Supporting Information

Solvent-Assisted Tuning the Size and Shape of CsPbBr₃ Nanocrystals *via* Re-Dispersion Process at Ambient Condition

Ramavath Babu,*a and Surya Prakash Singh*a

Polymers and Functional Materials Division, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology (IICT), Uppal Road, Tarnaka, Hyderabad-500007, India



Figure S1.FE-SEM images of CsPbBr₃ microcubes obtained from THF solution.



Figure S2.FE-SEM images and its histgram plot of CsPbBr₃nanocubes obtained from ETA solution.



Figure S3. HR-TEM images of self-assembly of CsPbBr₃nanocubes existed from DCM solution.





Figure S4. HR-TEM images of nanocubes of CsPbBr₃ NCs obtained from NPN solution.







Figure S5. HR-TEM images and its histgram plot of nanocubes of CsPbBr₃ obtained from TLN solution.



Figure S6. HR-TEM images of nanorods along with nanobars of CsPbBr₃NCs existed in CLF solution.



Figure S7. XPS spectral analysis of CsPbBr₃microcubes. (a) presents combined for all, (b) Cs, (c) Pb, and (d) Br.



Figure S8. PL lifetime decay curves of all the various solution recorded at longer time. Colour code: Orange; THF, Green; ETA, Wine; DCM, Violet; NPN, Red; CLF, Dark Yellow; TLN.







Figure S9. Time-depended UV and PL of various cubes (nano to micro), self-assembly of nanocubes and shape-controlled nanorods recorded at room temperature for stability.





Figure S10. DLS measurement for shape-controlled nanorods and self-assembly of nanocubes, before and after sonication of the solution.



Figure S11. Providing the size and morphology depended absorption and PL of selfassembly of naocube (a, b) and shape-controlled nanorods (c, d).





Figure S12. EDX analysis of CsPbBr₃. (a) larger size nanocrystal obtained from ETA solution, (b) Microcubes obtained from THF solution.

TableS1 . Comparison the results of present microcubes with reported.					
S. No	Results	Present	Reported		
1	Absorption	524	525		
2	PL	542	541		
3	Band Gap	2.28	2.29		
4	FWHM	20.91	22		
5	Average Size	2.98 μm	0.5µm or 505 nm		
6	Method	Simple	Critical		

Table S2.CompleteXPS peak analysis of CsPbBr3microcubes.					
Binding Energy (eV)	Element	Orbital	Origin		
736	Cs	3d _{3/2}	Perovskite		
722	Cs	3d _{5/2}	Perovskite		
688	Pb	4p _{5/2}	Perovskite		
530	0	1s	Ligand		
411	N	1s	Ligand		

280	С	1s	Ligand
142	Pb	4f5 _{/2}	Perovskite
137	Pb	4f _{7/2}	Perovskite
74	Br	3d _{3/2}	Perovskite
67	Br	3d _{5/2}	Perovskite

Table S3. Absorption, PL peaks at maxima and band gap value of all the various solution						
S. No.	Solution	Abs. max (nm)	PL. max (nm)	Band gap (eV)		
1	PEN	504	509	2.40		
2	CLF	506	514	2.37		
3	DCM	514	529	2.33		
4	ETA	520	535	2.31		
5	THF	524	542	2.28		

Table S4. TCSPC lifetime decay recorded at longer time for all the various solution.								
S. No	Solution	% of a			Decay time (τ)			$\tau_{\text{average}} (\text{ns})$
		α ₁	α ₂	α ₃	τ_1	τ_2	τ ₃	
1	NPN	0.67	55.21	44.12	0.40	15.53	39.14	$38.30(\chi^2 = 1.20)$
2	CLF	10.03	78.07	31.96	17.83	51.78	26.69	$46.37(\chi^2 = 1.54)$
3	DCM	39.64	47.49	12.86	15.56	57.28	2.15	$47.18(\chi^2 = 1.32)$
4	TLN	14.47	50.84	29.95	21.66	52.01	8.22	$45.67(\chi^2 = 1.60)$
4	ETA	46.95	37.75	15.30	4.30	0.20	50.23	$40.36(\chi^2 = 1.35)$
5	THF	22.08	16.40	61.52	52.63	0.44	42.84	$45.75(\chi^2 = 1.72)$