Supporting Information

Weak Interactions in Interstellar Chemistry: How do

Open Shell Molecules Interact with Closed Shell Molecules?

K. Gopalsamy, Sorakayala Thripati and Raghunath O. Ramabhadran*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Tirupati

Andhra Pradesh – 517507, India

Email of corresponding author: raghurama@iisertirupati.ac.in

List of Content

Detailed Explanation for the Choice of Level of Theory: Pages S2-S5

Organization of the Results and Discussion Section in the Main Text: Pages S6-S8

Structures having Positive Interaction Energy (Table SI 1): Pages S9-S13

NBO/AIM and spin density analyses (Tables SI_2-SI_37): Pages S14-S50

Method Calibration – Geometries (Table SI_38): Pages S51-S52

Method Calibration – Interaction energies (Table SI_39): Pages S53-S54

Complete Basis Set Extrapolation (Table SI_40): Pages S55-S56

Cartesian co-ordinates of optimized geometries: Pages S57-S81

Cartesian Co-ordinates of the Complexes with Positive Interaction Energy: Pages S81-S89

WIU vs CCSD(T) comparison (Table SI_41): Page S90

Attempts to optimize other isomers/electronic states - Pages S91-S154

SI References – Pages S155-157

S1. Detailed Explanation for the Choice of Level of Theory

Open shell molecules require a more careful computational handling than closed shell molecules due to the possibility of several low lying excited states.^{S1} It is thus very important to validate the choice of our methodology. To compute the geometries, we intentionally avoid both the unrestricted versions of the Hartree-Fock (HF) and Moller-Plessett second order perturbation (MP2) as the methods of our choice, given the large spin contamination issues with both.^{S1} We also do not use the restricted open (RO) shell formalisms due to the instability of the RO wavefunctions^{S1,S2} as a result of multiple possible low lying electronic states. Density functional theory on the other hand despite being *ad hoc*, is in general known to considerably reduce spin-contamination (in a mostly empirical way).^{S3} It also partly takes care of dynamic electron correlation, whose rigorous treatment involves computationally expensive multi-reference methods.^{S4}

The key to working with density functional theory has always been a thorough and careful calibration.^{S5} From among the various density functionals, we use the UM06- $2x^{S6}$ density functional (after calibrating with some other popular density functionals *-vide infra*) for the geometries and the zero-point energies due to the following reasons: (a) It is known to accurately describe the geometry of radicals,^{S7} and (b) its superior performance in describing a plethora of different types of weak interactions.^{S8} In conjunction with the UM06-2x functional we choose the aug-cc-pVTZ basis-set as a compromise between computational cost and accuracy. While there are definitely much larger basis-sets than this (and we use some of them to calibrate our results *- vide infra*), it is known to be of comparable accuracy with larger basis-sets for weak interactions,^{S9} is widely used,^{S10} and is hence considered optimal for this study. The geometries

reported in this paper, are all confirmed to be minimum energy structures by computing harmonic frequencies, and noting the absence of any imaginary frequency.

The geometries and energies of the ground electronic states (all doublets) of the open shell molecules used in this study (OH - ${}^{2}\Pi$, SH - ${}^{2}\Pi$, CN - ${}^{2}\Sigma^{+}$, NO - ${}^{2}\Pi$, NH₂ - ${}^{2}B_{1}$, HO₂ - ${}^{2}A^{"}$) have reported in been compared with those the Computational Chemistry Comparison and Benchmark DataBase (CCCBDB) and found to be very similar to them.^{S11} Also, the <S²> values for all the molecules using the UM062X/aug-cc-pVTZ geometries are found to be less than about 0.770, indicating insignificant spin-contamination. To more accurately get the energies, we use the UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory, based on the UM06-2x/augcc-pVTZ geometries.^{S12} It was chosen since the "gold-standard" CCSD(T) method is known to perform exceedingly well to describe weak interactions.^{S13} Besides, even though the UCCSD(T) method uses a UHF wavefunction, it does not result in spin-contamination.^{S14,S15} In fact, it eliminates contamination from the S+1 component, and vastly reduces the deleterious effects from the S+2 component. The S+3 and higher contributions are noticed to be negligible, thereby indicating that CCSD(T) is suitable for the present study. The energies obtained at this level of theory, are then corrected for the basis-set superposition error (BSSE) arising due to the finiteness of the basis-set used, by performing counterpoise corrections at the UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory.^{S16} Despite working with open shell systems, since we are not dealing with transition-metals/post-transition metals - for which relativistic effects and spin-orbit coupling are important, we do not make any correction for spin-orbit coupling. All the calculations are performed using the Gaussian 09 suite of programs.^{S17}

To validate our methodology for getting the geometries, we have performed additional geometry optimizations and single-point energy calculations on representative systems at various levels of

theories - UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ//UB3-LYP(D3-BJ)/aug-cc-pVTZ, UCCSD(T)(FC)/augcc-pVTZ//UB2PLYP(D3-BJ)/aug-cc-pVTZ, and UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ//UωB97X-D/aug-cc-pVTZ.^{\$18-\$22} We also find that the inclusion of empirical dispersion corrections, to the density functionals does not change the results in the paper. Similarly, to be certain that the triple-ζ quality basis-set used is appropriate, we calculate energies at the UCCSD(T) (FC)/augcc-pVQZ level of theory using much larger quadruple-ζ basis-set (starting with UM062X/aug-ccpVTZ geometries). Finally, we employ two different two-point complete-basis set (CBS) extrapolation schemes – one wherein we extrapolate only the correlation energy, and another wherein we extrapolate both the Hartree-Fock energy and the correlation energy; to get the UCCSD(T)(FC) energies at the infinite basis-set limit.^{\$23,\$24} Overall, we find the geometries and energies to majorly be in close agreement with the UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ/UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ computations (interaction energies within ~ 1-2 kcal/mol mostly). More details of our careful calibration are provided in the supporting information.

The interaction energy (E_{int}) computed in this work is defined as follows:

Consider any gas phase open shell molecule "X" and gas phase closed shell molecule "Y" forming a weakly bound complex "XY" in the ISM, *i.e.*, X (g) + Y (g) \rightarrow XY(g),

$$E_{int} = E(XY) - E(X) - E(Y).$$

Where E(XY) is the zero point corrected energy of the complex, E(X) that for the open shell molecule, and E(Y) that for the closed shell molecule. The energies come from the CCSD(T) single point calculations, and the zero point corrections from M06-2x geometries (aug-cc-pVTZ basis-set used throughout).

In quantum chemistry, there is no single definitive way to ascertain the nature of the weak interactions. All the existing methods involve either some variation of using properties of electron densities, or perturbation theory/partitioning the wavefunction, and come with their own set of pros and cons. Here, we adopt three different strategies, and look for corroborations/contradictions amongst them.

Bader's atoms-in-molecules (AIM) method, based on the properties and topology of the electron densities, is used to confirm that there are weak interactions.^{S25} Bond critical points are computed, and the electron densities ($\rho(r_c) \nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$) and the value of the Laplacian at the bond critical points ($\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$) is calculated.^{S26} Having thus ascertained that weak interactions are involved here (using the well-established criteria that $\rho(r_c) \sim \text{being } 10^{-2}$ to 10^{-3} a.u, and ($\nabla 2\rho(r_c)$) being positive, and in the approximately 10^{-1} to 10^{-2} range),^{S26} the spin densities (Mulliken spin densities)^{S27} on the atoms are calculated for all the molecules, before and after they are bound together. A change in the spin densities reflect upon the fact that the interactions are not just electrostatically dominant, but also involve some orbital overlap. The local nature of orbital overlap (involving only the donor atom and the acceptor atom) is then further assessed using the natural bond orbital (NBO) method, *via* the donor-acceptor interaction energies (E2 energies) obtained from perturbation theory.^{S28}

An important point to bear in mind here is that the extent of orbital overlap in these molecules is best ascertained by changes in the spin-density, as opposed to NBO calculations. This is because, the NBO method localizes the canonical Kohn Sham orbitals, and so we end up focusing solely on only the donor and acceptor atoms. Whereas, the change in spin density takes the entire molecule into account. The NBO interaction energies nonetheless provide valuable chemical insight regarding the local nature of the weak interactions.

S2. Organization of the Results and Discussion Section in the Main Text

For each of the six open shell species (OH, SH, CN, NO, NH₂, HO₂)) interacting with the closed shell molecules (H₂O, H₂S, HF, HCl, NH₃, PH₃, HCN, HNC, HCP, CH₃OH, and CH₃SH) a lot of data is generated. This is because, multiple scenarios arise, such as: (a) the closed shell molecule acting as a hydrogen bond donor and the open shell molecule acting as a hydrogen bond acceptor, (b) the opposite case, wherein the closed shell molecule acts as a hydrogen bond acceptor and the open shell molecule acting as a hydrogen bond donor (with OH, SH, NH₂ and HO₂), (c) the prevalence of other types of weak interactions (eg. Halogen bond, chalcogen bond, weak interaction involving an open shell atom etc) and (d) the co-operative functioning of multiple weak interactions in the same structure.

To make it easier on the reader, we herein explain the organization of this section. For those radicals containing a H atom themselves (OH, SH, NH₂, and HO₂), we have devoted one figure wherein we present the geometries/interaction energies when these radicals are the hydrogen bond donors. This is followed by another figure for the geometries/interaction energies where the open shell molecules are hydrogen bond acceptors. Lastly in one more figure, the other kinds of (non-hydrogen bonding) interactions (if suitable minima are found) are discussed. For the other radicals not containing the hydrogen atom (CN and NO), the possible weak interactions occurring via both the terminals of the atoms (CN and NO) are presented. Throughout, it should be noted that, in many cases multiple weak interactions are simultaneously at work, and it is difficult to uniquely assign a structure to any particular figure. Such structures are discussed in the figures deemed to be the most appropriate for them. Corresponding to each figure, one table then summarizes our NBO and AIM analysis for the structures shown in that particular figure.

Along with the tables featuring NBO and AIM analyses, the detailed spin-density information is also provided in the supporting information.

It is also useful to clarify some terminologies before glancing into the results. Conventionally, the term halogen bonding refers to the case where an electron rich atom (donor) interacts with a relatively less electron rich halogen atom (see reference 26 in the main text). Likewise, when the less electron rich atoms happen to be chalcogens or pnicogens or carbon atoms, the prevailing weak interactions are termed as chalogen bonding or pnicogen bonding or carbon bonding, respectively.^[26] In all of these cases (halogen/chalcogen/pnicogen/carbon bonding) both the interacting atoms (the donor and the acceptor) have an even number of non-bonding electrons. However, in this study, since we deal with open shell species, one of them (donor or acceptor atoms) possesses an odd number of non-bonding electrons. Regardless of this aspect, we shall continue to use the same nomenclature (*viz.* halogen bonding, chalcogen bonding, pnicogen bonding, carbon bonding etc) to appropriately describe the prevailing weak interactions.

It should be noted that the phrase "orbital interaction energy" used in the SI is different from the computed "interaction energy". The "orbital interaction energy" comes from the NBO calculations and the "interaction energy" is computed as shown in the previous section (*vide supra*) and in the main text.

Structures with Positive Interaction Energies

From out of a total ~ 160 minimum energy structures we obtained as part of this work, ~ 43 of them have a positive interaction energy, despite being fully optimized minimum energy structures. Such structures which result in positive interaction energies are tabulated in Table S3, and not in the main-text following the suggestions of an anonymous referee. A positive interaction energy implies that the formation of the complexes are not thermodynamically favorable.

In case there are systematic errors in the computed interaction energies, they could arise due to: (a) the UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ method's accuracy (~ 1kcal/mol), (b) the accuracy in zero point vibrational energy computation with the UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ, method, or (c) during the optimization of our structures, we could have missed out on more stable isomers/lower lying electronic states. Each of these points is independently verified in the work, and we believe that we report the right isomer/electronic state, and the correct value of the interaction energies.

It might however definitely be possible that more accurate measures of the interaction energies can be computed/other more stable isomers or electronic states may be obtained by using much more computationally expensive methods (the kind of which we could not perform with our computational resources).

All the structures reported in Table SI_1 have doublet electronic states, and are neutral. Level of theory used – UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ//UM06-2x/aug-cc-pvTZ. They are all minimum energy structures with zero imaginary frequencies. Computed interaction energies include ZPE and BSSE corrections.

System	Structures	Interaction energy (kcal/mol): UCCSD(T)/ aug- cc-pVTZ//UM06- 2x/aug-cc-pVTZ
OH ···Molecules		
Нон…Рнср	B - B - B - B - B - B - B - B - B - B -	1.05
	Figure S1	
Оон…Ннср	2.37 6	0.30
	Figure S2	
О _{ОН} …Н _{NH3}	H 2.36 H 2.36 H H	0.61
	Figure S3	
О _{ОН} …Н _{РН3}	Р Н 2.51 Р 2.44 Н	0.87
	Figure S4	
SHMolecules		
H_{SH} ···Cl _{HCl}	н — <mark>сі</mark> 3.52 _{—н —} s	1.42
	Figure S5	
H _{SH} …P _{HCP}	B - B - S	0.35
	Figure S6	
S_{SH} ···H _{H2S}	в 2.91 н в	0.48
	Figure S7	
S _{SH} …N _{NH3}	H 2.93 H H 2.86 S	0.20
	Figure S8	

Table SI_1: Structures whose interaction energies are positive

S _{SH} …H _{PH3}	н 3.06 s	1.63
	Figure S9	
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{SH}}\cdots\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{H2S}}$	3.41 3.19 s	0.62
	Figure S10	
CN ···Molecules		
N _{CN} ····H _{HCP}	₽ <u></u> <u></u> 2.48	0.13
	Figure S11	
N_{CN} ···· Cl_{HCl}	u (c) 3.41 (t)	0.85
	Figure S12	
N _{CN} …S _{H2S}	S 2.27 N	2.86
	Figure S13	
N _{CN} …N _{NH3}	н 2.10 Кн	3.74
	Figure S14	
C_{CN} F _{HF}	E 2.61	0.16
	Figure S15	
C _{CN} …Cl _{HCl}	H 2.82 CI 2.77	0.14
	Figure S16	
NOMolecules		
N _{NO} …H _{H2S}	в 2.64	0.57
	Figure S17	

N _{NO} …H _{PH3}	H 3.20 H P 3.09	0.08
	Figure S18	
O _{NO} …H _{H2O}	н 2.76 0 н 2.88	0.00
	Figure S19	
O _{NO} …H _{H2S}	<mark>هــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</mark>	0.61
	Figure S20	
O _{NO} …H _{NH3}	2.91 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.24
	Figure S21	
O _{NO} …H _{PH3}		0.31
	Figure S22	
O _{NO} …H _{CH3SH}	S # 2.71	0.19
	Figure S23	
N _{NO} …F _{HF}	u <u>€</u> 3.56	0.21
	Figure S24	
N _{NO} …Cl _{HCl}	3.32 3	0.60
	Figure S25	
N _{NO} S _{H2S}	3.45 N	0.48
	Figure S26	
N _{NO} …N _{HCN}	<u></u>	0.30
	Figure S27	

N _{NO} …C _{HNC}	3.21	0.65
	Figure S28	
N _{NO} …P _{HCP}	B 3.57	0.02
	Figure S29	
N _{NO} …P _{PH3}	3.46 3	0.55
	Figure S30	
O _{NO} …F _{HF}	u <u> </u>	0.50
	Figure S31	
O _{NO} …Cl _{HCl}	LI 3.39	0.51
	Figure S32	
O _{NO} …C _{HNC}	3.78 R 3.82 Q	0.07
	Figure S33	
O _{NO} …P _{HCP}	4.07 e	0.10
	Figure S34	
O _{NO} …P _{PH3}	3.95 4.03	0.53
	Figure S35	
NH ₂ Molecules	-	
H _{NH2} ····Cl _{HCl}	Cl 2.81 H	0.62
	Figure S36	
N _{NH2} …H _{HCP}	P B 2.34	0.57
	Figure S37	

N_{NH2} ····Cl _{HCl}	н СІ 3.14 н.н. N	0.43
	Figure S38	
$N_{\rm NH2}$ P _{HCP}	2.90	0.37
	Figure S39	
N _{NH2} …P _{PH3}	H 2.89	0.28
	Figure S40	
HO ₂ Molecules		
O _{HO2} …H _{NH3}	2.38	0.40
	Figure S41	
Оно2…Ньнз	2.68 0	0.70
	Figure S42	
O _{HO2} …Cl _{HCl}	H 3.35 CI H	0.89
	Figure S43	

S3. AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in all the Figures

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 1 (and Figure S1)

AIM analysis of all the species in **Figure 1** is reported in Table SI_2 (columns 4 and 5). The $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ confirm that weak interactions are present here.

Then, the spin densities on all the heavy atoms were computed before and after binding (after ensuring that there is no spin contamination, see Table SI_3). With the exception of Figure 1k, (binding with CH₃SH), the spin density on O (of OH radical) did not change at all after interacting with the closed shell molecules. In the case of CH₃SH however, the spin density on O (1.000 prior to binding, Table SI_3) substantially changed (~ 0.600 after binding) as some spin density was transferred to the S of CH₃SH (~0. 400) indicating some orbital overlap.

NBO calculations (Table SI_2) revealed a substantive amount of localized orbital interaction (n- σ^*) in a lot of the cases (Figs. 1c-1h, 1j). The participating lone-pair orbitals (n) varies from molecule to molecule but the anti-bonding orbital (σ^*) of the OH radical is always sp^3 hybridized. **Figure 1k** presents an interesting case where there is definitive spin transfer (*vide supra*). However, the NBO analysis does not show any type of local orbital interaction, either between S (of CH₃SH) and H (of OH) or S (of CH₃SH) and O (of OH).

Table SI_2: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in Figure 1 (main text) and Figure S1 (SI). The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in Figure 1 (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural Orbitals	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^d$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Involved ^{b,c}		-	
			(AIM)	(AIM)	
Insignificant	$F_{HF} \cdots H_{OH}$	-	0.0053	0.0259	1a
Insignificant	$H_{HCl} \cdots H_{OH}$	-	0.0137	0.0591	1b
-4.12	$O_{H_2O} \cdots H_{OH}$	$n (sp^2) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0266	0.0945	1c
- 2.83	$S_{H_2S} \cdots H_{OH}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0159	0.0392	1d
-2.52	N _{HCN} …H _{OH}	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0204	0.0700	1e

-4.18	C _{HNC} …H _{OH}	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0202	0.0528	1f
- 8.33	N_{NH_3} ···H_OH	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0336	0.0729	1g
-2.13	$P_{PH_3} \cdots H_{OH}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0145	0.0323	1h
Insignificant	P _{HCP} …H _{OH}	-	0.0036	0.0107	S1
Insignificant	Рнср…Нон	-	0.0133	0.0360	1i
- 3.96	Оснзон…Нон	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0294	0.1036	1j
Insignificant	S _{CH3SH} ····H _{OH}	-	0.0645	0.1334	1k

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_3: Spin density for the structures in Figure 1 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Atoms Spin Density		Figure	
O _{OH}	1.000	0.753		
OH_HF				
F _{HF}	-0.000	0.752	10	
Оон	1.000	0.755	1a	
OH_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	0.009	0.752	16	
Оон	0.990	0.755	10	
OH_NH3				
N _{NH3}	0.005	0.754	10	
O _{OH}	0.994	0.734	10	
OH_H ₂ O				
O _{H2O}	0.001	0.752	1.4	
Оон	0.998	0.755	10	
OH_H ₂ S				
S_{H_2S}	0.001	0.752	10	
Оон	0.998	0.755	Ie	
OH_PH3				
P _{PH3}	-0.000	0.754	1 f	
Оон	1.000	0.734	11	
OH_HCN				
N _{HCN}	0.003	0.753	1g	

C _{HCN}	-0.000			
Оон	0.996			
OH_HNC	·			
N _{HNC}	0.001			
C _{HNC}	0.003	0.753	1h	
Оон	0.994			
OH_HCP				
P _{HCP}	0.003			
Chcp	-0.001	0.753	S 1	
O _{OH}	0.998			
OH_HCP				
P _{HCP}	-0.001			
C _{HCP}	0.003	0.753	1i	
O _{OH}	0.998			
OH_CH ₃ OH				
Сснзон	0.000			
O _{CH3OH}	0.007	0.753	1j	
O _{OH}	0.992			
OH_CH ₃ SH				
C _{CH3} SH	0.003			
S _{CH3SH}	0.411	0.758	1k	
O _{OH}	0.584			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 2 (and Figure S2-S4)

Table SI_4 contains the AIM and NBO analysis for all the structures in **Figure 2**. The supporting information (Table SI_3) has the spin density information. As expected, the $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ values (Table SI_4) fall well within the range, indicating the presence of weak interactions. There is no change in the spin densities of the atoms before and after binding (Table SI_5). The NBO analysis points out to some local orbital overlap in all the cases but for those with ammonia and phosphine (**Figures S3** and **S4**).

Given that in **Figure 2b**, the OH radical is the hydrogen bond donor, the filled n orbital of the OH radical is involved in hydrogen bonding, as opposed to its filled σ^* orbital. In some cases this vacant n orbital has a pure *s* type character (with HF in **2a**, HCl in **2b**, and HNC in **2e**) or is *sp* hybridized (with H₂S in **2c** and HCP in **2f**), and also exhibits *sp*³ hybridization with the other molecules in **Figure 2**. Further, the spin-density data (Table SI_5) implies that the interaction is dominated by electrostatics.

Table SI_4: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 2** (main text) and **Figure S2-S4** (SI). The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 2** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^d$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals		-	
		Involved ^{b,c}	(AIM)	(AIM)	
- 1.16	$O_{OH} \cdots H_{HF}$	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(s)$	0.0159	0.0658	2a
- 1.39	O _{OH} …H _{HCl}	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(s)$	0.0146	0.0622	2b
- 1.26	$O_{OH} \cdots H_{H_2S}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0108	0.0390	2c
- 2.56	O _{OH} …H _{HCN}	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (sp)$	0.0140	0.0559	2d
-5.05	O _{OH} …H _{HNC}	$n (sp^2) - \sigma^* (s)$	0.0233	0.0848	2e
- 0.94	O _{OH} …H _{HCP}	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (sp)$	0.0100	0.0378	S2
Insignificant	O _{OH} …H _{HCP}	-	0.0158	0.0622	2f
Insignificant	O _{OH} …H _{NH3}	-	0.0170	0.0722	S 3
Insignificant	O _{OH} …H _{PH3}	-	0.0128	0.0463	S4

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_5: Spin density for the structures in Figure 2 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure	
Оон	1.000	0.753		
OH_HF				
F _{HF}	-0.004	0.752	2	
O _{OH}	1.004	0.753	2a	
OH_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	-0.004	0.752	<u></u> 2h	
O _{OH}	1.004	0.755	20	
OH_H ₂ S				
S _{H2S}	-0.000	0.752	2.	
O _{OH}	1.000	0.753	2 c	
OH_HCN				
N _{HCN}	0.000			
C _{HCN}	-0.005	0.753	2d	
Оон	1.005			
OH_HNC				
N _{HNC}	-0.006			
C _{HNC}	0.001	0.753	2e	
Оон	1.004			
OH_HCP				
P _{HCP}	0.003	_		
С _{НСР}	-0.007	0.753	S 2	
Оон	1.003			
OH_HCP	ſ			
P _{HCP}	0.127	-		
Снср	-0.096	0.756	2f	
Оон	0.969			
OH_NH3	ſ	1		
N _{NH3}	0.035	0.755	\$3	
Оон	0.964	0.155	55	
OH_PH3		,		
P _{PH3}	0.021	0.754	S 4	
Оон	0.978	0.754	54	

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 3 (and Figure S5, S6)

The lack of orbital overlap is further supported by the absence of any spin density change on S (of SH) before and after the weak interactions (Table SI_7). Further, as noticed with OH radicals, AIM calculations indisputably indicate the existence of weak interactions (Table SI_6). The results of the NBO calculations (Table SI_6), imply that in most cases, locally there are substantial donor-acceptor interactions.

Table SI_6: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 3** (main text) and **Figure S5, S6 (SI)**. The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in Figure 3 (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^d$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals		• • •	
		Involved ^{b,c}			
Insignificant	$F_{HF} \cdots H_{SH}$	-	0.0080	0.0368	3a
Insignificant	Cl _{HCl} …H _{SH}	-	0.0010	0.0046	S 5
- 1.90	$O_{H^2O} \cdots H_{SH}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0149	0.0579	3b
- 1.48	$S_{H2S} \cdots H_{SH}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0097	0.0251	3c
- 1.03	N_{HCN} ···H_{SH}	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0107	0.0388	3d
- 1.68	$C_{HNC} \cdots H_{SH}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0108	0.0320	3e
Insignificant	P _{HCP} …H _{SH}	-	0.0022	0.0070	S6
Insignificant	P _{HCP} …H _{SH}	-	0.0085	0.0242	3f
Insignificant	P _{HCP} …H _{SH}	-	0.0115	0.0313	3g
-4.07	$N_{NH^3} \cdots H_{SH}$	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0187	0.0556	3h
- 1.07	$P_{PH3} \cdots H_{SH}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0086	0.0206	3i
- 1.67	O _{CH3OH} …H _{SH}	n (sp) - σ^* (sp ³)	0.0172	0.0677	3j
- 1.20	S _{CH3SH} …H _{SH}	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0292	0.0530	3k

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar and provided in the SI. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_7: Spin density for the structures in Figure 3 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure
S _{SH}	1.000	0.753	
SH_HF			
F _{HF}	0.001	0.752	2
S _{SH}	0.999	0.753	3a
SH_HCl		1	
Cl _{HCl}	0.003	0.752	95
S _{SH}	0.996	0.755	30
SH_H ₂ O			
O_{H_2O}	0.003	0.752	21
S _{SH}	0.996	0.755	30
SH_H ₂ S			
S _{H2S}	0.000	0.752	2
S _{SH}	0.999	0.753	3C
SH_HCN		1	
N _{HCN}	0.005		3d
C _{HCN}	0.002	0.753	
S _{SH}	0.992		
SH_HNC		1 1	
N _{HNC}	0.003		3e
CHNC	0.008	0.753	
S _{SH}	0.987		
SH_HCP	÷	· · ·	
P _{HCP}	0.001		
C _{HCP}	-0.002	0.753	S 6
S _{SH}	1.000		
SH_HCP	T	1	
Рнср	-0.002		
C _{HCP}	0.006	0.753	3f
S _{SH}	0.995		
SH_HCP	0.000		
P _{HCP}	0.080	0.755	2
CHCP	-0.056	0.755	3g
SSH SH NH2	0.975		
	0.000		
	0.009	0.753	3h
	0.990		
SH_PH3			

P _{PH3}	0.002	0.752	2;	
S _{SH}	0.997	0.755	- 51	
SH_CH ₃ OH				
Сснзон	-0.0			
O _{CH3OH}	0.0	0.753	Зј	
S _{SH}	0.9			
SH_CH ₃ SH				
C _{CH3} SH	-0.004			
S_{CH_3SH}	-0.010	0.754	3k	
S _{SH}	1.015			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 4 (and Figure S7-S9)

Interestingly, the spin density on S (of SH) before and after interacting with NH₃ did not change at all. NBO analysis (Table SI_8) also reveals that there is no interaction between the S of SH, and N of NH₃. The structure in **Figure 4h** however does lead to some change in the spin density on S (Table SI_9). After interacting with CH₃SH, some spin on S (of SH – spin density of 1 before binding and only 0.8 after binding) is transferred to S of CH₃SH (spin density of 0.8). The NBO method however does not show any donor-acceptor type interaction between the two sulfur atoms (Table SI_9). **Table SI_8:** NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 4** (main text) and **Figure S7-S9** (SI). The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 4** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)^e$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals			
		Involved ^{b,c}			
- 5.95	$S_{SH} \cdots H_{HF}$	n (p) - σ* (s)	0.0225	0.0453	4a
- 4.49	S _{SH} …H _{HCl}	$n(p) - \sigma^{*}(s)$	0.0164	0.0381	4b
- 2.08	$S_{SH} \cdots H_{H_{2O}}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0140	0.0376	4c
- 0.60	$S_{SH} \cdots H_{H^2S}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0074	0.0206	S7
-2.48	S _{SH} …H _{HCN}	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (sp)$	0.0102	0.0277	4d
- 4.62	$S_{SH} \cdots H_{HNC}$	$n(p) - \sigma^{*}(s)$	0.0158	0.0394	4e
- 0.76	$S_{SH} \cdots H_{HCP}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp)$	0.0071	0.0196	4f
Insignificant	$S_{SH} \cdots H_{NH^3}$	-	0.0191	0.0560	S 8
Insignificant	S _{SH} …H _{PH3}	-	0.0085	0.0250	S9
- 1.65	S _{SH} …H _{CH3OH}	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0127	0.0349	4g
Insignificant	S _{SH} ····S _{CH3SH}	-	0.0336	0.0546	4h

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_9: Spin density for the structures in Figure 4 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure	
S _{SH}	1.000	0.753		
SH_HF				
F _{HF}	0.008	0.752	4 -	
S _{SH}	0.991	0.735	4a	
SH_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	0.003	0.752	4 b	
S _{SH}	0.996	0.735	40	
SH_H ₂ O				
O _{H2O}	0.006	0.752	10	
S _{SH}	0.993	0.735	40	
SH_H ₂ S				

S _{H2S}	0.000	0.752	\$7	
S _{SH}	0.999	0.755	57	
SH_HCN				
N _{HCN}	0.000			
C _{HCN}	0.000	0.753	4d	
S _{SH}	0.998			
SH_HNC				
N _{HNC}	0.010			
C _{HNC}	-0.002	0.753	4e	
S _{SH}	0.992			
SH_HCP	L.			
P _{HCP}	0.001			
C _{HCP}	-0.002	0.753	4f	
S _{SH}	1.000			
SH_NH ₃				
N _{NH3}	0.046	0.754	CO	
S _{SH}	0.953	0.734	50	
SH_PH ₃				
P _{PH3}	0.002	0.753	50	
S _{SH}	0.997	0.755	57	
SH_CH ₃ OH				
Сснзон	-0.002			
O _{CH3OH}	0.004	0.753	4g	
S _{SH}	0.997			
SH_CH ₃ SH		· ·		
C _{CH3SH}	0.003			
S _{CH3SH}	0.197	0.755	4h	
S _{SH}	0.799			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 5 (and Figure S10)

Some electron density gets transferred from S to P. Prior to binding the spin density on S is 1 (Table SI_11). After binding with PH₃ it reduces to 0.8, and the spin density on P becomes 0.2. In addition to the spin density transfer, the NBO analysis (Table SI_10) also shows a substantial donor (an n type sp³ orbital on S)-acceptor (a σ^* type s orbital on P) orbital interaction energy (Table SI_10).

Table SI_10: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 5** (main text) and **Figure S10 (SI)**. The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 5** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	$\rho(\mathbf{r}_{c})^{d}$	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals	(AIM)	(AIM)	
		Involved ^{b,c}			
Insignificant	$S_{SH} \cdots O_{H_{2O}}$	-	0.0145	0.0500	5a
Insignificant	$S_{SH} \cdots S_{H_2S}$	-	0.0166	0.0392	S10
-0.68	$S_{SH} \cdots N_{HCN}$	n (p) - σ* (p)	0.0097	0.0353	5b
-2.38	S _{SH} …C _{HNC}	n (p) - σ* (p)	0.0161	0.0437	5c
- 8.59	$S_{SH} \cdots P_{HCP}$	n (p) - σ* (p)	0.1210	-0.1603	5d
- 17.77	$S_{SH} \cdots P_{PH^3}$	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (s)$	0.0617	0.0284	5e
Insignificant	S _{SH} …O _{CH3OH}	-	0.0169	0.0581	5f

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_11: Spin density for the structures in Figure 5 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure
S _{SH}	1.000	0.753	
SH_H2O		· · · · · ·	
O_{H_2O}	0.008	0.754	50
S _{SH}	0.991	0.734	Ja
SH_H ₂ S			
S _{H2S}	0.057	0.754	S 10
S _{SH}	0.942	0.734	310
SH_HCN			
N _{HCN}	-0.011		
C _{HCN}	0.009	0.754	5b
S _{SH}	1.002		
SH_HNC			
N _{HNC}	0.013		
C _{HNC}	0.009	0.755	5c
S _{SH}	0.977		
SH_HCP			

P _{HCP}	-0.140			
Снср	1.071	0.780	5d	
S _{SH}	0.068			
SH_PH ₃				
P _{PH3}	0.473	0.756	5e	
S _{SH}	0.526	0.750		
SH_CH ₃ OH				
C _{CH3OH}	0.001			
O _{CH3OH}	0.002	0.754	5f	
S _{SH}	0.996			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 6 (and Figure S11)

There also seems to be a qualitative correlation between the orbital interaction energy as predicted by NBO calculations (Table SI_12), and the actually calculated interaction energies (**Figure 6**), and the AIM calculations visibly establish the presence of weak interactions. A noteworthy absence from **Figure 6** is that of the structure corresponding to the interaction of CH₃SH with CN – the CCSD(T) calculation for which did not converge to the right electronic state, despite several careful attempts.

Table SI_12: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 6** (main text) and **Figure S11 (SI)**. The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 6** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Naturl Orbitals	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Involved ^{b,c}	(AIM)	(AIM)	
- 4.66	N_{CN} ···· H_{HF}	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0266	0.0800	ба
- 2.66	$N_{CN} \cdots H_{HCl}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0165	0.0580	6b
- 1.19	$N_{CN} \cdots H_{H_{2O}}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0149	0.0557	6c
- 0.52	$N_{CN} \cdots H_{H^2S}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0082	0.0295	6d
- 2.27	N _{CN} …H _{HCN}	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(sp)$	0.0122	0.0471	6e

-4.57	N_{CN} ···H_{HNC}	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(sp)$	0.0197	0.0690	6f
- 0.84	N_{CN} ··· H_{HCP}	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp)$	0.0081	0.0306	S11
Insignificant	$N_{CN} \cdots H_{NH^3}$	-	0.0080	0.0309	6g
Insignificant	N _{CN} …H _{PH3}	-	0.0032	0.0113	6h
- 1.12	N _{CN} …H _{CH3OH}	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0146	0.0555	6i

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_13: Spin density for the structures in Figure 6 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure
C _{CN}	1.003	0.765	
NCN	-0.003	0.705	
CN_HF			
F _{HF}	0.003		
C _{CN}	1.027	0.767	6a
N _{CN}	-0.030		
CN_HCl			
Cl _{HCl}	-0.006		
C _{CN}	1.017	0.766	6b
N _{CN}	-0.011		
CN_H ₂ O			
O _{H2O}	-0.003		6с
C _{CN}	1.009	0.766	
N _{CN}	-0.005		
CN_H ₂ S	1		
S_{H_2S}	-0.006		
C _{CN}	0.995	0.766	6d
N _{CN}	0.011		
CN_HCN			
N _{HCN}	-0.000		
C _{HCN}	-0.009	0.766	6e
C _{CN}	1.037		

N _{CN}	-0.028		
CN_HNC			
N _{HNC}	0.007		
C _{HNC}	-0.000	0.767	۲.F
C _{CN}	1.032	0.707	01
N _{CN}	-0.039	1	
CN_HCP			
P _{HCP}	-0.001		
C _{HCP}	-0.013	0.766	C 11
C _{CN}	-0.023		511
N _{CN}	1.038		
CN_NH ₃			
N _{NH3}	-0.005		l
C _{CN}	0.999	0.766	6g
N _{CN}	0.006		
CN_PH ₃			
P _{PH3}	-0.005		
C _{CN}	0.998	0.765	6h
N _{CN}	0.006		
CN_CH ₃ OH			
C _{CH3OH}	0.001		
Оснзон	-0.005	0.766	61
C _{CN}	1.014	0.700	01
NCN	-0.010		

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 7 (and Figure S12-S14)

AIM analysis (Table SI_14) confirms the presence of weak interactions, but the NBO calculations show no appreciable donor acceptor interaction. A very similar scenario is seen in **Figure S14**, in the interaction with NH_3 – about two-fifth of C's spin density being transferred to N (of ammonia) and one-third to N (from CN). Remarkably, in both the cases the interaction energy is unexpectedly positive (and has been verified with others levels of theories also). We say "unexpectedly" because we see favourable N…S (in **S13**) and N_{CN}…N_{NH3} (in **S14**) distances

and sufficient transfer of spin density to anticipate stabilizing pnicogen bonding. Yet puzzlingly, the interaction energies are positive. The much higher values of $\nabla^2 \rho$ values at the bond critical points in both **S13** and **S14** (about 0.1) relative to the other structures in **Figure 7** does provide some indication that the interaction is repulsive here. However, we are unable to offer a chemically intuitive reason for this observation.

In **Figure 7b**, after interacting with CN, the spin-density on the "P" of PH₃ is ~0.8, and that on C and N about 0.1 each (Table SI_15). Coupled with this data, the very short P•••N distance, the highly favourable interaction energy and the AIM analysis (larger $\rho(r_c)$ value – Table 7) and point out to a more or less fully formed covalent bond between P and N. The NBO calculation also indicates substantial orbital overlap (Table SI_14). Even though this is not strictly speaking a "weak interaction", we have included this data to showcase the interesting chemistry possible in the ISM.

Table SI_14: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 7** (main text) and **Figure S12-S14** (SI). The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 7** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction Energy (kcal/mol) ^a	Atoms	Natural Orbitals Involved ^{b,c}	ρ(r _c) ^d (AIM)	$ abla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e $ (AIM)	Figure
Insignificant	Cl _{HCl} …N _{CN}	-	0.0041	0.0183	S12
Insignificant	$S_{H2S} \cdots N_{CN}$	-	0.0643	0.0995	S13
Insignificant	$P_{HCP} \cdots N_{CN}$	-	0.0022	0.0074	7a
Insignificant	$N_{CN} \cdots N_{NH^3}$	-	0.0633	0.1467	S14
- 8.65	$P_{PH^3} \cdots N_{CN}$	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(p)$	0.1225	0.0301	7b
- 6.20	$S_{CH^3SH} \cdots N_{CN}$	n (p) - σ* (p)	0.0675	0.0988	7c

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_15: Spin density for the structures in Figure 7 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure	
C _{CN}	1.003	0.765		
N _{CN}	-0.003	0.765		
CN_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	-0.001			
C _{CN}	1.009	0.765	S12	
NCN	-0.007			
CN_H ₂ S				
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{S}}$	0.495			
C _{CN}	0.215	0.765 0.765 0.757 0.755 0.758 0.754 0.754	S 13	
N _{CN}	0.289			
CN_HCP				
P _{HCP}	-0.004			
С _{НСР}	0.001	0.757	7.	
C _{CN}	1.017		/a	
N _{CN}	-0.014			
CN_NH ₃				
$N_{\rm NH_3}$	0.423			
C _{CN}	0.279	0.758	S 14	
NCN	0.297			
CN_PH ₃				
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PH}_3}$	0.792			
C _{CN}	0.105	0.754	7b	
N _{CN}	0.101			
CN_CH ₃ SH				
C _{CH3} SH	0.016			
S _{CH3SH}	0.538	0.756	7.	
C _{CN}	0.200	0.756	/c	
N _{CN}	0.244			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 8 (and Figure S15, S16)

Throughout **S15**, **S16**, **8a and 8b**, there is no spin density transfer (Table SI_17). In **8b** (with H_2S), **8d** (with H_3N), **8e** (with CH₃OH) and **8f** (with CH₃SH), the interaction energy much

higher, and this is consistently accompanied by a spin density transfer from C_{CN} to the electronegative atom in the closed shell molecule (with the sole exception in **Figure 8e** - there isn't any significant spin density transfer from C_{CN} to O of CH₃OH). The NBO analysis is also given in Figure SI_16.

Table SI_16: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 8** (main text) and **Figure S15, S16 (SI)**. The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 8** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	$\rho(r_c)^d$	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals	(AIM)	(AIM)	
		Involved ^{b,c}			
- 0.57	F _{HF} …C _{CN}	n (p) - σ* (p)	0.0150	0.0583	S15
Insignificant	Cl _{HCl} …C _{CN}	-	0.0232	0.0574	S16
- 3.79	O_{H2O} ··· C_{CN}	n (p) - σ* (p)	0.0419	0.1156	8a
- 8.30	S_{H^2S} ···· C_{CN}	n (p) - σ* (p)	0.0611	0.0613	8b
- 1.08	N_{HCN} ···C _{CN}	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp)$	0.0157	0.0539	8c
- 15.84	N_{NH^3} ···C _{CN}	$n(sp^3) - \sigma^*(sp)$	0.0763	0.1007	8d
- 5.23	O _{CH3OH} ····C _{CN}	$n(p) - \sigma^{*}(p)$	0.0565	0.1352	8e
- 12.16	S _{CH3SH} ····C _{CN}	$n(p) - \sigma^{*}(p)$	0.0659	0.0585	8f

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_17: Spin density for the structures in Figure 8 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	
C _{CN}	1.003	0.765	
N _{CN}	-0.003	0.705	
CN_HF			
F _{HF}	0.001		Q15
C _{CN}	1.039	0.767	515

N _{CN}	-0.040			
CN_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	0.061			
C _{CN}	0.989	0.767	S 16	
N _{CN}	-0.051			
CN_H ₂ O				
O _{H2O}	0.084			
C _{CN}	0.996	0.767	8a	
N _{CN}	-0.081			
CN_H ₂ S				
S _{H2S}	0.343			
C _{CN}	0.684	0.757	8b	
NCN	-0.027			
CN_HCN				
N _{HCN}	0.039			
C _{HCN}	-0.012	0.768	9.0	
C _{CN}	1.049	0.708	80	
N _{CN}	-0.075			
CN_NH ₃	·			
N _{NH3}	0.042			
C _{CN}	0.700	0.756	8d	
N _{CN}	0.257			
CN_CH ₃ OH				
Сснзон	0.019			
O _{CH3OH}	0.122	0.763	80	
C _{CN}	0.941	0.703	00	
N _{CN}	-0.083			
CN_CH3SH	1	1		
C _{CH3} SH	-0.004			
S _{CH3} SH	0.380	0.756	8f	
C _{CN}	0.654	0.750	01	
NCN	-0.030			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 9 (and Figure S17, S18)

The spin density analysis (Table SI_19) is in accord with our chemical intuition that there should be no changes in the spin densities on N and O (of NO) before and after interacting – confirming that the interactions are electrostatically dominated. The NBO analysis indicates some local orbital interaction (Table SI_18). AIM analysis also attests to the presence of weak interactions. **Table SI_18:** NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 9** (main text) and **Figure S17, S18 (SI)**. The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 9** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals	(AIM)	(AIM)	
		Involved ^{b,c}			
-4.16	$N_{NO} \cdots H_{HF}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0199	0.0150	9a
- 2.73	N _{NO} …H _{HCl}	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0128	0.0412	9b
- 1.24	$N_{NO} \cdots H_{H2O}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0116	0.0411	9c
- 0.81	$N_{NO} \cdots H_{H^2S}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0068	0.0219	S17
- 1.51	N _{NO} …H _{HCN}	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp)$	0.0083	0.0298	9d
- 3.06	N _{NO} …H _{HNC}	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (sp)$	0.0137	0.0478	9e
Insignificant	$N_{NO} \cdots H_{HCP}$	-	0.0058	0.0200	9f
Insignificant	$N_{NO} \cdots H_{PH^3}$	-	0.0068	0.0225	S18
- 0.65	N _{NO} …H _{CH3OH}	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0100	0.0348	9g
Insignificant	N _{NO} …H _{CH3SH}	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0059	0.0187	9h

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_19: Spin density for the structures in Figure 9 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	Spin Density <s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>		
N _{NO}	0.722	0.754		
O _{NO}	0.277	0.734		
NO_HF				
F _{HF}	0.013			
N _{NO}	0.687	0.753	9a	
O _{NO}	0.299			
NO_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	0.004			
N _{NO}	0.706	0.754	9b	
O _{NO}	0.288			
NO_H ₂ O				
O _{H2O}	0.001	0.754	9c	

N _{NO}	0.712			
O _{NO}	0.286			
NO_H ₂ S	·			
S _{H2S}	-0.002			
N _{NO}	0.718	0.754	S17	
O _{NO}	0.283			
NO_HCN				
N _{HCN}	-0.003			
C _{HCN}	0.001	0.754 0.754 0.754 0.754 0.754 0.754 0.754	60	
N _{NO}	0.710		90	
O _{NO}	0.290			
NO_HNC				
N _{HNC}	0.013			
C _{HNC}	-0.001	0.754	00	
N _{NO}	0.691	0.734	96	
O _{NO}	0.296			
NO_HCP		-		
P _{HCP}	0.001			
C _{HCP}	-0.006	0.754	Of	
N _{NO}	0.719	0.754	21	
O _{NO}	0.284			
NO_PH ₃				
P _{PH3}	-0.001			
N _{NO}	0.730	0.754	S18	
O _{NO}	0.271			
NO_CH ₃ OH				
Сснзон	-0.007	_		
O _{CH3OH}	0.008	0.754	θα	
N _{NO}	0.732	0.754	Jg	
O _{NO}	0.266			
NO_CH ₃ SH				
C _{CH3SH}	-0.003			
S _{CH3} SH	0.000	0.754	Qh	
N _{NO}	0.738	0.754	711	
O _{NO}	0.263			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 10 (and Figure S19-

S23)

AIM analysis confirms the presence of weak interactions (Table SI_20). NBO (Table SI_20)

calculations mostly suggest that there are no local interactions. Lastly, spin-density computations

(Table SI_21) rule out any significant orbital overlap, and support the dominance of electrostatic

effects.

Table SI_20: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 10 (main text) and Figure S19-S23 (SI)**. The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 10** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals			
		Involved ^{b,c}	(AIM)	(AIM)	
- 1.52	$O_{NO} \cdots H_{HF}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0159	0.0620	10a
- 0.84	$O_{NO} \cdots H_{HCl}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0093	0.0351	10b
Insignificant	$O_{H2O} \cdots O_{NO}$	-	0.0043	0.0186	S19
Insignificant	$O_{NO} \cdots H_{H2S}$	-	0.0022	0.0100	S20
- 0.52	O _{NO} …H _{HCN}	n (p) - σ* (sp)	0.0066	0.0268	10c
- 1.27	O _{NO} …H _{HNC}	n (p) - σ* (sp)	0.0110	0.0454	10d
Insignificant	O _{NO} …H _{HCP}	-	0.0031	0.0139	10e
Insignificant	$O_{NO} \cdots H_{NH^3}$	-	0.0021	0.0098	S21
Insignificant	$O_{NO} \cdots H_{PH^3}$	-	0.0014	0.0061	S22
Insignificant	O _{NO} …H _{CH3OH}	-	0.0084	0.0321	10f
Insignificant	O _{NO} …H _{CH3SH}	-	0.0054	0.0187	S23

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_21: Spin density for the structures in Figure 10 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure	
N _{NO}	0.722	0.754		
O _{NO}	0.277	0.754		
NO_HF	·	· · ·		
F _{HF}	0.003			
N _{NO}	0.743	0.754	10a	
O _{NO}	0.252			
NO_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	0.000			
N _{NO}	0.734	0.754	10b	
O _{NO}	0.265			
NO_H ₂ O				
O _{H2O}	-0.000			
N _{NO}	0.745	0.754	S19	
O _{NO}	0.255			
NO_H ₂ S	1	1		
S _{H2S}	-0.000			
N _{NO}	0.736	0.754	S20	
O _{NO}	0.264			
NO_HCN	1			
N _{HCN}	-0.001	-		
C _{HCN}	0.002	0 754	10c	
N _{NO}	0.737	0.751		
O _{NO}	0.261			
NO_HNC	1	1		
N _{HNC}	0.002			
C _{HNC}	0.000	0.754	104	
N _{NO}	0.744	0.754	100	
O _{NO}	0.252			
NO_HCP				
P _{HCP}	0.002			
C _{HCP}	-0.004	0.754	10a	
N _{NO}	0.745	0.734	Tue	
O _{NO}	0.255			
NO_NH3				
N _{NH3}	-0.001			
N _{NO}	0.737	0.754	S21	
O _{NO}	0.263			
NO_PH ₃				
P _{PH3}	-0.000			
N _{NO}	0.737	0.754	S22	
O _{NO}	0.263			
NO_CH ₃ OH				

Сснзон	-0.003		
O _{CH3OH}	0.000	0.754	105
N _{NO}	0.748	0.754	101
O _{NO}	0.254		
NO_CH ₃ SH			
C _{CH3} SH	-0.005		
S _{CH3SH}	-0.000	0.754	522
N _{NO}	0.745	0.754	525
O _{NO}	0.260		

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 11 (and Figure S24-

S30)

AIM analysis confirms weak interactions. NBO and spin-density calculations throughout confirm that there is no significant orbital overlap (Tables SI_22 and SI_23)

Table SI_22: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in Figure 11 (main text) and Figure S24-S30 (SI). The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in Figure 11 (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals			
		Involved ^{b,c}	(AIM)	(AIM)	
Insignificant	$H_{HF} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	0.0013	0.0063	S24
Insignificant	Cl _{HCl} …N _{NO}	-	0.0056	0.0220	S25
-0.65	$O_{H2O} \cdots N_{NO}$	$n(sp^{3}) - \sigma^{*}(p)$	0.0097	0.0401	11a
Insignificant	$S_{H2S} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	0.0052	0.0184	S26
Insignificant	$N_{HCN} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	0.0055	0.0211	S27
Insignificant	$C_{HNC} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	0.0068	0.0223	S28
Insignificant	$P_{HCP} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	0.0052	0.0144	S29
-0.66	$N_{NH3}\cdots N_{NO}$	$n(sp^{3}) - \sigma^{*}(p)$	0.0092	0.0358	11b
Insignificant	$P_{PH^3} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	0.0058	0.0183	S 30
Insignificant	$O_{CH^{3}OH} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	0.0100	0.0462	11c
Insignificant	$S_{CH^3SH} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	0.0078	0.0279	11d

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c.
Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_23: Spin density for the structures in Figure 11 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure	
N _{NO}	0.722	0.754		
O _{NO}	0.277	0.754		
NO_HF				
F _{HF}	0.000			
N _{NO}	0.724	0.754	S24	
O _{NO}	0.275			
NO_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	-0.000			
N _{NO}	0.728	0.754	S25	
O _{NO}	0.271			
NO_H2O	1			
O _{H2O}	0.002			
N _{NO}	0.711	0.754	11a	
O _{NO}	0.286			
NO_H ₂ S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
S _{H2S}	0.003			
N _{NO}	0.715	0.754	S26	
O _{NO}	0.281			
NO_HCN				
N _{HCN}	0.002			
C _{HCN}	0.001	0.754	\$27	
N _{NO}	0.713		527	
O _{NO}	0.282			
NO_HNC	ſ	1 1		
N _{HNC}	-0.000	-		
Chnc	0.005	0.754	S28	
N _{NO}	0.713	-	520	
O _{NO}	0.281			
NO_HCP	a	1 1		
Р _{НСР}	-0.036			
Снср	0.038	0.754	S29	
N _{NO}	0.735			

O _{NO}	0.262				
NO_NH ₃		-			
N _{NH3}	0.001				
N _{NO}	0.715	0.754	11b		
O _{NO}	0.283				
NO_PH ₃					
P _{PH³}	0.003				
N _{NO}	0.714	0.754	S30		
O _{NO}	0.282				
NO_CH ₃ OH					
Сснзон	0.002				
O _{CH3OH}	0.000	0.754	11c		
N _{NO}	0.713	0.754	110		
O _{NO}	0.284				
NO_CH ₃ SH					
C _{CH3} SH	0.005				
S _{CH3SH}	-0.007	0.754	114		
N _{NO}	0.724	0.734	110		
O _{NO}	0.276				

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure S31-S35

AIM analysis confirms weak interactions. NBO and spin-density calculations throughout confirm that there is no significant orbital overlap (Tables SI_24 and SI_25). Furthermore, in **S34**, even though a minimum energy structure is obtained, no hydrogen bonding critical point was evaluated using AIM (hence the lack of entry in Table 12 for $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ for the structure in Figure S34). This is consistent with the much larger P•••O distance (~ 4.7 Å) in **S34**.

Table SI_24: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the s	structures in Figure
S31-S35 (SI). The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in I	Figure S31-S35 are
used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.	

Orbital Interaction Energy (kcal/mol) ^a	Atoms	Natural Orbitals Involved ^{b,c}	$\rho(\mathbf{r}_{c})^{d}$ (AIM)	$\begin{array}{ c c }\hline \nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e \\ \hline (\mathbf{AIM}) \end{array}$	Figure
Insignificant	H _{HF} …N _{NO}	-	0.0032	0.0165	S31
Insignificant	Cl _{HCl} …N _{NO}	-	0.0043	0.0181	S32
Insignificant	O _{HCN} ····N _{NO}	-	0.0022	0.0071	S33

Insignificant	$P_{HCP} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	-	-	S34
Insignificant	$N_{PH3} \cdots N_{NO}$	-	0.0025	0.0078	S35

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_25: Spin density for the structures in Figure **S31-S35** (**SI**). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure	
N _{NO}	0.722	0.754		
O _{NO}	0.277	0.754		
NO_HF	•			
F _{HF}	-0.001			
N _{NO}	0.728	0.754	S 31	
O _{NO}	0.272			
NO_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	0.001			
N _{NO}	0.730	0.754	S32	
O _{NO}	0.268			
NO_HNC				
N _{HNC}	-0.007			
C _{HNC}	0.008	0.754	\$22	
N _{NO}	0.727		333	
O _{NO}	0.271			
NO_HCP	-	•		
P _{HCP}	-0.003			
C _{HCP}	0.002	0.754	\$34	
N _{NO}	0.741		554	
O _{NO}	0.258			
NO_PH ₃				
P _{PH3}	0.002			
N _{NO}	0.717	0.754	S35	
O _{NO}	0.279			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 12 (and Figure S36)

That weak interactions are present in Figure 12, is confirmed by AIM analysis (Table SI_26).

NBO calculations suggest some local orbital interactions. However, spin density analysis (Table

SI_27) clearly indicates that the interactions are dominated by electrostatics (no change in spin

density on N of NH₂, before and after binding).

Table SI_26: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 12 (main text) and Figure S36 (SI)**. The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 12** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

	Orbital Interaction Energy (kcal/mol) ^a	Atoms	Natural Orbitals	$\rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^d$	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)$) ^e Figure
			Involved ^{s,e}) (AIM)	
In	significant	$Cl_{HCl} \cdots H_{NH^2}$	-	0.0067	0.0223	S36
	0.61	$N_{HCN} \cdots H_{NH^2}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0107	0.0401	12a
	1.01	$C_{HNC} \cdots H_{NH^2}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0104	0.0323	12b
—	2.33	$N_{NH^3} \cdots H_{NH^2}$	$n(sp^3)$ - $\sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0163	0.0527	12c
	0.60	$P_{PH^3} \cdots H_{NH^2}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0079	0.0199	12d
_	1.03	$O_{CH^3OH} \cdots H_{NH^2}$	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0217	0.0852	12e

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_27: Spin density for the structures in Figure 12 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure
N _{NH2}	1.000	0.754	
NH2_HCl			
Cl _{HCl}	0.000	0.754	S26
N _{NH²}	0.999	0.734	350
NH2_HCN			
N _{HCN}	0.001	0.754	12a

C _{HCN}	0.003		
N _{NH2}	0.994		
NH2_HNC	÷	•	
N _{HNC}	0.003		
C _{HNC}	0.006	0.754	12b
N _{NH2}	0.990		
NH2_NH3			
N _{NH3}	0.008	0.754	12.
N _{NH2}	0.991	0.754	120
NH2_PH3			
P _{PH3}	0.001	0.754	104
N _{NH2}	0.998	0.754	120
NH2_CH3OH	·		
Сснзон	0.003		
O _{CH3OH}	0.009	0.754	12e
N _{NH2}	0.986		

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 13 (and Figure S37)

There is no change in the spin density of N before and after interacting with any of the closed shell molecules (Table SI_29). However, substantial local donor-acceptor interactions are revealed through NBO calculations (Table SI_28). AIM analysis gives expected results – namely the confirmation of weak interactions.

Table SI_28: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 13 (main text) and Figure S37 (SI)**. The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 13** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

	Orbital Interaction	Atoms		Natural		ρ(r _c) ^d		$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)$) ^e	Figur	·e
	Energy (kcal/mol) ^a	l l		Orbitals				-	-		
				Involved ^{b,c}		(AIM))	(AIM)			
_	12.78	$N_{NH2} \cdots H_{HF}$	n	$(sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0	.0463	C).0756		13a	
_	9.16	$N_{NH2} \cdots H_{HC1}$]	n (sp) - σ* (s)	0	.0341	C).0728		13b	
_	3.57	$N_{NH2} \cdots H_{H2O}$]	n (sp) - σ* (s)	0	.0231	C).0717		13c	
_	2.08	$N_{NH2} \cdots H_{H2S}$	n	$(sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0	.0139	C).0463		13d	
_	4.40	$N_{NH^2} \!\!\cdots \!\! H_{HCN}$	n	$(sp) - \sigma^*(sp)$	0	.0183	C).0610		13e	
_	9.61	$N_{NH2} \cdots H_{HNC}$	n	$(sp) - \sigma^*(sp)$	0	.0319	C).0790		13f	
_	1.52	$N_{NH2} \cdots H_{HCP}$	n	$(sp) - \sigma^*(sp)$	0	.0121	C).0426		S37	
In	significant	N_{NH2} ···· H_{HCP}		-	0	.0109	C).0389		13g	
—	4.32	N _{NH2} …H _{CH3OH}	n	$(sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0	.0238	C	0.0727		13h	

- 0.84	$N_{NH^2} \cdots H_{CH^3SH}$	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(s)$	0.0097	0.0317	13i
--------	------------------------------	-----------------------	--------	--------	-----

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_29: Spin density for the structures in Figure 13 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure	
N _{NH2}	1.000	0.754		
NH2_HF				
F _{HF}	0.000	0.754	120	
N _{NH2}	0.999	0.734	15a	
NH2_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	-0.009	0.754	12h	
N _{NH2}	1.009	0.734	150	
NH2_H2O				
O _{H2O}	-0.005	0.754	120	
N _{NH2}	1.005	0.734	130	
NH2_H2S				
S_{H2S}	-0.002	0.754	124	
N _{NH2}	1.002	0.734	150	
NH2_HCN				
N _{HCN}	0.001			
C _{HCN}	-0.010	0.754	13e	
N _{NH2}	1.009			
NH2_HNC				
N _{HNC}	-0.006			
C _{HNC}	0.000	0.754	13f	
N _{NH2}	1.006			
NH2_HCP				
P _{HCP}	0.004	0.754	S37	

С _{НСР}	-0.013				
N _{NH2}	1.008				
NH2_HCP					
P _{HCP}	0.076				
C _{HCP}	-0.069	0.755	13g		
N _{NH2}	0.993				
NH2_CH3OH					
Сснзон	0.000				
Оснзон	-0.006	0.754	13h		
N _{NH2}	1.005				
NH2_CH3SH					
C _{CH3SH}	-0.000				
S _{CH3SH}	-0.000	0.754	13i		
N _{NH²}	1.000				

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 14 (and Figure S38-S40)

Only 4 modes could be optimized for this mode of interaction. NBO and AIM analysis are given

in Table SI_30, and the spin-density calculations in Table SI_31. Electrostatic interactions

predominate in all the four optimized structures.

Table SI_30: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in Figure 14 (main text) and Figure S38-S40 (SI). The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in Figure 14 (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

	Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	ρ(r _c)	$d \nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)$	e) ^e Figure
	Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals			
			Involved	(AIIV.	I) (AINI)	
	0.57	$N_{NH2} \cdots H_{HC1}$	$n(p) - \sigma^{*}(s)$	0.0081	0.0319	S38
I	2.40	$N_{NH2} \cdots P_{HCP}$	n (sp) - σ* (p)	0.0168	0.0442	S39
I	3.02	$N_{NH2} \cdots P_{PH3}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0172	0.0467	S40
Ι	0.91	$N_{NH2} \cdots S_{CH3SH}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0109	0.0374	14a

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c.

Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_31: Spin density for the structures in Figure 14 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure	
N _{NH2}	1.000	0.754		
NH2_HCl				
Cl _{HCl}	0.001	0.754	620	
N _{NH2}	0.998	0.734	338	
NH2_HCP				
P _{HCP}	-0.131			
Снср	0.163	0.759	S 39	
N _{NH2}	0.967			
NH2_PH3				
P _{PH3}	0.026	0.756	S 40	
N _{NH2}	0.973	0.730	540	
NH2_CH3SH				
C _{CH3SH}	-0.000			
S _{CH3SH}	0.001	0.754	14a	
N _{NH2}	0.998			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 15 of Main Text

Orbital interaction energies from NBO analysis and AIM analysis (Table SI_32) confirm the existence of weak interactions here. Moreover, the interactions are electrostatically dominated (indicated by no change in the spin densities – Table SI_33), although the NBO method shows substantial local orbital interactions.

Table SI_32: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 15** (main text). The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 15** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals		-	
		Involved ^{b,c}	(AIM)	(AIM)	
- 2.36	$F_{HF} \cdots H_{HO2}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0221	0.1045	15a
- 2.35	$Cl_{HCl} \cdots H_{HO2}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0177	0.0564	15b
- 7.06	$O_{H2O} \cdots H_{HO2}$	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0358	0.1151	15c
- 5.68	$S_{H2S} \cdots H_{HO2}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0225	0.0472	15d
-6.11	$N_{HCN} \cdots H_{HO2}$	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0296	0.0841	15e
- 11.43	$C_{HNC} \cdots H_{HO_2}$	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0317	0.0595	15f
- 0.87	$P_{HCP} \cdots H_{HO2}$	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0108	0.0302	15g
Insignificant	$P_{HCP} \cdots H_{HO^2}$	-	0.0208	0.0455	15h
- 19.23	$N_{NH^3} \cdots H_{HO^2}$	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0553	0.0623	15i
- 5.09	$P_{PH_3} \cdots H_{HO_2}$	$n(sp) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0214	0.0419	15j
- 8.16	O _{CH3OH} …H _{HO2}	$n (sp^3) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0149	0.0693	15k
- 8.27	S _{CH3SH} ····H _{HO2}	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0276	0.0476	151

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_33: Spin density for the structures in Figure 15 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure
O _{HO2}	0.260	0.755	
O _{HO2}	0.739	0.755	
HO ₂ _HF			
F _{HF}	-0.002		
O _{HO2}	0.295	0.755	15a
O _{HO2}	0.707		
HO ₂ HCl			
Cl _{HCl}	-0.002		
O _{HO2}	0.299	0.755	15b
O _{HO2}	0.703		

HO ₂ H ₂ O			
$O_{H^{2}O}$	-0.000		
O _{HO2}	0.282	0.755	15c
O _{HO2}	0.717		
HO ₂ H ₂ S			
S _{H2S}	-0.001		
O _{HO2}	0.269	0.755	15d
O _{HO2}	0.731		
HO ₂ HCN			
N _{HCN}	0.000		
C _{HCN}	-0.002	0.755	150
O _{HO2}	0.279		15e
O _{HO2}	0.722		
HO2_HNC			
N _{HNC}	-0.003		
C _{HNC}	0.001	0.755	156
O _{HO2}	0.291	0.755	151
O _{HO2}	0.711		
HO ₂ HCP			
P _{HCP}	0.000	0.755	
Снср	-0.001		15 ~
O _{HO2}	0.271		15g
O _{HO2}	0.729		
HO ₂ HCP			
P _{HCP}	0.000		
C _{HCP}	-0.001	0.755	1 51.
O _{HO2}	0.287	0.755	15n
O _{HO2}	0.713		
HO ₂ _NH ₃			
N _{NH3}	-0.002		
O _{HO2}	0.267	0.755	15i
O _{HO2}	0.735		
HO ₂ PH ₃	·		
P _{PH3}	-0.000		
O _{HO2}	0.264	0.755	15j
O _{HO2}	0.735		
HO ₂ _CH ₃ OH			
Сснзон	-0.004		
Оснзон	0.002	0.755	151-
O _{HO2}	0.279	0.755	IJK
O _{HO2}	0.721		
HO ₂ _CH ₃ SH			

C _{CH3SH}	0.000		
S _{CH3SH}	-0.001	0.755	151
O _{HO2}	0.269	0.755	151
O _{HO2}	0.731		

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 16 (and Figure S41,

S42)

The NBO, AIM and spin density analyses for the structures in Figure 16 (main text) are given in

Tables SI_34 and SI_35. Akin to that seen in Tables SI_32 and SI_33, the interactions are

electrostatically dominated (indicated by no change in the spin densities - Table SI_35),

although the NBO method shows substantial local orbital interactions.

Table SI_34: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in Figure 16 (main text) and Figure S41, S42 (SI). The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in Figure 16 (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

Orbital Interaction	Atoms	Natural	ρ(r _c) ^d	$\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_c)^e$	Figure
Energy (kcal/mol) ^a		Orbitals			
		Involved ^{b,c}	(AIM)	(AIM)	
- 6.62	$O_{HO2} \cdots H_{HF}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0344	0.0976	16a
- 4.45	$O_{HO2} \cdots H_{HC1}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0214	0.0737	16b
-2.14	$O_{HO2} \cdots H_{H2O}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0185	0.0692	16c
- 0.83	$O_{HO2} \cdots H_{H2S}$	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0103	0.0379	16d
- 2.61	$O_{HO_2} \cdots H_{HCN}$	n (p) - σ* (sp)	0.0158	0.0602	16e
- 5.26	$O_{HO_2} \cdots H_{HNC}$	n (p) - σ* (sp)	0.0253	0.0865	16f
- 0.91	$O_{HO_2} \cdots H_{HCP}$	n (p) - σ* (sp)	0.0109	0.0413	16g
Insignificant	$O_{HO2} \cdots H_{NH^3}$	-	0.0102	0.0396	S41
Insignificant	$O_{HO2} \cdots H_{PH^3}$	-	0.0068	0.0230	S42
Insignificant	O _{HO2} …H _{CH3OH}	-	0.0177	0.0675	16h
- 1.00	O _{HO2} ····S _{CH3SH}	$n(p) - \sigma^*(sp^3)$	0.0099	0.0354	16i

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c. Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_35: Spin density for the structures in Figure 16 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure
O _{HO2}	0.260	0.755	
O _{HO2}	0.739	0.755	
O ₂ H_HF			
F _{HF}	-0.002		
O _{HO2}	0.310	0.755	16a
O _{HO2}	0.691		
O ₂ H_HCl			
Cl _{HCl}	0.000		
O _{HO2}	0.271	0.755	16b
O _{HO2}	0.727		
O ₂ H_H ₂ O			
O _{H2O}	0.000		
O _{HO2}	0.301	0.755	16c
O _{HO2}	0.698		
O2H_H2S			
S _{H2S}	-0.001		16d
O _{HO2}	0.288	0.755	
O _{HO2}	0.712		
O ₂ H_HCN		<u>.</u>	
N _{HCN}	0.001		
C _{HCN}	-0.001	0.755	16e
O _{HO2}	0.281	0.755	100
O _{HO2}	0.719		
O ₂ H_HNC			
N _{HNC}	-0.001		
C _{HNC}	-0.001	0.755	16f
O _{HO2}	0.286	0.755	101
O _{HO2}	0.716		
O ₂ H_HCP	-		
P _{HCP}	0.008		
C _{HCP}	-0.008	0.755	160
O _{HO2}	0.269	0.755	10g
O _{HO2}	0.730		

O ₂ H_NH ₃				
N _{NH3}	0.000			
O _{HO2}	0.305	0.755	S41	
O _{HO2}	0.694			
O ₂ H_PH ₃				
P _{PH3}	0.000			
O _{HO2}	0.283	0.755	S42	
O _{HO2}	0.715			
O ₂ H_CH ₃ OH				
Сснзон	0.000			
O _{CH3OH}	0.000	0.755	1 <i>6</i> h	
O _{HO2}	0.301	0.755	1011	
O _{HO2}	0.697			
O ₂ H_CH ₃ SH				
C _{CH3SH}	-0.005			
S _{CH3SH}	0.003	0.755	16:	
O _{HO2}	0.288	0.755	101	
O _{HO2}	0.714			

AIM, NBO, and Spin Density Analyses for the Structures in Figure 17 (and Figure S43)

The AIM and NBO analyses for the only two optimized structures obtained are given in Table SI_36. There is no spin-density transfer in both these structures (table SI_37), implying the electrostatic nature of the interactions.

Table SI_36: NBO (columns 1-3) and AIM (columns 4-5) analyses of the structures in **Figure 17 (main text) and Figure S43 (SI)**. The same level of theory used to optimize the structures in **Figure 17** (main text) are used in the NBO calculations, and in the AIM calculations.

	Orbital Interaction Energy (kcal/mol) ⁴	h Atoms	Natural Orbitals Involved ^{b,c}	ρ(r _c) (AIM	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{d} & \nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}) \\ \hline \mathbf{l} & (\mathbf{AIM}) \end{array}$	e) ^e Figure
_	2.38	$O_{HO2} \cdots H_{HF}$	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (sp^3)$	0.0240	0.0892	17a
In	significant	O _{HO2} ····Cl _{HCl}	$n (sp) - \sigma^* (s)$	0.0041	0.0183	S43

a. The orbital interaction energy we report is the negative of the "E(2) stabilization energy" arising due to the interaction between the donor and acceptor natural orbitals. By "insignificant", we refer to the any E(2) value being less than 0.50 kcal/mol. The results from alpha spin orbitals are presented here. The NBO results from the beta spin orbitals are very similar. c.

Hybridizations are obtained after rounding-off the percent "s" and "p" character to the closest integer. d. $\rho(r_c)$ and $\nabla^2 \rho(r_c)$ in a.u.

Table SI_37: Spin density for the structures in Figure 17 (main text). UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The $\langle S^2 \rangle$ values are given side-by-side (3rd column) so that it is clear that, the transfer in spin-density is not an artefact of spin-contamination. The figures where the structures can be found in the main text are given in the 4th column.

Atoms	Spin Density	<s<sup>2> Value</s<sup>	Figure
O _{HO2}	0.260	0.755	
O _{HO2}	0.739	0.755	
HO ₂ _HF			
F _{HF}	0.001		17a
O _{HO2}	0.236	0.755	
O _{HO2}	0.762		
HO ₂ _HCl			
Cl _{HCl}	0.000		
O _{HO2}	0.260	0.755	S43
O _{HO2}	0.739		

Table SI_38: Method Calibration – Geometries

In the main text the UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ was used for optimization. Here, we demonstrate for representative cases that even with several other density functionals, similar geometries of the complexes are mostly obtained. Other methods chosen - UB3-LYP(D3-BJ)/aug-cc-pVTZ (3^{rd} column), UB2PLYP(D3-BJ)/aug-cc-pVTZ (5^{th} column), and U ω B97X-D/aug-cc-pVTZ (4^{th} column). The geometries in the 2^{nd} column are the ones from the main text.

Systems	UM06-2x	UB3LYP(D3-BJ)	UωB97X-D	UB2PLYP(D3-BJ)
OH ····Molecules				
In Figure 1				
OH…HF	ա2.41 ա 0	H_F 2.07 H-0	B- <u>F</u> 2.14 B-0	H_F 2.07 H_O
In Figure 2				
OH…HF	Е Н 2.04	E H 1.78	EB 1.79 0	Е н 1.79
OH…NH₃	H 2.36 PH 2.36 H H	H 2.20 H 2.20 H	H 2.24 H 2.24 H	H 2.26 H
SH…Molecules				
In Figure 3				
SH…HCI	u <u>3.52</u> <u>s</u>	⊌ <mark>C </mark> 2.96 ⊌S	B-CI 3.52 B-S	u <u>Cl</u> 2.93 u S
SH····HCP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	H P 3.00 H S	B - P 3.44 B - S	B B B 3.01 B S
In Figure 4				
SH…H₂S	в 2.91 в S	S & 2.73 S	S <u>H</u> 2.86 S	S 2.77 S
SH…PH₃	H 3.08 P H 3.06 S	Н 2.47 Р. н 3.23 S	P H 3.20 S	P & 3.10 S
CN ····Molecules				
In Figure 6				
СN…НСР	P 2.48 P	P 2.32 3 6	P 8 2.51 P	P 8 2.41 3 6
In Figure 8				
CN…HF	e 2.61	2.66	E 2.68	6 1 .93 H E
NO····Molecules				
In Figure 9				
NO…H ₂ S	в 2.64	B 2.51	S <u>8</u> 2.61	s2.54



Table SI_39: Method Calibration – Interaction energies

The interaction energy in the main text was computed at the (CCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ//UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ) level of theory. Here, we demonstrate for representative cases that even with geometries obtained using several other density functionals, the interaction energies don't change much. Level of theory used to compare the interaction energies: UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ//UB3-LYP(D3-BJ)/aug-cc-pVTZ (3rd column), UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ//UB2PLYP(D3-BJ)/aug-cc-pVTZ (5th column), and UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ//U \otimes B97X-D/aug-cc-pVTZ (4th column). All the energies include zero point and BSSE corrections. The interaction energies in the 2nd column are the ones from the main text.

Systems	CCSD(T)	CCSD(T)/	CCSD(T)/	CCSD(T)/
	/M06-2x	B3LYP	ωB97X-D	B2PLYP
OH ···Molecules				
In Figure 1				
OH…HF	-1.33	-1.60	-1.65	-1.09
In Figure 2				
OH…HF	-2.96	-3.70	-3.65	-3.71
OH…NH3	0.61	1.69	1.49	0.76
SHMolecules				
In Figure 3				
SH…HCl	1.42	-0.10	-0.03	-0.13
SHHCP	0.35	-0.08	-0.06	-0.01
In Figure 4				
SH····H ₂ S	0.48	-0.69	-0.75	-0.67
SH…PH3	1.63	0.59	0.03	-0.22
CN ···Molecules				
In Figure 6				
CN…HCP	0.13	0.14	-0.91	-0.22
In Figure 8				
CN…HF	0.16	-0.36	-0.37	-2.20
NO ···Molecules				
In Figure 9				
$NO - H_2S$	0.57	-0.17	-0.18	-0.31
In Figure 10				
NOH2O	0.00	-0.12	-0.21	-0.15
NO…CH ₃ SH	0.19	-0.32	-0.32	-0.72
In Figure 11				
NO····HCN	0.30	0.24	0.12	-0.68

NO… HNC	0.65	-0.09	0.23	-1.28
In Figure S34				
NO…HCP	0.10	-0.10	-0.13	-0.12
NH2····Molecules				
In Figure 12				
NH ₂ …PH ₃	-0.12	-0.51	-0.27	-0.08
NH ₂ ···CH ₃ OH	-2.03	-2.07	-1.86	-2.08
In Figure 14				
NH ₂ …HCl	0.43	0.16	0.13	0.04
NH ₂ ···CH ₃ SH	-0.10	-0.06	-0.78	-1.02
HO2Molecules				
In Figure 16				
HO ₂ ···NH ₃	0.40	-0.58	-0.47	-0.57

Table SI_40: Interaction Energies using Complete Basis-Set Extrapolation

To be certain that the triple- ζ quality basis-set used is appropriate, we calculate energies at the UCCSD(T) (FC)/aug-cc-pVQZ level of theory using much larger quadruple- ζ basis-set (starting with UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ geometries). Also, we employ two different two-point complete-basis set (CBS) extrapolation scheme – one wherein we extrapolate only the correlation energy, and another wherein we extrapolate both the Hartree-Fock energy and the correlation energy; to get the UCCSD(T)(FC) energies at the infinite basis-set limit.

In the following table, CBS 1 (4th column) refers to the interaction energies obtained via a complete basis-set extrapolation scheme wherein we only extrapolate the correlation energies and then add them to the HF/Aug-cc-pVQZ energies. The correlation energies (in Hartree) were themselves extrapolated using the following formula adapted from reference 159 in the main text:

$$E(Corr)_{CBS} = -(27 E_{corr-TZ} - 64 E_{corr-QZ})/37$$

Similarly, CBS 2 (5th column) (refers to refers to the interaction energies obtained via a complete basis-set extrapolation scheme wherein we add $E(Corr)_{CBS}$ to $E(HF)_{CBS}$, and $E(HF)_{CBS}$ in Hartrees is obtained using the following formula adapted from reference 160 in the main text using the α value 1.63:

$$E(HF)_{CBS} = \{ (e^{-4\alpha} - e^{-3\alpha})/e^{-7\alpha} \}^{-1} \{ (E_{HF-QZ}/e^{-4\alpha}) - (E_{HF-TZ}/e^{-3\alpha}) \}$$

aug-cc-pVTZ (2nd column) and aug-cc-pVQZ (3rd column) refer to the interaction energies obtained using the two different basis sets, respectively. The interaction energies computed using all the 4 methods (aug-cc-pVTZ, aug-cc-pVQZ, CBS 1, and CBS 2) include zero point corrections from the UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ geometries

Systems	aug-cc-pVTZ	aug-cc-pVQZ	CBS 1	CBS 2
OH ···Molecules				
In Figure 1				
OH…HF	-1.33	-1.39	-1.42	-1.41
In Figure 2				
OH…HF	-2.96	-3.09	-3.15	-3.15
OH…NH3	0.61	0.36	0.21	0.22
SHMolecules				
In Figure 3				
SH…HCl	1.42	1.42	1.38	1.39
SHHCP	0.35	0.21	0.30	0.31
In Figure 4				
SH····H ₂ S	0.48	0.46	0.31	0.32
SHPH ₃	1.63	1.56	1.31	1.34

CN ···Molecules				
In Figure 6				
СМ…НСР	0.13	-0.09	-0.33	-0.27
In Figure 8				
CN…HF	0.16	0.03	-0.10	-0.08
NOMolecules				
In Figure 9				
NO…H ₂ S	0.57	0.56	0.47	0.48
In Figure 10				
NO…H ₂ O	0.00	-0.05	-0.10	-0.08
NO…CH ₃ SH	0.19	0.12	-0.03	0.00
In Figure 11				
NO…HCN	0.30	0.22	0.18	0.19
NO… HNC	0.65	0.55	0.53	0.54
In Figure S34				
NO···HCP	0.10	-0.06	0.07	0.08
NH ₂ ···Molecules				
In Figure 12				
NH ₂ …PH ₃	-0.12	-0.10	-0.18	-0.16
NH ₂ ···CH ₃ OH	-2.03	-2.23	-2.36	-2.34
In Figure 14				
NH ₂ …HCl	0.43	0.33	0.26	0.26
NH ₂ ···CH ₃ SH	-0.10	-0.14	-0.32	-0.31
HO2····Molecules				
In Figure 16				
HO ₂ …NH ₃	0.40	0.34	0.30	0.33

Co-ordinates of the Optimized Geometries (UM06-2x/augg-cc-pVTZ)

All geometries correspond to doublet spin states, are neutral, and do not have any imaginary frequencies. The magnitude of the lowest vibrational frequency (harmonic, in cm⁻¹) in each of the structures is given below the corresponding co-ordinates.

Figure 1 from main-text

a)

Ó	0.000000000	0.000000000	1.880119000
Н	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.906742000
Н	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.424094000
F	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.502622000
27.9	5 cm ⁻¹		
b)			
Ó	0.000796000	1.930623000	0.000000000
Н	0.960801000	1.777718000	0.000000000
Н	-0.980691000	-0.177589000	0.000000000
Cl	0.000796000	-1.002653000	0.000000000
125.	52 cm ⁻¹		
c)			
0	1.636178000	-0.000058000	0.000030000
Η	0.648040000	-0.001129000	0.000730000
Ν	-1.262088000	-0.000161000	-0.000102000
Η	-1.636235000	-0.122869000	0.932871000
Η	-1.635876000	-0.746227000	-0.573619000
Η	-1.630731000	0.871821000	-0.359510000
205.4	49 cm ⁻¹		
d)			
0	-1.613973000	0.000015000	0.015105000
Η	-0.637652000	-0.004546000	-0.057565000
0	1.258765000	-0.000881000	-0.069755000
Η	1.748649000	-0.761830000	0.249723000
Η	1.730667000	0.773308000	0.245040000
158.	15 cm ⁻¹		
e)			
0	2.346782000	-0.000008000	0.017099000
Η	1.380899000	-0.000031000	-0.129915000
S	-1.109592000	-0.000003000	-0.102668000
Η	-1.200674000	0.968858000	0.817835000
Η	-1.201003000	-0.968707000	0.817972000
117.	53 cm ⁻¹		

0 -2.144605000 -0.185837000 0.000307000 Η -1.265481000 0.247378000 -0.000163000 С 1.381178000 -0.496539000 0.000279000 0.724910000 -1.363315000 -0.001775000 Η Η 2.011596000 -0.528619000 -0.889316000 Η 2.006741000 -0.529435000 0.893256000 0 0.540423000 0.648886000 -0.001659000 Η 1.068614000 1.448834000 0.007132000

48.85 cm⁻¹

54.37 cm⁻¹

j)

~~~
000
000
000
000
000

71.63 cm⁻¹

<b>h</b> )			
0	0.000000000	0.000000000	2.397645000
Н	0.000000000	0.000000000	1.419269000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.894998000
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.896175000
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	-0.738701000

78.68 cm⁻¹

117.			
g)			
Ő	0.000000000	0.000000000	2.281971000
Н	0.000000000	0.000000000	1.305086000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.958079000
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	-0.750926000
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.891049000

119.17 cm⁻¹

I)			
0	2.493254000	0.000299000	-0.000192000
Η	1.516396000	-0.009056000	0.004182000
Η	-1.788788000	1.210767000	-0.021611000
Η	-1.830405000	-0.573017000	1.041981000
Η	-1.815872000	-0.602651000	-1.034259000
Р	-1.068491000	-0.001896000	0.000749000

f)

<b>k</b> )			
0	1.613739000	0.499616000	0.055566000
Η	2.216018000	-0.095515000	-0.409500000
С	-1.119270000	0.864392000	0.001115000
Η	-1.187245000	1.220774000	-1.022659000
Η	-2.114271000	0.749116000	0.420352000
Η	-0.508354000	1.548681000	0.579945000
Η	-0.147717000	-0.928410000	1.237662000
S	-0.278295000	-0.729870000	-0.078564000

133.41 cm⁻¹

## Figure 2 from main-text

a)			
0	0.023918000	1.456036000	0.000000000
Н	-0.309638000	2.370607000	0.000000000
Н	-0.096963000	-0.576561000	0.000000000
F	0.023918000	-1.493592000	0.000000000
61.21	cm ⁻¹		
b)			
Ó	0.000200000	2.181432000	0.000000000
Н	-0.006648000	3.153343000	0.000000000
Н	0.001638000	0.067364000	0.000000000
Cl	0.000200000	-1.216009000	0.000000000
113.7	8 cm ⁻¹		
c)			
0	-2.384854000	0.110551000	-0.019780000
Н	-2.684928000	-0.784035000	0.215865000
S	1.259455000	-0.095005000	-0.005285000
Н	-0.027995000	0.245983000	-0.158542000
Η	1.640468000	1.173727000	0.185481000
10 -0	1		
48.50	cm ⁻¹		
48.50	cm		

0	2.258432000	-0.085796000	-0.000043000
Η	2.854909000	0.682580000	0.000049000
С	-1.000542000	-0.029393000	0.000113000

Η	0.069019000	-0.085658000	0.000231000
Ν	-2.141162000	0.037972000	-0.000087000

67.62 cm⁻¹

e)			
0	-2.037259000	-0.091390000	-0.000334000
Η	-2.503786000	0.762871000	0.000391000
Η	-0.088074000	-0.098474000	0.001465000
Ν	0.918683000	-0.033727000	0.000746000
С	2.076525000	0.050469000	-0.000734000

116.52 cm⁻¹

f)

<b>•</b> )			
0	2.199411000	-0.177037000	-0.000001000
Η	1.799042000	-1.061972000	0.000005000
С	-0.221588000	0.858817000	0.000001000
Η	0.471915000	1.676005000	0.000000000
Р	-1.235781000	-0.290043000	0.000000000

61.72 cm⁻¹

## Figure 3 from main-text

a)			
S	0.000000000	0.000000000	1.440951000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.097801000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	-3.143861000
F	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.223240000

75.12 cm⁻¹

b)

S	1.398528000	0.000000000	0.002100000
Н	0.052038000	-0.000020000	-0.004416000
0	-2.129858000	-0.000008000	-0.027746000
Н	-2.694875000	-0.765475000	0.096400000
Η	-2.694740000	0.765561000	0.096387000

109.92 cm⁻¹

c)

-,			
S	-2.118504000	0.000009000	0.014114000
Η	-0.839149000	0.000567000	-0.400669000
Η	1.824562000	0.968076000	0.825607000
Η	1.822270000	-0.967757000	0.825745000
S	1.943024000	-0.000065000	-0.092282000

64.33 cm⁻¹

d)			
S	0.000000000	0.000000000	2.024631000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.679580000
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.856828000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	-3.923541000
N	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.715596000
66.3	36 cm ⁻¹		
e)			
S	0.000000000	0.000000000	2.112897000
Ĥ	0.000000000	0.0000000000	0.766675000
Н	0.000000000	0.000000000	-3.893531000
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.895095000
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.735640000
50.5	51 cm ⁻¹		
f)			
Ś	2.396807000	-0.080631000	-0.000021000
Н	1.052496000	-0.107153000	0.000487000
С	-1.355250000	0.957445000	-0.000020000
Н	-0.889017000	1.922865000	0.000054000
Р	-2.025393000	-0.418020000	-0.000006000
50.8	³⁹ cm ⁻¹		
a)			
s S	1 948475000	-0.037363000	0.00006000
Н	1.481883000	-1.295282000	-0.000086000
C	-1.027099000	0.970153000	-0.000005000
Ĥ	-0.554249000	1.931926000	-0.000028000
P	-1.729376000	-0.390650000	0.000004000
67.3	33 cm ⁻¹		
h)			
Ś	-1.411329000	0.000021000	-0.000005000
Н	-0.058000000	0.000128000	0.000113000
Ν	2.151999000	0.000241000	-0.000006000
Н	2.524265000	-0.482231000	-0.808439000
Н	2.524288000	-0.460564000	0.820940000
Η	2.526712000	0.940640000	-0.012501000

123.05 cm⁻¹

i)

S	-2.288395000	-0.000022000	-0.000261000
Η	-0.942852000	0.001512000	-0.013537000
Η	2.759265000	1.078949000	-0.450659000
Η	2.766389000	-0.982372000	-0.625419000
Η	2.611431000	-0.103302000	1.239873000
Р	1.961339000	0.000371000	-0.009739000

63.57 cm⁻¹

**j**)

J/			
S	1.896843000	-0.064429000	0.002246000
Η	0.703759000	0.560582000	-0.007032000
С	-1.918270000	-0.612168000	0.003378000
Η	-1.069062000	-1.272683000	-0.158507000
Η	-2.368341000	-0.851720000	0.968830000
Η	-2.650528000	-0.780872000	-0.788442000
0	-1.415354000	0.714488000	-0.024491000
Η	-2.132867000	1.332660000	0.124882000

35.11 cm⁻¹

k)

n,			
S	2.196772000	-0.073503000	0.005944000
Η	1.157995000	-0.928284000	0.004468000
С	-1.017022000	1.170389000	0.008694000
Η	-0.573522000	1.393430000	-0.958955000
Η	-1.840972000	1.854072000	0.189369000
Η	-0.257492000	1.282588000	0.778632000
Η	-1.947804000	-0.674546000	1.201375000
S	-1.599026000	-0.548347000	-0.085135000

53.75 cm⁻¹

## Figure 4 from main-text

a)			
S	0.047351000	-1.160651000	0.000000000
Η	-1.296270000	-1.169749000	0.000000000
Η	0.112504000	1.138910000	0.000000000
F	0.047351000	2.066805000	0.000000000

147.73 cm⁻¹

b)			
S	-1.911536000	-0.074544000	-0.000181000
Η	-1.837174000	1.266206000	0.000611000
Н	0.591107000	-0.143559000	0.006761000
Cl	1.872391000	0.004121000	-0.000264000

91.66 cm⁻¹

c)			
Ś	-1.270365000	-0.074320000	-0.001889000
Ĥ	-0.994635000	1.239387000	0.052623000
0	2.166894000	0.105394000	-0.012325000
н	1 262050000	-0 224534000	-0.013749000
н	2 723269000	-0.668875000	0.089945000
	2.723207000	0.000075000	0.007715000
6.45	$5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$		
<b>d</b> )			
S	-1.932706000	-0.079650000	-0.000146000
Η	-2.152542000	1.245706000	0.000023000
С	1.867928000	-0.009210000	0.000984000
Η	0.796928000	-0.031128000	0.002131000
Ν	3.010192000	0.016441000	-0.000817000
07.0	-1		
87.0	02 cm ⁻¹		
e)	1 7 (5112000	0.074757000	0.000275000
5	-1./65112000	-0.0/4/5/000	-0.0002/5000
H	-1.853362000	1.2652/3000	0.0004/1000
H	0.718239000	-0.085714000	0.001734000
N	1.724774000	-0.030510000	0.001786000
С	2.883917000	0.038354000	-0.001717000
101	$.40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$		
f)			
Ś	-2.801760000	-0.060408000	-0.013831000
Н	-2.717055000	1.281452000	0.056457000
С	1.172132000	-0.114644000	0.065389000
H	0.103973000	-0.222174000	0.121103000
Р	2.693896000	0.039674000	-0.023240000
	1		
51.3	$35 \text{ cm}^{-1}$		
g)		0 4 0 40 7 40 0 0	
S	-1.723326000	-0.106954000	-0.033227000
H	-2.485181000	0.876638000	0.470977000
С	1.809352000	-0.598236000	0.034993000
Η	2.881176000	-0.769388000	0.109056000
Η	1.329057000	-1.042251000	0.912307000
Η	1.440307000	-1.104788000	-0.861617000
0	1.610828000	0.797676000	-0.027198000
Η	0.665124000	0.959059000	-0.091460000

63.76 cm⁻¹

h)			
S	-1.762421000	0.183623000	0.057597000
Η	-1.977380000	-0.685055000	-0.940004000
С	1.538894000	1.000910000	-0.029623000
Η	1.508741000	1.345362000	-1.059561000
Η	0.874756000	1.617221000	0.567952000
Η	2.557882000	1.045523000	0.342418000
S	0.944098000	-0.710371000	-0.057604000
Η	0.895801000	-0.900534000	1.267034000

85.57 cm⁻¹

# Figure 5 from main-text

a)			
S	1.095224000	-0.070993000	-0.000396000
Η	0.803143000	1.237200000	-0.003819000
Η	-1.869275000	-0.464348000	0.771279000
Η	-1.907809000	-0.479016000	-0.757403000
0	-1.818705000	0.105257000	-0.000465000

91.73 cm⁻¹

b)

S	1.541927000	-0.129303000	-0.002593000
Η	1.317191000	1.190840000	0.062332000
С	-2.147067000	-0.337709000	0.005509000
Η	-2.772902000	-1.201388000	0.020593000
Ν	-1.476103000	0.586522000	-0.010641000

74.93 cm⁻¹

c)			
S	-1.573152000	-0.130601000	-0.000042000
Η	-1.574673000	1.209750000	0.001379000
Η	3.076791000	-0.754551000	0.000941000
Ν	2.260360000	-0.179625000	0.000055000
С	1.307632000	0.481964000	-0.000338000

57.81 cm⁻¹

<b>d</b> )			
S	1.289867000	-0.273255000	-0.000011000
Η	1.882788000	0.928859000	0.000256000
С	-1.637089000	-0.706027000	-0.000004000
Н	-2.651771000	-1.058977000	0.000165000
Р	-0.669758000	0.582557000	-0.000015000

257.44 cm⁻¹

``	
<b>e</b> )	
v,	

•)			
S	1.336894000	0.072401000	0.003693000
Н	1.424150000	-1.251673000	-0.177761000
Н	-1.284091000	1.347159000	-0.118006000
Н	-1.425184000	-0.192467000	1.331637000
Η	-2.508522000	-0.332689000	-0.476210000
Р	-1.173111000	-0.048583000	-0.041250000

181.56 cm⁻¹

f)

I)			
S	1.578606000	-0.137997000	-0.044506000
Η	1.551614000	0.888556000	0.816047000
С	-1.797890000	-0.516032000	0.034776000
Η	-1.737204000	-0.914761000	1.044022000
Η	-2.848798000	-0.402985000	-0.238336000
Η	-1.320455000	-1.224366000	-0.645691000
0	-1.126191000	0.733536000	0.046508000
Η	-1.105978000	1.089412000	-0.844674000

8.68 cm⁻¹

# Figure 6 from main-text

a)			
С	-0.002584000	2.040509000	0.000000000
Ν	0.000000000	0.892659000	0.000000000
F	0.001597000	-1.942020000	0.000000000
Η	0.001127000	-1.013485000	0.000000000

95.13 cm⁻¹

b)

D)			
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.883842000
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.734100000
Cl	0.000000000	0.000000000	1.707069000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.421569000

78.85 cm⁻¹

-)
C)
-/

C)			
Ν	0.000000000	1.039606000	0.000000000
С	-0.261181000	2.159599000	0.000000000
0	0.061694000	-2.090891000	0.000000000
Η	0.976327000	-2.378357000	0.000000000
Η	0.097210000	-1.129347000	0.000000000

29.43 cm⁻¹

09.55 CIII				
h)				
N	-2.293176000	0.001224000	0.133070000	
С	-3.417865000	-0.001117000	-0.116773000	
Р	2.072603000	-0.000579000	-0.133105000	
Η	0.698891000	-0.010255000	0.197527000	
Η	2.376588000	1.037122000	0.777079000	
Η	2.394895000	-1.020046000	0.791120000	

69.33 cm⁻¹

<b>g</b> )			
Ν	-1.205539000	0.000015000	0.144118000
С	-2.326715000	-0.000013000	-0.117767000
Ν	2.267986000	-0.000006000	-0.139402000
Η	1.263230000	-0.000001000	-0.010059000
Η	2.629968000	0.813359000	0.341800000
Η	2.629966000	-0.813346000	0.341842000

69.29 cm⁻¹

<b>f</b> )			
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.505157000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	-0.498258000
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.665795000
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	1.549071000
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	2.697605000

62.28 cm⁻¹

e)			
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	1.614466000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	0.544255000
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	2.756966000
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.740808000
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.890692000

58.59 cm⁻¹

<b>d</b> )			
Ν	1.333497000	-1.432662000	0.000000000
С	1.609596000	-2.550471000	0.000000000
S	-1.153704000	1.379321000	0.000000000
Η	0.000000000	0.697578000	0.000000000
Η	-0.532797000	2.564756000	0.000000000

82.34 cm⁻¹

i)			
Ν	1.592165000	-0.184247000	0.013284000
С	2.656795000	0.250564000	-0.011772000
0	-1.437103000	-0.762938000	-0.006200000
Η	-0.488691000	-0.914840000	0.011309000
С	-1.651972000	0.631844000	0.001310000
Η	-2.727414000	0.793973000	-0.031058000
Η	-1.258572000	1.100586000	0.907738000
Η	-1.202593000	1.119058000	-0.868603000

37.94 cm⁻¹

## Figure 7 from main-text

a)			
С	2.739801000	0.368460000	-0.000043000
Н	3.710681000	0.821235000	0.000224000
Р	1.349925000	-0.270156000	-0.000044000
С	-3.724273000	0.145899000	-0.000371000
Ν	-2.578960000	0.020707000	0.000416000

25.76 cm⁻¹

b)

~,			
С	2.030961000	-0.000183000	-0.148570000
Ν	0.898400000	0.000222000	0.159172000
Р	-0.929786000	-0.000047000	-0.071310000
Η	-1.129403000	-1.097880000	0.763189000
Η	-2.268377000	-0.000983000	-0.677624000
Н	-1.129993000	1.099118000	0.761304000

124.65 cm⁻¹

c)

-,			
Η	-0.772244000	-1.062622000	1.127768000
Ν	1.401054000	-0.004478000	0.446320000
С	2.190234000	0.343130000	-0.358918000
С	-1.258347000	1.004825000	0.031880000
Η	-1.052721000	1.499568000	-0.912855000
Η	-2.326653000	0.992533000	0.229136000
Η	-0.701747000	1.487170000	0.827945000
S	-0.659083000	-0.685815000	-0.152125000

57.90 cm⁻¹

## Figure 8 from main text

a) O 1.556604000 -0.035341000 -0.039414000

Η	1.496510000	0.820240000	-0.478934000	
Η	1.620058000	0.160625000	0.901873000	
С	-0.668669000	-0.373290000	-0.073161000	
Ν	-1.651055000	0.220229000	0.047335000	
00 M	$2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$			
<i>) ) , 0</i>	2 0111			
b)				
Ć	1.111065000	-0.358317000	-0.022152000	
Ν	2.126313000	0.195873000	0.007588000	
S	-1.208443000	0.037118000	-0.097122000	
Н	-0.898971000	1.040329000	0.737015000	
Н	-1.316516000	-0.855425000	0.896732000	
67 0	1 cm ⁻¹			
07.0				
c)				
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.412050000	
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	-3.479193000	
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.271248000	
Ν	0.000000000	0.000000000	2.597109000	
С	0.000000000	0.000000000	1.445078000	
17.6	$5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$			
1710				
d)				
Ν	1.521914000	0.251619000	-0.000103000	
С	0.602745000	-0.458575000	-0.000116000	
Ν	-1.348819000	0.071765000	-0.000562000	
Η	-1.314774000	1.013880000	-0.364355000	
Η	-1.649623000	0.036869000	0.963063000	
Η	-1.863739000	-0.562983000	-0.593356000	
46.6	$0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$			
e)				
0	-0.885707000	-0.720303000	-0.092348000	
H	-0.944998000	-1.156259000	0.764816000	
С	1.216911000	-0.447032000	-0.069681000	
N	1.950753000	0.438786000	0.047428000	
С	-1.395104000	0.615258000	0.005288000	
Η	-1.202022000	1.087102000	-0.952579000	
Η	-2.467985000	0.579604000	0.191252000	
Η	-0.885455000	1.171118000	0.789657000	
$69.38 \text{ cm}^{-1}$				

f)

H -0.84/880000 -0.928511000 1.210/2900	Η	-0.847880000	-0.928511000	1.210729000
----------------------------------------	---	--------------	--------------	-------------

С	1.434541000	-0.493103000	0.044348000
Ν	2.172954000	0.397460000	-0.027695000
С	-1.009773000	1.154310000	0.032207000
Н	-0.867919000	1.536050000	-0.974728000
Н	-1.999301000	1.411376000	0.397663000
Η	-0.221051000	1.531249000	0.674290000
S	-0.863946000	-0.643726000	-0.098338000

53.14 cm⁻¹

## Figure 9 from main text

a)			
0	1.065355000	1.364609000	0.000000000
Ν	0.000000000	0.978113000	0.000000000
F	-0.877389000	-1.865417000	0.000000000
Н	-0.626338000	-0.974910000	0.000000000
75.8	$4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$		
b)			
Ó	0.576527000	-2.395534000	0.000000000
Ν	1.123109000	-1.401241000	0.000000000
Cl	-0.733763000	1.668097000	0.000000000
Н	0.000000000	0.615314000	0.000000000
52.2	$23 \text{ cm}^{-1}$		
c)			
Ν	0.000000000	1.241343000	0.000000000
0	1.127354000	1.111036000	0.000000000
0	-0.797798000	-1.809235000	0.000000000
Η	-1.655664000	-2.237893000	0.000000000
Η	-0.980782000	-0.865924000	0.000000000
31.3	6 cm ⁻¹		
d)			
С	-0.293004000	1.806507000	0.000000000
Η	0.000000000	0.779020000	0.000000000
Ν	-0.607429000	2.904795000	0.000000000
Ν	0.692610000	-1.599910000	0.000000000
0	0.145220000	-2.594032000	0.000000000
58.1	9 cm ⁻¹		

e) N -0.317229000 1.656949000 0.00000000

Η	0.000000000	0.705392000	0.000000000	
С	-0.683817000	2.758945000	0.000000000	
Ν	0.718539000	-1.397054000	0.000000000	
0	0.161717000	-2.384791000	0.000000000	
65.4	7 cm ⁻¹			
f)				
Ć	-1.070785000	0.276600000	0.000000000	
Н	0.000000000	0.222136000	0.000000000	
Ν	2.654722000	-0.002626000	0.000000000	
0	3.351643000	-0.899665000	0.000000000	
Р	-2.598099000	0.355598000	0.000000000	
30.7	'1 cm ⁻¹			
g)				
N	1.547852000	-0.601419000	-0.000077000	
0	2.012979000	0.434260000	-0.000202000	
0	-1.668719000	-0.693628000	-0.000126000	
Η	-0.821308000	-1.143889000	0.001267000	
С	-1.432745000	0.699406000	0.000186000	
Н	-2.404044000	1.188888000	0.000285000	
Η	-0.883611000	1.021542000	0.889390000	
Η	-0.883604000	1.021899000	-0.888890000	
58.5	$54 \text{ cm}^{-1}$			
h)				
Ν	-2.030515000	-0.715803000	0.002170000	
0	-2.582003000	0.277873000	-0.001469000	
С	0.772743000	1.109504000	0.000961000	
Η	1.497566000	1.919281000	-0.000233000	
Η	0.155923000	1.188254000	-0.889442000	
Н	0.159018000	1.188130000	0.893517000	
S	1.733297000	-0.431071000	-0.000837000	
Η	0.687915000	-1.267925000	0.000355000	
33.53 cm ⁻¹				

# Figure 10 from main text

a)			
Ν	1.086399000	1.357379000	0.000000000
0	0.000000000	1.017029000	0.000000000
F	-0.777968000	-1.854376000	0.000000000
Η	-0.603079000	-0.948504000	0.000000000

47.97 cm⁻¹

Ν 0.972797000 -2.163482000 0 1.464354000 -1.137433000 Cl 1.384233000 -1.089671000 Η 0.000000000 0.711885000 41.02 cm⁻¹ c) С -0.243616000 1.782035000 Η 0.000000000 0.743008000 Ν -0.505207000 2.893964000 Ο 0.603374000 -1.655749000 Ν 0.024450000 -2.635282000 43.78 cm⁻¹ d) Ν -0.302023000 1.621479000 Η 0.000000000 0.667357000 С -0.649025000 2.729859000 0 0.684177000 -1.443986000 Ν 0.076414000 -2.406425000 36.23 cm⁻¹

-0.435106000

0.000000000

-1.062089000

1.156407000

1.327244000

b)

e) C

Η

Р

Ο

Ν

27.25 cm ⁻¹			
f)			
Ó	-1.573094000	-0.535304000	0.000007000
Ν	-1.990589000	0.522806000	-0.000188000
0	1.624864000	-0.704344000	-0.000805000
Н	0.768606000	-1.136013000	0.004744000
С	1 427170000	0 695242000	0.000256000

1.087689000

0.108660000

2.482624000

-2.400250000

-3.524593000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

0.000000000

U 1.42/1/0000 0.695242000 0.000256000 Η 2.412282000 1.156203000 -0.000475000Η 0.887151000 1.033456000 -0.888358000 Η 0.888906000 1.032438000 0.890251000

58.31 cm⁻¹

### Figure 11 from main text

a)			
Ń	0.918518000	0.562474000	0.003419000
0	1.518530000	-0.402796000	-0.002109000
Н	-1.897177000	0.417968000	-0.747575000
Н	-1.907456000	0.368008000	0.775953000
0	-1.846654000	-0.187615000	-0.004430000
47.7	$2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$		
b)			
N	1.015174000	0.565420000	0.000207000
0	1.567415000	-0.428713000	-0.000348000
Ν	-1.858834000	-0.089491000	-0.000493000
Η	-1.903791000	0.921453000	-0.029574000
Η	-2.351803000	-0.387641000	0.831657000
Η	-2.378106000	-0.435613000	-0.797301000
32.2	2 cm ⁻¹		
c)			
N	1.426118000	-0.551765000	0.225253000
0	2.116871000	0.257796000	-0.173961000
0	-1.049724000	0.706069000	0.046828000
Η	-1.606478000	1.407619000	0.388544000
С	-1.817878000	-0.475789000	-0.090733000
Η	-1.143751000	-1.246947000	-0.456285000
Η	-2.233968000	-0.804149000	0.864441000
Η	-2.628530000	-0.350363000	-0.812017000
51.1	0 cm ⁻¹		
d)			
Ν	-1.902924000	0.578732000	-0.219210000
0	-2.545821000	-0.276100000	0.163764000
Η	2.273110000	-1.224835000	-0.184073000
С	1.587427000	1.042523000	0.075794000
Η	0.679284000	1.625844000	0.200853000

H2.2347230001.2048080000.932153000H2.0831710001.350878000-0.839569000S1.055761000-0.690885000-0.021236000

43.32 cm⁻¹

## Figure 12 from main-text

a)			
Ν	-2.479210000	-0.154947000	-0.000007000
Н	-1.460290000	-0.042897000	0.000305000
Η	-2.825333000	0.808874000	0.000719000
С	2.035205000	-0.047166000	0.000260000
----------	---------------------	--------------	--------------
Н	3.091228000	-0.199043000	0.000679000
Ν	0.905376000	0.114384000	-0.000459000
26.3	0 cm ⁻¹		
b)			
Ν	2.611662000	-0.141921000	-0.002299000
Η	1.591110000	-0.042083000	0.011579000
Η	2.946211000	0.826094000	-0.011208000
С	-0.898822000	0.078661000	0.010411000
Ν	-2.053981000	-0.021318000	-0.004267000
Η	-3.048150000	-0.113303000	-0.016871000
63.8	5 cm ⁻¹		
a)			
C) N	1 702066000	0 126082000	0.000666000
	-1.792900000	0.120082000	0.000000000
п u	-0.791031000	-0.100944000	-0.007112000
П N	-2.239242000	-0.783084000	-0.003/18000
	1.432933000	-0.052003000	-0.001140000
п	1.530094000	0.904980000	-0.134030000
H	2.000125000	-0.491668000	-0./18414000
Н	1.894129000	-0.235017000	0.88/214000
144.	15 cm ⁻¹		
d)			
Ń	-2.710702000	0.132871000	-0.004387000
Н	-1.708353000	-0.088015000	0.058124000
Н	-3.153660000	-0.815944000	-0.007819000
Р	1.201938000	-0.031368000	0.001789000
Н	2.106872000	-0.634134000	-0.903188000
Н	2.049944000	-0.204195000	1.121833000
Η	1.651047000	1.282711000	-0.265073000
13.5	8 cm ⁻¹		
e)			
N	2 20/1836000	-0.263269000	-0.005136000
Ц	2.204030000	0.5120320000	-0.003130000
и П	2.939831000	0.312933000	0.040013000
$\Gamma$	1.237230000	0.232349000	0.003037000
с u	-1.3/2173000	-0.337242000	0.002/10000
п U	-0.023300000	-1.334190000	0.001/11000
п	-1.991032000	-0.030/83000	0.902484000
п	-2.011340000	-0.004334000	-0.8/9302000
U	-0.082969000	0.092425000	-0.00/0//000
н	-1.330094000	1.4111/9000	0.019322000

98.65 cm⁻¹

a) N

## Figure 13 from main-text

1.295590000

Н	1.909435000	-0.815947000	0.000759000
Н	1.907504000	0.817180000	0.000757000
F	-1.382975000	-0.000001000	0.000122000
Η	-0.439290000	-0.000453000	-0.001163000
208.	42 cm ⁻¹		
b)			
Ń	-2.042992000	-0.000010000	-0.000127000
Н	-2.662492000	-0.813316000	0.000263000
Η	-2.662074000	0.813613000	0.000263000
Η	-0.141965000	-0.000436000	0.000646000
Cl	1.162793000	0.000012000	-0.000017000
125.	41 cm ⁻¹		
a)			
C) N	1 476664000	0.032661000	0.015/13000
н	-1.470004000	-0.032001000	-0.105006000
н	-1 930134000	0.872679000	0.160/67000
н	1 910978000	-0.680179000	0.337844000
н	0.552053000	-0.057461000	-0.005233000
0	1.505761000	0.096321000	-0.050734000
C 1 1	<b>5</b> 1	0.070021000	0.00070.0000
64.1	5 cm ²		
d)			
Ń	-2.335016000	0.009916000	-0.053114000
Η	-2.962570000	0.786795000	0.172057000
Η	-2.865551000	-0.823497000	0.215185000
S	1.297502000	-0.080322000	0.008977000
Η	1.440372000	1.250219000	0.046000000
Η	-0.027173000	0.002224000	-0.205078000
80.9	9 cm ⁻¹		
e)			
Ń	-2.218539000	-0.000030000	0.000068000
Н	-2.844951000	0.808870000	0.000246000
Н	-2.845950000	-0.808158000	0.000248000
С	1.021122000	-0.000148000	-0.000267000
Η	-0.055386000	-0.000364000	-0.000298000

-0.000109000

-0.000207000

69.69 cm⁻¹

<b>f</b> )			
Ν	-1.980738000	0.000073000	-0.000346000
Η	-2.600433000	-0.812657000	0.000770000
Η	-2.600455000	0.812786000	0.000772000
С	2.097904000	0.000288000	-0.000168000
Ν	0.937366000	-0.000343000	0.000207000
Η	-0.082933000	0.000029000	0.000441000

111.20 cm⁻¹

<b>g</b> )			
Ν	2.457528000	-0.115462000	0.000086000
Η	2.207141000	-0.697092000	-0.804840000
Η	2.211151000	-0.702317000	0.802466000
С	-0.302973000	0.827201000	0.000314000
Η	0.433290000	1.606819000	0.000536000
Р	-1.349096000	-0.290825000	-0.000043000

45.74 cm⁻¹

#### h)

/			
Ν	2.081216000	0.115742000	0.000573000
Η	2.464013000	1.063970000	-0.013846000
Η	2.901632000	-0.494839000	0.012173000
С	-1.474639000	0.469786000	0.001467000
Η	-2.539367000	0.243486000	-0.000088000
Η	-1.253638000	1.066473000	0.892135000
Η	-1.252331000	1.072543000	-0.884784000
0	-0.776241000	-0.753131000	-0.002206000
Η	0.168935000	-0.555492000	-0.000755000

25.52 cm⁻¹

i)

N	-2.492986000	-0.157191000	-0.003606000
Η	-2.818740000	0.813321000	-0.033332000
Η	-3.357713000	-0.703338000	0.047761000
С	0.667503000	1.163080000	0.003473000
Η	1.596556000	1.727199000	0.006954000
Η	0.101420000	1.417876000	-0.887828000
Η	0.097976000	1.412610000	0.894069000
Η	-0.135054000	-1.056342000	-0.005547000
S	1.122590000	-0.593092000	-0.001105000

30.27 cm⁻¹

## Figure 14 from main-text

a)			
Ν	2.283091000	0.176417000	-0.029896000
Η	2.479612000	-0.706145000	-0.511074000
Η	2.272727000	-0.092947000	0.957976000
С	-0.885978000	1.134273000	-0.012758000
Η	0.033546000	1.536669000	-0.428868000
Η	-1.731410000	1.437631000	-0.622638000
Н	-1.001671000	1.495505000	1.004709000
S	-0.679822000	-0.670270000	-0.041306000
Н	-1.841415000	-0.986946000	0.546615000

90.90 cm⁻¹

## Figure 15 from main text

a)			
Η	-0.937053000	0.614786000	0.000057000
0	0.852279000	0.700358000	-0.000001000
0	1.038635000	-0.588971000	-0.000006000
Η	0.139289000	-0.983526000	0.000060000
F	-1.592173000	-0.058040000	-0.000007000
217	$.67 \text{ cm}^{-1}$		
b)			
Ĥ	-0.485969000	0.798115000	0.000479000
0	1.512915000	0.686561000	-0.000012000
0	1.617101000	-0.611507000	0.000080000
Η	0.697764000	-0.956538000	0.000077000
Cl	-1.485407000	-0.026001000	-0.000065000
151	.79 cm ⁻¹		
c)			
0	1.614726000	-0.021186000	-0.089187000
Η	1.155563000	0.826398000	-0.036067000
Η	2.289257000	-0.010976000	0.592849000
0	-1.069421000	0.661546000	0.011643000
0	-0.975503000	-0.640823000	0.008175000
Н	-0.003231000	-0.811717000	-0.001827000

186.32 cm⁻¹

d)

S	1.545246000	-0.016260000	-0.086449000
Η	1.814346000	-0.116991000	1.222032000
Η	0.790451000	1.079578000	0.084436000
0	-1.722761000	0.657720000	0.007271000
0	-1.612811000	-0.643135000	0.004442000
Η	-0.644160000	-0.819103000	-0.016990000

40.68 cm⁻¹

e)			
С	-2.371153000	0.181915000	0.000066000
Η	-3.354158000	0.597761000	0.000204000
Ν	-1.321044000	-0.259958000	-0.000075000
0	1.764048000	0.692227000	0.000037000
0	1.521611000	-0.593131000	-0.000035000
Η	0.543104000	-0.662310000	-0.000092000

53.18 cm⁻¹

f)			
Η	-3.290783000	0.514730000	-0.000032000
0	1.760239000	0.705208000	0.000020000
0	1.607085000	-0.592948000	-0.000055000
Η	0.629382000	-0.722117000	0.000045000
Ν	-2.365104000	0.138836000	-0.000085000
С	-1.286910000	-0.277090000	0.000144000

53.18 cm⁻¹

**g**)

<b>ð</b> ⁄			
С	-2.573522000	0.224895000	0.000066000
Н	-3.592335000	0.555788000	0.000069000
Р	-1.110817000	-0.213550000	0.000070000
0	1.988620000	0.776661000	-0.000109000
0	2.293178000	-0.493109000	-0.000080000
Η	1.441342000	-0.970335000	0.000002000

46.27 cm⁻¹

h)			
С	1.360745000	0.977074000	-0.000170000
Η	1.441244000	2.046563000	-0.000184000
Р	1.304093000	-0.554130000	0.000069000
0	-1.750204000	-0.681833000	-0.000157000
0	-1.789971000	0.619569000	0.000090000
Η	-0.845703000	0.901061000	0.000714000

79.52 cm⁻¹

i)

1)			
Ν	-1.662194000	0.040481000	0.000002000
Η	-1.386099000	1.016588000	-0.000099000
Η	-2.235365000	-0.120928000	0.818207000
Η	-2.235406000	-0.121077000	-0.818142000
0	1.274199000	0.602205000	0.000000000
0	0.922882000	-0.657142000	0.000001000
Η	-0.084420000	-0.618451000	0.000015000

96.48 cm⁻¹

1.857684000	1.012259000	-0.881838000
2.764079000	-0.545403000	0.200532000
1.381807000	0.703057000	1.192403000
-1.618220000	0.696817000	0.004498000
-1.724271000	-0.605002000	-0.000928000
-0.794241000	-0.925934000	-0.114930000
1.435373000	-0.065233000	-0.028315000
	1.857684000 2.764079000 1.381807000 -1.618220000 -1.724271000 -0.794241000 1.435373000	1.8576840001.0122590002.764079000-0.5454030001.3818070000.703057000-1.6182200000.696817000-1.724271000-0.605002000-0.794241000-0.9259340001.435373000-0.065233000

106.91 cm⁻¹

k)

0	-0.896116000	-0.042139000	-0.494258000
Η	-0.465566000	-0.894499000	-0.361834000
С	-2.089780000	0.023852000	0.273313000
Η	-2.519562000	1.008659000	0.110883000
Η	-2.806480000	-0.729676000	-0.054820000
Η	-1.889628000	-0.104489000	1.338286000
0	1.755872000	-0.636662000	0.132091000
0	1.589412000	0.656365000	0.048451000
Η	0.626579000	0.756380000	-0.162669000

37.13 cm⁻¹

<b>l</b> )			
S	-1.191683000	-0.673745000	0.063165000
Η	-0.677661000	-0.639385000	1.300906000
С	-1.291635000	1.126059000	-0.179612000
Η	-1.626553000	1.277421000	-1.202065000
Η	-2.015898000	1.559866000	0.502306000
Η	-0.314753000	1.580057000	-0.043106000
Ο	1.919903000	0.420979000	0.547227000
0	1.890958000	-0.308638000	-0.535789000
Η	0.964710000	-0.653118000	-0.582514000

71.95 cm⁻¹

## Figure 16 from main text

-)			
a)			
F	-1.929249000	-0.136112000	0.000058000
Н	-1.047752000	0.166031000	-0.000431000
0	0.680496000	0.644347000	-0.000008000
0	1.335963000	-0.482114000	-0.000090000
Η	2.279322000	-0.238885000	0.000686000

90.79 cm⁻¹

b)

U)			
Cl	-1.729920000	-0.058429000	0.000008000
Η	-0.515731000	0.373259000	0.000064000
0	1.494042000	0.693453000	-0.000015000
0	1.888742000	-0.550802000	-0.000090000
Η	2.862091000	-0.521164000	0.000632000

75.16 cm⁻¹

c)

-,			
0	2.006204000	-0.207353000	-0.000683000
Η	2.636399000	0.514831000	0.003315000
Η	1.137887000	0.209693000	0.000817000
0	-0.869462000	0.698869000	-0.000206000
0	-1.321962000	-0.525769000	0.000861000
Η	-2.292527000	-0.450496000	-0.003907000

72.72 cm⁻¹

<b>d</b> )			
S	1.648430000	-0.098455000	0.000014000
Η	2.552226000	0.888222000	0.000014000
Η	0.614528000	0.754701000	0.000087000
0	-1.791180000	0.704021000	0.000019000
0	-1.592065000	-0.588019000	-0.000196000
Η	-2.475677000	-0.995656000	0.001089000

48.91 cm⁻¹

e)			
С	0.871906000	1.382029000	0.000000000
Η	0.000000000	0.758377000	0.000000000
Ν	1.802160000	2.045719000	0.000000000
0	-1.486597000	-0.815554000	0.000000000
0	-0.604955000	-1.779580000	0.000000000
Н	-1.114148000	-2.609511000	0.000000000

61.09 cm⁻¹

f)

IJ			
Ν	0.734311000	1.375814000	0.000000000
Η	0.000000000	0.682127000	0.000000000
С	1.581597000	2.169270000	0.000000000
0	-1.257241000	-0.785359000	0.000000000
0	-0.445067000	-1.805886000	0.000000000
Η	-1.011295000	-2.598488000	0.000000000

56.98 cm⁻¹

<b>g</b> )			
С	-0.991269000	0.208186000	0.000000000
Η	0.000000000	0.622007000	0.000000000
Р	-2.400979000	-0.386723000	0.000000000
0	2.318272000	0.925001000	0.000000000
0	2.494773000	-0.370207000	0.000000000
Η	3.457934000	-0.508621000	0.000000000

**b**)

41.08 cm⁻¹

h)			
0	-1.455672000	-0.688695000	-0.344716000
Н	-0.535407000	-0.916766000	-0.184869000
С	-1.691918000	0.583274000	0.218609000
Η	-2.752637000	0.797716000	0.104184000
Η	-1.448863000	0.609952000	1.284850000
Η	-1.124339000	1.367642000	-0.290360000
0	1.442745000	-0.546373000	0.432523000
0	1.690599000	0.469803000	-0.350107000
Η	2.591378000	0.763930000	-0.127061000

10.62 cm⁻¹

<b>i</b> )			
S	-1.250882000	-0.690049000	0.000022000
Η	-0.065023000	-0.067992000	0.000269000
С	-2.277880000	0.807757000	-0.000061000
Η	-3.311635000	0.472248000	-0.000239000
Η	-2.100214000	1.402096000	0.891307000
Η	-2.099936000	1.402216000	-0.891293000
0	2.168583000	0.837014000	0.000075000
0	2.548510000	-0.414068000	-0.000001000
Η	3.521455000	-0.397887000	-0.000621000

43.42 cm⁻¹

#### Figure 17 from main text

a)			
F	2.077239000	-0.113137000	-0.000133000
Η	1.151839000	-0.093261000	0.001015000
0	-1.671586000	-0.437241000	-0.000127000
0	-0.676085000	0.413102000	0.000287000
Η	-1.065618000	1.304606000	-0.001099000

71.85 cm⁻¹

## Co-ordinates of the Complexes with Positive Interaction Energy (UM06-2x/augg-cc-pVTZ)

```
S1)
```

~ _ /			
0	-0.147378000	-3.184160000	0.000000000
Н	0.488455000	-2.448868000	0.000000000
Η	0.143757000	3.330829000	0.000000000
С	0.091135000	2.260791000	0.000000000
Р	0.000000000	0.735105000	0.000000000

14.99 cm⁻¹

S2)

~-/			
0	3.131385000	-0.075850000	-0.000311000
Н	3.516685000	0.824060000	0.000644000
С	-0.305531000	-0.097897000	0.000616000
Н	0.765598000	-0.191894000	0.001256000
Р	-1.833345000	0.037468000	-0.000207000

83.72 cm⁻¹

**S3**)

0	1.370401000	-0.090516000	-0.000001000
Η	1.349137000	0.880506000	0.000115000
Ν	-1.328447000	-0.053845000	-0.000039000
Η	-0.824815000	-0.368158000	-0.819352000
Η	-0.825479000	-0.367693000	0.819848000
Η	-1.362922000	0.956384000	-0.000330000

129.03 cm⁻¹

~ - ,			
0	1.978698000	-0.101222000	-0.000721000
Η	1.929971000	0.869471000	-0.013530000
Р	-1.109258000	-0.030062000	0.011938000
Н	-0.638935000	1.179828000	-0.560087000
Н	-0.227355000	-0.841056000	-0.734584000
Η	-0.254390000	0.052466000	1.134893000

123.96 cm⁻¹

<b>S5</b> )			
S	0.000000000	0.000000000	-2.573259000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	-1.230768000
Η	0.000000000	0.000000000	3.563855000
Cl	0.000000000	0.000000000	2.284650000

33.85 cm⁻¹

#### **S6**)

S	0.009929000	3.043415000	0.000000000
Н	0.000000000	1.700940000	0.000000000
С	0.072745000	-3.280913000	0.000000000
Η	0.159718000	-4.348719000	0.000000000
Р	-0.050336000	-1.757425000	0.000000000

12.77 cm⁻¹

#### **S7**)

S	1.967946000	0.054418000	-0.000012000
Н	3.108464000	-0.653972000	0.000114000
S	-2.020319000	-0.043110000	-0.000009000
Н	-0.843402000	-0.683732000	0.000094000
Η	-1.427092000	1.156774000	0.000123000

49.55 cm⁻¹

## **S8**)

S	-1.103743000	-0.069616000	-0.001406000
Η	-0.876197000	1.307296000	-0.020354000
Ν	1.750924000	0.008553000	-0.007477000
Η	2.360600000	0.726960000	-0.416711000
Η	1.939313000	-0.885570000	-0.476558000
Η	1.979706000	-0.094696000	0.988457000

138.91 cm⁻¹

#### **S9**)

S	1.781441000	-0.078320000	0.000145000
Η	1.595757000	1.319017000	-0.000206000
Р	-1.798683000	-0.001896000	-0.000562000
Η	-1.044348000	0.102184000	1.203542000
Η	-1.018616000	0.964415000	-0.698272000
Η	-1.055607000	-1.104066000	-0.498954000

97.41 cm⁻¹

## **S10**)

S	1.625982000	-0.076730000	0.006060000
Η	1.621057000	1.321218000	-0.136628000
S	-1.556595000	0.079215000	-0.054245000
Η	-1.394845000	-0.145234000	1.270517000
Н	-1.336407000	-1.215741000	-0.362930000

100.79 cm⁻¹

## **S11**)

-0.891740000	0.039669000	0.001558000
0.180942000	0.076966000	0.002052000
-2.420773000	-0.017465000	-0.000402000
2.660622000	0.029088000	-0.001717000
3.809455000	-0.042771000	0.001108000
	-0.891740000 0.180942000 -2.420773000 2.660622000 3.809455000	-0.8917400000.0396690000.1809420000.076966000-2.420773000-0.0174650002.6606220000.0290880003.809455000-0.042771000

57.61 cm⁻¹

## **S12**)

Ν	1.796543000	0.043565000	0.000042000
С	2.945832000	-0.038571000	0.000018000
Cl	-1.609531000	-0.003611000	-0.000093000
Η	-2.888772000	-0.012138000	0.001177000

37. 56 cm⁻¹

## **S13**)

Ν	1.110836000	0.439504000	0.020208000
С	1.980781000	-0.357809000	-0.020665000
S	-1.081965000	-0.148395000	-0.032561000
Η	-1.237363000	1.018340000	-0.673100000
Η	-1.111739000	0.426308000	1.176612000

121.21 cm⁻¹

## **S14**)

С	-1.398119000	0.445370000	0.000005000
Ν	-0.720696000	-0.526378000	0.000001000
Ν	1.286485000	0.089339000	0.000003000
Η	1.272669000	1.096833000	-0.000353000
Η	1.577758000	-0.355171000	-0.854897000
Η	1.577770000	-0.354611000	0.855188000

30.02 cm⁻¹

## **S15**)

С	-0.858519000	-0.493276000	0.000064000
Ν	-1.679812000	0.314992000	-0.000029000
F	1.704773000	-0.015736000	-0.000035000

74.10 cm⁻¹

## **S16**)

Ν	0.186426000	-2.335107000	0.000000000
С	-0.418849000	-1.353971000	0.000000000
Cl	0.000000000	1.383300000	0.000000000
Η	1.208109000	0.953482000	0.000000000

73.97 cm⁻¹

#### **S17**)

Ν	0.931427000	-1.765453000	0.000000000
0	0.257552000	-2.679949000	0.000000000
S	-0.574395000	1.910200000	0.000000000
Η	0.000000000	0.700482000	0.000000000
Н	0.609927000	2.534083000	0.000000000

20.19 cm⁻¹

#### **S18**)

Ν	1.691296000	0.588557000	0.011008000
0	2.144431000	-0.453587000	-0.013001000
Р	-1.585196000	-0.044110000	-0.121413000
Η	-1.406966000	1.198209000	0.526795000
Η	-0.934904000	-0.775345000	0.899819000
Η	-2.874714000	-0.252419000	0.421537000

53.35 cm⁻¹

#### **S19**)

0	0.000000000	0.954190000	0.000000000
Ν	-0.019434000	2.091401000	0.000000000
0	0.005842000	-2.343880000	0.000000000
Η	-0.714757000	-1.710707000	0.000000000
Η	0.804063000	-1.811581000	0.000000000

18.76 cm⁻¹

#### **S20**)

0	0.472730000	-1.983922000	0.000000000
Ν	0.673674000	-3.103098000	0.000000000
S	-0.569678000	2.121368000	0.000000000
Н	0.000000000	0.910934000	0.000000000
Η	0.617288000	2.740229000	0.000000000

36.49 cm⁻¹

## **S21**)

000
000
000
000
)00

33.65 cm⁻¹

## S22)

0	2.237594000	-0.000057000	0.086736000
Ν	3.363070000	0.000051000	-0.074338000
Р	-2.344229000	0.000017000	-0.130166000
Н	-0.970392000	-0.001655000	0.203149000
Η	-2.655550000	-1.027972000	0.788273000
Η	-2.652869000	1.029468000	0.787550000

37.54 cm⁻¹

## S23)

0	-2.043525000	-0.621547000	0.130885000
Ν	-2.575009000	0.341974000	-0.155196000
Η	0.596645000	-1.234247000	0.115248000
С	0.820718000	1.134723000	0.070896000
Η	1.589209000	1.898220000	-0.017276000
Η	0.105675000	1.260748000	-0.736646000
Η	0.326797000	1.242641000	1.032387000
S	1.676914000	-0.462321000	-0.048737000

17.93 cm⁻¹

## S24)

2.231033000	-0.042928000	0.000722000
1.098688000	0.066879000	-0.000805000
-3.378504000	0.060335000	0.007689000
-2.462287000	-0.020563000	-0.000870000
	2.231033000 1.098688000 -3.378504000 -2.462287000	2.231033000-0.0429280001.0986880000.066879000-3.3785040000.060335000-2.462287000-0.020563000

9.24 cm⁻¹

## S25)

2.101745000	-0.482521000	-0.000016000
1.729956000	0.591527000	0.000054000
-1.540113000	0.008848000	-0.000052000
-2.741726000	-0.430947000	0.000638000
	2.101745000 1.729956000 -1.540113000 -2.741726000	2.101745000-0.4825210001.7299560000.591527000-1.5401130000.008848000-2.741726000-0.430947000

47.69 cm⁻¹

**S26**)

2000
1000
2000
8000
3000

44.39 cm⁻¹

## **S27**)

Η	-0.269767000	3.577134000	0.000000000
Ν	-0.522339000	-1.781290000	0.000000000
0	0.595312000	-1.989458000	0.000000000
Ν	0.000000000	1.385181000	0.000000000
С	-0.139393000	2.518549000	0.000000000

16.75 cm⁻¹

## **S28**)

Ν	2.489131000	0.085474000	-0.022482000
Н	3.407095000	0.472582000	-0.085130000
С	1.420004000	-0.360961000	0.052029000
Ν	-1.646619000	0.593435000	0.020455000
0	-2.228088000	-0.382397000	-0.026607000

19.63 cm⁻¹

## **S29**)

Η	1.257439000	2.081264000	0.000048000
Ν	-2.056701000	-0.637992000	0.000053000
0	-2.215613000	0.486863000	-0.000046000
С	1.365395000	1.015410000	0.000032000
Р	1.511467000	-0.506845000	-0.000016000

37.91 cm⁻¹

## **S30**)

Ν	-1.778577000	0.553698000	0.006664000
0	-2.364330000	-0.420886000	-0.010788000
Н	1.895745000	0.272309000	1.308590000
Н	2.273284000	1.081896000	-0.549250000
Η	2.777523000	-0.878781000	-0.158045000
Р	1.627875000	-0.065615000	-0.037442000

45.03 cm⁻¹

## **S31**)

Η	-0.879139000	-2.616455000	0.000000000
F	-0.742259000	-1.706787000	0.000000000
0	0.000000000	1.364000000	0.000000000

50.62 cm⁻¹

#### **S32**)

0	-1.813376000	-0.524062000	0.000000000
Ν	-2.030447000	0.592033000	0.000000000
Cl	1.538111000	-0.039129000	0.000000000
Η	2.572253000	0.713459000	0.000002000

38.01 cm⁻¹

#### **S33**)

Η	-0.714811000	3.645510000	0.000000000
Ν	-0.379682000	-2.155557000	0.000000000
0	0.756784000	-2.141390000	0.000000000
Ν	-0.383097000	2.704139000	0.000000000
С	0.000000000	1.607589000	0.000000000

39.09 cm⁻¹

#### **S34**)

Η	0.432736000	4.113598000	0.000000000
С	0.254905000	3.057178000	0.000000000
Ν	-0.160844000	-3.652162000	0.000000000
0	-0.104532000	-2.516517000	0.000000000
Р	0.000000000	1.549373000	0.000000000

16.87 cm⁻¹

## **S35**)

Ν	2.174709000	-0.615003000	0.004493000
0	2.255230000	0.519284000	-0.002211000
Η	-1.844508000	1.330974000	-0.265677000
Η	-2.687939000	-0.006794000	1.054004000
Η	-2.690545000	-0.411595000	-0.966056000
Р	-1.736120000	-0.050789000	0.010931000

14.22 cm⁻¹

## **S36**)

Ν	2.549047000	-0.118979000	0.000054000
Н	1.581342000	0.218112000	0.001784000
Η	3.104883000	0.741368000	-0.001396000
Η	-1.873672000	1.028882000	0.000583000
Cl	-1.215052000	-0.067971000	-0.000079000

59.69 cm⁻¹

## **S37**)

-3.090980000	0.001521000	-0.000004000
-3.781200000	-0.754423000	0.000928000
-3.657791000	0.853834000	0.000546000
0.327140000	-0.036455000	-0.000601000
-0.749113000	-0.066419000	-0.000991000
1.857475000	0.011673000	0.000210000
	-3.090980000 -3.781200000 -3.657791000 0.327140000 -0.749113000 1.857475000	-3.0909800000.001521000-3.781200000-0.754423000-3.6577910000.8538340000.327140000-0.036455000-0.749113000-0.0664190001.8574750000.011673000

88.94 cm⁻¹

## **S38**)

-2.140340000	-0.013919000	-0.114641000
-2.089478000	-0.717408000	0.628135000
-2.079204000	0.873621000	0.393285000
2.111933000	0.151229000	0.569581000
1.002302000	-0.012354000	-0.046383000
	-2.140340000 -2.089478000 -2.079204000 2.111933000 1.002302000	-2.140340000-0.013919000-2.089478000-0.717408000-2.0792040000.8736210002.1119330000.1512290001.002302000-0.012354000

92.02 cm⁻¹

## **S39**)

Ν	2.112229000	-0.013752000	-0.000180000
Η	2.031187000	0.615127000	0.804425000
Η	2.032669000	0.618129000	-0.802618000
С	-1.088429000	0.916707000	0.000073000
Η	-1.332905000	1.960067000	0.000122000
Р	-0.732399000	-0.573153000	-0.000074000

48.28 cm⁻¹

## **S40**)

Ν	1.959485000	0.000185000	0.130057000
Η	2.078659000	-0.803057000	-0.494452000
Η	2.075826000	0.802662000	-0.495957000
Р	-0.917734000	0.000120000	-0.095783000
Η	-0.885541000	-1.033337000	0.862871000
Η	-2.329337000	-0.003062000	-0.209056000
Η	-0.889990000	1.033695000	0.862938000

68.52 cm⁻¹

# **S41**)

Η	-1.248093000	0.613765000	0.022960000
Η	-1.738433000	-0.908023000	0.310535000
Н	-2.814447000	0.317113000	0.393696000
0	1.132644000	0.721258000	0.012344000
0	1.102673000	-0.585486000	0.030351000
Η	2.025560000	-0.872505000	-0.212059000
Ν	-2.015303000	-0.033790000	-0.122384000

95.88 cm⁻¹

## S42)

1.919947000	-0.066681000	-0.034848000
1.803724000	0.832280000	1.089314000
0.720720000	-0.771689000	0.325489000
1.199785000	0.792296000	-0.940798000
-1.971833000	-0.698835000	-0.003143000
-1.762514000	0.592301000	0.011145000
-2.648655000	0.999611000	-0.015294000
	1.919947000 1.803724000 0.720720000 1.199785000 -1.971833000 -1.762514000 -2.648655000	1.919947000-0.0666810001.8037240000.8322800000.720720000-0.7716890001.1997850000.792296000-1.971833000-0.698835000-1.7625140000.592301000-2.6486550000.999611000

53.33 cm⁻¹

## **S43**)

Η	-3.024080000	-0.051629000	0.001582000
0	2.251927000	-0.587172000	0.001892000
0	1.558281000	0.521843000	-0.006678000
Η	2.208876000	1.244006000	0.029838000
Cl	-1.745086000	-0.039396000	0.000404000

12.58 cm⁻¹

#### Table SI_41: W1U vs UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-ccpVTZ// UM06-2x/aug-cc-pVTZ

From out of a total ~ 160 minimum energy structures obtained as part of this work, ~ 43 of them have a positive interaction energy, despite being fully optimized minimum energy structures. In case, there are systematic errors in the computed interaction energies, they could arise possibly due to the UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ method's accuracy (~ 1 kcal/mol). To check it, we performed calculations on representative systems with the more accurate (~ 0.5 kcal/mol) W1U method. The resulting WIU energies are very similar to the energies obtained with the CCSD(T) method (within 1.5 kcal/mol).

S. No.	System	W1U ^a (kcal/mol)	UCCSD(T)/aug-cc- pVTZ//UM06-2x/aug- cc-pVTZ including BSSE corrections (kcal/mol)	UCCSD(T)/aug- cc- pVTZ//UM06- 2x/aug-cc-pVTZ No BSSE corrections (kcal/mol)	Figure
1	SH···HCl	-0.07	1.42	1.34	S5
2	CN···HCl	-0.42	0.85	0.62	S12
3	$CN \cdots H_2S$	2.21	2.86	1.89	S13
4	$CN \cdots NH_3$	3.95	3.74	2.97	S14
5	$CN \cdots HF$	-0.83	0.16	-0.17	S15
6	CN···HCl	-0.86	0.14	-0.31	S16
7	$CN \cdot \cdot \cdot H_2S$	-0.78	0.57	0.31	S17
8	$NO \cdots H_2O$	-0.56	0.00	-0.25	S19
9	SH···HCN	-2.44	-1.23	-1.58	3d (main text)
10	$CN \cdots H_2S$	-10.48	-8.07	-8.95	8b (main text)

a. BSSE corrections were not carried out with the WIU method. Zero point corrections were included.

#### Attempts to optimize other isomers/electronic states alternative to the ones reported in the main-text

From out of a total  $\sim$  160 structures obtained in this work,  $\sim$  43 of them have a positive interaction energy, despite being fully optimized minimum energy structures. In case, there are systematic errors, they could also arise because, during the optimization of our structures, we might have missed out on more stable isomers/lower lying electronic states relative to the ones reported in the main text). Therefore, it becomes important to examine if there are other possible isomers.

In the next 60-odd pages, we present our systematic and elaborate attempts to optimize alternate electronic states/isomers. What is shown is – (a) the geometry we attempt to optimize, (b) the optimization profile (if it is smooth or bumpy), (c) The geometry obtained at the end of each calculation (if it is a minimum energy structure or not), and (d) finally obtained minimum energy structure. It should be noted that we present this data for only the 43 structures having a positive interaction energy. Although we have done the same exercise for all the structures reported in the main-text (we shall gladly present that data also to interested readers upon request). What is also not shown is our attempt to optimize quartet, and sextet electronic states (which invariably end up having higher energy than the doublet states reported in the main-text).

Our conclusion (*vide infra*) after doing this exercise is that, we ended up getting: (a) either the same optimized structures reported in this work, or (b) some other minimum energy structures not featuring weak interactions (full-fledged covalent bond getting formed) or (c) minimum energy structures wherein the interaction energies were again positive or (d) higher order saddle points containing at least one imaginary frequency.

Another noteworthy point is that, a smooth optimization profile (following the Berny optimization algorithm using DIIS) typically implies that we don't miss any other minimum energy structure in-between the starting geometry and the minimized structure we get. A bumpy profile can sometimes indicate that there may be other minima which we need to consider. In case the profile was bumpy, we chose the point immediately preceding the bump, and again carried out optimization. By doing this exercise, we ended up with the same set of abovementioned conclusions (in the previous paragraph). So, we also do not include this data in the following pages (interested readers can avail this from us upon request). It should also be stated that even for the 43 structures in the main-text having a positive interaction energy, these are not the only attempts we made. For the sake of succinctness, we present only the most chemically intuitive starting geometries. What we do not show are several other starting geometries, which result in the same conclusions.

All the structures reported in the following pages have doublet electronic states, and are neutral. Level of theory used – UCCSD(T)(FC)/aug-cc-pVTZ//UM06-2x/aug-cc-pvTZ. They are all minimum energy structures with zero imaginary frequencies.

Systems	Isomer we attempted to optimize	Optimization Profile	Geometry obtained at the end of a calculation
<b>OH</b> ···Molecules			
Attempt to optimize an isomer/check for other electronic states alternate to the structure in Fig. S1	2.49 A Input Structure	Total Energy -455.56 -455.56 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.60 -455.70 -455.70 -455.70 -1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 Optimization Step Number	<b>3.05</b> <b>Job</b> ended without giving any optimized minimum energy structure) <b>B</b>
	(Starting an optimization calculation with the same non-optimized geometry <b>B</b> )	Total Energy   -455.7185 -455.7185   (a) -455.7190 -455.7190   -455.7195 -455.7200   -455.7205 -455.7205   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7210   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215 -455.7215   -455.7215	(Job ended without giving any optimized minimum energy structure)

























































































































## **References:**

(S1) Jensen, F. Introduction to Computational Chemistry, John wiley & sons, 2017.

(S2) Crawford, T. D.; Stanton, J. F.; Allen, W. D.; Schaefer III, H. F. Hartree–Fock Orbital Instability Envelopes in Highly Correlated Single-Reference Wave Functions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *107*, 10626-10632.

(S3) Montoya, A.; Truong, T. N.; Sarofim, A. F. Spin Contamination in Hartree– Fock and Density Functional Theory Wavefunctions in Modeling of Adsorption on Graphite. *J. Phys. Chem. A.* **2000**, *104*, 6108-6110.

(S4) Sonnenberg, J. L.; Schlegel, H. B.; Hratchian, H. P. *Computational Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry*, E.I. Solomon, R.A. Scott, R.B. King, John Wiley & Sons, New York, **1990**, pp. 1–13.

(S5) Malkin, V.G.; Malkina, O.L.; Eriksson, L. A.; Salahub, D. R. *In Modern Density Functional Theory, A Tool for Chemistry;* Politzer, P.; Seminario, J.; Eds.; *Comput. Theor. Chem.* Elsevier, Amsterdam, **1995**, Vol. 2, p 273

(S6) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. J. The M06 Suite of Density Functionals for Main Group Thermochemistry, Thermochemical Kinetics, NonCovalent Interactions, Excited States, and Transition Elements: Two New Functionals and Systematic Testing of Four M06-class Functionals and 12 Other Functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215-241.

(S7) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. J. How Well Can New-Generation Density Functionals Describe the Energetics of Bond-Dissociation Reactions Producing Radicals? J. Phys. Chem. A. 2008, 112, 1095-1099.

(S8) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. J. Exploring the Limit of Accuracy of the Global Hybrid Meta Density Functional for Main-Group Thermochemistry, Kinetics, and Noncovalent Interactions. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2008, 4, 1849-1868.

(S9) Hobza, P. Calculations on Noncovalent Interactions and Databases of Benchmark Interaction Energies. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 663-672.

(S10) Papajak, E.; Truhlar, D. J. What are the Most Efficient Basis Set Strategies for Correlated Wave Function Calculations of Reaction Energies and Barrier Heights? J. Chem. Phys. 2012, 137, 064110 (1-8)

(S11) III Russell (Ed.), D.J. *NIST Computational Chemistry Comparison and Benchmark Database*. <u>https://cccbdb.nist.gov/</u> (accessed December, 2018).

(S12) Raghavachari, K.; Trucks, G. W.; Pople, J. A.; Head-Gordon, M. A Fifth-Order Perturbation Comparison of Electron Correlation Theories. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *157*, 479-483.

(S13) Rezac, J.; Simova, L.; Hobza, P. CCSD[T] Describes Noncovalent Interactions Better than the CCSD(T), CCSD(TQ), and CCSDT Methods. *J. Chem. Theor. Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 364-3690p

(S14) Menon, A. S.; Radom, L. Consequences of Spin Contamination in Unrestricted Calculations on Open-Shell Species: Effect of Hartree–Fock and Møller–Plesset Contributions in Hybrid and Double-Hybrid Density Functional Theory Approaches. J. Phys. Chem. A. 2008, 112, 13225-13230.

(S15) Yuan, H.; Cremer, D. The Expectation Value of the Spin Operator  $\langle S^2 \rangle$ as a Diagnostic Tool in Coupled Cluster Theory - The Advantages of using UHF-CCSD Theory for the Description of Homolytic Dissociation. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2000**, *324*, 389-402.

(S16) Boys, S. F.; Bernadi, F. The Calculation of Small Molecular Interactions by the Differences of Separate Total Energies. Some Procedures with Reduced Errors. *Mol. Phys.* **1970**, *19*, 553-566.

(S17) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petterson, G. A.; et al. Gaussian 09, Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2009.

(S18) Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Thermochemistry. III The Role of Exact Exchange. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652.

(S19) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. *Phys. Rev. B.* **1988**, *37*, 785-789.

(S20) Grimme, S. Semiempirical Hybrid Density Functional with Perturbative Second-Order Correlation. J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 124, 034108-16.

(S21) Chai, J.-D.; Head-Gordon, M. Long-Range Corrected Hybrid Density Functionals with Damped Atom–Atom Dispersion Corrections. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615-6620.

(S22) For d3 corrections with BJ damping, see: Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the Damping Function in Dispersion Corrected Density Functional Theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2011**, *32*, 1456-1465.

(S23) Halkier, A.; Helgaker, T.; Jørgensen, P.; Klopper, W.; Koch, H.; Olsen, J.; Wilson, A. K. Basis-Set Convergence in Correlated Calculations on Ne, N₂, and H₂O. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *286*, 243-252.

(S24) Halkier, A.; Halgaker, T.; Jorgensen, P.; Klopper, W.; Koch, H.; Olsen, J. Basis-Set Convergence of the Energy in Molecular Hartree–Fock Calculations. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1999**, *302*, 437-446.

(S25) Bader, R. F. W. Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory: Clarendon Press: UK, 1994.

(S26) Kumar, P. S. V.; Raghavendra, V.; Subramanian, V. Bader's Theory of Atoms in Molecules (AIM) and its Applications to Chemical Bonding. *J. Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *128*, 1527-1536.

(S27) The Spin Densities Discussed in this paper are such that the Spin densities on hydrogens are summed into heavy atoms. They are rounded to 3 decimal places

(S28) Weinhold. F. *NBO 6.0 Program Manual. Natural Bond Orbital Analysis Programs;* Theoretical Chemistry Institute and Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin: Madison, WI, 1996.