.42 | -52.14 | -782263.40 | -782527.35 | -782529.25 |
| [Co-DIM( $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] (II) quartet (O-bound) | -782734.51 | 242.46 | -54.06 | -782261.77 | -782527.14 | -782529.04 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$(I) AF-singlet (O-bound) | -782803.67 | 242.69 | -52.50 | -782322.61 | -782594.69 | -782596.59 |


| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-} \text {(I) triplet (O- }} \\ \text { bound) } \end{gathered}$ | -782805.75 | 242.54 | -52.60 | -782327.81 | -782597.13 | -782599.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$(I) AF-triplet (O-bound) | -782801.15 | 241.15 | -51.10 | -782323.19 | -782592.68 | -782594.57 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$(I) quintet (Obound) | -782799.18 | 240.94 | -55.92 | -782320.62 | -782595.04 | -782596.93 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+} \text { (III) singlet }$ (O-bound) | -653763.85 | 240.44 | -43.46 | -653349.33 | -653551.73 | -653553.63 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+} \text { (III) triplet }$ (O-bound) | -653762.22 | 239.20 | -44.86 | -653347.38 | -653552.36 | -653554.26 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$(II) doublet (O-bound) | -653882.90 | 238.55 | -45.44 | -653464.91 | -653674.01 | -653675.91 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$(II) quartet (O-bound) | -653884.33 | 237.39 | -46.76 | -653467.60 | -653677.49 | -653679.39 |
| [Co-DIM( $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ )] (I) AF-singlet (O-bound) | -653954.32 | 237.15 | -44.57 | -653534.25 | -653745.94 | -653747.84 |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right] \text { (I) triplet (O- }} \\ & \text { bound) } \end{aligned}$ | -653953.42 | 236.90 | -45.38 | -653533.08 | -653746.05 | -653747.95 |
| [Co-DIM( $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ (I) AF-triplet (O-bound) | -653955.67 | 236.11 | -46.01 | -653536.85 | -653749.43 | -653751.33 |
| [Co-DIM( $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ (I) quintet (Obound) | -653949.65 | 235.33 | -47.79 | -653531.08 | -653745.69 | -653747.59 |
| $\begin{gathered} \left.\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right)\right]^{2+}(\mathrm{III}) \\ \text { singlet }(\mathrm{O} \text {-bound }) \end{gathered}$ | -701770.87 | 258.74 | -44.16 | -701313.30 | -701540.24 | -701542.14 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+}(\mathrm{III})} \\ \text { triplet }(\mathrm{O} \text {-bound }) \end{gathered}$ | -701756.39 | 256.76 | -46.31 | -701298.37 | -701529.29 | -701531.19 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}(\mathrm{II})} \\ \text { doublet }(\mathrm{O}-\text { bound }) \end{gathered}$ | -701874.28 | 254.45 | -48.82 | -701413.06 | -701651.13 | -701653.02 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}(\mathrm{II})} \\ \text { quartet }(\mathrm{O} \text {-bound }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -701871.45 | 253.12 | -49.93 | -701410.73 | -701650.39 | -701652.29 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{+}(\mathrm{III}) \\ \text { singlet }(\mathrm{O} \text {-bound }) \end{gathered}$ | -701484.02 | 248.98 | -44.67 | -701035.59 | -701263.48 | -701265.38 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{DIM}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{+}(\mathrm{III})} \\ \text { triplet }(\mathrm{O} \text {-bound }) \end{gathered}$ | -701461.84 | 246.97 | -46.30 | -701015.02 | -701244.43 | -701246.33 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]$ (II) doublet (O-bound, ligand reduced) | -701558.63 | 247.10 | -45.03 | -701108.13 | -701340.25 | -701342.15 |
| [Co-DIM $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]$ (II) doublet (O-bound, metal reduced) | -701567.08 | 245.99 | -48.32 | -701109.44 | -701352.14 | -701354.03 |
| $\begin{gathered} \left.\hline \text { [Co-DIM }\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right] \text { (II) } \\ \text { quartet }(\mathrm{O} \text {-bound) } \end{gathered}$ | -701570.17 | 244.09 | -49.67 | -701116.50 | -701358.05 | -701359.95 |
| Fig. 9 1-2 TS AF-singlet | -653951.54 | 236.92 | -44.42 | -653528.66 | -653743.44 | -653745.34 |
| Fig. 9 1-2 TS triplet | -653952.17 | 236.92 | -45.12 | -653529.10 | -653744.78 | -653746.68 |
| Fig.9 1-6 TS triplet | -653951.78 | 236.77 | -45.13 | -653529.49 | -653744.56 | -653746.45 |
| Fig. 9 1-6 TS quintet | -653946.67 | 235.03 | -46.51 | -653525.09 | -653742.07 | -653743.97 |
| Fig. 93 AF-singlet | -654248.45 | 245.48 | -44.52 | -653817.99 | -654031.61 | -654033.50 |
| Fig. 93 triplet | -654245.83 | 245.42 | -45.38 | -653814.46 | -654029.82 | -654031.71 |
| Fig. 93 AF-triplet | -654249.33 | 244.22 | -46.05 | -653819.95 | -654034.87 | -654036.77 |
| Fig. 93 AF-quintet | -654244.05 | 244.09 | -46.81 | -653812.74 | -654030.34 | -654032.24 |
| Fig. 10 3-13 TS singlet | -654228.93 | 246.35 | -42.43 | -653801.87 | -654009.96 | -654011.85 |
| Fig. 104 AF-triplet | -654247.67 | 243.79 | -46.31 | -653819.07 | -654033.81 | -654035.71 |
| Fig. 10 4-5 TS singlet | -654227.81 | 245.33 | -43.18 | -653800.84 | -654010.33 | -654012.22 |
| Fig. 10 4-5 MECP AF-singlet | -654243.58 | 243.70 | -44.31 | -653815.10 | -654028.32 | -654030.21 |
| Fig. 10 4-5 MECP AF-triplet | -654243.20 | 243.30 | -47.58 | -653814.76 | -654030.88 | -654032.77 |
| Fig. 9 4-10 TS AF-singlet | -654236.15 | 244.57 | -44.02 | -653809.27 | -654019.97 | -654021.86 |
| Fig.9 4-10 TS AF-triplet | -654242.67 | 243.43 | -44.82 | -653816.78 | -654028.43 | -654030.32 |
| Fig.9 4-10 TS quintet | -654233.16 | 243.08 | -46.38 | -653806.25 | -654020.24 | -654022.14 |


| Fig. 105 AF-singlet | -654248.23 | 244.97 | -44.41 | -653818.51 | -654031.74 | -654033.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fig. 95 triplet | -654243.75 | 244.67 | -45.71 | -653814.02 | -654028.64 | -654030.53 |
| Fig. 10 5-11 TS AF-singlet | -654247.15 | 246.91 | -45.10 | -653816.76 | -654035.50 | -654037.40 |
| Fig. 10 5-11 TS triplet | -654230.49 | 240.83 | -45.59 | -653797.01 | -654019.09 | -654020.99 |
| Fig. S19 6-21 TS quintet | -653948.09 | 235.61 | -45.23 | -653531.24 | -653741.95 | -653743.84 |
| Fig. 97 AF-singlet | -654243.93 | 244.89 | -45.22 | -653815.42 | -654028.17 | -654030.06 |
| Fig. 97 AF-triplet | -654245.38 | 244.45 | -46.30 | -653817.84 | -654031.01 | -654032.91 |
| Fig. 97 quintet | -654243.44 | 244.45 | -46.44 | -653815.55 | -654029.19 | -654031.08 |
| Fig. 19 7-24 TS singlet | -654194.36 | 245.46 | -41.81 | -653768.50 | -653975.77 | -653977.66 |
| Fig.S19 7-24 TS triplet | -654232.67 | 244.41 | -45.05 | -653805.89 | -654017.55 | -654019.45 |
| Fig. 98 AF-triplet | -654245.42 | 244.46 | -45.99 | -653817.89 | -654030.74 | -654032.64 |
| Fig. 98 quintet | -654243.48 | 244.41 | -46.69 | -653815.57 | -654029.47 | -654031.37 |
| Fig. 9 8-9 MECP AF-singlet | -654241.41 | 244.43 | -43.52 | -653814.75 | -654024.92 | -654026.82 |
| Fig. 9 8-9 MECP AF-triplet | -654241.26 | 243.90 | -45.52 | -653815.04 | -654027.04 | -654028.94 |
| Fig. 9 8-10 TS AF-singlet | -654234.01 | 244.91 | -43.22 | -653808.30 | -654016.87 | -654018.76 |
| Fig.9 8-10 TS AF-triplet | -654243.18 | 243.74 | -45.14 | -653818.34 | -654028.75 | -654030.65 |
| Fig. 99 AF-singlet | -654244.37 | 245.20 | -44.74 | -653816.37 | -654027.96 | -654029.85 |
| Fig.S19 9-19 TS AF-singlet | -654238.53 | 240.36 | -45.81 | -653810.52 | -654027.72 | -654029.62 |
| Fig. 910 singlet | -654236.64 | 246.46 | -42.29 | -653810.46 | -654017.24 | -654019.14 |
| Fig. 910 AF-triplet | -654243.53 | 243.63 | -46.70 | -653818.51 | -654030.21 | -654032.11 |
| Fig. 1011 AF-singlet | -654249.11 | 242.52 | -45.94 | -653817.56 | -654035.93 | -654037.83 |
| Fig. 1011 triplet | -654240.98 | 242.43 | -46.85 | -653808.91 | -654028.69 | -654030.58 |
| Fig. 1012 AF-singlet | -606254.26 | 227.54 | -42.21 | -605868.60 | -606054.09 | -606055.99 |
| Fig. 1012 triplet | -606247.86 | 227.14 | -43.47 | -605862.01 | -606049.18 | -606051.08 |
| Fig. 1012 quintet | -606238.32 | 226.13 | -44.71 | -605851.78 | -606041.64 | -606043.53 |
| Fig. 1013 AF-singlet | -654248.00 | 245.28 | -44.57 | -653817.62 | -654031.30 | -654033.20 |
| Fig. 10 13-14 TS AF-singlet | -654240.03 | 244.98 | -42.83 | -653809.47 | -654022.42 | -654024.32 |
| Fig. 10 13-14 TS triplet | -654231.62 | 244.76 | -43.83 | -653802.34 | -654015.13 | -654017.03 |
| Fig. 10 13-17 TS AF-singlet | -654239.33 | 244.65 | -43.76 | -653811.08 | -654022.75 | -654024.64 |
| Fig. 10 13-17 TS triplet | -654252.74 | 242.81 | -46.49 | -653825.38 | -654039.91 | -654041.81 |
| Fig. 1014 AF-singlet | -654252.19 | 246.10 | -43.21 | -653823.90 | -654033.78 | -654035.68 |
| Fig. 1014 triplet | -654243.12 | 245.57 | -44.34 | -653814.76 | -654026.24 | -654028.14 |
| Fig. 1015 AF-singlet | -654556.92 | 256.41 | -42.53 | -654119.27 | -654327.71 | -654329.60 |
| Fig. 1015 triplet | -654547.37 | 255.70 | -44.60 | -654109.54 | -654320.59 | -654322.49 |
| Fig. 10 15-16 TS AF-singlet | -654503.70 | 251.55 | -42.54 | -654070.77 | -654279.33 | -654281.23 |
| Fig. 10 15-16 TS AF-triplet | -654500.73 | 249.97 | -44.71 | -654067.79 | -654279.50 | -654281.40 |
| Fig. 1016 AF-singlet | -654556.93 | 252.95 | -46.32 | -654116.23 | -654333.55 | -654335.45 |
| Fig. 1016 triplet | -654547.76 | 252.29 | -48.10 | -654107.00 | -654326.49 | -654328.38 |
| Fig. 1017 singlet | -654253.77 | 247.08 | -42.38 | -653824.68 | -654033.76 | -654035.65 |
| Fig. 1017 triplet | -654253.15 | 247.07 | -42.52 | -653824.13 | -654033.26 | -654035.16 |
| Fig. 1018 AF-singlet | -606566.01 | 237.30 | -42.41 | -606170.69 | -606356.24 | -606358.14 |
| Fig. 1018 triplet | -606556.89 | 237.09 | -43.50 | -606161.42 | -606348.25 | -606350.15 |
| Fig. 1018 AF-triplet | -606557.81 | 235.31 | -45.17 | -606162.33 | -606352.03 | -606353.93 |


| Fig.10 18 quintet | -606557.49 | 235.24 | -45.47 | -606162.03 | -606352.05 | -606353.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fig.S19 19 singlet | -606220.80 | 226.93 | -42.55 | -605835.15 | -606021.51 | -606023.41 |
| Fig.S19 19 triplet | -606247.15 | 226.35 | -46.24 | -605861.03 | -606051.39 | -606053.29 |
| Fig.S19 19 quintet | -606236.20 | 226.34 | -44.80 | -605849.01 | -606039.33 | -606041.23 |
| Fig.S19 20 AF-singlet | -572291.32 | 233.22 | -41.34 | -571904.65 | -572085.12 | -572087.02 |
| Fig.S19 20 triplet | -572298.52 | 234.10 | -40.09 | -571915.03 | -572090.65 | -572092.54 |
| Fig.S19 20 quintet | -572311.18 | 233.10 | -42.31 | -571928.55 | -572106.02 | -572107.91 |
| Fig. S19 21 quintet | -653947.90 | 235.75 | -46.43 | -653530.65 | -653742.34 | -653744.24 |
| Fig. S19 22 AF-triplet | -654243.39 | 245.08 | -45.20 | -653817.82 | -654027.50 | -654029.40 |
| Fig. S19 22 quintet | -654241.92 | 244.73 | -46.28 | -653816.24 | -654027.25 | -654029.15 |
| Fig.S19 23 AF-singlet | -653905.45 | 238.31 | -42.56 | -653481.33 | -653694.33 | -653696.22 |
| Fig.S19 23 AF-triplet | -653911.14 | 238.01 | -43.80 | -653488.06 | -653701.38 | -653703.27 |
| Fig.S19 24 singlet | -654238.44 | 246.75 | -42.26 | -653809.45 | -654018.64 | -654020.54 |
| Fig.S19 24 triplet | -654243.37 | 246.55 | -43.70 | -653814.58 | -654025.12 | -654027.01 |
| Fig.S19 25 singlet | -654514.66 | 256.07 | -42.24 | -654078.22 | -654285.63 | -654287.53 |
| Fig.S19 25 AF-triplet | -654523.35 | 253.53 | -46.07 | -654088.39 | -654299.63 | -654301.53 |
| Fig.S19 25 quintet | -654522.53 | 253.67 | -46.28 | -654087.37 | -654298.95 | -654300.85 |
| Fig.S19 26 singlet | -654524.82 | 255.08 | -42.05 | -654089.73 | -654296.54 | -654298.43 |
| Fig.S19 26 triplet | -654503.96 | 253.79 | -43.88 | -654068.42 | -654278.27 | -654280.17 |

## Crystallographic Data Collection

## $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\text {III }}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BPh}_{4}$

## Data collection

A preliminary set of cell constants was calculated from reflections harvested from three sets of 12 frames. These initial sets of frames were oriented such that orthogonal wedges of reciprocal space were surveyed. This produced initial orientation matrices determined from 1281 reflections. The data collection was carried out using $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation (graphite monochromator) with a frame time of 90 seconds and a detector distance of 4.0 cm . A randomly oriented region of reciprocal space was surveyed to achieve complete data with a redundancy of 4 . Sections of frames were collected with $0.50^{\circ}$ steps in $\omega$ and $\phi$ scans. Data to a resolution of $0.80 \AA$ were considered in the reduction. Final cell constants were calculated from the xyz centroids of 9907 strong reflections from the actual data collection after integration (SAINT). ${ }^{35}$ The intensity data were corrected for absorption (SADABS). ${ }^{36}$ Please refer to Table S2 for additional crystal and refinement information.

## Structure solution and refinement

The space group P 1 21/n 1 was determined based on intensity statistics and systematic absences. The structure was solved using Superflip ${ }^{37}$ and refined (full-matrix-least squares) using the Oxford University Crystals for Windows system..$^{38}$ The charge-flipping solution provided most nonhydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix least squares / difference Fourier cycles were performed, which located the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atoms on the nitrogen were obtained via Fourier difference map and their positions were refined, and the rest of the hydrogen
atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms. The final full matrix least squares refinement converged to $R 1=0.0604$ and $w R 2=0.1514\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right.$, all data $)$.

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\left[\mathbf{C o}{ }^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathbf{B P h}_{4}$

Empirical formula
Formula weight
Crystal color, shape, size
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system, space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)

Data collection
Diffractometer
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Observed Reflections
Completeness to theta $=25.151^{\circ}$

Solution and Refinement
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Solution
Refinement method
Weighting scheme

Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]
R indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole

## C36.50 H45 B1 Cl1 Co1 N6 O4

736.99
red block, $0.250 \times 0.200 \times 0.100 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
173 K
0.71073 A

Monoclinic, P 1 21/n 1
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{a}=13.0529(7) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} . \\ \mathrm{b}=25.0977(13) \AA & \beta=90.510(2)^{\circ} . \\ \mathrm{c}=21.7603(12) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} .\end{array}$
7128.3(7) $\AA^{3}$

8
$1.373 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.605 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
3096

Bruker Apex Kappa Duo, Bruker
1.239 to $26.475^{\circ}$.
$-16<=\mathrm{h}<=16,-31<=\mathrm{k}<=19,-27<=1<=26$
43139
$14446[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.049]$
8819
99.1 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
0.94 and 0.89

Direct methods
Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
$\mathrm{w}=\left[\sigma^{2} \mathrm{Fo}^{2}+\mathrm{AP}^{2}+\mathrm{BP}\right]^{-1}$, with
$\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3, \mathrm{~A}=0.045, \mathrm{~B}=14.110$
14385/20/908
0.9861
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0604, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1267$
$R 1=0.1101, w R 2=0.1514$
1.38 and $-1.26 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$

## $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$

## Data collection

A preliminary set of cell constants was calculated from reflections harvested from three sets of 12 frames. These initial sets of frames were oriented such that orthogonal wedges of reciprocal space were surveyed. This produced initial orientation matrices determined from 183 reflections. The data collection was carried out using $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation (graphite monochromator) with a frame time of 30 seconds and a detector distance of 4.0 cm . A randomly oriented region of reciprocal space was surveyed to achieve complete data with a redundancy of 4 . Sections of frames were collected with $0.50^{\circ}$ steps in $\omega$ and $\phi$ scans. Data to a resolution of $0.80 \AA$ were considered in the reduction. Final cell constants were calculated from the xyz centroids of 3084 strong reflections from the actual data collection after integration (SAINT). The intensity data were corrected for absorption (SADABS). ${ }^{36}$ Please refer to Table S3 for additional crystal and refinement information.

## Structure solution and refinement

The space group $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ was determined based on intensity statistics and systematic absences. The structure was solved using Superflip ${ }^{37}$ and refined (full-matrix-least squares) using the Oxford University Crystals for Windows system..$^{38}$ The charge-flipping solution provided most nonhydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix least squares / difference Fourier cycles were performed, which located the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atoms involved with hydrogen bonding were obtained from the Fourier difference map and their positions were refined, and the rest of the hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms. The final full matrix least squares refinement converged to $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0449$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.1098\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right.$, all data $)$.

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{C o}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{DIM})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$.

Empirical formula
Formula weight
Crystal color, shape, size
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system, space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)

Data collection
Diffractometer
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Observed Reflections
Completeness to theta $=25.056^{\circ}$

Solution and Refinement
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Solution
Refinement method
Weighting scheme

Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]
R indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole

C12 H24 Co1 N6 O4
375.29
black thick plate, $0.250 \times 0.200 \times 0.050 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
173 K
0.71073 Å

Monoclinic, $\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{c}$
$a=14.8094(6) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{b}=8.3479(3) \AA \quad \beta=95.0227(12)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{c}=13.3835(6) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$.
1648.21(12) $\AA^{3}$

4
$1.512 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$1.070 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
788

Bruker Apex Kappa Duo, Bruker
2.761 to $26.375^{\circ}$.
$-18<=\mathrm{h}<=18,-7<=\mathrm{k}<=10,-16<=1<=16$
11529
$3319[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.041]$
2497
98.6 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
0.95 and 0.81

Charge Flipping
Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
$\mathrm{w}=\left[\sigma^{2} \mathrm{Fo}^{2}+\mathrm{AP}^{2}+\mathrm{BP}\right]^{-1}$, with
$\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3, \mathrm{~A}=0.042, \mathrm{~B}=2.980$
3306/10/216
0.9845
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0449, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0985$
$R 1=0.0675, w R 2=0.1098$
0.88 and -0.78 e. $\AA^{-3}$
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