Supporting Information to

"Disclosing the rich crystal chemistry of Lesinurad by ab-initio laboratory X-ray powder diffraction methods"

by Stephanie Terruzzi, Sonja Bellomi, Giovanni Marras, Giuseppe Barreca, Giampiero Ventimiglia, Antonio Cervellino and Norberto Masciocchi

Table S1. Comparison of diffraction peak positions for Lesinurad Forms 1, 2, 2 hT, 3.

Table S2. Chebyshev and hyperbolic coefficients describing the background contribution for Lesinurad Forms 1, 2, 2 hT, 3.

Table S3. Comparison of profile agreement factors for structureless (Le Bail) and Rietveld refinements for Lesinurad Forms 1, 2, 2 hT, 3. The final refined isotropic Debye-Waller (B_{iso}) factors are also reported in the last column (esd's in parentheses).

Figure S1. Comparison of the XRPD traces of Forms 2 (at RT, in blue) and 2 hT (in red, at 145°C)

Figure S2. STA traces for a) Form 1 b) Form 2 (and 2 hT) and c) Form 3. TG curve in blue and DSC curve in green.

Figure S3. FTIR spectra for a) Form 1 b) Form 2 and c) Form 3

Figure S4. Rietveld Refinement Plot for Form 2 hT (laboratory data in the range not affected by the aluminum sample holder of the temperature-controlled heating stage)

Figure S5. Rietveld Refinement Plots for Phases 1, 2, 2 hT and 3, shown in

Form 1	Form 2	Form 2 hT	Form 3
	7.98	8.05	7.96
6.81	9.66	10.10	9.63
10.33	10.46	10.87	10.08
12.95	11.93	11.52	11.48
13.53	12.55	12.49	11.67
13.64	12.92	12.93	11.91
14.40	13.80	13.48	12.41
15.29	15.42	14.48	12.98
15.89	16.17	15.78	13.53
16.10	16.46	16.09	13.56
16.72	18.20	18.40	14.40
18.53	18.73	18.46	14.54
18.82	18.99	19.14	15.15
19.57	19.49	19.46	15.34
19.97	19.82	19.70	15.44
20.53	20.38	20.28	15.97
20.78	21.01	20.79	16.27
21.34	21.35	21.41	16.90
22.33	21.92	21.85	17.40
22.74	22.30	22.06	17.56
23.08	22.46	22.64	17.87
23.21	23.04	22.82	18.10
25.03	23.39	23.16	18.29
25.50	23.99	23.26	18.85
25.51	24.00	23.68	19.10
26.00	24.21	24.23	19.34
26.29	24.56	24.51	19.57
26.63	25.11	24.62	19.99
26.77	25.44	24.85	20.25
27.27	26.03	25.13	20.49
27.54	26.48	25.65	20.87
28.23	26.73	25.84	20.99
28.42	27.82	26.03	21.11
28.81	28.01	26.39	21.45
29.02	28.04	26.67	21.81
29.36	29.16	28.03	22.50
29.67	29.57	28.12	22.91
	29.89	28.45	23.08

 Table S1. Comparison of diffraction peak positions for Lesinurad Forms 1, 2, 2 hT, 3.

	29.49	23.18
		23.53
		23.76
		24.05
		24.85
		25.34
		25.48
		26.13
		26.24
		26.33
		26.63
		26.78
		27.32
		27.50
		28.13
		28.16
		28.42
		28.57
		28.95
		29.05
		29.19
		29.33
		29.81

Table S2. Chebyshev and hyperbolic coefficients describing the background contribution for Lesinurad Forms 1, 2, 2 hT, 3.

Form 1

-14588.162 28686.3747 -23499.8508 15961.0202 -9048.41594 4275.45912 -1486.33881 142.072721 One_on_X(770508.75691)

Form 2

14843.5369 -4884.98534 -2340.00021 2073.23506 One_on_X(22630.24045)

Form 2hT

1002.08273 One_on_X(195.19478)

Form 3

15535.4558 -6926.27656 -1063.18221 1661.53822 57.8126557 -1037.84961 1603.82253 -1482.88769 1174.34804 -409.012106 -262.47633 523.811023 One_on_X(0)

Table S3. Comparison of profile agreement factors R_p and R_{wp} for structureless (Le Bail) and Rietveld refinements for Lesinurad Forms 1, 2, 2 hT, 3. The final refined isotropic Debye-Waller (B_{iso}) factors are also reported in the last column (esd's in parentheses).

Form	R_p and R_{wp} , LeBail	R_p and R_{wp} , Rietveld	B_{iso} , Å ²
1	0.029, 0.048	0.058, 0.079	4.60(15)
2	0.035, 0.051	0.075, 0.102	6.00(13)
2 hT	0.078, 0.111	0.174, 0.192	9.1(8)
3	0.020, 0.037	0.066, 0.096	5.37(18)

Figure S1. Comparison of the XRPD traces of Forms 2 (at RT, in blue) and 2 hT (in red, at 145°C)



sinuoO



Figure S2. STA traces for a) Form 1 b) Form 2 (and 2 hT) and c) Form 3. TG curve in blue and DSC curve in green.



Figure S3. FTIR spectra for a) Form 1 b) Form 2 and c) Form 3

Figure S4. Rietveld Refinement Plot for Form 2 hT (laboratory data in the range not affected by the aluminum sample holder of the temperature-controlled heating stage)





Figure S5. Rietveld Refinement Plots for Phases 1, 2, 2 hT and 3, shown in Form 1

0,4 0,6 0,8

1







Form 3

