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Table S1. Kinome Wide Selectivity Profiling of Compound 9u

| Kinase | Kinase family | Percent control (\%) @ $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | Kinase | Kinase family | Percent control (\%) @ $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAK1(h) | MISC | 96 | Aurora-B(h) | MISC | 4 |
| Abl(h) | TK | 35 | Aurora-C(h) | MISC | 50 |
| Abl(H396P)(h) | TK | 37 | Axl(h) | TK | 12 |
| Abl(M351T)(h) | TK | 46 | BIKe(h) | MISC | 95 |
| Abl(Q252H)(h) | TK | 15 | Blk(h) | TK | 18 |
| Abl(T315I)(h) | TK | 9 | Blk (m) | TK | 9 |
| Abl(Y253F)(h) | TK | 16 | BMPR2(h) | TKL | 89 |
| ACK1(h) | TK | 70 | $\operatorname{Bmx}(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 26 |
| ACTR2(h) | TKL | 108 | B-Raf(h) | TKL | 33 |
| ALK(h) | TK | 14 | B-Raf(V599E)(h) | TKL | 22 |
| ALK1(h) | TKL | 100 | BRK(h) | TK | 57 |
| ALK2(h) | TKL | 101 | BrSK1(h) | CAMK | 89 |
| ALK4(h) | TKL | 104 | BrSK2(h) | CAMK | 89 |
| ALK6(h) | TKL | 103 | BTK(h) | TK | 45 |
| AMPK 1 1(h) | CAMK | 95 | BTK(R28H)(h) | TK | 91 |
| AMPK 2 2(h) | CAMK | 85 | CaMKI(h) | CAMK | 74 |
| A-Raf(h) | TKL | 47 | $\mathrm{CaMKIb}(\mathrm{h})$ | CAMK | 68 |
| $\operatorname{Arg}(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 18 | CaMKII $\alpha$ ( h ) | CAMK | 97 |
| Arg(m) | TK | 20 | CaMKIIß(h) | CAMK | 103 |
| ARK5(h) | CAMK | 113 | CaMKII $\gamma(\mathrm{h})$ | CAMK | 74 |
| ASK1(h) | STE | 104 | CaMKIİ(h) | CAMK | 100 |
| ATM(h) | Lipid/Atypical | 92 | CaMKIV(h) | CAMK | 77 |
| ATR/ATRIP(h) | Lipid/Atypical | 98 | CaMKI $\gamma(\mathrm{h})$ | CAMK | 91 |
| Aurora-A(h) | MISC | 15 | CaMKİ(h) | CAMK | 70 |

Table S1. Continued.

| Kinase | Kinase family | $\begin{aligned} & @ 0.1 \\ & \mu \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | Kinase | Kinase <br> family | $\begin{aligned} & @ 0.1 \\ & \mu \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CaMKK1(h) | MISC | 51 | CHK1(h) | CAMK | 105 |
| CaMKK2(h) | MISC | 84 | CHK2(h) | CAMK | 60 |
| Cdc7/cyclinB1(h) | MISC | 65 | CHK2(I157T)(h) | CAMK | 60 |
| CDK1/cyclinB(h) | CMGC | 91 | CHK2(R145W)(h) | CAMK | 70 |
| CDK12/cyclinK(h) | CMGC | 97 | CK1(y) | CK1 | 101 |
| CDK13/cyclinK(h) | CMGC | 106 | CK1 1 1(h) | CK1 | 109 |
| CDK14/cyclinY(h) | CMGC | 21 | CK1 2 2 h ) | CK1 | 100 |
| CDK16/cyclinY(h) | CMGC | 98 | CK1 1 3(h) | CK1 | 108 |
| CDK17/cyclinY(h) | CMGC | 25 | CK18(h) | CK1 | 92 |
| CDK18/cyclinY(h) | CMGC | 62 | CK18(h) | CK1 | 93 |
| CDK2/cyclinA(h) | CMGC | 91 | CK2(h) | CMGC | 106 |
| CDK2/cyclinE(h) | CMGC | 95 | CK2a1(h) | CMGC | 122 |
| CDK3/cyclinE(h) | CMGC | 97 | CK2a2(h) | CMGC | 107 |
| CDK4/cyclinD3(h) | CMGC | 93 | c-Kit (V654A)(h) | TK | 44 |
| CDK5/p25(h) | CMGC | 109 | c-Kit(D816H)(h) | TK | 95 |
| CDK5/p35(h) | CMGC | 97 | c-Kit(D816V)(h) | TK | 38 |
| CDK6/cyclinD3(h) | CMGC | 92 | c-Kit(h) | TK | 50 |
| CDK7/cyclinH/MAT1(h) | CMGC | 104 | c-Kit(V560G)(h) | TK | 8 |
| CDK9/cyclinT1(h) | CMGC | 72 | CLIK1(h) | MISC | 61 |
| CDKL1(h) | CMGC | 52 | CLK1(h) | CMGC | 74 |
| CDKL2(h) | CMGC | 61 | CLK2(h) | CMGC | 29 |
| CDKL3(h) | CMGC | 89 | CLK3(h) | CMGC | 64 |
| CDKL4(h) | CMGC | 100 | CLK4(h) | CMGC | 81 |
| ChaK1(h) | MISC | 102 | c-RAF(h) | TKL | 29 |

Table S1. Continued.

| Kinase | Kinase <br> family | @0.1 <br> $\mu \mathrm{M}$ | Kinase | Kinase <br> family | @0.1 <br> $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRIK(h) | AGC | 109 | EphA2(h) | TK | 2 |
| CSK(h) | TK | 72 | EphA3(h) | TK | 20 |
| cSRC(h) | TK | 15 | EphA4(h) | TK | 41 |
| DAPK1(h) | CAMK | 87 | EphA5(h) | TK | 19 |
| DAPK2(h) | CAMK | 125 | EphA7(h) | TK | 50 |
| DCAMKL2(h) | CAMK | 86 | EphA8(h) | TK | 14 |
| DCAMKL3(h) | CAMK | 75 | EphB1(h) | TK | 9 |
| DDR1(h) | TK | 17 | EphB2(h) | TK | 39 |
| DDR2(h) | TK | 33 | EphB3(h) | TK | 79 |
| DMPK(h) | AGC | 105 | EphB4(h) | TK | 22 |
| DNA-PK(h) | Lipid/Atypical | 76 | ErbB2(h) | TK | 87 |
| DRAK1(h) | CAMK | 88 | ErbB4(h) | TK | 101 |
| DRAK2(h) | CAMK | 92 | FAK(h) | TK | 38 |
| DYRK1A(h) | CMGC | 103 | Fer(h) | TK | 63 |
| DYRK1B(h) | CMGC | 95 | Fes(h) | TK | 41 |
| DYRK2(h) | CMGC | 99 | FGFR1(h) | TK | 1 |
| DYRK3(h) | CMGC | 59 | FGFR1(V561M)(h) | TK | 2 |
| eEF-2K(h) | MISC | 116 | FGFR2(h) | TK | 3 |
| EGFR(h) | TK | 107 | FGFR2(N549H)(h) | TK | 1 |
| EGFR(L858R)(h) | TK | 75 | FGFR3(h) | TK | 6 |
| EGFR(L861Q)(h) | TK | 82 | FGFR4(h) | TK | 22 |
| EGFR(T790M)(h) | TK | 41 | $\operatorname{Fgr}(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EGFR(T790M, } \\ & \text { L858R)(h) } \end{aligned}$ | TK | 34 | Flt1(h) | TK | 1 |
| EphA1(h) | TK | 34 | Flt3(D835Y)(h) | TK | 22 |

Table S1. Continued.

| Kinase | Kinase <br> family | @ 0.1 <br> $\mu \mathrm{M}$ | Kinase | Kinase <br> family | @ 0.1 <br> $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flt3(h) | TK | 10 | $\operatorname{ICK}(\mathrm{h})$ | CMGC | 105 |
| Flt4(h) | TK | 1 | IGF-1R <br> activated(h) | TK | 101 |
| Fms(h) | TK | 21 | IGF-1R(h) | TK | 62 |
| Fms(Y969C)(h) | TK | 29 | $\mathrm{IKK} \alpha(\mathrm{h})$ | MISC | 90 |
| Fyn(h) | TK | 42 | $\mathrm{IKK} \beta(\mathrm{h})$ | MISC | 90 |
| GCK(h) | STE | 32 | $\mathrm{IKK}(\mathrm{h})$ | MISC | 87 |
| GCN2(h) | MISC | 86 | IR activated(h) | TK | 72 |
| GRK1(h) | AGC | 97 | IR(h) | TK | 61 |
| GRK2(h) | AGC | 98 | IRAK1(h) | TKL | 4 |
| GRK3(h) | AGC | 109 | IRAK4(h) | TKL | 49 |
| GRK5(h) | AGC | 96 | IRE1(h) | MISC | 58 |
| GRK6(h) | AGC | 93 | IRR(h) | TK | 72 |
| GRK7(h) | AGC | 93 | Itk(h) | TK | 4 |
| GSK3 $\alpha$ (h) | CMGC | 85 | JAK1(h) | TK | 61 |
| GSK3ß(h) | CMGC | 78 | JAK2(h) | TK | 95 |
| haspin(h) | MISC | 110 | JAK3(h) | TK | 78 |
| Hck activated(h) | TK | 16 | JNK1 ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ (h) | CMGC | 98 |
| Hck(h) | TK | 3 | JNK2 2 (h) | CMGC | 59 |
| HIPK1(h) | CMGC | 16 | JNK3(h) | CMGC | 78 |
| HIPK2(h) | CMGC | 10 | KDR(h) | TK | 18 |
| HIPK3(h) | CMGC | 7 | Lck activated(h) | TK | 5 |
| HIPK4(h) | CMGC | 3 | Lck(h) | TK | 6 |
| HPK1(h) | STE | 4 | LIMK1(h) | TKL | 73 |
| HRI(h) | MISC | 72 | LIMK2(h) | TKL | 32 |

Table S1. Continued.

| Kinase | Kinase <br> family | @ 0.1 <br> $\mu \mathrm{M}$ | Kinase | Kinase <br> family | @0.1 <br> $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LKB1(h) | CAMK | 103 | $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 10 |
| LOK(h) | STE | 15 | $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{M} 1268 \mathrm{~T})(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 8 |
| LRRK2(h) | TKL | 33 | $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{Y} 1248 \mathrm{C})(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 1 |
| LTK(h) | TK | 40 | $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{Y} 1248 \mathrm{D})(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 0 |
| Lyn(h) | TK | 1 | $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{Y} 1248 \mathrm{H})(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 23 |
| MAK(h) | CMGC | 109 | MINK(h) | STE | 5 |
| MAP4K3(h) | STE | 16 | MKK3(h) | STE | 72 |
| MAP4K4(h) | STE | 23 | MKK6(h) | STE | 92 |
| MAP4K5(h) | STE | 0 | MLCK(h) | CAMK | 95 |
| MAPK1(h) | CMGC | 118 | MLK1(h) | TKL | 45 |
| MAPK2(h) | CMGC | 99 | MLK2(h) | TKL | 25 |
| MAPKAP-K2(h) | CAMK | 98 | MLK3(h) | TKL | 9 |
| MAPKAP-K3(h) | CAMK | 99 | Mnk2(h) | CAMK | 26 |
| MARK1(h) | CAMK | 104 | MOK(h) | CMGC | 107 |
| MARK3(h) | CAMK | 103 | MRCK $\alpha$ (h) | AGC | 101 |
| MARK4(h) | CAMK | 103 | MRCK $\beta$ (h) | AGC | 99 |
| MEK1 (h) | STE | 86 | $\operatorname{MRCK} \gamma(\mathrm{h})$ | AGC | 110 |
| MEK2(h) | STE | 23 | MSK1(h) | AGC | 50 |
| MEKK2(h) | STE | 47 | MSK2(h) | AGC | 22 |
| MEKK3(h) | STE | 71 | MSSK1(h) | CMGC | 131 |
| MELK(h) | CAMK | 9 | MST1(h) | STE | 42 |
| $\operatorname{Mer}(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 1 | MST2(h) | STE | 39 |
| $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{D} 1246 \mathrm{H})(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 23 | MST3(h) | STE | 81 |
| $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{D} 1246 \mathrm{~N})(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 29 | MST4(h) | STE | 45 |

Table S1. Continued.

| Kinase | Kinase family | $\begin{aligned} & @ 0.1 \\ & \mu \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | Kinase | Kinase family | $\begin{aligned} & @ 0.1 \\ & \mu \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mTOR(h) | Lipid/Atypical | 91 | PAR-1B $\alpha(\mathrm{h})$ | CAMK | 99 |
| mTOR/FKBP12(h) | Lipid/Atypical | 94 | PASK(h) | CAMK | 102 |
| MuSK(h) | TK | 82 | PDGFR $\alpha$ (D842V)(h) | TK | 3 |
| MYLK2(h) | CAMK | 58 | PDGFR $\alpha$ (h) | TK | 65 |
| MYO3B(h) | STE | 36 | PDGFR $\alpha$ (V561D)(h) | TK | 1 |
| NDR2(h) | AGC | 70 | PDGFR $\beta$ (h) | TK | 89 |
| NEK1(h) | MISC | 60 | PDHK2(h) | MISC | 91 |
| NEK11(h) | MISC | 63 | PDHK4(h) | MISC | 117 |
| NEK2(h) | MISC | 83 | PDK1(h) | AGC | 74 |
| NEK3(h) | MISC | 94 | PEK(h) | MISC | 41 |
| NEK4(h) | MISC | 6 | $\mathrm{PhK} \gamma 1$ (h) | CAMK | 72 |
| NEK6(h) | MISC | 99 | PhK $\gamma 2$ (h) | CAMK | 90 |
| NEK7(h) | MISC | 86 | PI3 <br> Kinase(p110 $\alpha(\mathrm{E} 542 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{p} 85 \alpha)(\mathrm{h})$ | Lipid/Atypical | 89 |
| NEK9(h) | MISC | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PI3 } \\ & \text { Kinase(p110 } \alpha(\mathrm{E} 545 \mathrm{~K}) / \mathrm{p} 85 \alpha)(\mathrm{h}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Lipid/Atypical | 97 |
| NIM1(h) | CAMK | 107 | P13 Kinase(p110 $\alpha$ /p85 ${ }^{\text {( }}$ (h) | Lipid/Atypical | 102 |
| NLK(h) | CMGC | 82 | P13 Kinase(p110ß/p85 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (h) | Lipid/Atypical | 97 |
| NUAK2(h) | CAMK | 107 | P13 Kinase(p1108/p85u)(h) | Lipid/Atypical | 99 |
| p70S6K(h) | AGC | 3 | PI3 Kinase(p120 ${ }^{\text {( }}$ (h) | Lipid/Atypical | 79 |
| PAK1(h) | STE | 92 | $\operatorname{PI} 3 \mathrm{KC} 2 \alpha$ (h) | Lipid/Atypical | 100 |
| PAK2(h) | STE | 104 | $\operatorname{PI} 3 \mathrm{KC} 2 \gamma(\mathrm{~h})$ | Lipid/Atypical | 98 |
| PAK3(h) | STE | 101 | Pim-1(h) | CAMK | 25 |
| PAK4(h) | STE | 109 | Pim-2(h) | CAMK | 82 |
| PAK5(h) | STE | 91 | Pim-3(h) | CAMK | 112 |
| PAK6(h) | STE | 126 | PIP4K2 $\alpha$ (h) | Lipid/Atypical | 100 |

Table S1. Continued.

| Kinase | Kinase family | $@ 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | Kinase | Kinase family | $@ 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PIP5K1 $\alpha$ (h) | Lipid/Atypical | 100 | Plk3(h) | MISC | 79 |
| PIP5K1 $\gamma(\mathrm{h}$ ) | Lipid/Atypical | 98 | Plk4(h) | MISC | 91 |
| PKA(h) | AGC | 86 | PRAK(h) | CAMK | 121 |
| PKAcb(h) | AGC | 81 | PRK1(h) | AGC | 102 |
| $\operatorname{PKB} \alpha(\mathrm{h})$ | AGC | 97 | PRK2(h) | AGC | 93 |
| PKB $\beta$ (h) | AGC | 62 | PRKG2(h) | AGC | 85 |
| $\mathrm{PKB} \gamma(\mathrm{h})$ | AGC | 115 | $\operatorname{PrKX}(\mathrm{h})$ | AGC | 92 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \alpha(\mathrm{h})$ | AGC | 107 | PRP4(h) | CMGC | 89 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \beta \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{h})$ | AGC | 95 | PTK5(h) | TK | 1 |
| PKC $\beta$ II(h) | AGC | 101 | Pyk2(h) | TK | 52 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \gamma(\mathrm{h})$ | AGC | 99 | $\operatorname{Ret}(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 1 |
| PKC $\delta(\mathrm{h})$ | AGC | 111 | $\operatorname{Ret}(\mathrm{V} 804 \mathrm{~L})(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 4 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \mathrm{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{~h})$ | AGC | 106 | $\operatorname{Ret}(\mathrm{V} 804 \mathrm{M})(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 2 |
| РKCち(h) | AGC | 116 | RIPK1(h) | TKL | 7 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \mathrm{\eta}(\mathrm{~h})$ | AGC | 113 | RIPK2(h) | TKL | 9 |
| PKC $\theta$ (h) | AGC | 98 | ROCK-I(h) | AGC | 81 |
| $\mathrm{PKCl}(\mathrm{h})$ | AGC | 111 | ROCK-II(h) | AGC | 49 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \mathrm{\mu}(\mathrm{~h})$ | AGC | 89 | Ron(h) | TK | 23 |
| PKD2(h) | CAMK | 101 | $\operatorname{Ros}(\mathrm{h})$ | TK | 31 |
| PKD3(h) | CAMK | 85 | Rse(h) | TK | 9 |
| PKG1 $\alpha$ (h) | AGC | 109 | Rsk1(h) | AGC | 4 |
| PKG1 1 (h) | AGC | 94 | Rsk2(h) | AGC | 19 |
| PKR(h) | MISC | 100 | Rsk3(h) | AGC | 18 |
| Plk1(h) | MISC | 113 | Rsk4(h) | AGC | 16 |

Table S1. Continued.

| Kinase | Kinase family | @ 0.1 <br> $\mu \mathrm{M}$ | Kinase | Kinase <br> family | @ 0.1 <br> $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAPK2a(h) | CMGC | 62 | STK32C(h) | MISC | 106 |
| SAPK2a(T106M)(h) | CMGC | 30 | STK33(h) | CAMK | 36 |
| SAPK2b(h) | CMGC | 18 | Syk(h) | TK | 100 |
| SAPK3(h) | CMGC | 21 | TAF1L(h) | MISC | 93 |
| SAPK4(h) | CMGC | 5 | TAK1(h) | TKL | 11 |
| SBK1(h) | MISC | 111 | TAO1(h) | STE | 29 |
| SGK(h) | AGC | 108 | TAO2(h) | STE | 14 |
| SGK2(h) | AGC | 85 | TAO3(h) | STE | 32 |
| SGK3(h) | AGC | 95 | TBK1(h) | MISC | 82 |
| SIK(h) | CAMK | 78 | Tec activated(h) | TK | 102 |
| SIK2(h) | CAMK | 107 | TGFBR1(h) | TKL | 115 |
| SIK3(h) | CAMK | 106 | TGFBR2(h) | TKL | 97 |
| SLK(h) | STE | 21 | Tie2(h) | TK | 4 |
| Snk(h) | MISC | 57 | Tie2(R849W)(h) | TK | 1 |
| SNRK(h) | CAMK | 94 | Tie2(Y897S)(h) | TK | -1 |
| Src(1-530)(h) | TK | 18 | TLK1(h) | MISC | 103 |
| Src(T341M)(h) | TK | 19 | TLK2(h) | MISC | 95 |
| SRMS(h) | TK | 51 | TNIK(h) | STE | 2 |
| SRPK1(h) | CMGC | 100 | TRB2(h) | CAMK | 58 |
| SRPK2(h) | CMGC | 115 | TrkA(h) | TK | 1 |
| STK16(h) | MISC | 94 | TrkB(h) | TK | -3 |
| STK25(h) | STE | 25 | TrkC(h) | TK | 0 |
| STK32A(h) | MISC | 102 | TSSK1(h) | CAMK | 92 |
| STK32B(h) | MISC | 101 | TSSK2(h) | CAMK | 107 |

Table S1. Continued.

| Kinase | Kinase family | $@ 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | Kinase | Kinase family | $@ 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TSSK3(h) | CAMK | 103 | VRK2(h) | CK1 | 90 |
| TSSK4(h) | CAMK | 105 | Wee1(h) | MISC | 95 |
| TTBK1(h) | CK1 | 98 | Wee1B(h) | MISC | 99 |
| TTBK2(h) | CK1 | 97 | WNK1(h) | MISC | 93 |
| TTK(h) | MISC | 1 | WNK2(h) | MISC | 76 |
| Txk(h) | TK | 85 | WNK3(h) | MISC | 81 |
| TYK2(h) | TK | 93 | WNK4(h) | MISC | 93 |
| ULK1(h) | MISC | 99 | Yes(h) | TK | 13 |
| ULK2(h) | MISC | 103 | ZAK(h) | TKL | 14 |
| ULK3(h) | MISC | 35 | ZAP-70(h) | TK | 90 |
| VRK1(h) | CK1 | 88 |  |  |  |

Table S2. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ Values of Compounds 9a-ae and 13a-h in RS4;11 Cell

| Compd | IC50, $\mathbf{n M}$ | Compd | IC50, $\mathbf{n M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 a}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 u}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 b}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 v}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 c}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 w}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 d}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 x}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 e}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 y}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 f}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 z}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 g}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 a a}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 h}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 a c}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 i}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 a d}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 j}$ |  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{9 k}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{9 a e}$ | $>1000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 1}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 m}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 n}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{1 3 d}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 p}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 q}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 r}$ | $>1000$ | $\mathbf{1 3 h}$ | $>1000$ |
| $\mathbf{9 d}$ | $>1000$ |  |  |

Table S3. Inhibitory Rate of Compounds 9a-ae and 13a-h in HL-60 Cell

| Compd | Inhibitory rate (\%) | Compd | Inhibitory rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 a}$ | 9.6 | $\mathbf{9 u}$ | -2.4 |
| $\mathbf{9 b}$ | 12.8 | $\mathbf{9 v}$ | -9.0 |
| $\mathbf{9 c}$ | 8.7 | $\mathbf{9 w}$ | -10.4 |
| $\mathbf{9 d}$ | -1.1 | $\mathbf{9 x}$ | 12.2 |
| $\mathbf{9 e}$ | 4.0 | $\mathbf{9 y}$ | -10.6 |
| $\mathbf{9 f}$ | -12.6 | $\mathbf{9 z}$ | -8.7 |
| $\mathbf{9 g}$ | 3.5 | $\mathbf{9 a a}$ | 0.9 |
| $\mathbf{9 h}$ | 4.2 | $\mathbf{9 a b}$ | 3.1 |
| $\mathbf{9 i}$ |  |  | -3.4 |


| $\mathbf{9 j}$ | 8.4 | $\mathbf{9 a d}$ | -9.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 k}$ | 4.4 | $\mathbf{9 a e}$ | -2.9 |
| $\mathbf{9 1}$ | -17.7 | $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ | 7.6 |
| $\mathbf{9 m}$ | -8.5 | $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ | 7.3 |
| $\mathbf{9 n}$ | 14.8 | $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ | 5.8 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 12.3 | $\mathbf{1 3 d}$ | -0.9 |
| $\mathbf{9 p}$ | 5.3 | $\mathbf{1 3 e}$ | -10.6 |
| $\mathbf{9 q}$ | -1.8 | $\mathbf{1 3 f}$ | 2.6 |
| $\mathbf{9 r}$ | 10.6 | $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ | 0.4 |
| $\mathbf{9 s}$ | -7.4 |  | -2.4 |
| $\mathbf{9 t}$ |  |  |  |

Table S4. IC ${ }_{50}$ Values against Hit Target Kinases of $\mathbf{9} \mathbf{u}^{a}$

| Target | IC $\mathbf{5 0}$, $\mathbf{n M}$ | Target | IC50, nM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Abl(T315I) | 3 | LOK | 4 |
| Aurora-B | 6 | Lyn | 1 |
| c-Kit | $>300$ | Mer | 0.7 |
| FGFR1 | 3 | Met | 15 |
| FGFR2 | 4 | PDGFR $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{D 8 4 2 V})$ | 10 |
| FGFR3 | 13 | PDGFR $\boldsymbol{\alpha}($ V561D) | 2 |
| FGFR4 | 46 | Ret | 6 |
| Flt1(h) | 5 | Rsk1 | 4 |
| Flt3(h) | 7 | Tie2 | 15 |
| Flt4(h) | 4 | Lck | 12 |
| HCK | 4 |  |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for enzymatic inhibition of FLT3 kinase; data are expressed from the
dose-response curves of at least two independent experiments.





Figure S1. Bioavailability radar chart from swissADME online web tool for tested compounds. The pink area represents the range of the optimal property values for oral bioavailability and the red line is tested compounds predicted properties.


Figure S2. Predicted Boiled-Egg plot from swissADME online web tool for tested compounds (9b, 9j, 9p, 9u, 9aa, 9ad, 13a).

## Biological Assay Methods

Anti-proliferative Assays. MV4-11 and Molm-13 cells were cultured in IMDM (Gibco, Milano, Italy) contained 10\% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen, Milano, Italy). BaF3-ITD, BaF3-ITD-D835V, BaF3-ITD-F691L were cultured in RPMI (Gibco, Milano, Italy) contained 10\% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen, Milano, Italy) and $800 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ neomycin sulfate. All media contained 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin (Gibco, Milano, Italy), and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin (Gibco, Milano, Italy). Cells were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells in logarithmic phase were seeded into 96 -well culture plates at densities of (10000-20000) cells per well. Then cells were treated with various concentrations of compounds for 72 h in final volumes of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Upon end point, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ MTT ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was added to each well, and the cells were incubated for an additional 1-4 h. After treatment with $20 \%$ SDS overnight, absorbance values at a wavelength of 570 nM were taken on a spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, USA). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated using percentage of growth versus untreated control. The data were finally fitted in GraphPad Prism V6.0 software to obtain $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values using equation $\left(\mathrm{Y}=\right.$ Bottom $+($ Top-Bottom $) /\left(1+10^{\wedge}((\operatorname{LogIC} 50-\mathrm{X}) *\right.$ Hill Slope $), \mathrm{Y}$ is \%inhibition and X is compound concentration.).

Kinase Profile Assay and IC50 Test. Kinase profiling was carried out by Eurofins Discovery Pharma Services (UK) according to the published protocols. The kinases activity of $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{9u}$ on 422 kinases involved in tumor regulation in vitro were measured by radiometric assays. Briefly, each kinase was incubated with $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{9u}$
in indicated reaction solutions contained $\left[{ }^{-}{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{ATP}\right]$ and other reagents such as MOPS, EDTA, EAIYAAPFAKKK, Magnesium acetate and so on (different pH , concentrations and activities according to the specific needs of different kinases). The reaction is initiated by the addition of the $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{n}) /$ ATP mix. After incubation for a while (specific time as required) at room temperature, the reaction is stopped by the addition of phosphoric acid to a concentration of $0.5 \% .10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the stopped reaction is spotted onto a P30 filtermat and washed four times for 4 minutes in $0.425 \%$ phosphoric acid and once in methanol prior to drying and scintillation counting. The in vitro kinase enzymatic inhibition assays were carried out by the Kinase Profiling Services provided by Eurofins (UK). The detailed protocol descriptions can be provided at the website (https://www.eurofinsdiscoveryservices.com).

Dissociation Constants ( $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{d}}$ ) Assay for FLT3 Mutants. The kinase binding constants assay was conducted using the Kinomescan platform. Kinase-tagged T7 phage or DNA was expressed in E. coli host or HEK-293 cells. Binding reactions were assembled by kinases, ligand affinity beads, and test compounds with shaking for 1 h at room temperature, then measured by qPCR. Kinome profile assays were shown as percent of control, and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ values were determined by 6-point 10 -fold serial dilution of each test compound in this method. The detailed protocol description can be provided at the website (https://www.discoverx.com).

Western Blotting of Signaling Pathways. The cells were treated with the compounds at the indicated concentrations. Then the cells were collected and total proteins were extracted with RIPA Lysis Buffer (beyotime Co. P0013B, components: 50 mM Tris,
pH 7.4, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100,1 \%$ sodium deoxycholate, $0.1 \%$ SDS, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, sodium fluoride, EDTA and leupeptin). The protein concentration was measured by the BCA Protein assay (ThermoScientific, USA). Equivalent samples ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein) were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and then the proteins were transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, USA). After blocking by $5 \%$ non-fat milk for 2 h at room temperature, the membranes were incubated with the indicated primary antibodies at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and subsequently probed by the appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase for 1 h . Immunoreactive bands were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (MiniChemi, Sagecreation, Beijing). The molecular sizes of the proteins detected were determined by comparison with pertained protein markers (ThermoScientific, USA). Image J v1.8.0 software was used to conduct gray analysis and according to the protocol provided by corporation.

Cell Cycle Progression Experiment. Six-well plates were used for MV4-11 and Molm-13 cells culture. All cells were treated with increasing concentrations of the indicated compound. Cells were harvested after 24 h post-treatment, washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and fixed in ice cold $75 \%$ ethanol for at least 24 h . The fixed cells were then washed with PBS and stained with propidium iodide (50 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ in the presence of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ RNase A, $0.5 \%$ Triton X-100 for 15 min at room temperature. The stained cells were then analyzed using a FACScan (BD Biosciences) and the resulting data analyzed with cell cycle analysis software (Modfit, BD).

Annexin V-FITC/PI Apoptosis Assay. Six-well plates were used for cells culture. MV4-11 and Molm-13 cells were treated with compound $\mathbf{9 u}$ at gradient increase from 1 to 1000 nM for 48 h . Cells were washed with PBS for twice and collected to stain with an Annexin V/PI Apoptosis Detection kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Finally, the stained cells were subjected to flow cytometry for analysis in 15 min , and 20,000 cells for each sample were examined (Attune NxT, AFC2, Life Technologies Corporation).

Pharmacokinetic Study. A $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ dosing solution of $\mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 p}, \mathbf{9 j}, \mathbf{9 u}, \mathbf{9 a a}, \mathbf{9 a d}$, and 13a was respectively prepared by dissolving in physiological saline containing $2 \%$ DMSO, $2 \%$ PEG-400, $10 \%$ cyclodextrin with the pH adjusted to $5 \sim 6$ for po or iv administration. Six SD rats, weighing 200-250 g each, were obtained from Beijing HFK Bioscience Co. Ltd. Each tested compound was separately administered intravenously ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose) or orally ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) to a group of six rats per time. At time points 0 (prior to dosing), $5 \mathrm{~min}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 45 \mathrm{~min}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$, $10 \mathrm{~h}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, and 24 h after dosing, a blood sample was collected from each animal via cardiac puncture and stored in ice $\left(0-4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Plasma was separated from the blood by centrifugation ( 4000 g for 15 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and stored in a freezer at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All samples were analyzed for the tested compound by LC-MS/MS (Waters Acquity UPLC system; Waters Quattro Premier XE). Data were acquired via monitoring of multiple reactions. Plasma concentration data were analyzed by a standard noncompartmental method.

Molecular Docking Study. The molecular structures of compounds were constructed
using Chemoffice software and saved as SDF format files. Then the molecular structures of compounds were added hydrogen, adjusted pH state, generated isomers and loaded OPLS3 force field to generate 3D conformation by using the Ligparep module in Schrodinger software package. The receptor protein FLT3 (PDB: 4XUF) was pretreated with the protein preparation wizard module of the Schrodinger software package, including adding hydrogen, repairation of missing residues, equilibrium charge, adjustment of unreasonable atomic overlap, and so on. And then the compound molecule was docked into the active site of FLT3 protein, and the best conformation and pose were remained.

Animal Tumor Models and Treatment. To establish the MV4-11 and Molm-13 xenograft models, MV4-11 or Molm-13 cells ( $10^{7}$ cells in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ serum-free IMDM) were injected subcutaneously into the right flanks of $5-6$ weeks old female NOD/SCID mice. When the size of the formed xenografts reached $300-500 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, the mice were randomly divided (6 mice per group). In these two models, the mice in the experimental group received po administration $(1,3,10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, dissolved in physiological saline containing $2 \%$ DMSO, $2 \%$ PEG-400, 10\% cyclodextrin with the pH adjusted to $5 \sim 6$ ) of 9 u per day. Those in the quizartinib group (positive control) received po treatment ( $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, dissolved in physiological saline containing $2 \%$ DMSO, $2 \%$ PEG-400, 10\% cyclodextrin with the pH adjusted to $5 \sim 6$ ) per day. Tumor burden was measured every 2 days by a caliper. Tumor volume (TV) was calculated using the following formula: $\mathrm{TV}=$ length $\times$ width $^{2} \times 0.5$. At the end of the experiment, mice were sacrificed and tumors were collected and weighed. The animal studies were
conducted in conformity with institutional guide for the care and use of laboratory animals, and all mouse protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Sichuan University (Chengdu, Sichuan, China).

