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## 1. Experimental procedures (compounds are listed in the order they appear in the paper).

## General information

Acetonitrile and dichloromethane were dried by distillation over calcium hydride. Methanol was dried over $4 \AA$ MS. All cycloiridation reactions and reactions involving the use of dry solvents were carried out under an inert atmosphere of either nitrogen or argon. Silica gel ( 60 Å pore size, 40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ technical grade) and neutral aluminium oxide (Brockmann I, 50-200 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) were used for chromatography. All starting materials not commercially available are specifically referenced.

Use was made of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy to confirm compound purity in addition to identity, and copies of these spectra are included below. All protons and carbons were assigned using 2D NMR techniques including HSQC, HMBC, NOESY and COESY. Carbons denoted with a prime (e.g. $\mathrm{C}^{2^{\prime}}$ ) indicate the most deshielded carbon when there are two carbons with the same number on the structure. Carbons denoted with two superscripts (e.g. $C^{2 / 3}$ ) indicate where two environments cannot be distinguished from one another. For simplicity, in cases where a mixture of diastereoisomers is formed or used as a starting material, only the major isomer will be depicted in the scheme and procedure for this experimental. The supplemental file ColrCycle contains the computed Cartesian coordinates of all of the molecules reported in this study. The file may be opened as a text file to read the coordinates, or opened directly by a molecular modeling program such as Mercury (version 3.3 or later, http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/pages/Home.aspx) for visualization and analysis.

(S)-3

$\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\text {Ir }}\right)-7$

Oxazoline (S)-3 ( $0.037 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)iridium(III) chloride dimer ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), base ( 0.06 mmol ) and potassium hexafluorophosphate ( 0.047 g , 0.25 mmol ) were added to a flame dried schlenk tube under an inert atmosphere.* After the addition of freshly distilled acetonitrile ( 5 ml ) and the introduction (or not) of water via micropipette ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) the mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the desired time. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and separated between hexane and acetonitrile until the hexane layer became colourless. The acetonitrile layer was reduced in vacuo and the resulting solid was re-dissolved in a minimum amount of acetonitrile and filtered through a 6 cm pad of neutral alumina using acetonitrile as the eluent to give a bright orange solution. Removal of the solvent in vacuo yielded the product as an amorphous orange solid (see table for yields and ratios). Data for major diastereoisomer: mp $138-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3058$, 2967, 2923, $1600(\mathrm{CN}), 842 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-640(\mathrm{c}=0.2, \mathrm{MeCN})^{*} ;$ HRMS (NS) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}+\mathrm{MeCN}\right)\right]^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{ColrNO}^{+}$, Calc. 918.2693, Obs. 918.2679; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51-7.48$ ( 8 H , m, o-PhH), $7.30-7.22(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, m+p-\mathrm{PhH}), 5.50\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J=2.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 4.82\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}$ (pointing away from $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}$ )), $4.36\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}\right.$ (pointing towards $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}\right)$ ), $3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 10.2, 8.3, 3.4 Hz, CH), 1.70 (15H, s, Cp*), 1.57-1.54 (1H, m, $\left.{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{H})\right), 0.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{hz}$, ${ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Me})$ (pointing away from $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}\right)$ ), $-0.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Me})\right.$ (pointing towards $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}\right)$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN-d}{ }^{3}$ ) $\delta 178.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right)$, $136.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, $130.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 129.1$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 107.3$ $\left(C^{6}\right)$, $91.3\left(C^{17}\right)$, $91.2\left(C^{7}\right), 87.1\left(C^{10}\right), 86.5\left(C^{9}\right)$, $83.2\left(C^{8}\right), 77.8\left(C^{5}\right), 73.6\left(C^{12}\right), 67.5\left(C^{13}\right), 30.0$ $\left(C^{14}\right), 19.9\left(C^{15}\right), 15.5\left(C^{16}\right), 9.5\left(C^{18}\right)$.

Note: Protons and carbons relating to the acetonitrile ligand were unable to be observed due to exchange with the solvent. * = in order to weigh out the correct mass of base a glove box
was used as both bases are hygroscopic, * $=$ ratio of 7.6:1. Using $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ instead of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ did not lead to deuterium incorporation onto the iridacycle. See Figure S17 for CD spectra.

Table S1. The effects of base, water and time on the cycloiridation of $(S)-3$.

| Entry | Base | Water? | Time | Ratio ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NaOH | $x$ | 18 h | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 0.86 \\ & (1.2: 1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 20.2\% |
| 2 | NaOH | $\checkmark$ | 18 h | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 0.59 \\ & (1.7: 1) \end{aligned}$ | 20.2\% |
| 3 | $\mathrm{KO}^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}$ | $x$ | 18 h | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 0.27 \\ & (3.7: 1) \end{aligned}$ | 23.2\% |
| 4 | $\mathrm{KO}^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}$ | $\checkmark$ | 18 h | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 0.36 \\ & (2.8: 1) \end{aligned}$ | 41.0\% |
| 5 | NaOH | $x$ | 7 days | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 0.64 \\ & (1.6: 1) \end{aligned}$ | 19.3\% |
| 6 | NaOH | $\checkmark$ | 7 days | $\begin{gathered} 1: 0.49 \\ (2: 1) \end{gathered}$ | 56.4\% |
| 7 | $\mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ | $x$ | 7 days | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 0.21 \\ & (4.8: 1) \end{aligned}$ | 39.4\% |
| 8 | $\mathrm{KO}^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 days | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 0.35 \\ & (2.9: 1) \end{aligned}$ | 78.1\% |
| 9 | $\mathrm{KO}^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}$ | $\checkmark \mathrm{c}$ | 3 days | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 0.66 \\ & (1.5: 1) \end{aligned}$ | 35.5\% |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ratio of diastereoisomers was calculated by averaging three different ratios in the crude NMR (Cp- $\mathrm{H}^{\text {maj }}: \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{\text {min }}$,


## Cycloiridation of (S)-4


(S) -4


Oxazoline (S)-4 ( $0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)iridium(III) chloride dimer ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium tert-butoxide ( $0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium hexafluorophosphate ( $0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a flame dried schlenk tube under an inert atmosphere.* After the addition of freshly distilled acetonitrile ( 5 ml ) and the introduction of water via micropipette ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) the mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the 7 days. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and separated between hexane and acetonitrile until the hexane layer became colourless. The acetonitrile layer was reduced in vacuo and resulting solid was re-dissolved in a minimum amount of acetonitrile and filtered through a 6 cm pad of neutral alumina using acetonitrile as the eluent to give a bright orange solution. Removal of the solvent in vacuo yielded the product as an amorphous orange solid ( $0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \%$ ): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}} 22.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=-337(c=0.88, \mathrm{MeCN}) ;$ HRMS (NS) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}+\mathrm{MeCN}\right)\right]^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{ColrNO}^{+}$, Calc. 932.2852, Obs. 932.2851; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51-7.47$ ( 8 H , m, o-PhH), $7.32-7.21$ ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, m+p-\mathrm{PhH}$ ), 5.44 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{10}$ ), 5.07 ( 1 H , dd, $J=2.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{8}$ ), $4.73\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}$ (opposite face as ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ )), $4.50\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}\right.$ (same face as ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ )), 3.76 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$
 $\left(C^{11}\right), 136.8\left(C^{4}\right), 130.5\left(C^{3}\right), 129.2\left(C^{2}\right), 128.0\left(C^{1}\right), 107.4\left(C^{6}\right), 91.7\left(C^{16}\right), 91.5\left(C^{7}\right), 87.3\left(C^{8}\right)$, $86.6\left(C^{10}\right), 83.1\left(C^{9}\right), 77.6\left(C^{5}\right), 74.8\left(C^{12}\right), 70.6\left(C^{13}\right), 35.0\left(C^{14}\right), 25.9\left(C^{15}\right), 9.8\left(C^{17}\right)$.

Note: Protons and carbons relating to the acetonitrile ligand were unable to be observed due to exchange with the solvent. * = in order to weigh out the correct mass of base a glove box was used. See Figure S18 for CD spectra.

## Cycloiridation of (S)-10



Oxazoline (S)-10 ( $0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$, (pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)iridium(III) chloride dimer ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium tert-butoxide ( $0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium hexafluorophosphate ( $0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a flame dried schlenk tube under an inert atmosphere.* After the addition of freshly distilled acetonitrile ( 5 ml ) and the introduction of water via micropipette ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) the mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After cooling to room temperature the reaction mixture was separated with hexane until the hexane layer became colourless. The acetonitrile layer was reduced in vacuo and the resulting solid was re-dissolved in a minimum amount of acetonitrile and filtered through a 6 cm pad of neutral alumina using acetonitrile as the eluent to give a bright orange solution. Removal of the solvent in vacuo yielded the desired product as an amorphous air sensitive orange solid ( $0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ): $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2960,2920,2877,1594,842,560 ;[\alpha]_{D^{20.5}}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=-776(\mathrm{c}=0.3$, acetonitrile); HRMS (NS) [M-PF $\left.{ }_{6}-\mathrm{MeCN}\right]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} F$ FelrNO ${ }^{+}$, Calc. 638.1693, Obs. 638.1672; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, MeCN-d³) $\delta 4.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH})$, $4.64\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, C p-\mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 4.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 4.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Cp-H ${ }^{5}$ ), $4.16(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CpH}), 3.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 10.0,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}^{\dagger} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 1.59\left(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right), 1.01(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}\right) \delta 185.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right)$, $96.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right)$, $91.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right)$, $75.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right)$, $74.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right)$, 74.0 $\left(C^{3}\right), 71.7\left(C^{5}\right), 70.4\left(C^{9}\right), 70.0\left(C^{1}\right), 65.5\left(C^{4}\right), 35.1\left(C^{10}\right), 26.0\left(C^{11}\right), 9.4\left(C^{13}\right)$.

Note: Protons and carbons relating to the acetonitrile ligand were unable to be observed due to exchange with the solvent. * = in order to weigh out the correct mass of base a glove box was used. See Figure S20 for CD spectra.

## Cycloiridation of (S)-1



Oxazoline (S)-1 ( $0.019 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$, (pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) iridium(III) chloride dimer ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium tert-butoxide $(0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium hexafluorophosphate ( $0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a flame dried schlenk tube under an inert atmosphere.* After the addition of freshly distilled acetonitrile ( 5 ml ) and the introduction (or not) of water via micropipette ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) the mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the desired time. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and separated between hexane and acetonitrile until the hexane layer became colourless. The acetonitrile layer was reduced in vacuo and the resulting solid was re-dissolved in a minimum amount of acetonitrile and filtered through a 6 cm pad of neutral alumina using acetonitrile as the eluent to give a bright orange solution. Removal of the solvent in vacuo yielded the product as an amorphous orange solid (see table for yields and ratios). Data for major diastereoisomer: $v_{\text {max }}$ (film)/ $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
 MHz, MeCN-d³) $\delta 4.77$ (1H, dd, J = 9.2, $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}$ ), 4.71-4.67 (1H, m, CHH), 4.65 (1H, dd, J $\left.=2.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 4.56\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 4.54\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 4.15$ ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CpH}$ ), 4.02 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 9.8,5.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.10-2.04 (1H, m, 'PrH), 1.67 (15H, s, Cp*), 1.00 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\text {'PrMe }}{ }^{11}\right.$ ), $0.97\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{PrMe}^{11}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}$ ) $\delta$ $183.92\left(C^{7}\right)$, $95.30\left(C^{2}\right), 91.01\left(C^{12}\right), 74.86\left(C^{6}\right), 74.72\left(C^{3}\right), 73.98\left(C^{8}\right), 71.76\left(C^{5}\right), 69.87\left(C^{1}\right)$, $67.41\left(C^{9}\right), 65.28\left(C^{4}\right), 30.08\left(C^{10}\right), 19.29\left(C^{11^{1}}\right), 15.26\left(C^{11}\right), 9.33\left(C^{13}\right)$. Matches previously reported data. ${ }^{1}$

Note: Protons and carbons relating to the acetonitrile ligand were unable to be observed due to exchange with the solvent. HRMS was unable to be performed due to the low stability of the complex. * $=$ in order to weigh out the correct mass of base a glove box was used. See Figure S19 for CD spectra.

| Entry | Water? | Time | Ratio $^{\text {a }}$ | Yield $^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $x$ | 18 h | $1: 0.02$ <br> $(48: 1)$ | $37.8 \%$ |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | 18 h | $1: 0.7$ <br> $(14: 1)$ | $30.5 \%$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ratio of diastereoisomers was calculated from the ratio of major and minor Cp singlets. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield of iridacycle mixture.

## Decomposition of iridacycle $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{tr}}\right)-7$ to $(S)-12 \&(Z)-13$


$\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-7$

(S)-12

(Z)-13

Iridacycle $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-7(0.031 \mathrm{~g}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in deuterated acetonitrile (not distilled or degassed) and stirred in a schlenk tube at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 days. Proton NMRs were taken after each day after allowing the reaction to cool to room temperature. Proton NMRs were taken after each day by allowing the reaction to cool to room temperature. After 8 days the reaction mixture showed a mixture of compounds $(S)-11$ and $(Z)-12$.

Data for $(S)-12: m p 189.7-191.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-41.81\left(\mathrm{c}=0.22\right.$, acetonitrile); $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2962, 2970, $1666(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 841 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 5.88\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{Cp}-$ $\left.H^{4}\right), 5.79\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 5.77\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, C p-\mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.1,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}), 4.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}), 4.03-3.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.16\left(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right), 1.77-1.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{i} \mathrm{PrH}), 1.03(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrCH}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.02\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right)$, $95.84\left(C^{2}\right), 84.79\left(C^{5}\right), 83.22\left(C^{3^{\prime}}\right), 83.12\left(C^{3}\right), 80.74\left(C^{4^{\prime}}\right), 80.64\left(C^{4}\right), 73.61\left(C^{8}\right), 71.43\left(C^{7}\right), 33.46$ $\left(C^{9}\right), 19.28\left(C^{10^{\prime}}\right), 18.69\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 10.05\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right)$. Matches previously reported data. ${ }^{2}$

Data for (Z)-13: $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3084,3058,3025,2967,1659(\mathrm{CO}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ס $7.86-7.83\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhH}^{3}\right), 7.42\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=7.4+1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PhH}^{1}\right), 7.34-7.29\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhH}^{9}\right), 7.21$ - $7.15\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhH}^{2,8+10}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 197.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 144.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 136.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 135.4$ $\left(C^{4}\right), 133.1\left(C^{1}\right), 130.2\left(C^{3}\right), 130.0\left(C^{2}\right), 128.8\left(C^{8}\right), 128.5\left(C^{10}\right), 128.4\left(C^{9}\right)$. Matches previously reported data. ${ }^{3}$

Note: See S7 for a comparison of NMR spectra over 8 days. See Figure S14 for X-ray structure of (Z)-13.

## Decomposition of iridacycle mixture to (S)-14


$\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-9$

$\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\text {Ir }}\right)-11$

(S)-14
$\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\mathrm{rl}}\right)-9(0.031 \mathrm{~g}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-11(0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in acetonitrile (not distilled or degassed) and stirred in a schlenk tube at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 8 days the reaction mixture was reduced in vacuo and then purified by column chromatography on neutral alumina using acetonitrile as the eluent resulting isolation of a yellow solid ( 0.003 g ,
 29.4 (c $=0.34$, acetonitrile); HRMS (NS) [M-PF $\left.{ }_{6}\right]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{IrNO}^{+}$, Calc. 518.2030, Obs. 518.2017; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}$ ) $5.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}), 5.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Cp-H), $5.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}), 4.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHH}), 4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.12\left(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right), 0.91\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},{ }^{\mathrm{t} B u}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}\right) \delta 157.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right)$, $99.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 86.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right)$, $83.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right)$, $81.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, $81.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 77.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right)$, 70.3 $\left(C^{7}\right), 34.2\left(C^{9}\right), 26.1\left(C^{10}\right), 10.2\left(C^{1}\right)$.

Note: crude shows all $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{r r}\right)-\mathbf{1 1}$ gone with a 1.1:1 ratio of $(S) \mathbf{- 1 4}$ and $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-\mathbf{9}$. Low yield is due to difficulties separating $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{rr}}\right)-9$ and $(S)-14$ on the column.

## Preparation of $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)$ - $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ by ligand exchange

## Route 1



Iridacycle $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{tr}}\right)-7(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a flame dried RBF under an inert atmosphere and dissolved in dry dichloromethane ( 5 ml ). To this, a solution of tetra-nbutylammonium chloride ( $0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane ( 1 ml ) was added causing an immediate colour change from brown green to bright orange. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h before removing the solvent in vacuo. Excess tetra-n-butylammonium chloride was removed by re-dissolving the orange residue in hexane/dichloromethane and separating with brine ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The organics were dried by filtering through a glass wool pad loaded with magnesium sulphate and removing the solvent in vacuo to give a tacky orange solid ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ): mp $180-182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS (AS) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{ColrNOCl}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, Calc. 954.2453, Obs. 954.2457.

Note: The proton NMR spectrum was very broad and unable to be assigned (see Figure S10 for a comparison between NMR solvents). In addition to this, further data on this compound was unable to be obtained due to the small quantity of pure sample obtained from crystallisation. See Figures S15 \& S16 for X-ray structures.

## Route 2



To a RBF containing Iridacycle $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-7(0.027 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium chloride ( 0.028 $\mathrm{g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added methanol ( 3 ml ) to give a brown/orange solution. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h before filtering through neutral alumina using methanol as the eluent. The solvent was removed in vacuo to give the crude product. The crude was re-dissolved in ethyl acetate and transferred to an NMR tube whereby hexane was carefully layered on top and the solvents allowed to slowly diffuse and evaporate, yielded crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ): mp $180-182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS (AS) [M+H] ${ }^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{ColrNOCl}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, Calc. 954.2453, Obs. 954.2457.

Note: The proton NMR spectrum was very broad and unable to be assigned (see Figure S10 for a comparison between NMR solvents). In addition to this, further data on this compound was unable to be obtained due to the small quantity of pure sample obtained from crystallisation. See Figures S15 \& S16 for X-ray structures.

## Preparation of $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-7$ by ligand exchange


$\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-15(0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium hexafluorophosphate ( $0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a flame dried schlenk tube under an inert atmosphere. After the dissolving in acetonitrile the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was separated with hexane until the hexane layer became colourless. The acetonitrile layer was reduced in vacuo. Purification was achieved by filtering through a 30 cm pad of neutral alumina, using acetonitrile as the eluent, and collecting the first bright orange fractions. Removal of the solvent in vacuo yielded the desired product as an amorphous orange solid ( $0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ ).

## Preparation of $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)$-19 by ligand exchange


$\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\text {Ir }}\right)-7$


$\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{If}}\right)-19$
$\left(S, S_{p}, S_{1 r}\right)-7(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a flame dried RBF under an inert atmosphere and dissolved in dry dichloromethane ( 2 ml ). To this, a solution of triphenylphosphine ( 0.053 $\mathrm{g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 1 ml ) was added causing an immediate colour change from brown green to bright orange. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h before concentrating under reduced pressure. Addition of hexane caused a precipitate to form which was collected via filtration and washed with copious amounts of hexane to remove excess triphenylphosphine. Drying in air yielded a red powder ( $0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ): mp 182
$-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3058,2963,2923,2868,1586(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 838 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-504$ ( $\mathrm{c}=0.1$, chloroform) ${ }^{*}$; $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{NS})\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{63}$ ColrNOP $^{+}$, Calc. 1180.3608, Obs. 1180.3597; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.46-7.08\left(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 7.40-7.36$ ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, o-\mathrm{PhH}$ ), $7.29-7.27(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $p-\mathrm{PhH}), 7.22-7.18(8 \mathrm{H}, m-\mathrm{PhH}), 5.32\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}, \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}$ (pointing towards $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}\right)$ ), $4.37\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}\right.$ (pointing away from $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}\right)$ ), $4.33(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, Cp-H ${ }^{9}$ ), $4.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, brs, $\left.\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 3.76-3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.97-1.90\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{H})\right), 1.31(15 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right), 0.96\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Me})\right.$ (pointing away from $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}\right)\right), 0.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Me})$ (pointing towards $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Ph}_{4}\right)$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right)$, $135.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, $134.0\left(C^{22}\right), 132.2\left(d, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, C^{19}\right), 129.5\left(d, J=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, C^{20}\right), 129.1\left(C^{3}\right), 128.5\left(C^{2}\right), 128.3$ $\left(C^{21}\right), 127.2\left(C^{1}\right), 122.4\left(d, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, C^{7}\right), 95.8\left(d, J=2.2 H z, C^{17}\right), 90.8\left(C^{10}\right), 90.5\left(C^{6}\right), 90.4\left(C^{9}\right)$, $77.5\left(C^{8}\right), 75.9\left(C^{5}\right), 71.8\left(C^{12}\right), 69.4\left(C^{13}\right), 29.3\left(C^{14}\right), 19.1\left(C^{15}\right), 15.4\left(C^{16}\right), 9.9\left(C^{18}\right),{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.13\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$.

Note: * $=$ ratio of 7.4:1.

## 2. $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra for new compounds

Figure S1. $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-\mathbf{7}$ (inlays show peaks for $\left.\left(S, R_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{rr}}\right)-8\right)-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}\right)$.


Figure S2. $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\text {rr }}\right)-7-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}\right)$.


Figure S3. $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-\mathbf{9}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}$ ).


Figure S4. $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\text {rr }}\right)-9-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}\right)$.


Figure S5. $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-\mathbf{1 1}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}\right)$.


Figure S6. $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{rr}}\right)-\mathbf{1 1 - 1}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}$ ).


Figure S7. Heating $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{I r}\right)-7$ for 8 days $-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}$ ).


Figure S8. (S)-14- ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}^{3}\right)$.


Figure S9. (S)-14- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN-d}{ }^{3}$ ).


Figure S10. $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-15 /\left(S, R_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-16-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ (red), then THF-d ${ }^{8}$ (green), then Acetone- $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ (blue) and then $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ again (purple)).


Figure S11. $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-19-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.


Figure S12. $\left(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-19-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).


Figure S13. $\left(S, S_{p}, R_{\mid r}\right)-\mathbf{1 9}$ (minor peak is $\left.\left(S, R_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{rr}}\right)-\mathbf{2 0}\right)-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (202 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.


## 3. X-ray structures

## Crystal structure analysis of $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)$-15

Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{ClCoIrNO}, \mathrm{M}=953.46$. Monoclinic, space group $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (no. 4), $\mathrm{a}=$ $10.0619(2), b=12.0816(3), c=17.0228(4) \AA, \beta=105.350(2)^{\circ}, V=1995.54(8) \AA^{3} . Z=2, D c$ $=1.587 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mathrm{~F}(000)=956, \mathrm{~T}=140(1) \mathrm{K}, \mu(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=38.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \lambda(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=0.71069 \AA$. Crystals are pink-orange rectangular prisms. One, ca $0.40 \times 0.11 \times 0.045 \mathrm{~mm}$, was mounted in oil on a glass fibre and fixed in the cold nitrogen stream on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur-3/Sapphire3-CCD diffractometer, equipped with $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation and graphite monochromator. Intensity data were measured by thin-slice $\omega$ - and $\varphi$-scans. Total no. of reflections recorded, to $\theta_{\max }=30^{\circ}$, was 38619 of which 11599 were unique ( $\operatorname{Rint}=0.036$ ); 10981 were 'observed' with $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}$. Data were processed using the CrysAlisPro-CCD and RED ${ }^{4}$ programs. The structure was determined by the direct methods routines in the SHELXS program ${ }^{5}$ and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods, on $F^{2} \mathrm{~s}$, in SHELXL ${ }^{6}$. The nonhydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were included in idealised positions and their Uiso values were set to ride on the Ueq values of the parent carbon atoms. At the conclusion of the refinement, $\mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.062$ and $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.030$ (28) for all 11599 reflections weighted $\mathrm{w}=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0346 \mathrm{P})^{2}+0.291 \mathrm{P}\right]^{-1}$ with $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$; for the 'observed' data only, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.027$. In the final difference map, the highest peaks (to $c a$ $1.5 \mathrm{e}^{\AA} \AA^{-3}$ ) were close to the iridium atom. Scattering factors for neutral atoms were taken from reference ${ }^{7}$. Computer programs used in this analysis have been noted above, and were run through WinGX ${ }^{8}$ on a Dell Optiplex 755 PC at the University of East Anglia.

## Crystal structure analyses of $\left(S, R_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)$-16 and (Z)-13

The anaylses of $\left(S, R_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right) \mathbf{- 1 6}$ and $(\mathrm{Z})$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$ followed very similar procedures to $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-\mathbf{1 5}$, but the limit of reliable intensity data and the quality of those data were rather lower than values normally required for publication. However, the results from these compounds showed clearly the structures and, indeed, the absolute configurations of the molecules involved, and we wish to record some details of this work; the crystal data and the refinement results from all three structures are collated beow Figures S14-16.

Notes on the structure, $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)$-15

From calculations of the centroids of the three, planar, $\pi$-coordinated rings [Ct1 is the centroid of the ring $\mathrm{C}(1-4)$, Ct 2 of ring $\mathrm{C}(51-55)$ and Ct 3 of ring $\mathrm{C}(71-75)]$, the metal centroid distances
are: $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Ct} 11.699, \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Ct} 21.700$, and $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{Ct} 31.819 \AA$, and the angle subtended at Co by Ct 1 and Ct 2 is $172.58^{\circ}$. The angle between the normals to the rings of $\mathrm{C}(1-4)$ and $\mathrm{C}(51-55)$ is $10.8(3)^{\circ}$. The cobalt atom is sandwiched between the almost parallel $\mathrm{C}(1-4)$ and $\mathrm{C}(51-55)$ rings. The Ir atom is $\pi$-coordinated to the $\mathrm{Cp} *$ ring of $\mathrm{C}(71-75)$ and to the $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{C}(55)$ and $\mathrm{N}(62)$ atoms in a three-legged piano-stool pattern. Three of the phenyl rings in the $(\mathrm{CPh})_{4}$ ligand follow the normal propeller pattern around the ring with similar rotations about the $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n} 1)$ bonds, but the fourth phenyl ring, of $\mathrm{C}(11-16)$, is rotated in the opposite direction about the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ bond. All the molecules in this polar space group are of the same chirality and all point in the same direction along the $b$-axis.

## Notes on the structure of $\left(S, R_{p}, S_{\text {Ir }}\right)$-16

There are two very similar molecules in the asymmetric unit. The principal difference in the conformations of the molecules is in the degree of rotation of the $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ligand about the $\mathrm{Ir} . . . \mathrm{Ct}$ vector (where Ct is the centroid of the Cp ring). The quality of the X -ray data did not allow for anisotropic refinement of the lighter atoms, and the precision of the molecular dimensions is rather less than ideal. However, the structures of both molecules are well defined and the chiralities in the two molecules are clear and unequivocal and the same. Molecules are arranged in spirals around $2_{1}$ screw axes parallel to the $b$ axis. The columns of the $\operatorname{Ir}(1)$ molecules are pointed in the opposite direction from those of the $\operatorname{Ir}(2)$ molecular columns.

## Notes on the structure of $(\mathbb{Z})-13$

The four carbon atoms of the main chain, $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$, all have trigonal planar conformations and the central bond, $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$, with bond distance $1.362(14) \AA$ is a double-bond; this bond is significantly shorter than the other bonds along this chain.

Figure S14. (Z)-13


Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Crystal system, Space Group
Unit cell dimensions

## Volume

$Z$, Density (calculated)
F(000)
Absorption coefficient
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal colour, shape
Crystal size
Crystal mounting
$\theta$ range for data collection
Limiting indices: $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l}$
Completeness of data: $\theta, \%$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Reflections collected
No. of unique reflections, R(int)
No. of 'obsd' reflections (I > $2 \sigma \mathrm{I}$ )
Structure determined by:
Refinement
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$
Final $R$ indices ('obsd' data)
Final $R$ indices (all data)
Reflections weighted: $\mathrm{w}=$
where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3$
Absolute structure parameter
Largest diff. peak and hole
Location of largest difference peaks
rossa4 / RAA5.292g / ( $\boldsymbol{Z}$ )-13
$\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
388.4

Monoclinic, $P 2_{1}$
$a=5.9297(11) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$
$b=17.204(3) \AA \quad \beta=100.39(2)^{\circ}$
$c=10.128(2) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$
$1016.2(4) \AA^{3}$
$2,1.269 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
408
$0.079 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
140(1) K
0.71073 Å

Colourless, Needle
$0.88 \times 0.04 \times 0.025 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
on a glass fibre, in oil, fixed in cold $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream
$3.13-22.50^{\circ}$
$-6 \leq h \leq 6,-18 \leq k \leq 18,-10 \leq l \leq 10$
$22.50^{\circ}, 99.8 \%$
Semi-empirical from equivalents
1.0 and 0.4626

10057
2665, 0.131
1758
direct methods, SHELXS
Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$, in SHELXS
2665 / $1 / 261$
1.066
$R 1=0.122, w R 2=0.289$
$R 1=0.170, w R 2=0.321$
$\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.1882 \mathrm{P})^{2}\right]^{-1}$
$0(6)$
0.75 and -0.32 e $\AA^{-3}$
near $\mathrm{H}(46)$

Figure S15. $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-15$


Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Crystal system, Space Group
Unit cell dimensions

## Volume

Z, Density (calculated)
F(000)
Absorption coefficient
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal colour, shape
Crystal size
Crystal mounting
$\theta$ range for data collection
Limiting indices: $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}, 1$
Completeness of data: $\theta, \%$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Reflections collected
No. of unique reflections, R(int)
No. of 'obsd' reflections (I>2 $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ )
Structure determined by:
Refinement
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$
Final $R$ indices ('obsd' data)
Final $R$ indices (all data)
Reflections weighted: w =
where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3$
Absolute structure parameter
Largest diff. peak and hole
Location of largest difference peaks
rossal / RAA1.130c / $\left(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{p}}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)$-15
$\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{ClCoIrNO}$
953.46

Monoclinic, $P 2_{1}$
$a=10.0619(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$
$b=12.0816(3) \AA \quad \beta=105.350(2)^{\circ}$
$c=17.0228(4) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$
1995.54(8) $\AA^{3}$
$2,1.587 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
956
$3.852 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
140(1) K
0.71073 Å

Pink/Orange, Rectangular prism
$0.40 \times 0.11 \times 0.045 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
on a glass fibre, in oil, fixed in cold $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream
$3.19-30.00^{\circ}$
$-14 \leq h \leq 14,-16 \leq k \leq 16,-23 \leq l \leq 23$
$30.00^{\circ}, 99.8 \%$
Semi-empirical from equivalents
1.000 and 0.667

38637
11604, 0.036
10981
direct methods, SHELXS
Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$, in SHELXL
11604 / 1 / 492
1.022
$R 1=0.027, w R 2=0.060$
$R 1=0.030, w R 2=0.062$
$\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.0346 \mathrm{P})^{2}+0.291 \mathrm{P}\right]^{-1}$
-0.019(4)
1.52 and -0.89 e $\AA^{-3}$
close to the iridium atom

Figure $\operatorname{S16.}\left(S, R_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{rr}}\right)-16$


Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Crystal system, Space Group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z, Density (calculated)
F(000)
Absorption coefficient
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal colour, shape
Crystal size
Crystal mounting
$\theta$ range for data collection
Limiting indices: $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l}$
Completeness of data: $\theta, \%$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Reflections collected
No. of unique reflections, R (int)
No. of 'obsd' reflections ( $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma \mathrm{I}$ )
Structure determined by:
Refinement
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$
Final $R$ indices ('obsd' data)
Final $R$ indices (all data)
Reflections weighted: $\mathrm{w}=$
where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3$
Absolute structure parameter
Largest diff. peak and hole
Location of largest difference peaks
rossa3b / RAA1.130d / $\left(S, R_{p}, S_{\text {Ir }}\right)$-16
$\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{ClCoIrNO}$
953.46

Monoclinic, $P 2_{1}$
$a=11.1202(4) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$
$b=15.1873(7) \AA \quad \beta=101.855(4)^{\circ}$
$c=24.5875(13) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$
4063.9(3) $\AA^{3}$
$4,1.558 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
1912
$3.783 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
140(1) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Pale pink, Thin prism
$0.25 \times 0.045 \times 0.025 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
on a glass fibre, in oil, fixed in cold $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream
$3.078-24.999^{\circ}$
$-13 \leq h \leq 13,-18 \leq k \leq 18,-29 \leq l \leq 29$
$25.24^{\circ}, 97.0 \%$
Semi-empirical from equivalents
1.00 and 0.947

54364
14297, 0.108
12355
heavy atom methods, SHELXS
Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$, in SHELXL
14297 / $1 / 472$
1.138
$R 1=0.096, w R 2=0.217$
$R 1=0.110, w R 2=0.223$
$\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.0259 \mathrm{P})^{2}+195.9 \mathrm{P}\right]^{-1}$
0.036(7)
7.20 and -3.38 e $\AA^{-3}$
close to the iridium atom

## 4. CD Spectra

Figure S17. CD spectra for $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\text {rr }}\right)-7$. The CD spectra for $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\text {lr }}\right)-7$ was obtained from a sample containing a $3.7: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{7} \& 8$ at two different concentrations in acetonitrile. X2 $\mathrm{UV}=0.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ml}^{-1} 190-520 \mathrm{~nm}$ range, $\mathrm{X} 15 \mathrm{Vis}=1.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ml}^{-1} 480-850 \mathrm{~nm}$ range.


Figure S18. CD spectra for $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-9$. The CD spectra for $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-9$ was obtained at two different concentrations in acetonitrile. X2 UV $=0.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ml}^{-1} 190-520 \mathrm{~nm}$ range, X15 Vis $=$ $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ml}^{-1} 480-850 \mathrm{~nm}$ range.


Figure S19. CD spectra for $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\mid r}\right)-\mathbf{2}$. The CD spectra for $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\text {lr }}\right)$ - $\mathbf{2}$ was obtained from a sample containing a 48:1 ratio of diastereoisomers at two different concentrations in acetonitrile. $\mathrm{X} 2 \mathrm{UV}=0.2 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{ml}^{-1} 190-520 \mathrm{~nm}$ range, X 15 Vis $=1.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ml}^{-1} 480-850 \mathrm{~nm}$ range.


Figure S20. CD spectra for $\left(S, S_{p}, S_{\mathrm{rr}}\right)-\mathbf{1 1}$. The CD spectra for $\left(S, S_{\mathrm{p}}, S_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-\mathbf{1 1}$ was obtained at two different concentrations in acetonitrile. X2 UV $=0.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ml}^{-1} 190-520 \mathrm{~nm}$ range, X15 Vis $=$ $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ml}^{-1} 480-850 \mathrm{~nm}$ range.
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