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## 1: Constants and Target Properties

Table 1: Table of constants and experimental values used in this work.

| Property | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{G}$ | 1.86 D |
| $\alpha$ | $1.414 \AA^{3}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ | 1.78 |
| $\varepsilon_{0}$ | 78.4 |
| $C_{Q M}$ | $0.29 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| $\Delta H_{\text {Vap }}$ | $44.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| $\Delta G_{\text {Hyd }}$ | $-26.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |

## 2: Data for Heat of Vaporisation Calculations

Table 2: Raw data from Molecular Dynamics simulations of various water models that were used to calculate the respective heats of vaporisation. All energies are given in units of $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

| Model | Dipole (D) | $U_{E l}$ | $U_{L J}$ | $U_{\text {Total }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPC | 2.27 | -48.7 | 7.02 | -41.7 |
| SPCE | 2.35 | -55.7 | 8.87 | -46.8 |
| TIP3P | 2.35 | -46.1 | 6.02 | -40.1 |
| TIP4P | 2.18 | -48.8 | 7.36 | -41.4 |
| TIP4PEw | 2.32 | -55.0 | 8.60 | -46.4 |
| TIP4P2005 | 2.305 | -56.0 | 8.28 | -47.8 |
| OPC | 2.48 | -59.0 | 7.58 | -51.4 |
| TIP4P-FB | 2.428 | -58.0 | 8.72 | -49.3 |
| H2ODC | 2.42 | -58.0 | 9.82 | -48.2 |
| TIP4P- $\varepsilon$ | 2.4345 | -58.2 | 8.46 | -49.7 |
| TIP4PIce | 2.426 | -63.4 | 9.41 | -54.0 |

Table 3: Calculated heat of vaporisation data for various water models with three different polarisation corrections. All energies are given in units of $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

| Model | Berendsen Correction | $\Delta H_{\text {Vap }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | Berendsen | New | Uncertainty |
| SPC | 3.58 | 43.9 | 40.3 | 45.3 | $\pm 0.009$ |
| SPCE | 5.11 | 49.0 | 43.8 | 50.4 | $\pm 0.02$ |
| TIP3P | 5.11 | 42.3 | 37.1 | 43.7 | $\pm 0.011$ |
| TIP4P | 2.18 | 43.6 | 41.4 | 45.0 | $\pm 0.015$ |
| TIP4PEw | 4.51 | 48.6 | 44.1 | 50.0 | $\pm 0.019$ |
| TIP4P2005 | 4.22 | 50.0 | 45.7 | 51.4 | $\pm 0.015$ |
| OPC | 8.19 | 53.6 | 45.4 | 55.0 | $\pm 0.024$ |
| TIP4P-FB | 6.87 | 51.4 | 44.6 | 52.8 | $\pm 0.006$ |
| H2ODC | 6.68 | 50.4 | 43.7 | 51.8 | $\pm 0.013$ |
| TIP4P- | 7.03 | 51.9 | 44.9 | 53.3 | $\pm 0.010$ |
| TIP4PIce | 6.82 | 56.2 | 49.3 | 57.6 | $\pm 0.03$ |

## 3: Data for Free Energy of Hydration Calculations

Table 4: Raw data from Molecular Dynamics simulations of various water models that were used to calculate the respective free energies of hydration. All energies are given in units of $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

| Model | Dipole (D) | $\Delta G_{E l}$ | $\Delta G_{L J}$ | $\Delta G_{\text {Total }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPC | 2.27 | -35.3 | 9.17 | -25.8 |
| SPCE | 2.35 | -39.2 | 9.43 | -29.5 |
| TIP3P | 2.35 | -34.7 | 9.18 | -25.3 |
| TIP4P | 2.18 | -34.8 | 9.29 | -25.2 |
| TIP4PEw | 2.32 | -38.5 | 9.26 | -28.9 |
| TIP4P2005 | 2.305 | -38.8 | 8.79 | -29.8 |
| OPC | 2.48 | -42.0 | 8.48 | -33.2 |
| TIP4P-FB | 2.428 | -40.9 | 8.95 | -31.7 |
| H2ODC | 2.42 | -40.7 | 9.98 | -30.4 |
| TIP4P- | 2.4345 | -40.8 | 8.80 | -31.7 |
| TIP4PIce | 2.426 | -42.9 | 7.93 | -34.6 |

Table 5: Calculated free energy of hydration data for various water models with three different polarisation corrections. All energies are given in units of $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

| Model | Berendsen Correction | $\Delta G_{H y d}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | Berendsen | New | Uncertainty |
| SPC | 3.58 | -25.8 | -22.3 | -27.2 | $\pm 0.15$ |
| SPCE | 5.11 | -29.5 | -24.4 | -30.9 | $\pm 0.19$ |
| TIP3P | 5.11 | -25.3 | -20.2 | -26.7 | $\pm 0.14$ |
| TIP4P | 2.18 | -25.2 | -23.0 | -26.6 | $\pm 0.17$ |
| TIP4PEw | 4.51 | -28.9 | -24.4 | -30.3 | $\pm 0.18$ |
| TIP4P2005 | 4.22 | -29.8 | -25.5 | -31.2 | $\pm 0.2$ |
| OPC | 8.19 | -33.2 | -25.0 | -34.6 | $\pm 0.19$ |
| TIP4P-FB | 6.87 | -31.7 | -24.8 | -33.1 | $\pm 0.2$ |
| H2ODC | 6.68 | -30.4 | -23.7 | -31.8 | $\pm 0.17$ |
| TIP4P- $\varepsilon$ | 7.03 | -31.7 | -24.7 | -33.1 | $\pm 0.2$ |
| TIP4PIce | 6.82 | -34.6 | -27.8 | -36.0 | $\pm 0.3$ |

## 4: Polarisability Tensors

Table 6: All non-zero components of the polarization tensors used in this work given in atomic units. $\alpha=$ dipole-dipole polarizability matrix, $\mathrm{A}=$ dipole-quadrupole and $\mathrm{C}=$ quadrupole-quadrupole. ${ }^{*}=\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{aug}-\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVQZ}=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3], \dagger=\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pv}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{z}, \ddagger=$ $[5 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{~d} / 3 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{p}], \diamond=\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{aug}-\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVQZ}=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3]$.

| Polarisability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | B3LYP $\dagger$ | B3LYP* | MP2* | CCSD* | CCSD $(\mathrm{T}) \ddagger$ | CCSD(T) $\diamond$ |
| $\alpha_{x, x}$ | 5.517 | 9.769 | 9.488 | 8.976 | 9.70 | 9.25 |
| $\alpha_{y, y}$ | 8.510 | 10.204 | 9.942 | 9.712 | 10.06 | 9.874 |
| $\alpha_{z, z}$ | 7.285 | 9.965 | 9.691 | 9.310 | 9.71 | 9.529 |
| $A_{x, x z}$ | -1.42 | -1.12 | -1.08 | -0.88 | 0.98 | -1.04 |
| $A_{y, y z}$ | -6.50 | -5.47 | -4.96 | -5.01 | -2.08 | -5.11 |
| $A_{z, x x}$ | 3.93 | 2.96 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 3.64 | 2.72 |
| $A_{z, y y}$ | -3.57 | -2.06 | -1.79 | -2.00 | -3.25 | -1.93 |
| $A_{z, z z}$ | 0.36 | -0.89 | -0.86 | -0.65 | -0.39 | -0.79 |
| $C_{x x, x x}$ | 5.97 | 18.23 | 16.27 | 15.18 | 13.73 | 15.65 |
| $C_{x x, y y}$ | -4.63 | -9.26 | -8.31 | -7.87 | -8.44 | -8.09 |
| $C_{x x, z z}$ | -1.34 | -8.96 | -7.96 | -7.30 | -5.29 | -7.57 |
| $C_{y y, y y}$ | 8.75 | 16.55 | 15.03 | 14.29 | 13.58 | 14.67 |
| $C_{y y, z z}$ | -4.12 | -7.29 | -6.72 | -6.42 | -5.14 | -6.59 |
| $C_{z z, z z}$ | 5.46 | 16.26 | 14.68 | 13.72 | 10.43 | 14.15 |
| $C_{x y, x y}$ | 4.63 | 12.84 | 11.51 | 10.73 | 6.90 | 11.10 |
| $C_{x z, x z}$ | 3.20 | 12.97 | 11.59 | 10.75 | 5.99 | 11.13 |
| $C_{y z, y z}$ | 7.82 | 13.96 | 12.56 | 12.03 | 8.02 | 12.34 |

## 5: Detailed Polarisation Corrections

Table 7: Distortion correction for different sets of multipoles and polarisability matrices at various levels of theory. ${ }^{*}=\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{aug}-\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVQZ}=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3], \dagger=\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pv}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{z}, \ddagger=$ $[5 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{~d} / 3 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{p}], \diamond=\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{aug}-\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVQZ}=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3]$.

|  | Multipole Distortion Correction $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation | B3LYP $\dagger$ | B3LYP $*$ | MP2 $*$ | CCSD $*$ | CCSD $(\mathrm{T}) \ddagger$ | CCSD(T) $\diamond$ |
| AIMD (2) | 13.4 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 8.2 |
| QM/4MM | 23.9 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 12.8 |
| QM/230TIP5P | 21.7 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 13.1 | 12.5 |
| QM/4TIP5P | 36.7 | 18.5 | 19.5 | 20.3 | 21.0 | 19.7 |
| AIMD (3) | 80.2 | 35.9 | 38.1 | 40.4 | 42.5 | 38.8 |
| AIMD (1) | 78.6 | 37.9 | 40.1 | 41.3 | 43.4 | 40.7 |

Table 8: Values of the cavity radius for different sets of multipoles and polarisability matrices at various levels of theory. ${ }^{*}=\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{aug}-\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVQZ}=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3], \dagger=\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pv}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{z}, \ddagger$ $=[5 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{~d} / 3 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{p}], \diamond=\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{aug}-\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVQZ}=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3]$.

|  |  | Cavity Radius $(\AA)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation | B3LYP $\dagger$ | B3LYP $*$ | MP2 $^{*}$ | CCSD ${ }^{*}$ | CCSD $(\mathrm{T}) \ddagger$ | CCSD $(\mathrm{T}) \diamond$ |
| AIMD (2) | 1.638 | 1.835 | 1.818 | 1.794 | 1.825 | 1.808 |
| QM/4MM | 1.598 | 1.789 | 1.773 | 1.750 | 1.780 | 1.763 |
| QM/230TIP5P | 1.562 | 1.750 | 1.734 | 1.711 | 1.740 | 1.724 |
| QM/4TIP5P | 1.495 | 1.675 | 1.659 | 1.638 | 1.666 | 1.650 |
| AIMD (3) | 1.427 | 1.598 | 1.584 | 1.563 | 1.590 | 1.575 |
| AIMD (1) | 1.408 | 1.577 | 1.563 | 1.537 | 1.569 | 1.554 |

Table 9: Electronic polarisation correction for different sets of multipoles and polarisability matrices at various levels of theory using the cavity radii from Table 8: * $=$ d-aug-cc$\mathrm{pVQZ}=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3], \dagger=\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pv}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{z}, \ddagger=[5 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{~d} / 3 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{p}], \diamond=\mathrm{d}$-aug-cc-pVQZ $=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3]$

|  | Electronic Solvation Correction (kJ/mol) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation | B3LYP $\dagger$ | B3LYP ${ }^{*}$ | MP2 ${ }^{*}$ | CCSD $^{*}$ | CCSD $(\mathrm{T}) \ddagger$ | CCSD $(\mathrm{T}) \diamond$ |
| AIMD(2) | -25.6 | -16.5 | -17.2 | -18.0 | -17.0 | -17.4 |
| QM/4MM | -31.1 | -19.9 | -20.8 | -21.7 | -20.5 | -21.1 |
| QM/230TIP5P | -33.5 | -21.5 | -22.5 | -23.5 | -22.2 | -22.8 |
| QM/4TIP5P | -44.2 | -28.3 | -29.6 | -30.9 | -29.1 | -29.9 |
| AIMD (3) | -64.6 | -40.8 | -42.7 | -44.7 | -42.1 | -43.3 |
| AIMD (1) | -68.4 | -43.4 | -45.4 | -48.1 | -44.7 | -46.0 |

Table 10: Individual components of the various multipole-multipole interactions of water that arise when they are distorted in moving from the gas to the liquid phase. $*=\mathrm{d}$-aug-cc$\mathrm{pVQZ}=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3], \dagger=\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pv}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{z}, \ddagger=[5 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{~d} / 3 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{p}], \diamond=\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{aug}-\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVQZ}$ $=[7 \mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} / 6 \mathrm{~s} 5 \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~d} 3]$

|  | Polarisation Correction $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | B3LYP $\dagger$ | B3LYP $*$ | MP2 $*$ | CCSD $*$ | CCSD $(\mathrm{T}) \ddagger$ | CCSD $(\mathrm{T}) \diamond$ |
| Dipole-Dipole | 64.5 | 25.9 | 27.0 | 28.2 | 31.3 | 27.4 |
| Dipole-Quadrupole | -42.3 | -4.9 | -4.9 | -6.1 | -10.3 | -5.4 |
| Quadrupole-Quadrupole | 58.1 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 18.2 | 21.5 | 16.8 |
| Multipole Distortion Total | 80.2 | 35.9 | 38.1 | 40.4 | 42.5 | 38.8 |
| Dipole | -29.1 | -20.7 | -21.5 | -22.1 | -21.2 | -21.6 |
| Quadrupole | -35.5 | -20.2 | -21.3 | -22.5 | -20.9 | -21.7 |
| Electronic Solvation Total | -64.6 | -40.8 | -42.7 | -44.7 | -42.1 | -43.3 |
| Overall Correction | 15.6 | -5.0 | -4.7 | -4.3 | 0.40 | -4.5 |

## 6: Dipole - Quadrupole Fits Used for Parametric Study

The following plots (Figures S1 and S2) and fits were made using the data in Table 2.


Figure 1: Fit of major quadrupole magnitude $\left(\Theta_{2}\right)$ to dipole magnitude $(\mu)$ for the parametric study. Fit: $\Theta_{2}=0.664 \mu^{2}-2.50 \mu+4.92, R^{2}=0.93$.


Figure 2: Fit of minor quadrupole magnitude $\left(\Theta_{0}\right)$ to dipole magnitude ( $\mu$ ) for the parametric study. Fit: $\Theta_{2}=0.0924 \mu^{2}-0.302 \mu+0.341, R^{2}=0.48$.

## 7: Analysis of AIMD Trajectory

The output files provided by Schienbein und Marx consisted of coordinates of all atoms (H and O ) and maximally localised Wannier functions (X) from each frame of their trajectory. These were analysed using an in-house Python script. Although the dipole is origin independent, the traceless quadrupole is not. For this reason the coordinates of all water molecules were altered so that the oxygen atom lay at the origin. Then all water molecules were rotated so that they lay along the same plane. Subsequently the dipoles were calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}=\sum_{l} r_{i, l} q_{l} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ refers to the coordinate of the atom along the $i$-axis and $l$ refers to the atom in the molecule. The overall dipole was then calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\sqrt{\mu_{x}^{2}+\mu_{y}^{2}+\mu_{z}^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For quadrupoles:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{i j}=\sum_{l} q_{l}\left(3 r_{i, l} r_{j, l}-\left\|\mathbf{r}_{1}\right\|^{2} \delta_{i j}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ and $j$ are refer to the coordinates of atom $l$ along the $i$ - and $j$-axes respectively. $\delta_{i} j$ is the Kronecker delta. $\left\|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{l}}\right\|$ is the magnitude of the vector $\mathbf{r}_{1}$. The charges $\left(q_{l}\right)$ of the ion centres $(\mathrm{O}$ and H$)$ correspond to the number of outer electrons of each but positive, since these are treated as nuclei; $q_{O}$ is hence +6 e and $q_{H}$ is +1 e . Each Wannier function has a charge of -2e since it corresponds to a localised coordinate of one orbital.

Since the calculations of Schienbein and Marx were carried out at the quantum level, the angles and bond lengths of the individual water molecules were not constrained. This meant that some were not symmetrical, leading to non-diagonal elements of the $3 \times 3$ quadrupole matrix being non-zero. In order to make all molecules comparable the quadrupole matrices
were diagonalised so that all off-diagonal elements were equal to zero. The effect of this was minor (less than a percent difference in the quadrupole magnitudes) and was done purely for the sake of consistency.

The major $\left(\Theta_{2}\right)$ and minor $\left(\Theta_{0}\right)$ quadrupoles were then computed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Theta_{2}=\Theta_{z z}+\frac{1}{2} \Theta_{x x}  \tag{4}\\
\Theta_{0}=\Theta_{x x} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mu, \Theta_{0}, \Theta_{2}$ were calculated for every molecule in every frame of the trajectory, 12,928 in total. These were then summed and divided by the total number of molecules $(12,928)$ to give the average value of each property. These were then binned to provide a distribution of each property. Similarly, for every molecule the necessary polarisation correction was calculated, summed and averaged in order to give the average polarisation correction per molecule.

The distributions for the dipole and major quadrupole seem very similar, both exhibiting a sharp maximum. However, plotting the major quadrupole with respect to the dipole for each molecule showed no correlation between the two properties (see Figure S3). The same is true for the minor quadrupole (Figure S4), which in any case shows a much wider distribution than the other two multipoles.


Figure 3: Relationship between the dipole $(\mu)$ and the major quadrupole $\left(\Theta_{2}\right)$. Calculated from data provided by Schienbein and Marx. There are 12,928 data points (101 frames, 128 water molecules per frame).


Figure 4: Relationship between the dipole $(\mu)$ and the minor quadrupole $\left(\Theta_{0}\right)$. Calculated from data provided by Schienbein and Marx. There are 12,928 data points (101 frames, 128 water molecules per frame).

## 8: Molecular Dynamics Results with Corrections



Figure 5: Deviations between simulation and experiment for the hydration free energy and enthalpy of vaporisation of 11 different non-polarisable water models (as shown in Table 1) with the polarisation corrections derived in this work applied to them. Fixed R refers to the correction when using a fixed cavity radius corresponding to that of the liquid density. Variable $R$ refers to using a variable cavity radius. Generation I models are represented by solid circles, Generation II by open squares and Generation III models by shaded diamonds.

