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## Procedure for synthesis of compound I and catalytic reactions

## Solvothermal Condition:

The resulting reaction mixture with the composition, $1 \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}: 1 \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6}: 85 \mathrm{EtOH}: 389 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was sealed in a 23 mL PTFE lined autoclave and heated at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days under autogeneous pressure. The final product, containing very few colorless rectangular crystals, was filtered, washed with deionized water under vacuum and dried at ambient conditions (yield $\sim 70 \%$ based on Ag ).

## Mechanochemical Strategy:

For synthesis of pure powdered compound $\mathbf{I}$, a mixture of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ ) and melamine ( 0.095 g , 0.75 mM ) was taken in a mortar-pestle. The mixture was ground for 2 hours to homogenize properly. Afterwards few drops of EtOH were added at the time of grinding for improvement of homogeneity. The finely ground mixture was kept inside the oven at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. The pure white powdered compound was characterized by PXRD which shows the purity of the compound (Fig. $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ).

## Catalytic Reactions:

In a typical experiment for cyanosilylation reaction, powdered catalyst was suspended in 100 ml round bottom flask with the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent and N -benzilidine aniline (see Supporting Information). Trimethylsilyl cyanide was added to it at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the overall mixture was stirred for 2 h in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The product was filtered through Millipore membrane filter papers to remove the catalyst particles.

For ketal formation, we used three different ketones i.e. acetone, 2-butanone and 3-pentanone. Typically, o.02 mmol portion of the silver sulfate catalyst, 16 mmol of ketone, and 16 mmol of ethylene glycol were introduced into 10 mL of toluene, the latter was used as the solvent for a ketal formation reaction. The reaction was refluxed at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was isolated by filtration and reused on subsequent reactions without further treatment. All product yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (See below).

For esterification reaction, 0.02 mmol catalyst, 16 mmol of acetic acid, and 16 mmol of ethanol were introduced into 10 mL of toluene for this catalytic reaction. The esterification reaction was also conducted for an additional 6 h to improve the percentage of yield. The unsatisfactory result proves the effectiveness of catalyst.

Table 1. Single crystal data and structure refinement parameters for $\mathbf{I}$.

A suitable colorless rectangular single crystal was carefully selected under a polarizing microscope and glued to a thin glass fiber with a cyanoacrylate (superglue) adhesive. The single-crystal data were collected on a Bruker AXS smart Apex CCD diffractometer at $293(2) \mathrm{K}$. The X-ray generator was operated at 50 kV and 35 mA using Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ radiation. The data were reduced using SAINTPLUS, ${ }^{1}$ and an empirical absorption correction was applied using the SADABS program. ${ }^{2}$ The structure was solved and refined using SHELXL97 ${ }^{3}$ present in the WinGx suit of programs (Version 1.63.04a). ${ }^{4}$ Hydrogen atoms attached to melamine units could not be located. The final refinement included atomic positions for all of the atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for all the non-hydrogen atoms. Full-matrix least-squares refinement against $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ was carried out using the WinGx package of programs. Details of the structure solution and final refinements for all the structures are given in Table. The crystallographic |  | Structural parameter | I data for compounds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | can be found in CCDC: charge from Crystallographic Data

| Structural parameter | I |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{Ag}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ |
| Formula weight | 1625.47 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | $9.7628(2)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $10.1956(4)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $16.5042(5)$ |
| $\alpha\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $87.646(3)$ |
| $\beta\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $76.718(2)$ |
| $\gamma\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $86.763(3)$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $1595.63(9)$ |
| Z | 2 |
| $\mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{K}$ | 293 |
| $\rho(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}-3)$ | 3.346 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 5.162 |
| $\lambda\left(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K}^{\circ} / \AA\right)$ | 0.71073 |
| $\theta$ range $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 2.73 to 50.00 |
| Rint | 0.0449 |
| R indexes $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0933$ <br> $\mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2577$ |
| R indexes (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1022$ <br> $\mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2620$ |

No. 1496359 by free of Cambridge
Center (CCDC) via
www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\sum\left|F_{O}\right|-\left|F_{C}\right|\left|/ \sum\right| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}} \mid ; \mathrm{wR}_{2}=\left\{\sum\left[\mathrm{w}\left(F_{O}^{2}-F_{C}^{2}\right)\right] / \sum\left[w\left(F_{O}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} . \mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\rho^{2}\left(F_{O}\right)^{2}+(a P)^{2}+b P\right] . \mathrm{P}=[\max$ $\left(F_{O^{2}}, \mathrm{O}\right)+2\left(F_{C}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$ for $\mathbf{I}, \mathrm{a}=0.1231 \mathrm{~b}=125.4206$
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Table 2. Selected bond distances in Silver Sulfate compound $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{8}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{9}\right)_{3}\right]$ I.

| Bond | Distance <br> (A) | Bond | Distance (A) | Bond | Distance ( $\AA$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.386 (16) | $\mathrm{Ag}(5)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 2.296(19) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.468(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 2.458(13) | $\mathrm{Ag}(5)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 2.33(2) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1.478(14) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $2.496(14)$ | $\mathrm{Ag}(5)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.234(14) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 1.466(16) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2.276(14) | $\operatorname{Ag}(5)-\mathrm{Ag}(6)$ | 3.179(4) | $\mathrm{S}(1) \mathrm{O}(16)$ | 1.498(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 2.262(2) | $\mathrm{Ag}(6)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 2.509(17) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.464(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 2.58(2) | Ag(6)-N(4) | $2.315(15)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 1.472(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 2.47(2) | $\operatorname{Ag}(6)-\mathrm{Ag}(6)$ | 2.819(7) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 1.48(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(10)$ | 2.227(15) | $\mathrm{Ag}(7)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 2.358(18) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 1.464(16) |
| $\mathbf{A g}(2)-\mathrm{Ag}(4)$ | 3.315(2) | $\mathrm{Ag}(7)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 2.44(2) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 1.457(14) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 2.237(14) | $\mathrm{Ag}(7)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 2.298(16) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 1.472(16) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.355(14)$ | $\mathrm{Ag}(7)-\mathrm{N}(7)$ | 2.216(15) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 1.487(14) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 2.44(3) | $\operatorname{Ag}(8)-\mathrm{N}(14)$ | 2.222(15) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 1.456(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.559(13) | $\mathrm{Ag}(8)-\mathrm{O}(16)$ | 2.246(14) | $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 1.442(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.335(15)$ | $\mathrm{Ag}(8)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 2.414(15) | $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 1.40(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(4)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 2.56(2) | $\mathbf{A g}(8)-\mathbf{A g}(9)$ | 3.061(5) | $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 1.51(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(4)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 2.376 (16) | $\mathrm{Ag}(9)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.567(16) | $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 1.48(2) |
| $\operatorname{Ag}(4)-\mathrm{N}(13)$ | 2.230(16) | $\mathrm{Ag}(9)-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 2.305(15) |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{A g}(9)-\mathbf{A g}(9)$ | 2.389(7) |  |  |

Table 3. Earlier reported few silver-clusters and their applications.

| ifferent structure | Structure novelty and applications |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{16}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{8}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]} \\ & \text { and } \left.\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{10}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)\right)_{5]}\right] . \end{aligned}$ | The complex containing $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{16}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{8}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ subunits exhibits two kinds of cages assembled with 12 -connected $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{16}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{8}\right]$ units and 8 -connected $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ units. Second complex features 14 -connected $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{10}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{5}\right]$ clusters with highest connectivity $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ cluster SBU reported till the date. |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bpz}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \\ & (1), \\ & {\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bpz}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)\right] \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \\ & (2), \\ & (2), \\ & {\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bpz}\right)_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2 / 3}(\mathrm{OH})_{5 /}} \\ & 3 \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Complexes 1-3 have diverse coordination environments of Ag (I) centers and different interplanar dihedral angles of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bpz}$ in them. The cationic frameworks and small-sized pores decorated by coordinately unsaturated Ag (I) centers, while methyl and -NH groups of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ bpz offer highly polar pores in 3. The solidstate luminescence with different emission energies observed along with high heat of sorption for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and high $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ selectivity. In addition, 3 displays outstanding resistance toward water. |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{8}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{3}$ | Octanuclear silver (I) cluster with two-dimensional layer structure. The results show that the argentophilic interactions do great influence on the photoluminescent properties. |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{btec}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right](1)$, $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{3}(\mathrm{Hbtec})\right]$ (2), and $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{4}(\mathrm{btec})\right](3)^{4}$ | The tetramer units show that the argentophilic interactions with greater influence on the photoluminescent properties. These materials can regulate the sustained release of silver ions leading to excellent antibacterial activities towards both Gram-negative bacteria, E. coli and Gram-positive bacteria, S. aureus. |
| $\mathrm{Ag}_{2}(\mathrm{DPA})^{5}$ | Silver lining: a string of argentophilic $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ ions and straddling large aromatic pyrazolate linkers form a 2D network to impact significantly the photoluminescence and optical absorption properties. |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{8}(\mathrm{ADC})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{6}$ | Octanuclear silver (I) cluster compound based on 1,3-adamantanedicarboxylic acid. Compound exhibits significant $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Ag}$ interactions to form 2D infinite silver layer with multiple unsaturated Ag atoms. Photoluminescence studies show the compound can exhibit significant luminescent sensitivity to $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}$ through luminescent quenching effect in aqueous solution, which make its good candidate as luminescent sensor. |
| $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CA}(\mathrm{CA}=$ cyanuric acid) ${ }^{7}$ | Ag sheets of average Ag - Ag distance around $2.95 \AA$ and hydrogen-bonded CA chains, exhibits anisotropic conductivity and acts as an infinite parallel plate capacitor with a high dielectric constant. |
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Fig. S1: (a) X-ray powder diffraction (CuK $\alpha$ ) pattern of compound I. (b) SEM image of the homogeneous polycrystalline phase synthesized by mechanochemical strategy.


Fig. S2: FTIR Spectrum of compound I.

IR spectrum of the compound is almost similar with earlier reported metal sulfate compounds and can be described concerning five distinct regions. (i) The compound has a sharp doublet band at $3400-3320 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region indicative of the presence of the amino group. Doublet band arises due to the symmetric and anti-symmetric stretching of three N-H bonds; (ii) Bands in the region of $1600-1430 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are assigned for C-H bending vibrations and due to the asymmetric and symmetric modes of the C-C bond; (iii) $1120-1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region, with sharp doublet band is due to $v_{s}$ (S-O); (iv) The bands are in the region of $880-610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are due to the bending mode of O-S-O of the sulfate unit and (v) the region below $440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are due to Ag-O stretching frequency. The coordination of sulfate unit to the metal centers decreases the symmetry of the tetrahedral unit, hence the two stretching modes are split in the framework compound. Each sharp peaks contain the shoulders with variable frequencies can be attributed to the bridging coordination of the sulfate unit.


Fig. S3: The diffuse reflectance spectrum of the compound $\mathbf{I}$ in Kubelka-Munk units. $F(R)$ is the Kubelka-Munk function, where $F(R)=(1-R)^{2} / 2 R, R$ is the experimentally observed reflectance. There is only one electronic transition at 280 nm which may be the ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT) for the colorless compound.


Fig. S4: TGA curve of compound $\mathbf{I}$.

Fig. S4: The studies indicate that the framework is highly stable up to $400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The compound shows weight loss above $400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which may be due to the breakdown of the framework structure with the loss of melamine moieties. The total observed weight loss of $27 \%$ appears to match the calculated weight loss (calcd $25 \%$ ) due to the loss of organic molecule from the structure. The calcined product was found to be poorly crystalline by PXRD with the majority of the lines correspond to a mixture of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ phases.


Fig. S5: Coordination environment of the different Silver-ions (Ag1 to Ag 4$)$ in the compound $\mathbf{I}$.


Fig. S6: Coordination environment of the different Silver-ions (Ag5 to Ag9) in the compound I.


Fig. S7: Coordination environment of the four sulfate units (S1 to S4) in the compound I.


Fig. S8: Figure shows the three-dimensional connectivity of silver ions and sulfate units along bc plane. Note that the pores of this structure are occupied by melamine units which cause the damage of the porosity.


Fig. S9: Figure shows the connectivity of twelve-membered rings forming one-dimensional and two-dimensional arrangements.


Fig. S10: (a) Figure shows the orientation of melamine units in two-dimensional cluster along the a-axis. (b) Overall three-dimensional connectivity of silver ions, sulfate tetrahedra and melamine units.


Fig. S11: Topological analysis shows the three-dimensional net observed by node connectivity. Different colors are given for silver-ions (brown), sulfate units (yellow) and melamine units (blue).


Fig. S12: X-ray powder diffraction ( $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha$ ) patterns of as synthesized compound and compound after catalysis reaction. Only the crystallinity has been reduced a little though there is no structural changes can be observed.


Fig. S13: $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-gas adsorption and desorption study of the compound $\mathbf{I}$. The calculated surface area is $18 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$.

## Topological Analysis Report

## \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# <br> 1:C9 N18 Ag8 O16 S4: Silver ions, sulfate units and melamine units are considered as ZC, ZB and ZA, respectively. \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

Topology for ZA1

```
Atom ZA1 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC 8 0.7535 0.5962 0.6088(000) 3.592A 1
ZC 20.62521.1368 0.7897(12 1) 3.614A 1
ZC 60.5064 0.6378 0.9996(00 0) 3.642A 1
Topology for ZA2
```

```
Atom ZA2 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC 70.96620.6411 0.2311(00 0) 3.589A 1
ZC 3 1.0645 0.1022 0.0655(100) 3.612A 1
ZC 10.91380.1403 0.4623(00 0) 3.646A 1
Topology for ZA3
```

Atom ZA3 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC 50.25190 .62050 .9106 ( 000 0) 3.611A 1
ZC 40.36301 .14580 .6924 ( 12 1) 3.617A 1
ZC 90.50580 .60840 .5234 ( 000 0) 3.657A 1
Topology for ZB1

Atom ZB1 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)

```
ZC 20.3748 0.8632 0.2103(000) 3.174A 1
```

ZC $80.24650 .40380 .3912\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right) 3.257 \mathrm{~A} 1$
ZC 40.63700 .85420 .3076 ( 000 0) 3.371A 1
ZC 10.08620 .85970 .5377 ( 111 ) 3.396A 1
Topology for ZB2

```
Atom ZB2 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC 5 0.2519 0.6205-0.0894 ( 0 0-1) 3.178A 1
ZC 7-0.0338 0.6411 0.2311 (-1 0 0) 3.273A 1
ZC 30.0645 1.1022 0.0655(010) 3.318A 1
Topology for ZB3
Atom ZB3 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC \(11.08620 .85970 .5377\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right) 3.271 \mathrm{~A} 1\)
ZC \(80.75350 .59620 .6088\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0\end{array} 0\right) 3.337 \mathrm{~A} 1\)
ZC \(70.96620 .64110 .2311\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0\end{array} 0\right) 3.693 \mathrm{~A} 1\)
ZC \(10.91381 .14030 .4623\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array} 0\right) 3.745 \mathrm{~A} 1\)
Topology for ZB4
```

Atom ZB4 links by bridge ligands and has Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC $40.63700 .85420 .3076(000) 3.449$ A 1

```
ZC 50.7481 0.3795 0.0894(111) 3.515A 1
ZC 20.3748 0.86320.2103(000) 3.551A 1
ZC 70.96620.6411 0.2311(000) 3.559A 1
ZC 3 0.9355 0.8978-0.0655 (1 1 0) 3.710A 1
Topology for ZC1
c. }
Atom ZC1 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZB 31.1519 0.2128 0.5618(2 1 1) 3.271A 1
ZB 1 0.6698 0.2906 0.6152(11 1) 3.396A 1
ZA 2 0.9881 0.2894 0.2532(000) 3.646A 1
ZB 3 0.8481-0.2128 0.4382(0-1 0) 3.745A 1
Topology for ZC2
```

```
Atom ZC2 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZB 10.33020.7094 0.3848(0) 0 0) 3.174A 1
ZC 40.6370 0.8542 0.3076 (0) 0) 3.315A 1
ZB 40.7096 0.7216 0.1126(00 0) 3.551A 1
ZA 10.3409 1.2181 0.2045 (12 1) 3.614A 1
Topology for ZC3
```

Atom ZC 3 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZB $20.1740-0.21100 .0741$ ( 0-1 0) 3.318A 1
ZA 2 -0.0119 $0.28940 .2532(-100) 3.612 \mathrm{~A} 1$
ZB $40.29040 .2784-0.1126\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array} 0\right) 3.710 \mathrm{~A} 1$
Topology for ZC4

Atom ZC4 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC $20.37480 .86320 .2103(000) 3.315 \mathrm{~A} 1$
ZB $10.33020 .70940 .3848(000) 3.371 \mathrm{~A} 1$
ZB $40.70960 .72160 .1126\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0\end{array} 0\right) 3.449 \mathrm{~A} 1$
ZA 30.64561 .20860 .2883 ( 121 1) 3.617A 1
Topology for ZC5

Atom ZC5 links by bridge ligands and has Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZB 20.17400 .78901 .0741 ( 001 1) 3.178A 1
ZC 60.50640 .63780 .9996 ( 000 0) 3.180A 1
ZB 40.29040 .27840 .8874 ( 1111 ) 3.515A 1
ZA $30.35440 .79140 .7117\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0\end{array} 0\right) 3.611 \mathrm{~A} 1$
Topology for ZC6
Atom ZC6 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC 60.49360 .36221 .0004 ( 112 ) 2.819A 1
ZC 50.25190 .62050 .9106 ( 000 0) 3.180A 1
ZA 10.65910 .78190 .7955 ( 000 0) 3.642A 1
Topology for ZC7
Atom ZC 7 links by bridge ligands and has

```
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZB 2 1.1740 0.7890 0.0741(100) 3.273A 1
ZB 4 0.7096 0.7216 0.1126(000) 0.559A 1
ZA 2 0.9881 0.2894 0.2532(000) 0.589A 1
ZB 30.8481 0.7872 0.4382(000) 3.693A 1
Topology for ZC8
```

```
Atom ZC8 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC 90.5058 0.6084 0.5234(00 0) 3.061A 1
ZB 1 0.6698 0.2906 0.6152(11 1) 3.257A 1
ZB 30.8481 0.7872 0.4382(000) 3.337A 1
c. }
ZA 1 0.6591 0.7819 0.7955(000) 3.592A 1
Topology for ZC9
```

Atom ZC9 links by bridge ligands and has
Common vertex with R(A-A)
ZC 90.49420 .39160 .4766 ( 111 ) 2.388A 1
ZC 80.75350 .59620 .6088 ( 000 0) 3.061A 1
ZA 30.35440 .79140 .7117 ( 000 0) 3.657A 1
Coordination sequences
ZA1: 12345678910
Num 3816315774113156199243
Cum 4122859116190303459658901
ZA2: 12345678910
Num 3820376581122155205260
Cum 4123269134215337492697957
----------------------
ZA3: 12345678910
Num 3816305375108153204236
Cum 4122858111186294447651887
ZB1: 12345678910
Num 4919325986123143203255
Cum 5143365124210333476679934
ZB2: 12345678910
Num 3820345880118160214247
Cum 4123266124204322482696943
ZB3: 12345678910
Num 41124407090119159216250
Cum 5164080150240359518734984
ZB4: 12345678910
Num 51121345993134162211252
Cum 6173872131224358520731983

ZC1: 12345678910
Num 41022466690118162204276
Cum 5153783149239357519723999

ZC2: 12345678910
Num 4818305489119167197248
Cum 5133161115204323490687935
---------------------
Num 3818365789118165204261
Cum 4123066123212330495699960
ZC4: 12345678910
Num 4818315288119163196249
Cum 5133162114202321484680929

ZC5: 12345678910
Num 41018315886111171205254
Cum 5153364122208319490695949

## c. 4

ZC6: 12345678910
Num 3717305281113147197256
Cum 4112858110191304451648904
ZC7: 12345678910
Num 41123415791129159201269
Cum 5163980137228357516717986
ZC8: 12345678910
Num 41019345791111157197258
Cum 5153468125216327484681939
ZC9: 12345678910
Num 3717294874113149188234
Cum 4112857105179292441629863
TD10=941
Vertex symbols for selected sublattice
ZA1 Point symbol: $\left\{6^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6.6.6(2)]
ZA2 Point symbol: $\left\{6^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6.6.6]
ZA3 Point symbol: $\left\{6^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6.6.6(2)]
ZB1 Point symbol: $\left\{3.6^{\wedge} 2.7^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[3.6.6.7(2).7(2).7(2)]
ZB2 Point symbol: $\left\{6^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6.6.6]
ZB3 Point symbol: $\left\{4.6^{\wedge} 3.8^{\wedge} 2\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[4.6.6.8(3).6.8(3)]

ZB4 Point symbol: $\left\{3.6^{\wedge} 2.7^{\wedge} 3.8^{\wedge} 2.9^{\wedge} 2\right.$
Extended point symbol:[3.6.6.7(2).7(2).7(2).8(3).8(3).9.9]

ZC1 Point symbol: $\left\{4.6^{\wedge} 3.8^{\wedge} 2\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[4.6.6.8(3).6.8(3)]
ZC2 Point symbol: \{3^2.4.6^2.7\}
Extended point symbol:[3.6.3.7(3).4.6(2)]

ZC3 Point symbol: $\left\{6^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6.6.6]
ZC4 Point symbol: $\left\{3^{\wedge} 2.4 .6^{\wedge} 2.7\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[3.6.3.7(3).4.6(2)]
ZC5 Point symbol: $\left\{6^{\wedge} 3.7^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6.6(2).6.7(2).7.7(2)]

ZC6 Point symbol: $\left\{6.10^{\wedge} 2\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6(2).10(3).10(3)]
c. 5

ZC7 Point symbol: $\left\{6^{\wedge} 3.8^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6.8.6.8(2).6.8(3)]

ZC8 Point symbol: $\left\{6^{\wedge} 3.7^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6.6(2).6.7(2).7.7(2)]
ZC9 Point symbol: $\left\{6.8^{\wedge} 2\right\}$
Extended point symbol:[6(2).8.8]
Point symbol for net:
$\left\{3.6^{\wedge} 2.7^{\wedge} 3.8^{\wedge} 2.9^{\wedge} 2\right\}\left\{3.6^{\wedge} 2.7^{\wedge} 3\right\}\left\{3^{\wedge} 2.4 .6^{\wedge} 2.7\right\} 2\left\{4.6^{\wedge} 3.8^{\wedge} 2\right\} 2\left\{6.10^{\wedge} 2\right\}\left\{6.8^{\wedge} 2\right\}\left\{6^{\wedge} 3.7^{\wedge} 3\right\} 2\left\{6^{\wedge} 3.8^{\wedge} 3\right\}\left\{6^{\wedge} 3\right\} 5$
$3,3,3,3,3,3,3,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,5-c$ net with stoichiometry $(3-c)(3-c)(3-c)(3-c)(3-c)(3-c)(3-c)(4-c)(4-c)(4-c)(4-c)(4-c)(4-c)(4-c)(4-$
c)(5-c); 16 -nodal net

New topology, please, contact the authors (17467 types in 3 databases)
Elapsed time: 34.95 sec .
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## Catalytic Reactions:

Cyanosilylation Reaction: In a typical experiment, powdered catalyst ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in 100 ml round bottom flask with the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent ( 50 mL ) and N -benzilidine aniline ( 0.36 $\mathrm{g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Trimethylsilyl cyanide ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to it at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the overall mixture was stirred for 2 h in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The product was filtered through Millipore membrane filter papers to remove the catalyst particles. The supernatant liquid was dried under vacuum to remove the solvent. The product was analyzed and evaluated for the conversion of the reactants through ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.


Ketalization Reaction: We used three different ketones i.e. acetone, 2-butanone and 3-pentanone. Typically, $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}(35 \mathrm{mg})$ portion of the silver sulfate catalyst, 16 mmol of acetone $(0.92 \mathrm{~g})$, and 16 mmol of ethylene glycol ( 0.99 g ) were introduced into 10 mL of toluene, the latter was used as the solvent for a ketal formation reaction. The reaction was refluxed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was isolated by filtration and reused on subsequent reactions without further treatment.




Esterification Reaction: we carried out the esterification between acetic acid and ethanol with silver sulfate catalyst. $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}(35 \mathrm{mg})$ catalyst, 16 mmol of acetic acid $(0.96 \mathrm{~g})$, and 16 mmol of ethanol ( 0.74 g ) were introduced into 10 mL of toluene for this catalytic reaction. Catalyst and substrate ratio was 1:800 similar to the ketalization.
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