

Supporting information

**Distribution coefficients of furfural and
5-hydroxymethylfurfural in hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent
+ water systems: Experiments and PC-SAFT predictions**

*Carin H. J. T. Dietz^{a,b}, Fausto Gallucci^a, Martin van Sint Annaland^b, Christoph Held^{c,#}
and Maaike C. Kroon^{d,e,*}*

^aInorganic Membranes and Membrane Reactors, Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry,
Eindhoven University of Technology, PO Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, Netherlands

^bChemical Process Intensification, Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven University
of Technology, PO Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, Netherlands

^cLaboratory of Thermodynamics, Department of Biochemical and Chemical Engineering, TU Dortmund
University, Emil-Figge-Str. 70, 44227 Dortmund, Germany

^dKhalifa University of Science and Technology, Chemical Engineering Department, P.O. Box 127788, Abu
 Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

^e Center for Catalysis and Separation, Khalifa University of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 127788, Abu
 Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

*Corresponding author. E-mail: maaike.kroon@ku.ac.ae; Phone: +971-26075317; Fax: +971-26075200.

Corresponding author for PC-SAFT. E-mail: christoph.held@tu-dortmund.de; Phone: +49 2317552086.

Table of Contents

Table S1. Pure-component PC-SAFT parameters for DESs, water, FF and HMF. FF was considered as non self-associating non-polar compound; cross-association was allowed between FF and all other components (“induced-association” approach).

Table S2. Extraction coefficient of pure wt% HMF + 1wt% FF in different shaking times 5-10-20-30-60min at temperature 298K, solvent to feed ratio 1:1.

Table S3. Pure component distribution coefficients obtained by extraction with ten different DESs at different 298 K) at 1.01 bar from a starting solution consisting of 1wt% FF /HMF at solvent-to-feed ratio 1:1.

Table S4. Kamlet taft paramters for the 10 different DESs and toluene and ethanol as reference from literature.

Table S5. Distribution coefficients obtained by extraction with four selected DESs at 298K) at 1.01 bar from different strating solution consisting of 0.1-0.2-0.3-0.4-0.5-0.6-0.7-0.8-0.9-1.0wt% FF/HMF at solvent-to-feed ratio 1:1.

Table S6. Distribution coefficients obtained by extraction with four selected DESs at 298K) at 1.01 bar from different starting solution consisting of 1.0-3.0-5.0 wt% FF and 1.0-10.0-20.0wt% HMF at solvent-to-feed ratio 1:1.

Table S7. Distribution coefficient from FF in a ternary LLE system (DES/H₂O/FF).

Table S8. Distribution coefficient from HMF in a ternary LLE system (DES/H₂O/HMF).

Table S1. Pure-component PC-SAFT parameters for DESs, water, FF and HMF. FF was considered as non self-associating non-polar compound; cross-association was allowed between FF and all other components (“induced-association” approach). All other components were modeled as associating non-polar compound using the 2B association scheme.

| Compounds | $M_w/\text{g}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ | $m_{\text{seg},i}$ | $\sigma_i/\text{\AA}$ | $u_i/k_B \text{ (K)}$ | N_{site} | $\varepsilon^{\text{AiBi}}/k_B \text{ (K)}$ | k^{AiBi} |
|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| deca-lid 4:1 | 247.17 | 5.588 | 4.072 | 273.16 | 1 + 1 | 3952 | 0.00006 |
| deca-lid 3:1 | 201.54 | 6.479 | 3.591 | 247.80 | 1 + 1 | 2818 | 0.007 |
| deca-lid 2:1 | 192.95 | 6.802 | 3.473 | 249.44 | 1 + 1 | 2423 | 0.013 |
| deca-men | 164.27 | 4.897 | 3.721 | 229.72 | 1 + 1 | 2682 | 0.096 |
| deca-thy | 161.24 | 3.756 | 4.071 | 332.09 | 1 + 1 | 3909 | 0.00004 |
| deca-n8888Br | 297.10 | 15.482 | 3.158 | 317.42 | 1 + 1 | 5000 | 0.010 |
| thy-lid | 178.26 | 6.214 | 3.419 | 222.82 | 1 + 1 | 2409 | 0.096 |
| water | 18.02 | 1.205 | 2.793 | 353.95 | 1 + 1 | 2426 | 0.045 |
| FF | 96.08 | 3.071 | 3.356 | 320.08 | 1 + 1 | 0 | 0.045 |
| HMF | 126.44 | 2.310 | 4.038 | 320.38 | 1 + 1 | 3168 | 0.001 |

Table S2. Extraction coefficient of pure wt% HMF + 1wt% FF in different shaking times 5-10-20-30-60min at temperature 298K, solvent to feed ratio 1:1.

| Time (min) | K | |
|------------|-----|-----|
| | FF | HMF |
| 5 | 4,6 | 1,6 |
| 10 | 4,6 | 1,8 |
| 20 | 4,7 | 1,6 |
| 30 | 4,6 | 1,7 |
| 60 | 4,7 | 1,6 |

*Standard uncertainties are $u(T) = 1 \text{ K}$, $u(p) = 0.03 \text{ bar}$, $u(K) = 0.2$

Table S3. Distribution coefficients obtained by extraction with ten different DESs at different 298 K) at 1.01 bar from a starting solution consisting of 1wt% FF /HMF at solvent-to-feed ratio 1:1.

| DES | K-value FF | K-Value HMF |
|--------------|------------|-------------|
| deca-N8888br | 4.1 | 1.5 |
| deca-men | 2.5 | 0.3 |
| deca-thy | 12.0 | 1.7 |
| deca-atr | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| dode-atr | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| deca-lid 2:1 | 3.3 | 1.4 |
| deca-lid 3:1 | 3.2 | 1.0 |
| deca-lid 4:1 | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| thy-lid | 9.6 | 1.4 |
| dode-lid | 1.5 | 1.1 |

*Standard uncertainties are $u(T) = 1$ K, $u(p) = 0.03$ bar, $u(K) = 0.2$

Table S4. Kamlet taft paramters for the 10 different DESs and toluene and ethanol as reference from literature.

| | Et(30) | EtN | π | α | β |
|------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|---------|
| ethanol | 51.984 | 0.657 | 0.528 | 0.936 | 0.681 |
| Toluene | 71.122 | 1.248 | 0.652 | 1.573 | 0.564 |
| Deca-lid 2-1 | 54.459 | 0.733 | 0.87 | 1.018 | 1.661 |
| Deca-lid 3-1 | 54.459 | 0.733 | 1.021 | 1.018 | 0.761 |
| Deca-lid 4-1 | 54.459 | 0.733 | 1.184 | 1.018 | 0.214 |
| Deca-Thy 1-1 | 52.364 | 0.669 | 0.422 | 0.948 | 0.587 |
| Deca-N8888Br 2-1 | 60.192 | 0.91 | 1.99 | 1.209 | 0.232 |
| Deca-ATR 2-1 | 60.446 | 0.918 | 0.652 | 1.218 | 0.107 |
| Deca-Men 1-1 | 51.515 | 0.642 | 0.831 | 0.92 | 0.176 |
| Dode-lid 2-1 | 54.877 | 0.746 | 0.632 | 1.032 | 0.465 |
| Dode-ATR 2-1 | 60.703 | 0.926 | 0.632 | 1.226 | 0.084 |
| Thy-Lid 2-1 | 62.02 | 0.967 | 0.752 | 1.27 | 0.385 |

Table S5. Distribution coefficients obtained by extraction with four selected DESs at 298K) at 1.01 bar from different starting solution consisting of 0.1-0.2-0.3-0.4-0.5-0.6-0.7-0.8-0.9-1.0wt% FF/HMF at solvent-to-feed ratio 1:1.

| conc wt% | K FF | | | | K HMF | | | |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|
| | deca-thy | deca-men | deca-N8888Br | thy-lid | deca-thy | deca-men | deca-N8888Br | thy-lid |
| 0.1 | 11.4 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 9.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 0.2 | 10.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 9.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 0.3 | 11.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 9.6 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 0.4 | 11.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 9.6 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 0.5 | 11.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 9.7 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 0.6 | 11.8 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 9.5 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 0.7 | 11.5 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 0.8 | 11.2 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 9.8 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 0.9 | 11.2 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 9.6 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 1 | 11.1 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 9.6 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 |

*Standard uncertainties are $u(T) = 1$ K. $u(p) = 0.03$ bar. $u(K) = 0.2$

Table S6. Distribution coefficients obtained by extraction with four selected DESs at 298K) at 1.01 bar from different starting solution consisting of 1.0-3.0-5.0 wt% FF and 1.0-10.0-20.0wt% HMF at solvent-to-feed ratio 1:1.

| DES | 1 wt% FF | 3 wt% FF | 5 wt% FF | 1 wt% HMF | 10 wt% HMF | 20 wt% HMF |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| deca-men | 2.1 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.39 |
| deca-thy | 11.1 | 10.3 | 11.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| thy-lid | 9.6 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| deca-n8888Br | 3.6 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

*Standard uncertainties are $u(T) = 1$ K. $u(p) = 0.03$ bar. $u(K) = 0.2$

Table S7.Distribution coefficient from FF in a ternary LLE system (DES/H₂O/FF)

| DES | K _{exp} | k _{DES:water} =0 |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| deca-lid 2:1 | 3.28 | 1.97 |
| deca-lid 3:1 | 3.17 | 2.6 |
| deca-lid 4:1 | 3.09 | 2.17 |
| deca-men | 2.12 | 2.73 |
| deca-thy | 11.06 | 7.28 |
| thy-lid | 9.63 | 3.75 |
| deca-N8888Br | 3.63 | 3.55 |

*Calculations with k(DES.H₂O) fitted to LLE. K(FF.H₂O)=-0.007 and k(DES.FF)=0

Table S8. Distribution coefficient from HMF in a ternary LLE system (DES/H₂O/HMF)

| DES | K _{exp} | k _{DES:water=0} | k _{DES:water ≠ 0} | k _{DES:HMF ≠ 0} |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| deca-lid 2:1 | 1.40 | 0.88 | 0.66 | - |
| deca-lid 3:1 | 1.00 | 0.76 | 0.71 | - |
| deca-lid 4:1 | 0.82 | 0.42 | 0.41 | - |
| deca-men | 0.32 | 0.80 | 0.78 | - |
| deca-thy | 1.73 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 1.74 |
| thy-lid | 1.35 | 1.13 | 0.25 | 1.35 |
| deca-N8888Br | 1.48 | 0.15 | 0.66 | 1.48 |

Table S9. k_{ij} values between water+HMF, water+FF, water+DES and between HMF+DES used in this work.

| Pair | k _{ij} | Reference |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| HMF /water | -0.042 | 32 |
| FF/water | -0.01 | This work. fitted to LLE FF-water (see SI) |
| deca-lid 4:1/water | -0.0633 | 24 |
| deca-lid 3:1/water | -0.0518 | 24 |
| deca-lid 2:1/water | -0.0645 | 24 |
| deca-men /water | 0.0417 | 24 |
| deca-thy /water | 0.028 | 24 |
| deca-n8888Br/water | 0.0184 | 24 |
| thy-lid /water | 0.0655 | 24 |
| deca-thy /HMF | -0.0318 | 24 |
| deca-n8888Br / HMF | -0.0485 | 24 |
| thy-lid /HMF | 0.0065 | 24 |