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Figure S1. Chemical structures of the compounds selected for the comparative calculation. $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of the fragile $\mathrm{D}-\pi$ bonds (those labeled in red) were calculated.

Table S1. Experimental Values and Calculated Values at Different Levels of the Selected Compounds in Figure S1

| method | experimental | B3LYP | B3LYP | M06-2X | M06-2X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BDE $(\mathrm{eV})$ | value $^{a}$ | $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ | $6-311+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ | $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ | $6-311+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | 3.53 | 3.23 | 3.14 | 3.63 | 3.52 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | 3.79 | 3.54 | 3.58 | 4.05 | 3.94 |
| PDO |  | 3.26 | 3.12 | 3.80 | 3.74 |
| PDH | 3.54 | 3.41 | 4.15 | 4.03 |  |
| PDN |  | 3.68 | 3.56 | 4.29 | 4.18 |

[^0]

Figure S2. The variation tendency of the experimental BDEs and calculated BDEs at different levels

Table S2. Calculated and Experimental Values of Vertical Absorption Energies of $S_{1}$ States $\left[E_{\mathrm{VA}}\left(S_{1}\right)\right]$ of Molecules in Figure 1, Group A, B, and F

| compound | OHF /\% | $E \mathrm{VA}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)^{a} / \mathrm{eV}$ |  |  |  | ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $q-\mathrm{OHF}^{a}$ | $\omega$ B97XD | LC- $\omega$ PBE | exptl ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ | 3.9 | 3.68 | 4.43 | 4.62 | 3.66 | 2 |
| CBP | 28.7 | 3.84 | 4.38 | 4.60 | 3.80 | 2 |
| mCP | 1.1 | 3.50 | 4.45 | 4.63 | 3.66 | Figure S3 |
| DCzTrz | 34.1 | 3.41 | 4.04 | 4.50 | 3.69 | 3 |
| Cz2BP | 33.4 | 3.54 | 3.78 | 3.86 | 3.52 | 4 |
| $p-\mathrm{CzTrz}$ | 34.1 | 3.55 | 4.13 | 4.48 |  |  |
| DMCzTrz | 37.1 | 3.68 | 4.37 | 4.62 |  |  |
| BPCzTrz | 36.0 | 3.50 | 4.12 | 4.57 |  |  |
| TCzTrz | 34.5 | 3.31 | 3.94 | 4.37 | 3.42 | 5 |
| 4CzIPN | 31.4 | 2.85 | 3.39 | 3.89 | 2.85 | 2 |
| 5 CzBN | 30.4 | 3.07 | 3.68 | 4.18 | 3.11 | 6 |
| 2 CzPN | 31.8 | 3.20 | 3.79 | 4.23 | 3.19 | 2 |
| PDOTrz | 35.5 | 3.24 | 3.83 | 4.16 |  |  |
| PDMTrz | 34.4 | 3.45 | 4.04 | 4.38 | 3.29 | 7 |
| PDFTrz | 33.4 | 3.88 | 4.38 | 4.63 |  |  |
| PDNTrz | 33.9 | 3.95 | 4.36 | 4.56 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The excitation energies were calculated based on B3LYP/6-31G(d) optimized geometries. In the $q$-OHF method developed by Adachi et al., $E_{0-0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=E_{\mathrm{VA}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{OHF}\right)-\Delta E_{\mathrm{V}}-\Delta E_{\text {stokes }}, \Delta E_{\mathrm{V}}$ is vibrational energy loss, 0 for $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ and 0.15 eV others. $\Delta E$ stokes is the Stokes-shift energy loss, $0.09 \mathrm{eV} .{ }^{b}$ The experimental $E_{V A}\left(S_{1}\right)$ values are determined from the absorption peaks in the toluene solution of the corresponding materials (for $9-\mathrm{PhCz}, \mathrm{mCP}, \mathrm{CBP}$, Cz2BP, 2CzPN, 4CzIPN, and PDMTrz) or polystyrene films (for DCzTrz, TCzTrz, and 5CzBN).


Figure S3. The chemical structure (inset), absorption and emission spectra of mCP in toluene solution $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ under room temperature. The absorption spectra were recorded using an UV-visible spectrophotometer (Agilent 8453). The emission spectra were recorded using a fluorospectrophotometer (JobinYvon, FluoroMax-3).
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Figure S4. Chemical structures of the additional Cz-based molecules with non-ortho-substituents on the $\pi$-side. $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of the fragile $\mathrm{D}-\pi$ bonds (those labeled in red) were calculated.

Table S3. B3LYP/6-31G(d) Absolute ( $B D E_{f}$ ) and Relative ( $\triangle B D E_{f}$ ) Bond Dissociation Energies of the Fragile $\mathrm{D}-\pi$ Bonds, Dihedral Angles between Carbazoles and the Phenyl Groups ( $\angle \mathrm{D}-\pi$ ) of Molecules in Figure S4

| molecule | $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\Delta B D E_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{eV})^{a}$ | $\angle \mathrm{D}-\pi(\mathrm{deg})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ | 3.54 | 0 | 56 |
| DCN-1 | 3.50 | -0.04 | 51 |
| DCN-2 | 3.53 | -0.01 | 53 |
| DCzCN | 3.49 | -0.05 | 53 |
| TCTA | 3.56 | 0.02 | 56 |
| TCz | 3.55 | 0.01 | 61 |
| DCzF | 3.54 | 0 | 59 |
| DCzT | 3.53 | -0.01 | 57 |
| DCzB | 3.52 | -0.02 | 52 |
| CzBO | 3.54 | 0 | 55 |
| R1 | 3.52 | -0.02 | 50 |
| R2 | 3.55 | 0.01 | 53 |
| CzSFDMAC ${ }^{b}$ | 3.53 | -0.01 | 50 |

${ }^{a}$ For one molecule, $\triangle B D E_{\mathrm{f}}=B D E_{\mathrm{f}}-B D E_{\mathrm{f}}(9-\mathrm{PhCz}) .{ }^{b}$ For $\mathrm{CzSFDMAC}, \mathrm{Cz}-$ phenyl bond is not the weakest bond in this molecule, here its BDE is listed only for comparison.


1


4


2


3


6

Figure S5. 9,9-dimethyl-9,10-dihydroacridine ( $\mathrm{DMAC}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ ) and phenoxazine ( $\mathrm{Px}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$ ) based molecules with non-ortho-substituents on the $\pi$-side. $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of the fragile $\mathrm{D}-\pi$ bonds (those labeled in red) were calculated.

Table S4. B3LYP/6-31G(d) Bond Dissociation Energies of the Fragile D- $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ Bonds ( $\boldsymbol{B D E}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ), Dihedral Angles between Donors and the Phenyl Groups ( $\angle \mathrm{D}-\pi$ ) of Molecules in Figure $\mathbf{S 5}$

| structure | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2}(\mathrm{DMAC}$ as donor) |  | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$ (Px as donor) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B D E_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{eV}$ | $\angle \mathrm{D}-\pi(\mathrm{deg})$ | $B D E_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{eV}$ | $\angle \mathrm{D}-\pi(\mathrm{deg})$ |  |
| 1 | 2.89 | 90 | 2.64 | 90 |
| 2 | 2.88 | 88 | 2.63 | 90 |
| 3 | 2.83 | 90 | 2.57 | 84 |
| 4 | 2.87 | 90 | 2.61 | 90 |
| 5 | 2.89 | 90 | 2.64 | 87 |
| 6 | 2.87 | 90 | 2.61 | 87 |
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Figure S6. Chemical structures of the Cz-based molecules with ortho-electron-withdrawing substituents on the $\pi$ side. $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of the fragile $\mathrm{D}-\pi$ bonds (those labeled in red) were calculated.

Table S5. B3LYP/6-31G(d) Absolute ( $B D E_{f}$ ) and Relative ( $\triangle B D E_{f}$ ) Bond Dissociation Energies of the Fragile D- $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ Bonds, Dihedral Angles between Carbazoles and the Phenyl Groups ( $\angle \mathrm{D}-\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ) of 9PhCz and Molecules in Figure S6

| molecule | $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\Delta B D E_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{eV})^{a}$ | $\angle \mathrm{D}-\pi(\mathrm{deg})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ | 3.54 | 0 | 56 |
| BFCz | 3.60 | 0.06 | 60 |
| BCNCz | 3.45 | -0.09 | 90 |
| BTFCz | 3.28 | -0.26 | 90 |
| BTrzCz | 3.10 | -0.44 | 70 |

${ }^{a}$ For one molecule, $\triangle B D E_{\mathrm{f}}=B D E_{\mathrm{f}}-B D E f(9-\mathrm{PhCz})$.

## Discussion of the results in Table S5:

The $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of $\mathrm{BFCz}, \mathrm{BCNCz}, \mathrm{BTFCz}$, and BTrzCz are $3.60 \mathrm{eV}, 3.45 \mathrm{eV}, 3.28 \mathrm{eV}$, and 3.10 eV , respectively. It can be found that the $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ and the volume of the substituent have a negative correlation. The fluorine atoms have the smallest volume (slightly larger than the hydrogen atom) within these substituents, and the $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ of BFCz is not even decreased (compared with that of $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ ); the increase of the dihedral angle between Cz and the phenyl group is only $4^{\circ}$. Cyano groups have a small volume, and the effect on the $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ is also relatively small $(\sim 0.1 \mathrm{eV})$. Trifluoromethyl groups $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ have a large volume, and they decrease the $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ of BTFCz by over 0.2 eV . Such influence is similar to the situation in ODF [ 9 -phenyl-1,8-bis(trifluoromethyl)- 9 H -carbazole], where two ortho- $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ on D-side have decreased the $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ of ODF to $3.35 \mathrm{eV} .4,6$-Diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl (Trz) groups have the largest volume, and they can conjugate with the phenyl group; thus, they tend to drive away the donor. As a result, the $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ of BTrzCz is largely decreased by over 0.4 eV . Despite the large volume of Trz , the dihedral angle between Cz and the central Ph does not increase much, because Trz and Cz both tend to conjugative with the central Ph and they would arrange in a propeller shape. Of note, the electronic characters of CN and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups are quite different. However, their effects on $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ values are similar due to the similar volumes. Thus, on the $\pi$-side, the effect of a substituent on $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ mainly depends on the steric hindrance that it brings to the $\mathrm{D}-\pi$ bond, rather than its electronic character.
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Figure S7. Profiles of lone-pairs of the N atoms in $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ and its 1,8 -substitueted derivatives, obtained by the natural bond orbital (NBO) method.

Table S6. Compositions of Lone-Pairs of the N Atoms in $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ and Its $\mathbf{1 , 8 - S u b s t i t u e t e d ~ D e r i v a - ~}$ tives in Figure S7, Analyzed by NBO Method at B3LYP/6-31G(d) Level

|  | composition of the lone-pair $/ \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| molecule | $s$ component of the N atom | $p$ component of the N atom |
| ODF | 1.8 | 98.2 |
| ODN | 0 | 100 |
| $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ | 0 | 100 |
| ODM | 0 | 100 |
| ODO | 0 | 100 |

## Discussion of the results in Table S6:

The NBO results show that except ODF who has $1.8 \% s$ component in the lone-pair of the N atom, those of the other molecules are purely contributed by $p$ component of the N atom (which is a typical $s p^{2}$ character). Thus, compared with other molecules, N atom in ODF has some $s p^{3}$ character.


Figure S8. Profiles of "lone-pair orbitals" (i.e., orbitals that are mainly contributed by the lone-pairs of the N atoms) of $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ and its 1,8 -substitueted derivatives, obtained by the adaptive natural density partitioning (AdNDP) method.

Table S7. Compositions of Lone-Pair Orbitals of $\mathbf{9 - P h C z}$ and Its $\mathbf{1 , 8}$-Substitueted Derivatives in Figure S8, A nalyzed by AdNDP Method at B3LYP/6-31G(d) Level

|  | composition of the lone-pair orbital/\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| molecule | total contribution of the N atom | $s$ component of the N atom | $p$ component of the N atom |
| ODF | 82.0 | 2.3 | 79.7 |
| ODN | 81.5 | 0 | 82.3 |
| $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ | 82.3 | 0 | 82.3 |
| ODM | 85.8 | 0 | 85.8 |
| ODO | 84.4 | 0 | 84.4 |

## Details and Discussions of the results in Table S7:

To further confirm the hybridization character of ODF, AdNDP analysis is further performed by Multiwfn ${ }^{8,9}$. Orbitals that are dominated by the N atoms (called "lone-pair orbitals" for discussion) are picked and their components are analyzed. From Table S7, it can be clearly observed that only the lone-pair orbital of ODF has a fraction of $s$ component of the N atom. Thus, it can be concluded that only N atom in ODF has some $s p^{3}$ hybridization character.



Figure S9. Derivatives of $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ investigated in Figure 5. $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of the fragile $\mathrm{D}-\pi$ bonds (those labeled in red) were calculated.

Table S8. B3LYP/6-31G(d) Hirshfeld Charges of the N A toms ( $q_{\mathrm{N}}$ ), Bond Dissociation Energies of Fragile Bonds $\left(B D E_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ of the Derivatives of $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ and Real OLED Molecules

| molecule | substituent ${ }^{a}$ | substituted sites ${ }^{a}$ | $q_{\text {N }}$ | $B D E_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{eV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDO | Methoxyl | 3,6 | -0.02568 | 3.26 |
| PDM | Methyl | 3,6 | -0.02171 | 3.46 |
| $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$ | H | 3,6 | -0.02133 | 3.54 |
| PDF | Trifluoromethyl | 3,6 | -0.01536 | 3.65 |
| PDN | Cyano | 3,6 | -0.01198 | 3.68 |
| PDBr | Bromine | 3,6 | -0.01839 | 3.53 |
| PDP | Phenyl | 3,6 | -0.01926 | 3.46 |
| PDBu | tert-Butyl | 3,6 | -0.02220 | 3.46 |
| PMO | Methoxyl | 3 | -0.02358 | 3.38 |
| PMM | Methyl | 3 | -0.02148 | 3.50 |
| PMF | Trifluoromethyl | 3 | $-0.01817$ | 3.60 |
| PMN | Cyano | 3 | -0.01614 | 3.61 |
| PMBr | Bromine | 3 | -0.01987 | 3.53 |
| PMP | Phenyl | 3 | -0.02039 | 3.50 |
| PMBu | tert-Butyl | 3 | -0.02191 | 3.50 |


| ODO | Methoxyl | 1,8 | -0.01998 | 3.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ODM | Methyl | 1,8 | -0.02761 | 3.15 |
| ODF | Trifluoromethyl | 1,8 | -0.02332 | 3.33 |
| ODN | Cyano | 1,8 | -0.01176 | 3.56 |
| ODBr | Bromine | 1,8 | -0.01879 | 3.31 |
| ODP | Phenyl | 1,8 | -0.02308 | 2.98 |
| OMO | Methoxyl | 1 | -0.02052 | 3.38 |
| OMM | Methyl | 1 | -0.02612 | 3.35 |
| OMF | Trifluoromethyl | 1 | -0.02118 | 3.46 |
| OMN | Cyano | 1 | -0.01791 | 3.54 |
| OMBr | Bromine | 1 | -0.02194 | 3.43 |
| OMP | Phenyl | 1 | -0.02408 | 3.30 |
| MDO | Methoxyl | 2,7 | $-0.02173$ | 3.55 |
| MDM | Methyl | 2,7 | -0.02123 | 3.53 |
| MDF | Trifluoromethyl | 2,7 | -0.01654 | 3.64 |
| MDN | Cyano | 2,7 | -0.01516 | 3.68 |
| MDP | Phenyl | 2,7 | -0.02092 | 3.55 |
| MDBr | Bromine | 2,7 | -0.01742 | 3.62 |
| MDBu | tert-Butyl | 2,7 | -0.02321 | 3.50 |
| MMO | Methoxyl | 2 | -0.02167 | 3.53 |
| MMM | Methyl | 2 | -0.02128 | 3.53 |
| MMF | Trifluoromethyl | 2 | -0.01891 | 3.59 |
| MMN | Cyano | 2 | -0.01818 | 3.61 |
| MMBr | Bromine | 2 | -0.01930 | 3.57 |
| MMP | Phenyl | 2 | -0.02116 | 3.54 |
| MMBu | tert-Butyl | 2 | -0.02233 | 3.52 |
| M2MO | Methoxyl | 4 | -0.02054 | 3.53 |
| M2MM | Methyl | 4 | -0.02138 | 3.52 |
| M2MF | Trifluoromethyl | 4 | -0.01992 | 3.56 |
| M2MN | Cyano | 4 | -0.01908 | 3.58 |
| M2MBr | Bromine | 4 | -0.01974 | 3.53 |


| M2MP | Phenyl | 4 | -0.02172 | 3.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2MBu | tert-Butyl | 4 | -0.02229 | 3.47 |
| PDOTrz | - | - | -0.02239 | 3.29 |
| PDMTrz | - | - | -0.01905 | 3.48 |
| $p-C z T r z$ | - | - | -0.01903 | 3.55 |
| PDFTrz | - | -0.01374 | 3.62 |  |
| PDNTrz | - | -0.01061 | 3.67 |  |
| DCzTrz | - | -0.02142 | 3.50 |  |
| mCP | - | -0.02166 | 3.50 |  |
| Cz2BP | - | -0.01917 | 3.54 |  |
| CBP | - | -0.02124 | 3.55 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Compounds are mainly derivatives of $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$; some real OLED materials with complete $\mathrm{D}-\pi-\mathrm{A}$ structures are also investigated; substituted sites refers to introduced positions of the carbazole of $9-\mathrm{PhCz}$.
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Figure S10. Chemical structures of the molecules with carboline subunits. $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of the fragile $\mathrm{D}-\pi$ bonds (those labeled in red) were calculated.

Table S9. B3LYP/6-31G(d) Bond Dissociation Energies of Fragile Bonds (BDEf), Dihedral Angles ( $\angle \mathrm{D}-\pi$ ) between Carboline and the Phenyl Group ( $\angle \mathrm{D}-\pi$ ) and Hirshfeld Charges ( $q_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) of Molecules with Carboline Subunits

| molecule | $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\angle \mathrm{D}-\pi(\mathrm{deg})$ | $10^{2} \times q_{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$-carboline | 3.78 | 51 | -1.9 |
| $\beta$-carboline | 3.56 | 56 | -1.9 |
| $\gamma$-carboline | 3.66 | 57 | -1.7 |
| $\delta$-carboline | 3.58 | 55 | -2.1 |




Figure S11. Geometries of ODP before and after the phenyl group on 9-position of Cz is taken off.


Figure S12. The correlation between the B3LYP/6-31G(d) Hirshfeld charge of N atom $\left(q_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ and $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}$ in derivatives of 9,9-dimethyl-10-phenyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (PhDMAC, black dots) and 10-phenyl-10 H -phenoxazine ( PhPx , red dots). Group R is selected from methoxyl group, methyl group, H atom, trifluoromethyl group, or cyano group.

Table S10. B3LYP/6-31G(d) Bond Dissociation Energies of Fragile Bonds (BDEf) and Hirshfeld Charges of the N Atoms ( $q_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) of Derivatives of PhDMAC and PhPx

| substituents on $3,6-$ | derivatives of PhDMAC |  | derivatives of PhPx |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| positions | $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $q_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $B D E_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $q_{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| Methoxyl group | 2.70 | -0.0464 | 2.51 | -0.0519 |
| Methyl group | 2.78 | -0.0421 | 2.57 | -0.0471 |
| H atom | 2.89 | -0.0410 | 2.64 | -0.0465 |
| Trifluoromethyl | 3.02 | -0.0339 | 2.76 | -0.0389 |
| Cyano group | 3.03 | -0.0294 | 2.78 | -0.0341 |
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Figure S13. Chemical structure of MDNTrz; natural transition orbitals (NTOs) of its $S_{1}$ state and the weights; calculated by the $q$-OHF $(\mathrm{OHF} \%=18.2 \%)$ method, $\omega \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{XD}$ and LC- $\omega$ PBE functionals.
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