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## 1. Materials and methods

### 1.1 General and instruments

Unless otherwise stated, all commercially available reagents and solvents were used as received without further purification. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 100-200 m . Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on glass backed plates pre-coated with silica (GF254), which were developed using standard visualizing agents. All optical measurements were performed in HPLC grade dichloromethane. UV-Vis measurements were carried out on HATACHI U-3900. Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-4100 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer. IR frequencies are given in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Signal intensities are presented as weak (w), medium (m), strong (s) and very strong (vs). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV-400/500 ( $400 / 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) instruments. The chemical shift ( $\delta$ ) is shown in ppm and referenced against the corresponding solvent peaks (for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}: 7.26 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ : $77.16 \mathrm{ppm})$. Data is reported as follows: chemical shift in ppm , multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublet, $\mathrm{dt}=$ doublet of triplet), coupling constant $(J)$ in Hz , and integration. Fluorescence excitation/emission measurements were carried on Edinburgh FLS980 spectrophotometer, using 450W Xenon arc lamp, with excitation and emission slit widths at 1 nm . Emission spectra were obtained by exciting at the longest wavelength excitation maximum. Fluorescence lifetimes were measured using nanosecond flash lamp with computer controlled power supply, for solutions with O.D. $\sim 0.1$. Absolute quantum yields were measured using an integrating sphere accessory. Microwave reactions were performed in a CEM Discover Microwave Reactor with integrated active cooling. All cyclic voltammetry measurements were performed using a CHI600E Electrochemical Analyzer, CH Instruments, Inc. and in THF solvent with a scan rate of $0.1 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$. THF was of HPLC grade and distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ prior to its use. Tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAH) was used as an electrolyte. Non-Aqueous $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Ag}+$ was used as reference electrode ( 10 mM AgNO 3 in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ in acetonitrile), platinum wire as counter electrode and glassy carbon as working electrode for all measurements. All $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}$ potentials are directly obtained from cyclic voltammetric curves as averages of the cathodic and anodic peak potentials. Ferrocene was used as an internal standard. The standard potential of ferrocinium/ferrocene couple in THF ( +0.085 V ) was used to calibrate all voltammetry plots. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) recorded for accurate mass analysis, were performed on either a Q-TOF micro (Bruker Compass Data Analysis 4.0) spectrometer. The ECD spectrometer was purged with nitrogen before recording each spectrum, which was baseline subtracted. The baseline was always measured for
the same solvent and in the same cell as the samples. The spectra are presented without smoothing and further data processing. VCD spectra were measured on ChiralIR-2X with $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ cell, $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ as solvent. The crystal structures were obtained from Rigaku XtalAB PRO MM007 DW.

### 1.2 Computational data

Computed structure and property results were carried out using the GAMESS ${ }^{[1]}$ Gaussian $09{ }^{[2]}$ software packages. The B97-D ${ }^{[3]}$ density functional was used in combination with the Def2-TZVP and Def2-TZVPP basis sets ${ }^{[4]}$ for geometry optimizations, Hessian evaluations, and property calculations.

Inversion barriers for compounds 7 and 9 were carried out at the B97-D/Def2-TZVP//B97-D/Def2-TZVP(GP) level, with continuum solvent as per experimental studies.

Reduction potential data was determined at the B97-D/Def2-TZVPP//B97-D/Def2-TZVPP level of theory, with THF as continuum solvent, and using $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-\Delta \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{nF}$, where $\mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{~F}=1 \mathrm{eV}$, and referenced to $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$. The Strumm and Morgan correction ${ }^{[5]}$ for $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{AgCl} / \mathrm{Ag}$ of 0.29 was used in combination with the value for the SHE electrode, giving $4.73=4.44(\mathrm{SHE})+0.29$ ( $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{AgCl} / \mathrm{Ag}$ ), for the reference value.

The VCDs and pKa data were determined at the wB97X-D ${ }^{[6]} /$ Def2-TZVPP//B97-D/Def2TZVP(GP) level of theory, and visualized using MatLab. The VCDs were carried out in $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ solvent, and pKa data in THF solvent in accord with experimental studies, using the following bond separation reactions and including ZPE correction evaluated at $\mathrm{T}=298.15 \mathrm{~K}$.


And were computed according to the relation :

$$
\Delta G_{\text {reaction }}=\left(\sum_{i}^{\text {products }} E_{i}^{D F T}+Z P E_{i}+G_{i}^{298 K}\right)-\left(\sum_{i}^{\text {reactants }} E_{i}^{D F T}+Z P E_{i}+G_{i}^{298 K}\right)
$$

The ECD spectra were obtained at the TD-CAM-B3LYP ${ }^{[7]}$ (Acetonitrile)/Def2-TZVPP//B97-D/Def2TZVP(GP) level of theory, and visualized using MatLab and GaussView.

## 2. Synthetic procedures and analyses

### 2.1 Synthesis of compound 2


compound $1(500 \mathrm{mg} 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$, ( s )-(+)-2-aminoheptane ( $181.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and propionic acid $(0.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{eq})$ in NMP ( 60 ml ) was heated to $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h under nitrogen atmosphere in sealed tube. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(60 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 60 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were dried, filtered, concentrated through rotary evaporation, and purified by silica gel chromatography (hexane : dichloromethane $=10: 1$ ) to give 2 as a yellow solid ( $228 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.03$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.77,137.14,134.60,133.94,131.33,131.14,130.67,129.50$, 128.04, 127.64, 125.47, 124.40, 47.70, 33.97, 31.44, 26.55, 22.50, 18.96, 13.99.

HRMS-ESI (+): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 574.00118$; found 574.0018.
IR (film): 2929.3 (w), 2855.6 (w), 2378.1 (m), 2352.6 (w), 2310.9 (m), 1759.1 (m), 1702.1 ( s$)$, 1550.1 (w), 1509.3(w), 1457.1 (w), 1391.9 (w), 1362.0 ( s$), 1313.3$ (m), 1064.9 (m), 871.2 (w), 826.7 (m), 755.5 (w), 737.7 (w), 668.7 (w).

### 2.2 Synthesis of compound 3 and 4





$36.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.0626 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ of compound $2,78.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{eq})$ of 2-chloropyridine-3-boronic acid, $7.23 \mathrm{mg} \quad(0.00626 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \quad \mathrm{eq})$ of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium, $43.3 \mathrm{mg}(0.313 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq})$ of potassium carbonate, 0.3 mL of THF and water (9:1) were added to a sealed tube. The reaction mixture was degassed under a nitrogen atmosphere and then heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . When the reaction finished the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and add 5 ml water, 5 ml ethyl acetate then use DCM to wash the water phase (twice), collected the organic and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The product was purified by chromatography on silica gel to give 32 mg compound 4 as a yellow solid $(80 \%)$ and 2.4 mg compound 3 (7\%).

## Compound 3

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (dd, $J=11.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ (dd, $J$ $=7.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.13-2.10 (m, 1H), 1.83-1.73 (m, 1H), $1.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.46,169.42,150.81,149.56,140.73,138.81,138.63,138.50$, $135.74,135.48,134.81,134.25,131.95,131.70,131.25,130.85,130.79,129.52,129.02,128.62$, $127.76,126.42,126.36,125.77,125.27,124.47,122.54,47.50,33.97,31.43,26.54,22.48,18.99$, 13.97.

HRMS-ESI (+): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClNaN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$551.1473; found 551.1497.
IR (film): 3742.9 (w), 2926.3 (m), 2856.3 (w), 2353.5 (w), 2310.8 (w), 1756.3 (m), 1699.6 ( s$)$, 1553.8 (w), 1511.1 (w), 1391.6 ( s$), 1363.4$ (s), 1127.2 (w), 1089.5 (w), 1061.8 (w), 1024.2 (w), 830.2 (m), 754.7 (w), 691.6 (w), 668.1 (w).

Compound 4
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.15-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.32,150.80,149.66,140.71,139.26,138.61,135.81,135.29$, $134.15,131.73,131.07,130.87,129.07,126.79,126.55,124.84,122.59,47.60,33.95,31.42$, 26.54, 22.48, 18.99, 13.98.

HRMS-ESI (+): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NaN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] 662.1372$; found 662.1313 .
IR (film): 2957.0 (m), 2924.5 (s), 1756.7 (m), 1698.9 (s), 1553.0 (w), 1511.0 (w), 1464.9 (w), 1364.1 (s), 1261.5 (w), 1064.1 (m), 1019.9 (w), 889.6 (w), 668.9 (w).

### 2.3 Synthesis of compound 4 and 5


$40 \mathrm{mg}(0.0695 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ of compound 2 , $43.7 \mathrm{mg}(0.278 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{eq})$ of
2-chloropyridine-3-boronic acid, $8.0 \quad \mathrm{mg} \quad(0.00695 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \quad \mathrm{eq}) ~ o f$ tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium, $48.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.348 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq})$ of potassium carbonate, 0.5 mL of THF and water ( $9: 1$ ) were added to a sealed tube. The reaction mixture was degassed under a nitrogen atmosphere and then heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . When the reaction finished the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and add 5 ml water, 5 ml ethyl acetate then use DCM to wash the water phase (twice), collected the organic and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The product was purified by chromatography on silica gel to give 3.5 mg compound 4 as a yellow solid ( $8 \%$ ) and 17 mg compound 5 (40\%).
Compound 5
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42 (dd, $J=7.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.14,169.04,150.74,149.71,140.69,139.10,138.63,137.41$, $135.30,135.02,134.94,134.06,131.77$, 131.54, 131.11, 131.05, 130.72, 129.65, 129.04, 128.07, $127.39,127.16,126.61,126.07,124.66,124.51,122.61,47.65,33.95,31.43,26.54,22.49,18.98$, 13.99.

HRMS-ESI (+): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrClN}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$629.0616; found 629.0602.
IR (film): 2925.7 (w), 2855.6 (w), 2378.2 (w), 2348.3 (w), 2311.8 (w), 1758.0 (m), 1700.1 (s), 1552.3 (w), $1511.2(\mathrm{w}), 1391.4$ (w), 1362.6 ( s$), 1062.5$ (m), 872.2 (w), 826.7 (m), $752.0(\mathrm{w})$, 736.9 (w), 674.7 (w).

### 2.4 Synthesis of compound 6


$75 \mathrm{mg}(0.123 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ of compound $5,38.5 \mathrm{mg}(0.246 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq})$ of 2-chlorophenylboronic acid, $14.2 \mathrm{mg}(0.0123 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium, $85.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.615$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) of potassium carbonate, 0.7 mL of THF and water (9:1) were added to a sealed tube. The reaction mixture was degassed under a nitrogen atmosphere and then heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h. When the reaction finished the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and add 5 ml water, 5 ml ethyl acetate then use DCM to wash the water phase (twice), collected the organic and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The product was purified by chromatography on silica gel to give 57 mg compound 6 as a yellow solid (73\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.45$, 169.37, 150.81, 149.58, 141.55, 140.73, 138.95, 138.65, $138.34,137.46,135.84,135.26,135.20,134.26,133.74,132.26,131.77,131.57,130.89,130.79$, $129.95,129.74,129.01,128.74,128.65,127.38,127.00,126.56,126.46,126.09,125.06,124.62$, $122.56,47.53,33.97,31.43,26.54,22.48,18.99,13.98$.

HRMS-ESI (+): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NaN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$661.1437; found 661.1420.
IR (film): 3651.6 (m), 2924.6 (m), 2854.8 (m), 1757.5 (m), 1699.5 (s), 1553.3 (w), 1466.6 (w), 1436.2 (w), 1391.6 (w), 1363.9 (s), 1126.9 (w), 1092.3 (w), 1062.2 (w), 890.1 (w), 828.4 (m), 754.3 (w), 737.0 (w), 694.7 (w).

### 2.5 Synthesis of compound 7



A dry, sealed tube containing a magnetic stirring bar was charged with compound 3 ( 7.5 mg $0.01418 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.001418 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{DBU}(0.013 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.085$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{eq})$ and dimethylacetamide ( 0.65 mL ). The reaction vessel was sealed, evacuated, purged with nitrogen and heated at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours then heated to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and was then diluted with 20 mL of dichloromethane. The organic solution was washed with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, water, and brine and was then dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica gel flash column chromatography to give $7(2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.22,169.11,158.78,149.04,143.86,141.25,140.30,140.16$, $139.89,139.02,138.25,137.00,136.94,134.03,131.92,131.30,131.18,130.98,130.14,129.53$, 129.36, 127.47, 125.94, 125.11, 124.97, 122.67, 121.81, 47.50, 33.94, 31.44, 26.53, 22.47, 18.94, 13.99.

HRMS-ESI (+): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$493.1919; found 493.1911.
IR (film): 2924.0 (m), 2854.0 (m), 2378.8 (w), 2310.8 (w), 1754.6 (m), 1696.9 (s), 1553.4 (w), $1511.5(\mathrm{w}), 1486.3$ (w), 1454.8 (w), 1362.1 ( s$), 1304.7$ (w), 1186.7 (w), 1166.0 (w), 964.9 (w), 888.3 (m), 826.0 (w), 804.5 (w), 790.8 (w).

### 2.6 Synthesis of compound 8




A dry, sealed tube containing a magnetic stirring bar was charged with compound 4 ( 39 mg , $0.0609 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0122 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{DBU}(0.0546 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.365$ mmol, 6 eq ) and dimethylacetamide ( 3 mL ). The reaction vessel was sealed, evacuated, purged with nitrogen and heated at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and was then diluted with 20 mL of dichloromethane. The organic solution was washed with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, water, and brine and was then dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica gel flash column chromatography to give 8 ( $10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.66,158.29,149.20,142.74,142.03,141.93,141.24,140.12$, $139.70,138.98,133.38,131.94,131.88,129.83,129.39,126.75,122.72,121.74,47.62,33.95$, 31.43, 26.52, 22.47, 18.83, 13.99.

HRMS-ESI (+): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$568.2008; found 568.2020 IR (film): 2924.4 (m), 2854.9 (w), 2377.7 (m), 2353.2 (w), 2345.4 (m), 1785.1 (m), 1753.1 (w), 1699.1 (s), 1680.1 (w), 1580.3 (w), 1563.5 (m), 1553.4 (m), 1511.1 (w), 11501.8 (m), 1476.3 (w), 1361.3 (m), 890.9 (w).

### 2.7 Synthesis of compound 9



A dry, sealed tube containing a magnetic stirring bar was charged with compound $6(20 \mathrm{mg}$ $0.0313 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}), \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},(4.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00625 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{DBU}(0.028 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1878$ mmol, 6 eq$)$ and dimethylacetamide ( 1.55 mL ). The reaction vessel was sealed, evacuated, purged with nitrogen and heated at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and was then diluted with 20 mL of dichloromethane. The organic solution was washed with $10 \%$ HCl , water, and brine and was then dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica gel flash column chromatography to give 9 ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ ) $\delta 8.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.92-7.89 (m, 2H), 7.66-7.61 (m, 2H), $7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,5.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.30-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.85,168.78,158.39,148.89,143.08,142.93,142.55,141.58$, $141.40,141.13,140.89,139.85,139.38,139.32,139.30,138.91,138.70,133.57,131.97,131.91$, $131.84,131.79,130.02,129.65,129.64,129.35,128.99,126.40,124.94,122.61,121.94,121.46$, 120.64, 447.52, 33.96, 31.44, 26.53, 22.48, 18.84, 13.99.

HRMS-ESI ( + ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$567.2089; found 567.2067.

IR (film): 3743.2 (m), 2924.7 (m), 2854.4 (m), 2353.2 (m), $2311.0(\mathrm{~m}), 1754.9(\mathrm{~m}), 1698.7(\mathrm{~s})$, 1552.3 (w), $1512.0(\mathrm{w}), 1391.1$ (w), 1361.5 (m), 1261.4 (m), 1019.2 (w), $891.0(\mathrm{w}), 802.8(\mathrm{w})$, 760.3 (m), 668.7 (w).

## 3. Effect of equivalents of CPB in Suzuki coupling reaction

Table S1: The ratio of 2-5 under different equivalent of CPB

| equivalent | Time | $\mathbf{2}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{3 ( \% )}$ | $\mathbf{4 ( \% )}$ | $\mathbf{5}(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 30 min | 60 | $<0.5$ | 3.5 | 36 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 30 min | 46 | $<1$ | 9 | 44 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 30 min | 34 | 1 | 16 | 49 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 30 min | 0 | 7.5 | 92 | $<0.5$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 30 min | 0 | 6.5 | 93 | $<0.5$ |

HPLC condition: Zorbax CN ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), hexane / dichloromethane (80/20) as mobile phase, flow rate $=1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{UV}$ detection at 254 nm .

Firstly, I use pure compounds 2-5 under the condition: Zorbax CN ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), hexane / dichloromethane (80/20) as mobile phase, flow rate $=1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, UV detection at 254 nm . we got the retention time of those four compounds as $3.6-3.7 \mathrm{~min}, 6.4-6.5 \mathrm{~min}, 12.0-12.1 \mathrm{~min}, 6.0-6.1$ min , respectively. Then mix those four compounds and run the HPLC again, make sure those four compounds have a good resolution under our condition.


Peak Table:

| Detector A Channel 1254 nm |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Peak | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area\% |
| 1 | 3.73 | 211125 | 38732 | 7.97 |
| 2 | 6.04 | 163602 | 21171 | 6.17 |
| 3 | 6.51 | 277995 | 36102 | 10.49 |
| 4 | 12.01 | 1997010 | 118255 | 75.37 |
| Total |  | 2649732 | 214261 | 100.00 |

Figure S1: The HPLC trace for the mixture of 2-5
mV


Peak Table:

| DetectorA Channel 1254nm |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Peak Ret. Time Area Height Area\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3.72 | 1653295 | 213458 | 60.57 |
| 2 | 6.02 | 970341 | 115310 | 35.55 |
| 3 | 6.51 | 9742 | 1544 | 0.36 |
| 4 | 12.21 | 96000 | 6144 | 3.52 |
| Total |  | 2729378 | 336457 | 100.00 |

Figure S2: The HPLC trace for 2 eq CPB
Chromatogram:


Peak Table:

| DetectorA Channel 1254 nm |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Peak | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area\% |
| 1 | 3.72 | 959540 | 122705 | 46.36 |
| 2 | 6.02 | 916917 | 109653 | 44.30 |
| 3 | 6.51 | 14214 | 2033 | 0.69 |
| 4 | 12.19 | 178998 | 11282 | 8.65 |
| Total |  | 2069669 | 245673 | 100.00 |

Figure S3: The HPLC trace for 4 eq CPB

Chromatogram:
mV


Peak Table:

| DetectorA Channel 1.254 nm |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Peak Ret. Time Area Height Area\% <br> 1 3.71 720827 104371 34.15 <br> 2 5.98 1024172 127669 48.53 <br> 3 6.46 24193 3307 1.15 <br> 4 12.03 341307 20591 16.17 <br> Total  2110498 255939 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

Figure S4: The HPLC trace for 6 eq CPB
Chromatogram:
mV


## Peak Table:

| Detector $A$ Channel 1254 nm |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area\% |
| 1 | 5.96 | 6378 | 735 | 0.48 |
| 2 | 6.48 | 97968 | 12762 | 7.34 |
| 3 | 11.93 | 1231059 | 73682 | 92.19 |
| Total |  | 1335405 | 87179 | 100.00 |

Figure S5: The HPLC trace for 8 eq CPB


Peak Table:
DetectorA Channel 1254nm

| Peak | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area\% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 6.46 | 109499 | 13899 | 6.63 |
| 2 | 11.95 | 1542015 | 90471 | 93.37 |
| Total |  | 1651514 | 104369 | 100.00 |

Figure S6: The HPLC trace for 10 eq CPB

## 4. Cyclic voltammetric measurements



Figure S7: Reduction CV plot for 7.


Figure S8: Reduction CV plot for 8.


Figure S9: Reduction CV plot for 9.

## 5. UV and fluorescence spectra of 7-9



Figure S10: UV and fluorescence spectra of 7 (- Cyclohexane as solvent, ---- DCM as solvent)


Figure S11: UV and fluorescence spectra of 8 (- Cyclohexane as solvent, ---- DCM as solvent)


Figure S12: UV and fluorescence spectra of 9 (- Cyclohexane as solvent, ---- DCM as solvent)


Figure S13: UV and fluorescence spectra of 7 (ACN as solvent)


Figure S14: UV and fluorescence spectra of 8 (ACN as solvent)


Figure S15: UV and fluorescence spectra of 9 (ACN as solvent)
Table S2. Photophysical properties of 7, 8, and 9 (acetonitrile as solvent).

| Compound | Ex (nm) | Em (nm) | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {abs }}(\mathbf{n m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 326 | 542 | $262,310,327$ |
| $\mathbf{7 - H O T f}$ | 323 | 567 | 323 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 329 | 525,566 | 272,329 |
| $\mathbf{8 - H O T f}$ | 335 | 590 | $264,296,335$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 331 | 529,568 | 272,331 |
| 9-HOTf | 313 | 611 | $272,312,340$ |



Figure S16: UV and fluorescence spectra of 7 (EtOH as solvent)


Figure S17: UV and fluorescence spectra of 8 (EtOH as solvent)


Figure S18: UV and fluorescence spectra of 9 (EtOH as solvent)
Table S3. Photophysical properties of 7,8 , and 9 (ethanol as solvent).

| Compound | Ex (nm) | Em (nm) | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {abs }}(\mathbf{n m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 328 | 545 | $263,310,329$ |
| 7-HOTf | 324 | 563 | 236,322 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 328 | 526,566 | 272,331 |
| $\mathbf{8 - H O T f}$ | 335 | 591 | $266,297,336$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 331 | 528,570 | 273,332 |
| 9-HOTf | 313 | 606 | 274,313344 |

## 6. Diastereomeric separation of 7a/7b

### 6.1 Analytical HPLC separation for the diastereomers 7a/7b

- A sample of 7a/7b is dissolved in dichloromethane, injected on a Chiralpak IC column, and detected with an UV detector at 254 nm and with dichroism circular at 254 nm . The flow-rate is 1 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.

| Column | Mobile Phase | t1 | k1 | t2 | k2 | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Rs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chiralpak IC | heptane / isopropanol / <br> dichloromethane <br> $(80 / 10 / 10)$ | $6.24(+)$ | 1.12 | $9.46(-)$ | 2.21 | 1.98 | 5.48 |




| RT <br> $[\mathbf{m i n}]$ | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Diastereoselectivity | Resolution <br> (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.24 | 5568 | 50.04 | 1.12 |  |  |
| 9.46 | 5560 | 5560 | 2.21 | 1.98 | 5.48 |
| Sum | 11127 | 11127 |  |  |  |

### 6.2 Semi-preparative separation of diastereomers 7a/7b:

- Sample preparation: About 20 mg of compound $\mathbf{7 a} / 7 \mathbf{b}$ are dissolved in 7 mL of a dichloromethane.
- Chromatographic conditions: Chiralpak IC ( $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), hexane / ethanol / dichloromethane (70/10/20) as mobile phase, flow-rate $=5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, UV detection at 280 nm .
- Injections (stacked): 10 times 0.7 ml , every 8 minutes.
- First fraction(7a): 4.6 mg of the first eluted diastereomer with ee $=99 \%$


ADCl A, CD 254 nm


| RT $[\mathbf{m i n}]$ | Area | Area\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.22 | 3389 | 99.51 |
| 9.49 | 17 | 0.59 |
| Sum | 3406 | 100.00 |

- Second fraction(7b): 5.0 mg of the second eluted diastereomer with de $>98.5 \%$




## 7. Diastereomeric separation of 9a/9b

### 7.1 Analytical HPLC separation of the diastereomers 9a/9b

- A sample of $\mathbf{9 a} / \mathbf{9 b}$ is dissolved in dichloromethane, injected on the chiral columns, and detected with an UV detector at 254 nm and with dichroism circular detector at 300 nm . The flow-rate is 1 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.

| Column | Mobile Phase | t1 | k1 | t2 | k2 | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Rs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chiralpak IF | heptane / isopropanol <br> $/$ dichloromethane <br> $(50 / 20 / 30)$ | $4.92(+)$ | 0.67 | $7.02(-)$ | 1.38 | 2.06 | 7.14 |




| RT <br> $[\mathbf{m i n}]$ | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Diastereoioselectivity | Resolution <br> (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.92 | 580 | 53.77 | 0.67 |  |  |
| 7.02 | 498 | 46.23 | 1.38 | 2.06 | 7.14 |
| Sum | 1078 | 100.00 |  |  |  |

### 7.2 Semi-preparative separation of diastereomers 9a/9b

- Sample preparation: About 48 mg of compound $\mathbf{9 a} / \mathbf{9 b}$ are dissolved in 12 mL of dichloromethane.
- Chromatographic conditions: Chiralpak IF ( $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), hexane / iPrOH / dichloromethane $(50 / 20 / 30)$ as mobile phase, flow-rate $=5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, UV detection at 254 nm .
- Injections (stacked): 60 times $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, every 8 minutes.
- First fraction(9a): 11.2 mg of the first eluted ((+, CD300)-diasteomer) with de $>99.5 \%$
- Second fraction(9b): 11.4 mg of the second eluted ((-, CD300)-diastereomer) with de $>99.5 \%$
- Chromatograms of the collected fractions:



DAD1 C, Sig=254,4 Ref=off



| RT $[\min ]$ | Area | Area\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.02 | 1065 | 100.00 |
| Sum | 1065 | 100.00 |

## 8. Optical rotations

Optical rotations were measured on a 241 Perkin-Elmer polarimeter with a sodium lamp (589 nm ), a mercury lamp ( 578 and 546 nm ) and a double-jacketed 10 cm cell at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Table S4: $[\alpha]^{25}$ of 7a and 7b at different wavelength in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
$\lambda \quad 1^{\text {st }}$ eluted (7a) on Chiralpak IC $\quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ eluted (7b) on Chiralpak IC

| $(\mathrm{nm})$ | $[\alpha]^{25}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ | $[\alpha]^{25}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 589 | $+284(\mathrm{c}=0.24)$ | $-283(\mathrm{c}=0.14)$ |
| 578 | $+308(\mathrm{c}=0.24)$ | $-308(\mathrm{c}=0.14)$ |
| 546 | $+396(\mathrm{c}=0.24)$ | $-308(\mathrm{c}=0.14)$ |

Table S5: $[\alpha]^{25}$ of $\mathbf{9 a}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$ at different wavelength in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
$\lambda \quad 1^{\text {st }}$ eluted (9a) on Chiralpak IC $\quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ eluted (9b) on Chiralpak IC

| $(\mathrm{nm})$ | $[\alpha]^{25}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ | $[\alpha]^{25}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 589 | $+89(\mathrm{c}=0.044)$ | $-88(\mathrm{c}=0.016)$ |
| 578 | $+93(\mathrm{c}=0.044)$ | $-94(\mathrm{c}=0.016)$ |
| 546 | $+102(\mathrm{c}=0.044)$ | $-100(\mathrm{c}=0.016)$ |

## 9. Kinetic studies of epimerization

About 0.3 mg of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ eluted diastereomer $7 \mathbf{b}$ is heated in about 15 mL of chloroform at $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 0.02 ml aliquots are taken and then injected on Chiralpak IC (80:10:10 heptane / isopropanol / dichloromethane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, UV 254 nm ). The percentage decrease of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ eluted diastereomer (7b) is monitored.
Table S6: Percentage of $\mathbf{7 b}$ at different time in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$.

| Time <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ | $\% 2^{\text {nd }}$ eluted diastereomer(7b) | $\ln ((\% \mathrm{t}-50 \%) /(\%(\mathrm{t}=0)-50 \%))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 99.19 | 0.0000 |
| 14 | 96.95 | -0.0466 |
| 27 | 95.00 | -0.0890 |
| 42 | 92.72 | -0.1410 |
| 55 | 90.94 | -0.1836 |
| 69 | 89.02 | -0.2316 |
| 121 | 82.70 | -0.4083 |
| 168 | 77.84 | -0.5692 |
| 200 | 74.85 | -0.6828 |



Figure S19: Kinetics of 7b epimerization in cyclohexane at $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
About 0.3 mg of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ eluted diastereomer ( $\mathbf{9 b}$ ) is heated in about 15 mL of diphenyl ether at $218^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .02 \mathrm{ml}$ are taken and then injected on Chiralpak IF (50:20:30 heptane / isopropanol /
dichloromethane, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, UV 365 nm ). The percentage decrease of the second eluted diastereomer (9b) is monitored.

Table S7: Percentage of $\mathbf{9 b}$ at different time in diphenyl ether.


Figure S20: Kinetics of 9b epimerization in diphenyl ether at $218^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## 10. Electronic circular dichroism

ECD and UV spectra were measured on a JASCO J-815 spectrometer equipped with a JASCO Peltier cell holder PTC-423 to maintain the temperature at $25.0 \pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A CD quartz cell of 1 mm of optical pathlength was used. The CD spectrometer was purged with nitrogen before recording each spectrum, which was baseline subtracted.

The baseline was always measured for the same solvent and in the same cell as the samples.
The spectra are presented without smoothing and further data processing.
Acquisition parameters: 0.1 nm as intervals, scanning speed $50 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$, band width 1 nm , and 5 accumulations per sample.


Figure S21: ECD and UV-vis of 7a and 7b in ACN (1 $1^{\text {st }}$ eluted diastereomer (7a): green solid line, concentration $=0.332 \mathrm{mmol} . \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ in acetonitrile. $2^{\text {nd }}$ eluted diastereomer $(7 \mathrm{~b})$ : red dotted line, concentration $=0.331 \mathrm{mmol} . \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ in acetonitrile $)$.


Figure S22: ECD and UV-vis of 9a and 9b (1 $1^{\text {st }}$ eluted diastereomer: green solid line, concentration $=0.0282 \mathrm{mmol} . \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ in acetonitrile. $2^{\text {nd }}$ eluted diastereomer: red dotted line, concentration $=0.0339 \mathrm{mmol} . \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ in acetonitrile. $)$.

## 11. Calculated ECD spectra of 7a and 9a



Figure S23: Calculated ECD spectrum of 7a.


Figure S24: Calculated ECD spectrum of 9a.

## 12.IR spectra of 7 and 9



Figure S25: IR spectra of 7


Figure S26: IR spectra of 9

## 13.Vibrational circular dichroism

The VCD spectra were measured on the BioTools Chiral IR-2X (Dual PEM) with $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ cell, $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ as solvent.


Figure S27: VCD spectra of 7


Figure S28: VCD spectra of $\mathbf{9}$

## 14.Absolute configuration of 7a and 9a



Figure S29: The absolute configuration of the first eluted (the structure we used for calculation) of 7a (left) and 9a (right)

## 15. Single crystal structure



Table S8: Crystal data and structure refinement for 8.

| Identification code | Jay_TXQ_2_160K |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{156} \mathrm{H}_{104} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ |
| Formula weight | 2306.51 |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $159.99(10)$ |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | $P 1$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | $7.85160(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{b} / \AA$ | $16.71440(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $21.76930(10)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | $81.4450(10)$ |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $81.2140(10)$ |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | $78.2500(10)$ |
| Volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2743.69(4)$ |
| Z | 1 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.396 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.700 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 1204.0 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.2 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |

$2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ} 4.138$ to 149.422
Index ranges
$-9 \leq h \leq 9,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 20,-27 \leq 1 \leq 27$
Reflections collected 119182
Independent reflections
$21305\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0405, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0286\right]$
Data/restraints/parameters
21305/3623/1637
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ 1.030

Final R indexes [ $I>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})] \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0371, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0978$
Final R indexes [all data] $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0395, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1010$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.26 /-0.32$
Flack parameter
0.04(5)

## 16. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$

Compound 2


Compound 3


## Compound 4




## Compound 5



## Compound 6



## Compound 7



Compound 8


## Compound 9
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