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## Protein expression and purification methods

Production of bromodomain proteins was carried out as previously described ${ }^{1}$. TR-FRET assay conditions have been reported previously. ${ }^{2,3}$

Synthesis of biotinylated probes for TR-FRET assays. The synthesis and characterization of biotinylated probe molecules has been previously reported. ${ }^{2}$

Cell-based assays. Target engagement in cells was assessed by displacement of a fluorescent tagged ligand from nanoLuc (nLuc; Promega) luciferase-bromodomain fusion proteins. For the BRD4 assay, 293T cells were grown in DMEM medium (low glucose) supplemented with 2 mM glutamine and $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum. Cells were trypsinized, counted and resuspended at 2 x $10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. Cells were transfected transiently using FuGENE HD Transfection Reagent (Promega) with 9:1 carrier DNA: nLuc-full-length BRD4 fusion construct ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ total DNA; 20 mL cells). Cells were plated and grown for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were isolated and resuspended in assay medium (OptiMem I reduced serum medium lacking phenol red; Gibco) at $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and plated in white 96 -well plates with tracer ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration) according to the supplier's instructions ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total volume). Serially diluted compound ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; top final concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was added to wells ( $0.2 \%$ DMSO final concentration). After incubation as described, plates were processed by addition of substrate and read according to the supplier's instructions on a GloMax GM3000 reader (Promega). The ratio of acceptor emmission $(610 \mathrm{~nm})$ to donor emission $(450 \mathrm{~nm})$ was corrected by subtracting the value for the no-tracer control and multiplied by 1000 to yield a final value in milliBRET units ( mBu ). The TAF1(2) assay was carried out in a similar manner, using instead an N-terminal nLuc-TAF1(2) aa 14941698 fusion construct and the tracer probe.
To assess the effect of inhibition of TAF1(2) on BRD4 inhibition, H23 viability was determined using CellTiter-Glo 2.0 (Promega). Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 2 mM glutamine and $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum. One day preceding compound addition, cells were plated at 5000 cells/well in white 96 -well culture plates. Compound dilutions and mixtures were prepared at 500x final concentration in $100 \%$ DMSO then diluted with fresh medium. Wells were aspirated to remove medium, and compound medium $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to triplicate wells. Cells were grown for an additional $48 \mathrm{~h}\left(37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. Plates were equilibrated to room temperature before adding CellTiter-Glo reagent and incubating briefly to develop signal. Luminescence was read on a Wallac Victor ${ }^{3}$ V 1420 Multilabel Counter (Perkin Elmer). Average luminescence values were converted to percent inhibition according to the equation:
$\%$ inhibition $=(1-(($ value-MIN $) /(\mathrm{MAX}-\mathrm{MIN})) * 100$,
where MAX is the average of luminescence from vehicle treated wells and MIN is the fitted lower baseline of the JQ1 inhibition curve at the highest concentration of GNE-371. Percent inhibition values from two independent experiments were averaged and provided as input to the web implementation of the program SynergyFinder ${ }^{5}$, using the Bliss model with optional baseline correction.

Crystallography methods. Crystallographic methods, analysis, and refinement information for Compound 1 bound to BRD4(1) and compound 2 bound to TAF1(2) has been previous reported. ${ }^{2}$

The co-crystal structures of TAF1-BD2 with $\mathbf{8} \boldsymbol{\&} 27$ were obtained by incubating 3.3 mM of each compound with protein at a concentration of $19.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}(1.2 \mathrm{mM})$ in 20 mM HEPES, pH $7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP. Crystals were then grown at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using the sitting drop vapor diffusion technique by equilibrating the protein:ligand complexes against a solutions containing 25\% PEG1500 (8) or 0.1 M BIS-TRIS pH 6.5, 28\% w/v PEG MME 2,000 (27). TAF1-BD2-8 crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen using a 1:1 mix of Paratone-N and Mineral oil and data was collected at APS beamline 21ID-G. The TAF1-BD2-27 complex crystals were cryoprotected by the addition of $20 \%$ ethylene glycol to the well solution and data was collected at SSRF beamline 17U1.

Table S1. Data collection and refinement statistics for TAF1-BD2 in complex with $\mathbf{8}$ \& $\mathbf{2 7}$

|  | TAF1-BD2 Cpd8 | TAF1-BD2 Cpd27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDB-ID | 6DF4 | 6DF7 |
| Beamline | LS-CAT 21ID-G | SSRF 17 U 1 |
| Wavelength | 0.9786 | 0.97923 |
| Resolution range | $\begin{aligned} & 12.88-1.30 \\ & (1.35-1.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.9-2.003 \\ (2.075-2.003) \end{gathered}$ |
| Space group | P21221 | P212121 |
| Unit cell | 47.8159 .6560 .58909090 | 52.4279 .7083 .83909090 |
| Total reflections | 307,048 | 157,471 |
| Unique reflections | 43,100 (4,240) | 22,773 (1,166) |
| Multiplicity | 7.1 (7.0) | 6.9 (7.4) |
| Completeness (\%) | 99.65 (99.24) | 93.8 (100) |
| Mean I/sigma(I) | 32.2 (3.2) | 12.9 (0.9) |
| Wilson B-factor | 14.48 | 43.04 |
| R-pim |  | 0.035 (0.792) |
| $R$-merge | 0.051 (0.701) | 0.068 (0.57) |
| Refinement |  |  |
| Reflections used in refinement | 43011 (4192) | 22749 (1790) |
| Reflections used for $R$ free | 2166 (229) | 1131 (79) |
| R-work | 0.169 (0.229) | 0.192 (0.314) |
| $R$-free | 0.187 (0.224) | 0.235 (0.287) |
| Number of nonhydrogen atoms | 1446 | 2345 |
| macromolecules | 1110 | 2095 |
| ligands | 28 | 64 |
| solvent | 308 | 186 |


| Protein residues | 134 | 256 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RMS(bonds) | 0.013 | 0.014 |
| RMS(angles) | 1.49 | 1.58 |
| Ramachandran favored (\%) | 99.24 | 99.6 |
| Ramachandran allowed (\%) | 0.76 | 0.4 |
| Ramachandran outliers (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Rotamer outliers (\%) | 0 | 2.9 |
| Clashscore | 0.9 | 1.43 |
| Average B-factor | 19.91 | 50.37 |
| macromolecules | 16.72 | 49.77 |
| ligands | 12.07 | 39.06 |
| solvent | 32.15 | 61.04 |



Supplementary Figure S1. (A) $1.3 \AA$ resolution Sigma-A weighted $2 \mathrm{mFo}-\mathrm{DFc}^{*}$ electron density map of compound 8 contoured at 1 sigma. (B) \& (C) $2.0 \AA$ resolution Sigma-A weighted $2 \mathrm{mFo}-$ DFc* electron density map of compound 27 contoured at 1 sigma (from 2 molecules of the TAF1(2)-Cpd27 complex in the assymetric unit, B \& C represent 27 bound to each of these TAF molecules. (*where m is the figure of merit, and D is the Sigma-A weighting factor).


Supplementary Figure S2. Bliss synergy calculation for H23 cells co-treated with JQ1 and GNE-371. The overall synergy score is 15 , with a maximum Bliss score of 43 .

Table S2. TR-FRET assay data for compounds Tables 1 and 2 including standard deviations.

|  | IC50 (uM) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | TAF1(2) | TAF1(1) | BRD4(1) | BRD4(2) | BRD9 | CECR2 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $0.059 \pm 0.02$ | $3.6 \pm 0.8$ | $0.09 \pm 0.02$ | $0.65 \pm 0.01$ | $0.2 \pm 0.1$ | $0.24 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $0.046 \pm 0.005$ | $9.9 \pm 1$ | $2.5 \pm 0.3$ | $5.5 \pm 0.7$ | $1.4 \pm 0.3$ | $4.8 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $0.046 \pm 0.01$ | $17 \pm 1$ | $0.69 \pm 0.07$ | $1.5 \pm 0.0$ | $1.8 \pm 0.0$ | $2.9 \pm 1$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $0.035 \pm 0.005$ | $16 \pm 2$ | $0.76 \pm 0.05$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $4.7 \pm 1$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $0.067 \pm 0.009$ | $13 \pm 2$ | $0.75 \pm 0.1$ | $2.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $4.0 \pm 0.7$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $0.089 \pm 0.02$ | $9.5 \pm 1$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $5.0 \pm 0.0$ | $0.90 \pm 0.06$ | $0.55 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $0.083 \pm 0.009$ | $14 \pm 3$ | $3.2 \pm 0.0$ | $5.0 \pm 0.0$ | $0.89 \pm 0.01$ | $1.1 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $0.023 \pm 0.006$ | $11 \pm 1$ | $1.0 \pm 0.4$ | $6.9 \pm 0.3$ | $1.5 \pm 0.5$ | $3.3 \pm 0.6$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $0.017 \pm 0.003$ | $15 \pm 2$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | $5.0 \pm 0.2$ | $1.6 \pm 0.1$ | $2.2 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $0.026 \pm 0.004$ | $4.3 \pm 0.3$ | $0.48 \pm 0.01$ | $4.0 \pm 0.2$ | $0.88 \pm 0.03$ | $2.3 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $0.006 \pm 0.003$ | $6.1 \pm 0.7$ | $0.60 \pm 0.1$ | $9.0 \pm 0.5$ | $0.96 \pm 0.02$ | $0.69 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $0.015 \pm 0.004$ | $8.1 \pm 0.0$ | $1.8 \pm 0.1$ | $5.0 \pm 0.0$ | $3.0 \pm 0.4$ | $0.35 \pm 0.09$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $0.010 \pm 0.001$ | $9.6 \pm 1$ | $5.1 \pm 0.6$ | $11 \pm 0$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.8 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $0.006 \pm 0.000$ | $9.7 \pm 2$ | $5.0 \pm 0.0$ | $5.0 \pm 0.0$ | $0.86 \pm 0.3$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $0.035 \pm 0.01$ | $15 \pm 3$ | $5.0 \pm 0.0$ | $5.0 \pm 0.0$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ | $5.0 \pm 1$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $0.011 \pm 0.004$ | $11 \pm 1$ | $7.9 \pm 1$ | $17 \pm 1$ | $2.2 \pm 0.3$ | $2.1 \pm 0.5$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $0.016 \pm 0.004$ | $11 \pm 4$ | $3.2 \pm 0.3$ | $5.0 \pm 0$ | $2.1 \pm 0.4$ | $0.83 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $0.013 \pm 0.004$ | $9.3 \pm 2$ | $16 \pm 2$ | $13 \pm 1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.6$ | $1.7 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $0.007 \pm 0.002$ | $6.9 \pm 0.6$ | $8.6 \pm 0.9$ | $10 \pm 1$ | $1.7 \pm 0.5$ | $1.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $0.004 \pm 0.003$ | $5.3 \pm 0.4$ | $6.3 \pm 1$ | $7.6 \pm 0.3$ | $1.6 \pm 0.1$ | $0.59 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $0.016 \pm 0.003$ | $5.9 \pm 0.4$ | $2.3 \pm 0.1$ | $4.4 \pm 0.0$ | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ | $0.82 \pm 0.01$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $0.010 \pm 0.001$ | $7.0 \pm 2$ | $3.6 \pm 0.2$ | $4.4 \pm 0.2$ | $1.4 \pm 0.4$ | $0.23 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $0.016 \pm 0.004$ | $8.4 \pm 6$ | $8.2 \pm 0.9$ | $12.4 \pm 0.6$ | $0.75 \pm 0.1$ | $2.6 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $0.016 \pm 0.04$ | $>20$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $1.0 \pm 0.0$ | $2.4 \pm 2$ | $2.6 \pm 1.1$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $0.006 \pm 0.005$ | $6.8 \pm 1$ | $3.4 \pm 0.4$ | $6.8 \pm 0.2$ | $0.62 \pm 0.03$ | $0.59 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $0.028 \pm 0.01$ | $>20$ | $>20$ | $>20$ | $18 \pm 1$ | $1.1 \pm 2$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $0.010 \pm 0.002$ | $>20$ | $>20$ | $>20$ | $9.5 \pm 2$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ |

Table S3. BROMOscan ${ }^{\circledR}$ bromodomain selectivity data for compound 27 (GNE-371) provided by DiscoveRx Corp., Fremont, CA, USA, http://www.discoverx.com. This screen measured binding competition against immobilized ligands for 40 DNA-tagged bromodomains. Compound $K_{D}$ values are averages of 2 independent experiments.

| Target | GNE-371 <br> $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{n M})$ | Target | GNE-371 <br> $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{n M})$ | Target | GNE-371 <br> $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{n M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATAD2A | $>10000$ | BRD4(2) | $>10000$ | EP300 | $>10000$ |
| ATAD2B | $>10000$ | BRD4 <br> (full- <br> length, <br> Short-iso.) | 8900 | FALZ | $>10000$ |
| BAZ2A | $>10000$ | BRD7 | $>10000$ | GCN5L2 | $>10000$ |
| BAZ2B | $>10000$ | BRD8(1) | $>10000$ | PBRM1(2) | $>10000$ |
| BRD1 | $>10000$ | BRD8(2) | $>10000$ | PBRM1(5) | $>10000$ |
| BRD2(1) | $>10000$ | BRD9 | 3400 | PCAF | $>10000$ |
| BRD2(1,2) | $>10000$ | BRDT(1) | $>10000$ | SMARCA2 | $>10000$ |
| BRD2(2) | $>10000$ | BRDT(1,2) | $>10000$ | SMARCA4 | $>10000$ |
| BRD3(1) | $>10000$ | BRDT(2) | $>10000$ | TAF1(2) | 1.2 |
| BRD3(1,2) | $>10000$ | BRPF1 | $>10000$ | TAF1L(2) | 5.2 |
| BRD3(2) | $>10000$ | BRPF3 | $>10000$ | TRIM24(Bromo.) | $>10000$ |
| BRD4(1) | $>10000$ | CECR2 | 1200 | TRIM24(PHD,Bromo.) | $>10000$ |
| BRD4(1,2) | $>10000$ | CREBBP | $>10000$ | TRIM33(PHD,Bromo.) | $>10000$ |
|  |  |  |  | WDR9(2) | $>10000$ |

Table S4. Kinase selectivity data for Compound 27 (GNE-371). Invitrogen panel of 35 kinases, percent inhibition at $1.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

| Kinase | GNE-371 @ $\mathbf{1 . 0} \boldsymbol{\mu \mathbf { M }}$ | Kinase | GNE-371 @ $\mathbf{1 . 0} \boldsymbol{\mu M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKT1 | 2.5 | MAP4K4 | 5.5 |
| Abl | 11 | MEK1 | -1 |
| Aurora_B | -1 | MST3 | 3 |
| CDK2/cyclinA | 1.5 | MYLK3(caMLCK) | 1.5 |
| CDK5/p25 | -1 | Mink1 | 6 |
| CHK1 | 6.5 | MuSK | 0 |
| CLK2 | 5.5 | PIM1 | -2.5 |
| CSF1R | 3.5 | PKA | 2 |
| DMPK | 0 | PLK1 | -5 |
| EphA1 | 3.5 | RIPK2 | -3 |
| Flt3 | 2.5 | RSK3 | 4 |
| GSK3_beta | 3 | Ret | 1.5 |
| IRAK4 | 5.5 | SIK2 | -4 |
| InsR | 8 | Src | 4.5 |
| JAK1 | -2 | TGFBR1 | -1.5 |
| JNK1_alpha1 | 4 | TrkA | 16.5 |
| Lck | 16.5 | Yes | 5.5 |
|  |  | p38_alpha(direct) | 2 |

LCMS trace of compound 27


HRMS trace for Compound 27:
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