Supporting Information:

# The use of pharmaceutical physiochemical properties to model their uptake by a fish primary gill cell culture epithelium

## Elisabeth Chang<sup>1</sup>, Christer Hogstrand<sup>1</sup>, Thomas H. Miller<sup>2</sup>, Stewart F. Owen<sup>3</sup>, Nic R. Bury<sup>1,4</sup>

1.King's College London, Division of Diabetes and Nutritional Sciences, Franklin Wilkins Building, 150 Stamford Street, London, SE1 9NH, United Kingdom

2. King's College London, Division of Analytical, Environmental and Forensic Sciences, Franklin Wilkins Building, 150 Stamford Street, London, SE1 9NH, United Kingdom

3. AstraZeneca, Global Environment, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TF, United Kingdom.

4. University of Suffolk, School of Science, Technology and Engineering, James Hehir Building, University Quays, Ipswich, Suffolk, IP3 0AQ, United Kingdom.

The Supporting Information includes information on the cytotoxicity of the pharmaceuticals in primary gill cells (MTT assay), *in vivo* BCF data from literature, the HPLC method performance assessment, linear regression analysis of uptake rates in relation to the chemical descriptors and modelling supplementary information includes the scores, loadings, modified weights and cross-validation for PCA and PLS; 14 pages, 4 figures, 9 tables.

## **Supporting Information**

# List of Figures

**Figure S1**. Pharmaceutical toxicity following 24 hours of exposure of primary gill cell culture to 1µg/ml as measured by MTT 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay.

**Figure S2:** Linear regression analysis was used to identify the relationships between uptake and the various chemical parameters (molecular weight (MW), polar surface area (PSA), distribution constant coefficient (log D), octanol water partition coefficient (log K<sub>ow</sub>), solubility (log S), and p $K_a$  and uptake rate following 24 hour exposure in FIGCS using GraphPad 6.0 Prism. Relationships were as follows: LogS, R=0.74, P=0.02, pKa R = 0.53, p= 0.12, molecular weight, R= 0.55, P=0.1; LogD, R=0.57, P= 0.09; Log Kow, R=0.2, P=0.58 and PSA, R=0.25 and P=0.5.

**Figure S3:** Scree plot of PCA modelling indicating the explained variance from each latent variable.

**Figure S4:** R<sup>2</sup> (triangles) and cumulative Q<sup>2</sup> (circles) for each additional latent variable used in the PLS regression model

# List of Tables

 Table S1. HPLC instrument and method linearity.

 Table S2. HPLC performance, intra- and interday reproducibility.

**Table S3:** Pharmaceutical uptake rate across the fish gill cell culture system.

Table S4: Explained variance of latent variables in PCA model

Table S5: Scores of PCA model

Table S6: Loadings of PCA model

Table S7: Modified weights of undeflated vectors to calculate PLS scores

**Table S8**: Internal concentrations from *in vivo* BCF experiments from the literature and predicted K<sub>1</sub>,  $LC_{50}$  and BCF from various QSAR applications. The linear regression relationship between rate and predicted K<sub>1</sub>,  $LC_{50}$  and BCF is given in the table with r<sup>2</sup> and p values.

Table S9: Descriptor collinearity assessment using Pearson's correlation coefficient



**Figure S1**. Pharmaceutical toxicity following 24 hours of exposure of primary gill cell culture to  $1\mu$ g/ml as measured by MTT 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay.

## Table S1. HPLC instrument and method linearity.

	Retention time (R <sub>t</sub> )	Instrument linearity	Method Linearity (0.5-2ug mL <sup>-1</sup> )	LOD	LOQ	Recovery (RSD) (%)		
Compounds	R <sub>t</sub> (SD)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	ng mL-1	ng mL-1	0.5 µg mL <sup>.1</sup>	1 µg mL-1	2 µg mL <sup>.1</sup>
Warfarin	11.3 (0.001)	0.999	0.997	46.2	153.9	116 (17)	110 (9)	108 (4)
Ibuprofen	12.3 (0.016)	0.999	0.999	51.6	172.1	115 (7)	122 (14)	114 (6)
	14.4 (0.008)							
Gemfibrozil	15.7 (0.035)	0.999	0.995	58.4	194.6	80 (12)	97 (5)	120 (1)
Diclofenac	12.1 (0.11)	0.999	0.995	48.9	163.1	82 (13)	95 (6)	118 (2)
Ketoprofen	10.9 (0.014)	0.999	0.996	56.7	189.0	81 (18)	94 (7)	111 (2)
Norethindrone	15.8 (0.001)	0.999	0.973	13.4	44.6	96 (17)	120 (10)	112 (18)
Beclomethasone	14.7 (0.008)	0.995	0.963	108.3	361.0	104 (8)	109 (18)	108 (4)
Carbamazepine	14.2 (0.002)	0.996	0.998	6.9	23.0	84 (15)	97 (6)	108 (4)

	Precision (RSD%)			Intraday repeatability (RSD%)			Interday reproducibility (RSD%)		
Compounds	0.5 µg mL-1	1 µg mL-1	2 µg mL-1	0.5 μg mL <sup>-1</sup>	1 µg mL-1	2 µg mL-1	0.5 µg mL⁻¹	1 µg mL-1	2 µg mL-1
Warfarin	9.2	7.5	6.8	4.7	8.8	7.1	8.8	8.4	6.7
Ibuprofen	15.7	16.4	6.2	2.0	14.0	6.1	14.2	13.5	5.9
Gemfibrozil	12.5	12.5	15.9	7.5	4.8	4.4	11.9	17.3	12.9
Diclofenac	15.3	11.9	16.7	1.0	6.2	2.1	13.1	10.6	13.3
Ketoprofen	13.8	9.6	16.2	0.6	7.2	1.5	11.6	8.6	12.9
Norethindrone	19.2	13.9	16.0	12.0	10.4	18.3	16.2	12.5	16.6
Beclomethasone	17.8	17.5	17.7	17.0	18.2	13.8	14.0	13.5	5.9
Carbamazepine	11.1	6.3	11.4	2.7	6.0	0.3	9.4	5.6	9.6

**Table S2**. HPLC performance, intra- and interday reproducibility.

**Table S3:** Pharmaceutical uptake rate across the fish gill cell culture system.

Compound	Rate (nmoles cm <sup>-2</sup> hour <sup>-1</sup> )	SEM
Acetazolamide	0.125013	0.031925
Beclomethasone	0.02047	0.01504
Carbamazepine	0.021583	0.004528
Diclofenac	0.026767	0.003236
Gemfibrozil	0.079864	0.007231
Ibuprofen	0.071835	0.01279
Ketoprofen	0.06103	0.006528
Norethindrone	0.024397	0.003452
Propranolol	0.09507	0.025883
Warfarin	0.070062	0.011612



**Figure S2:** Linear regression analysis was used to identify the relationships between uptake and the various chemical parameters (molecular weight (MW), polar surface area (PSA), distribution constant coefficient (log D), octanol water partition coefficient (log K<sub>ow</sub>), solubility (log S), and pK<sub>a</sub> and uptake rate following 24-hour exposure in FIGCS using GraphPad 6.0 Prism. Relationships were as follows: LogS, R=0.74, *p*=0.02, pKa R = 0.53, *p* = 0.12, molecular weight, R= 0.55, *p* =0.1; LogD, R=0.57, *p* = 0.09; Log Kow, R=0.2, *p* =0.58 and PSA, R=0.25 and *p* =0.5.



**Figure S3:** Scree plot of PCA modelling indicating the explained variance from each latent variable.

Table S4: Explained variance of latent variables in PCA model

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
Standard deviation	1.6947	1.1295	1.0479	0.8207	0.24138	0.15029
Proportion of Variance	0.4787	0.2126	0.183	0.1123	0.00971	0.00376
Cumulative Proportion	0.4787	0.6913	0.8743	0.9865	0.99624	1

Table S5: Scores of PCA model

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
Warfarin	-0.85079	1.469985	0.691167	0.584021	0.448307	-0.04357
Ibuprofen	-1.70097	-0.01837	-0.54258	-0.34789	-0.19798	0.042981
Beclomethasone	3.838893	0.110819	1.314671	-0.05427	-0.03953	0.08737
Gemfibrozil	-0.229	-0.93022	-0.2776	-1.78083	0.107045	0.029887
Diclofenac	0.021939	1.406396	0.122817	-0.60137	-0.18493	0.11393
Ketoprofen	-1.28596	1.384867	0.158539	0.248955	-0.27851	-0.09058
Norethindrone	1.902831	-0.23556	-1.46421	0.295873	-0.07271	-0.30454
Carbamazepine	0.090676	-0.84291	-1.16296	1.291927	-0.05341	0.256637
Acetazolamide	-1.4226	-1.8908	1.82404	0.480732	-0.10327	-0.10505
Propranolol	-0.36501	-0.4542	-0.66389	-0.11714	0.374977	0.012947

## Table S6: Loadings of PCA model

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
p <i>K</i> a	0.40684	-0.31423	-0.35568	0.614737	-0.03304	-0.47984
logS	-0.44355	-0.49347	0.076853	0.381939	0.539263	0.34229
logD	0.566621	-0.15601	-0.16682	0.041751	-0.17226	0.771592
logK <sub>ow</sub>	0.20122	-0.68362	-0.00624	-0.65072	0.141672	-0.2205
MW	0.504726	0.334746	0.291989	-0.01276	0.736335	-0.07475
PSA	0.146439	-0.23241	0.868598	0.225562	-0.34084	-0.05504



**Figure S4:**  $R^2$  (triangles) and cumulative  $Q^2$  (circles) for each additional latent variable used in the PLS regression model

Table S7: Modified weights of undeflated vectors to calculate PLS scores

w*1	w*2
-0.40418	-0.06206
0.567583	0.247217
-0.52421	-0.00026
0.153933	0.744307
-0.42475	0.233071
0.188649	0.647016
	w*1 -0.40418 0.567583 -0.52421 0.153933 -0.42475 0.188649

Compound	Exposure conditions	Plasma concentration ng/mL Ref		K <sub>1</sub>	LC <sub>50</sub>	BCF
				(L Kg⁻¹ d⁻¹)	(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(L Kg⁻¹)
Acetazolamide	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.402	8170	0.90
Beclomethasone	pH 7.4, 21 day exposure, fathead minnow. Note the diproprionate is rapidly metabolized and this study reports the combined values	60 ± 35 ng/mL at 21 days exposure to 816 ng/L	Margiotta-Casaluci et al. 2016	6.420	318	8.95
Carbamazepine	pH 7.7 10 days, rainbow trout	25 ng/mL after 10 days exposed to 43 $\mu\text{g/L}$	Lahti et al 2011	4.244	40.9	19.30
Diclofenac	pH 7.6, 48h and 21 day, fathead minnow	205 ng/mL after 48h exposure to 24 μg/L.	Bickley et al 2017	3.550	37.7	728.60
		26 ng/mL after 21 days exposed to 0.95 μg/L				
Gemfibrozil	pH 7.6, 6h exposure, zebrafish	183 ng/mL after 6h exposure to 12.5 μg/L	Chen et al 2017	8.667	6.73	905.70
lbuprofen	pH 7.4, fathead minnow 24h (but time course in paper)	550 ng/mL after 24h exposed to 107 μg/L	Patel et al 2016	4.607	41.6	223.90
Ketoprofen	pH 7.4, rainbow trout 14 day exposure	1 ng/mL after 14 days exposure to 91 μg/L	Cuklev et al 2012	1.829	264	95.91
Norethindrone	pH 7.4, 7 days	950 ng/mL exposed to 83 μg/L for 7 days	Nallani et al 2012	5.813	53.5	74.34
Propranolol	pH 7.4, 28 days, rainbow trout	0.94 ng/mL after 28 days exposed to 0.92 μg/L	Owen et al 2009	5.706	3270	1.38
Warfarin	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.604	30.2	20.00

# Table S8 Internal pharmaceutical concentrations from in vivo experiments and predicted K1, LC50 and BCF values

 $K_1$  calculated from Arnot and Gobas, 2003: A Generic QSAR for Assessing the Bioaccumulation Potential of Organic Chemicals in Aquatic Food Webs. Linear regression analysis of K1 and *in vitro* pharmaceutical uptake gave r<sup>2</sup>=0.039, p= 0.585.

 $LC_{50}$  predicted using ECOSAR application 2.0. Linear regression analysis of  $LC_{50}$  and *in vitro* pharmaceutical uptake gave r<sup>2</sup>=0.559, p=0.013.

BCF predicted using BCFBAF application in EpiSuite. Linear regression analysis of  $LC_{50}$  and *in vitro* pharmaceutical uptake gave r<sup>2</sup>=0.003, p=0.875.

#### References:

Margiotta-Casaluci, L.; Owen, S.F.; Huerta, B.; Rodriguez-Mozaz, S.; Kugathas, S.; Barcelo, D.; Rand-Weaver, M.; Sumpter, J.P. Internal exposure dynamics drive the Adverse Outcome Pathways of synthetic glucocorticoids in fish. *Sci. Rep* **2016**, *6*, 21978.

Lahti, M.; Brozinski, J.M.; Jylha, A.; Kronberg, L.; Oikari, A., Uptake from water, biotransformation, and biliary excretion of pharmaceuticals by rainbow trout. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2011**, *30*, 1403-1411.

Bickley, L.K.; van Aerle, R.; Brown, A.R.; Hargreaves, A.; Huby, R.; Cammack, V.; Jackson, R.; Santos, E.M.; Tyler, C.R. Bioavailability and kidney responses to diclofenac in the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 1764-1774.

Chen, F.; Gong, Z.; Kelly, B.C. Bioaccumulation behavior of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in adult zebrafish (Danio rerio): influence of physical-chemical properties and biotransformation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 11085-11095.

Patel A.; Panter G.H.; Trollope H.T.; Glennon Y.C.; Owen S.F.; Sumpter J.P.; Rand-Weaver M. Testing the "read-across hypothesis" by investigating the effects of ibuprofen on fish. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *163*, 592-600.

Cuklev, F.; Fick, J.; Cvijovic, M.; Kristiansson, E.; Forlin, L.; Larsson, D.G. Does ketoprofen or diclofenac pose the lowest risk to fish? *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2012**, *229-230*, 100-106.

Nallani, G.C.; Paulos, P.M.; Venables, B.J.; Edziyie, R.E.; Constantine, L.A.; Huggett, D.B. Tissue-specific uptake and bioconcentration of the oral contraceptive norethindrone in two freshwater fishes. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **2012**, *62*, 306-313.

Owen, S.F.; Huggett, D.B.; Hutchinson, T.H.; Hetheridge, M.J.; Kinter, L.B.; Ericson, J.F.; Sumpter, J.P. Uptake of propranolol, a cardiovascular pharmaceutical, from water into fish plasma and its effects on growth and organ biometry. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **2009**, *93*, 217-224.

	рКа	logS	logD	logKow	Mw	PSA
рКа	1.00					
logS	-0.20	1.00				
logD	0.80	-0.63	1.00			
logKow	0.24	0.01	0.44	1.00		
Mw	0.34	-0.81	0.69	0.01	1.00	)
PSA	0.02	0.08	0.13	0.18	0.38	3 1.00

 Table S9: Descriptor collinearity assessment using Pearson's correlation coefficient