SUPPORTING INFORMATION

C–H hydroxylation in paralytic shellfish toxin biosynthesis

April L. Lukowski,^{1,2} Duncan C. Ellinwood,^{2,3} Meagan E. Hinze,² Ryan J. DeLuca,⁴ J. Du Bois,⁴ Sherwood Hall,⁵ and Alison R. H. Narayan^{1,2,3*}

¹Program in Chemical Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109
 ²Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109
 ³Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109
 ⁴Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305
 ⁵United States Food and Drug Administration, College Park, Maryland 20740

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: arhardin@umich.edu

	Table	e of	Conte	ents
--	-------	------	-------	------

Part I.	Cloning	S4–S13
Gene	ral considerations	S4
Prote	n sequences and codon-optimized DNA sequences	
Ligati	on independent cloning (LIC) procedures	S11–S13
Part II.	Protein Expression and Purification	S14–S24
Gene	ral considerations	S14
Alignr	nents and phylogenetic data	S14-S16
Expre	ssion protocols	S16–S17
Purific	cation protocols	S17–S19
Prote	n quantification and analysis	S19–S24
Part III.	Enzymatic Reactions	S25–S30
Gene	ral considerations	S25
PST a	inalogs table	S26
SxtT	eactions with hydrogen peroxide	S27
Redo	k partner assessment	S27
SxtT i	eactions with substrate panel and VanB	S27
GxtA	reactions with VanB	S27
SxtH	reactions with arginine methyl ester	S28
Analy	tical-scale VioC reactions	S28
Prepa	rative-scale VioC reaction and elaboration to methyl ester	S28-S29
Prepa	rative-scale GxtA reaction	S29
Produ	ct isolation from GxtA reactions	S29
SxtT a	and GxtA reactions with ¹⁸ O-labeled hydrogen peroxide	S30
Part IV.	LC/MS and MS/MS Analysis	S31–S51
Gene	ral considerations	S31
Total	turnover number (TTN) determination for SxtT and GxtA	S31-S34
Stead	y-state kinetics with SxtT	S35–S37
MS/M	S data	S38–S51
Part V.	Synthesis and Standard Preparation	S52–S71
Gene	ral considerations	S52
Prepa	ration of dideoxysaxitoxin	S52
Prepa	ration of β -saxitoxinol	S53

Preparation of α -saxitoxinol	S53
Preparation of saxitoxin	S54
Preparation of neosaxitoxin	S54
Carbamate cleavage procedures	S54-S57
Preparation of gonyautoxin 2 and gonyautoxin 3	S58-S59
Preparation of 11- α -hydroxysaxitoxin and 11- β -hydroxysaxitoxin	S59-S61
NMR spectra of compounds	S62–S71
Part VI. References	S72-S73

I. Cloning

General Considerations. *E. coli* cloning strains DH10B or DH5α (Invitrogen) were used for DNA propagation. Phusion HF polymerase and *Ssp*I restriction enzyme were purchased from New England BioLabs. *Taq* DNA polymerase, 10x PCR buffer, MgCl₂, and dNTPs were purchased from Invitrogen. Ligation independent cloning (LIC) qualified T4 DNA polymerase was purchased from EMD Millipore. QIAquick PCR Purification, GeI Extraction and Spin Miniprep kits were purchased from Qiagen. LIC vectors pMCSG7 (N-terminal 6xHis tag) and pMCSG9 (N-terminal 6xHis tag and maltose binding protein, MBP) were obtained from the Center for Structural Biology (CSB) at the University of Michigan.¹ The primers listed in Table S1 were used to clone the genes listed in Table 2 into LIC vectors. Ambient temperature, where noted, indicates 22 °C. A TC9610 Multigene Optimax thermocycler from Labnet International was used for all PCR reactions and cloning procedures. All primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). ddH₂O was sourced from a MilliQ Biocel water purification unit from Millipore.

Codon-Optimized DNA and Protein Sequences

sxtT from Microseira wollei

DNA

Protein

MTTADLILINNWHVVANVEDCKPGSITTARLLGVKLVLWRSQEQNSPIQVWQDYCPHRGVPLSMGEVANN TLVCPYHGWRYNQAGKCVQIPAHPDMVPPASAQAKTYHCQERYGLVWVCLGNPVNDIPSFPEWDDPNYHK TYTKSYLIQASPFRVMDNSIDVSHFPFIHDGWLGDRNYTKVEDFEVKVDKDGLTMGKYQFQTSRIVSHIE DDSWVNWFRLSHPLCQYCVSESPEMRIVDLMTIAPIDEDNSVLRMLIMWNGSEMLESKMLTEYDETIEQD IRILHSQQPARLPLLAPKQINTQGLPQEIHVPSDRGTVAYRRWLKELGVTYGVC

sxtT from Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii

DNA

ATGACCACCGCGATCCGATTCTGATTAATAACTGGCATGTTGTTGCCAACGTGGAAGATTGTAAACCGG GTAGCATTACCCGTAGCCGTCTGCTGGGGTGTTAAACTGGTTCTGTGGCGTAGCTATGAACAGAATAGCCC GATTCAGGTTTGGCTGGATTATTGTCCGCATCGTGGTGTTCCGCTGAGCATGGGTGAAATTACCAATAAT ACCCTGGTTTGTCCGTATCATGGTTGGCGTTATAATGAAGCCGGTAAATGTATTCAGATTCCGGCACATC CTGGTATGGTTCCGCCTGCAAGCGCAGAAGCACGTACCTATCATAGCCAAGAACGTTATGGTCTGGTTTG GGTTTGTCTGGGTGATCCGGTTAATGATATTCCGAGCTTTCCGGAATGGGATGATCCGAATTATCATAAA ACCTACACCAAGAGCTATCTGATTAAAGCAAGCGCATTTCGCGTTATGGATAATAGCCTGGATGTTAGCC ATTTTCCGTTTATTCATGATGGTTGGCTGGGCGATCGTAATTATACCAAAGTTGAAGAATTCGAGGTGAA GCTGGATAAAGATGGTCTGACCATGGGTAAATATCAGTTTCAGACCAGCCGTATTGTGAGCCATATTGAA GATGATAGCTGGGTGAATTGGTTTCGTCTGAGCCATCCGCTGTGTCAGTATTGTGTGAGCCATATTGAA GATGATAGCTGGGTGAATTGGTTTCGTCTGAGCCATCCGCTGTGTCAGTATTGTGTTAGCGAAAGTCCGG AAATGCGTATTGTTGATCTGATGACCATTACGCCGATTGATGAAGAAAATAGCGTTCTGCGTATGCTGAT TATGTGGAATGGTTATGAAACCCTGGAAAGCAAAATGCTGACCGAATATGATGAAAACCATCGAACAGGAT ATTCGTATTCTGCATGCACAGCAGCCGGTTCGTCTGCCGCTGCCGCAAGCAGATTAATACCCAGC TGTTTAGCCATGAAATTCATGTTCCGAGCGATCGTTGTACCCTGGCATATCGTCGTTGGCTGAAACAGTT AGGTGTTACCTATGGTGTTTGCTAA

Protein

MTTTDPILINNWHVVANVEDCKPGSITRSRLLGVKLVLWRSYEQNSPIQVWLDYCPHRGVPLSMGEITNN TLVCPYHGWRYNEAGKCIQIPAHPGMVPPASAEARTYHSQERYGLVWVCLGDPVNDIPSFPEWDDPNYHK TYTKSYLIKASAFRVMDNSLDVSHFPFIHDGWLGDRNYTKVEEFEVKLDKDGLTMGKYQFQTSRIVSHIE DDSWVNWFRLSHPLCQYCVSESPEMRIVDLMTITPIDEENSVLRMLIMWNGYETLESKMLTEYDETIEQD IRILHAQQPVRLPLLTPKQINTQLFSHEIHVPSDRCTLAYRRWLKQLGVTYGVC

sxtT from Aphanizomenon sp. NH-5

DNA

ATGACCACCGCAGATCCGATTCTGATTAATAACTGGTATGTTGTGGCCAAGGTGGAAGATTGTAAACCGG GTAGCATTACCACCGCAAGCCTGCTGGGTGTTAAACTGGTTCTGTGGCGTAGTGATGAACCGAATAGCCC GATTCAGGTTTGGCAGGATTATTGTCCGCATCGTGGTGTTGCACTGAGCATGGGTGAAATTGCAAATAAT ACCCTGGTTTGTGCATATCATGGTTGGCGTTATAATGAAGCCGGTAAATGTGTTCAGATTCCGGCACATC CGGATATGATTCCGCCTGCAAGCGCAATTGCAAAAACCTATCATTGTCAAGAACGTTATGGTCTGGTTTG GGTTTGTCTGGGTAATCCGGTTAATGATATCCCGGAATTCCGGAATGGGATGATCCGAATTATCACAAA ACCTACACCAAAAGCTATCTGATTCAGGCAAGCGCAATTCCGTGTTATGGATAATAGCCTGGATGTTAGCC ATTTTCCGTTTATTCATGATGGTTGGCTGGGTGATCGTAACTATACCAAAGTGGAAAACTTTGAGGTGAA ACCGGATAAAGATGGTCTGACCATGGGCAAATATCAGTTTCAGACCAGCCGTATTGTGAGCCATATTGAA GATGATAACGTGGGTGAATTGGTTTCGTCTGAGCCATCCGCTGTGTCAGTATTGTGAGCCATATTGAA GATGATAGCTGGGTGAATTGGTTTCGTCTGAGCCATCCGCTGTGTCAGTATTGTGTAGCGAAAGCCGG AAATGCGTATTGTTGATCTGATGACCATTACGCCGATTGATGAAGATAATAGCGTTCTGCGTATGCTGAT TACCTGGAATGGTAGCCAGATGCTGGATTTCAAAACCCTGGAAAGCAAAATGCTGACCGAATATGAA ACCATCGAAAGAAGATATTCGCATTCTGCATGCACAGCAGCCGTGCTGCCGCTGCTGACCCCGAAGC AGATTAATACCCAGTGGCTGCCGCAAGAAATTCATGTTCCGAGCGATAAAATGTACCGTTGCATTATGAA ACCATCGAAAGAAGATATTCGCATTCTGCATGCACAGCAGCCTGCCGCAGCGTGCTGACCCCGAAGC AGATTAATACCCAGTGGCTGCCGCAAGAAATTCATGTTCCGAGCGATAAAATGTACCGTTGCATTATCGTCG TTGGCTGAAAGAACTGGGTGGACCTATGGTGTTGTTAA

Protein

MTTADPILINNWYVVAKVEDCKPGSITTASLLGVKLVLWRSDEPNSPIQVWQDYCPHRGVALSMGEIANN TLVCAYHGWRYNEAGKCVQIPAHPDMIPPASAIAKTYHCQERYGLVWVCLGNPVNDIPEFPEWDDPNYHK TYTKSYLIQASAFRVMDNSLDVSHFPFIHDGWLGDRNYTKVENFEVKLDKDGLTMGKYQFQTSRIVSHIE DDSWVNWFRLSHPLCQYCVSESPEMRIVDLMTITPIDEDNSVLRMLITWNGSQMLDFKTLESKMLTEYDE TIEEDIRILHAQQPARLPLLTPKQINTQWLPQEIHVPSDKCTVAYRRWLKELGVTYGVC

sxtT from Dolichospermum circinale

DNA

Protein

MTNADQILINDWYVVAKVEDCKPGSITTASLLGVKLVLWRSHEQNSPIQVWQDHCPHRGVALSMGEIVNN TLVCAYHGWRYNEAGKCVQIPAHPDMIPPASAIAKTYHCQERYGLVWVCLGNPVNDIPEFPEWDDPNYHK TYTKSYLIQASAFRVMDNSLDVSHFPFIHDGWLGDRNYTKVENFEVKLDKDGLTMGKYQFQTSRIVSDIE DDSWVNWLRLSHPLCQYCVSESPEMRIVDLMTITPIDEDKSILQMLITWKCLRMLDSKTLESKLLTEYDE TIEQDIRILHAQQPARLPLLPPKQINTQWLLQEIHVPSDKCTVAYRRWLKELGVTYGVC

gxtA (formerly sxtDiox) from Microseira wollei

DNA

Protein

MTTADLILINNWYVVAKVEDCRPGSITTAHLLGVKLVLWRSHEQNSPIQVWQDYCPHRGVPLSMGEVANN TLVCPYHGWRYNQAGKCVQIPAHPDMVPPASAQAKTYHCQERYGLVWVCLGNPVNDIPSFPEWDDPNYHK TYTKSYLIQASPFRVMDNSIDVSHFPFIHEGILGDRNHAEVEDLEVKVDKDGLTMGKYQVHTSKFNNSTK DDSMVNWFRLSHPLCQYCSTEASEMRTVDLMVVTPIDEDNSVLRYLIMWNGSKTLESKILADYDQVIEED IRILHSQQPTRLPLLSPKQINTQGLPQEIHVPSDRCTVAYRRWLKELGVTYGVC

sxtH from Microseira wollei

DNA

ATGACCACCGCAGATCTGATTCTGATTAATAACTGGTATGTTGTGGGCCAAAGTTGAAGATTGTCGTCCGG GTAGCATTACCACCGCACGTCTGCTGGGGTGTTAAACTGGTTCTGTGGCGTAGCCAAGAACAGAATAGCCC GATTCAGGTTTGGCAGGATTATTGTCCGCATCGTGGTGTTCCGCTGAGCATGGGTGAAGTTGCAAATAAT ACCCTGGTTTGTCCGCATCGTGGCGTTATAATGAAGCAGGTAAATGCGTTAAAATTCCGGCACATC CGGATATGGTTCCGCCTGCAAGCGCACAGGCAAAAACCTATCATTGTCAAGAACGTTATGGTCTGGTTTG GGTTTGTCTGGGTGATCCGGTTAATGATATTCCGAGCTTTCCGGAATGGGATGATCCGAATTATCATAAA ACCTGCACCAAAAGCTACCTGATTAAAAGCAGCCCGTTTCGTGTTATGGATAACTTTATTGATGTGAGCC ACTTTCCGTTTGTTCATGATGGTGGCCTGGGTGATGGTAATTATGCAGAAATTGAAGATTTTGAAGTGAA AGTGGACAAAGACGGTATTACCATTGGCAATATTCAGCTGCAGATGCCTCGTTTTAATAGCAGCACCGAA GATGAAAGCTGGACCCTGTGGCAGCGTATTAGCCATCCGCTGTGTCAGTATTATATACACCAAAAGTAGCG AAATTCGTACAGCCGATCTGATGCTGGTTACCCCGATTGATGAAGATAATAGCCTGGTGCGTATGCTGAT TACCTGGAATTGTCCGGAAATGCTGGATAGCAAAACCCTGGAAACACTGGAAAACTGCTGGCAGAA TTTGATGAAACCACCGAACAGGATATTCCTATTCTGCATAGCCAGCAGCAGCCTGCCGTCTGCCGCTGCTGC CACCGAAACAAATCAATACCCAGGGTCTGCCGCAAGAAATTCATGTTCCGAGCGATCGTGGCACCGTGC ATATCGTCGTTGGCTGAAAGAACTGGGTGTGACCTATGGTGTCAGTTATA

Protein

MTTADLILINNWYVVAKVEDCRPGSITTARLLGVKLVLWRSQEQNSPIQVWQDYCPHRGVPLSMGEVANN TLVCPYHGWRYNEAGKCVKIPAHPDMVPPASAQAKTYHCQERYGLVWVCLGDPVNDIPSFPEWDDPNYHK TCTKSYLIKSSPFRVMDNFIDVSHFPFVHDGGLGDGNYAEIEDFEVKVDKDGITIGNIQLQMPRFNSSTE DESWTLWQRISHPLCQYYITKSSEIRTADLMLVTPIDEDNSLVRMLITWNCPEMLDSKTLETLESKLLAE FDETTEQDIPILHSQQPARLPLLAPKQINTQGLPQEIHVPSDRGTVAYRRWLKELGVTYGVC

sxtV from Microseira wollei

DNA

ATGAAACTGACCGCCATTAAAGAAGAACGTCAGCTGTTTACCGCATATAGCGATACCAAACTGCAGCTGA CCGCAGATGTTCTGGTTATTGGTGGTGGTCCGGCAGCAGCATGGGCAGCATATGCAGCAGCAGCAGGG TGTTAAAGTTATTATTGTGGATAAAGGTTTTCTGGGCACCAGCGGTGCAGCAGCCGCAAGCGGTAATAGC **GTTATGGCACCGAGTCCGGAAAAATTGGGAAAAAGATGTTAGCGAATGCTATCGCAAAGGTAATAATCTGG** CAAATCTGCGTTGGATTGAACGCGTTATTGAAAAAGCATGGCTGAGCCTGCCGCTGGTTGAAGATTGGGG TTATCGTTTTCCGAAAGAAAATGGTGAAAGCGTTCGTCAGAGCTATTATGGTCCGGAATATATGCGTGTT CTGCGTAAAAATCTGCTGCGTGTTGGTGTTCAGATTTTTGATCAGAGTCCGGCACTGGAACTGCTGCTGG CAGAAGATGGTAGCGTTGCCGGTGCACGTGGTGCAGCGTCAGAATCATCGTACCTATACCGTTCGTGC AAGCGCAGTTGTGCTGGCAAATGGTGGTTGTGCATTTCTGAGCAAAGCACTGGGTTGTAATACCAATACC CAATTAGCACCGCATTTAATGCAACCGTTACCCGTGCAGCACCGTTTTTTTGGGCAAGCTATACCGATGA AGCAGGCAATGATCTGGGTGGTTATATCAATGGTCGTCGTGATCCGAGCTTTCTGCCGAATGCACTGCTG AAAGGTCCGGTTTATGCACGTCTGGATCGTGCAACACCGGAAATTCAGGCACTGGTTGAAAAAAGCCATT TCATTGTTTTTCTGCCGTACAAAAAAGCCGGTATTAATCCGTATACCGAACGTGTTCCGGTTACCCTGGT **TCTGGAAGGTACAGTTCGTGGCACCGGTGGTATTCGTATTGTTAATGATAGCTGTGGCACCAAAGTTCCG** GGTCTGTATGCAGCCGGTGATGCAGCAGCCGTGAATTTCTGGCAGCGTTGCCAGCGGTGGTGATGGAC CGAATGCAGCCTGGGCAATTAGTACCGGTCAGTGGGCAGGCGAAGGTGCAGCCGCATTTGCAAAAAGCCT AGCGAAACCTTTGATAGCAGCGCAGTGGTTCGCGGTGTTCAGGCAGAAATGTTTCCGCTGGAAAAAAACT ATCTGCGCTGTGAACATCGTCTGCTGGATAGCCTGGCAAAACTGGAAATGCTGTGGCAACAGGTTCAGGG TAATCCGAAACAGGATACCGTGCGTGATCTGGAATTTAGCCGTCGTGCCGCAGCCCTGGTTGCAGTTGCA CGTTGGGCATATTTTAGCGCACTGCATCGTACCGAAACCCGTAGCGAACATATTCGCATTGATTATCCGG AAACCGATCCGAATCAGCTGTATTATCAGGCAACCGGTGGTCTGGAACGTCTGTGGGTGCGTCGTGATTG GGTTAAAGATGCAAGCGCCACCCCTCCGGTTCTGACCACACAGACCACAGCAAGCGTTCTGAAACTGTAA

Protein

MKLTAIKEERQLFTAYSDTKLQLTADVLVIGGGPAAAWAAYAAAAQGVKVIIVDKGFLGTSGAAAASGNS VMAPSPENWEKDVSECYRKGNNLANLRWIERVIEKAWLSLPLVEDWGYRFPKENGESVRQSYYGPEYMRV LRKNLLRVGVQIFDQSPALELLLAEDGSVAGARGVQRQNHRTYTVRASAVVLANGGCAFLSKALGCNTNT GDGLLMAVEAGGELSSMEASSHYAISTAFNATVTRAAPFFWASYTDEAGNDLGGYINGRRDPSFLPNALL KGPVYARLDRATPEIQALVEKSHFIVFLPYKKAGINPYTERVPVTLVLEGTVRGTGGIRIVNDSCGTKVP GLYAAGDAASREFLAGVASGGDGPNAAWAISTGQWAGEGAAAFAKSLGAHAHERVVRPAGQAGLRRVCPG SETFDSSAVVRGVQAEMFPLEKNYLRCEHRLLDSLAKLEMLWQQVQGNPKQDTVRDLEFSRRAAALVAVA RWAYFSALHRTETRSEHIRIDYPETDPNQLYYQATGGLERLWVRRDWVKDASATPPVLTTQTTASVLKL

sxtW from Microseira wollei

DNA

ATGATGATTGAACTGGTGAGCCATAAACTGTGCCATTAATTGCAATGTTTGCGTTCAGGTTTGTCCGACCA ATGTTTTTGATGCAGTTCCGAATCAGCCTCCGGCAATTGCACGTCAAGAAGATTGTCAGACCTGTTTTAT TTGCGAAGCATATTGTCCGGCAGATGCACTGTATGTTGCACCGCAGAGCCATACCAATGTTGCAGTTAAT GAAGATGATCTGATTGACAGCGGTATCATGGGTGAATATCGTCGTATTCTGGGTTGGGGGTTATGGTCGTA AAAACAATAGCGAACTGGATACCGATCATAAACTGCGCCTGTTTGAATAA

Protein

MMIELVSHKLCINCNVCVQVCPTNVFDAVPNQPPAIARQEDCQTCFICEAYCPADALYVAPQSHTNVAVN EDDLIDSGIMGEYRRILGWGYGRKNNSELDTDHKLRLFE

ddmA1

DNA

TACTTCCAATCCAATGCAATGTCGAAGGCAGATGTTGTGATTGTGGGGAGCCGGCCACGGAGGTGCCCAAT GTGCCATCGCCCTTCGCCAAAACGGTTTTGAGGGTACAATTACAGTGATTGGGCGTGAGCCCGAATACCC **GTATGAACGTCCTCCCCTTAGTAAGGAATACTTTGCCCGTGAGAAGACATTTGACCGTCTGTACATCCGC** CCGCCAACCTTTTGGGCAGAGAAGAATATCGAGTTCAAGTTGGGCACGGAAGTCACTAAAGTTGATCCTA AAGCACATGAGTTGACGTTGTCAAATGGGGAGTCATACGGATATGGGAAATTGGTATGGGCAACAGGCGG CGATCCGCGCCGCTTGTCTTGCCAGGGCGCGCGCGCACCTTACTGGGATCCATGCGGTTCGCACACGTGAAGAT GCTTAGAGGCAGCAGCCGTCCTGTCGAAGATGGGACTGAAGGTTACGTTGTTAGAAGCGTTACCACGCGT CCTGGCACGCGTCGCCGGCGAGGATTTGAGTACGTTTTATCAAAAAGAGCATGTTGACCACGGTGTCGAT CTTCGCACCGAAGTAATGGTAGACAGTTTAGTAGGTGAGAATGGCAAGGTGACCGGAGTGCAATTAGCGG GCGGGGAAGTCATTCCGGCTGAAGGTGTCATCGTTGGCATTGGGATCGTACCAGCAGTAGGACCTTTGAT TGCAGCTGGAGCAGCAGGAGCCAATGGAGTTGATGTTGACGAGTACTGTCGTACCAGTTTGCCGGATATC TACGCGATTGGGGGATTGTGCCGCCTTCGCGTGCGATTATGCCGGTGGAAATGTGATGCGTGTAGAAAGTG TTCAGAATGCAAACGACATGGGCACCTGCGTAGCGAAAGCAATCTGCGGAGATGAGAAACCGTACAAGGC TTTCCCTTGGTTCTGGTCGAATCAGTACGACCTTAAGTTGCAGACGGCTGGCATTAATCTTGGCTTTGAC AAAACCGTGATTCGTGGGAATCCAGAGGAACGTTCGTTCTCCGTAGTCTACTTGAAGGATGGGCGTGTAG TTGCCCTTGACTGCGTAAATATGGTAAAAGATTACGTCCAGGGGCGTAAATTGGTGGAAGCCGGGGCGAC GCCCGATTTGGAGGCCTTAGCTGATGCCGGTAAGCCCCTGAAAGAGTTGCTGTAGCATTGGAAGTGGATA Α

Protein

MSKADVVIVGAGHGGAQCAIALRQNGFEGTITVIGREPEYPYERPPLSKEYFAREKTFDRLYIRPPTFWA EKNIEFKLGTEVTKVDPKAHELTLSNGESYGYGKLVWATGGDPRRLSCQGADLTGIHAVRTREDCDTLMA EVDAGTKNIVVIGGGYIGLEAAAVLSKMGLKVTLLEALPRVLARVAGEDLSTFYQKEHVDHGVDLRTEVM VDSLVGENGKVTGVQLAGGEVIPAEGVIVGIGIVPAVGPLIAAGAAGANGVDVDEYCRTSLPDIYAIGDC AAFACDYAGGNVMRVESVQNANDMGTCVAKAICGDEKPYKAFPWFWSNQYDLKLQTAGINLGFDKTVIRG NPEERSFSVVYLKDGRVVALDCVNMVKDYVQGRKLVEAGATPDLEALADAGKPLKELL

<u>ddmB</u>

DNA

ATGCCTCAAATCACTGTGGTCAATCAATCGGGCGAAGAGTCCTCCGTTGAAGCTTCGGAGGGTCGTACTC TGATGGAGGTTATTCGCGACTCCGGCTTCGACGAACTGCTTGCGTTGTGTGGTGGTGGTTGCTGTTCTTGTGC AACCTGCCACGTACATATTGATCCGGCCTTCATGGACAAGCTTCCAGAGATGTCAGAGGACGAGAACGAC TTGTTAGATTCTTCGGATCATCGCAACGAATATAGCCGTTTGAGCTGTCAGATTCCCGTGACGGGTGCGC TTGAGGGAATCAAGGTGACTATTGCTCAAGAAGATTGA

Protein

MPQITVVNQSGEESSVEASEGRTLMEVIRDSGFDELLALCGGCCSCATCHVHIDPAFMDKLPEMSEDEND LLDSSDHRNEYSRLSCQIPVTGALEGIKVTIAQED

<u>vanB</u>

DNA

Protein

MIEVIVGAIRLEAQDIHSFELFRADGAALPSFEPGAHIDLHLPNGLVRQYSLCGPAERPRHYRIAVLRCR DSRGGSATLHAELRVGQRLHIGEPRNLFPLSPEPGPHLLFAGGIGITPLLAMAERLARDGADFQLHYCAH SGERAAFVDYLGRCAFADRVHCHFDHGESSRRADLRALLATSPRDAQLYLCGPAGFMQWIEESARELGWE ASRLHREHFAAAPRDASADGTFEVQLASNGALIRVAAGQTVLAALREAGVDLPASCEQGICGTCLTRVLD GEPEHRDLYLSEEEQAANDCFTPCCSRSRSPRLVLDL

<u>ndmD</u>

DNA

TTGACGTCAACCAGTGGTTTCCTATTGCTACCACTGAAGATCTCCCGAAGCGCCATGTCTTTCATGCCAC GTTGTTGGGGGCAAGAAATGGCCATCTGGCGCGATGACTCTGGTTCAGTTAATGCTTGGGAGAACCGCTGC CCGCATAGAGGATTGCGGTTGACACTGGGTGCTAATACCGGTAACGAGTTGCGGTGTCAGTATCATGGAT GGACTTATGAAAGCGGGACTGGTGGCTGCACTTTTGTCCCAGCCCATCGCGATGCACCACCCCCAAATGC CGCGCGGGTTAATACTTTTCCTGTCCGCGAAAAGCACGGCTTTATCTGGACGACATTAGGTCAGCCGCCA GGAGAGCCCATTTCAATCCTCGATGACGCTCAGCTTGTAAAACGCTGTAAAAAACAAATCTGCATAGCGTAG TTATAGATGCTGATATTGACGGAGTTGTCAGCGTCCTACGTCAGAATCTTTCAGCGTTCATCGATGTGTT TGGTGCGGCCAGCGCTGAAGATCTGCATTTGAAATCCATGCTGCAAGATCGAGGGATTCTGGTAACAAGA TCAGGCTCTATTGCTATTCATTTTATATGCAGCGCTCAACCATTAGTAAATGCGTTGTACATGCGCAAG TACTTACTCCGGGACGTCCAGGATACGAACTTCAAAAGAACTACTCGTATGCCATGAACGTTATCCGCAG GGCAGCAGAAGCTGTAGCTACCGACTTGATTAGCATTACAGATATCAGCGATCAGACTATCGAAAAGCTT GAAGTCGTTAGAGAAAACATGACTAAGGCTCCTCCAACCCACTATATCTGCGAAGTGGTTACGCGTACTC AAGAGACAGGTGATATTAACTCATACTGGCTGAAGCCTATCGGCTACCCACTACCAGCATTCAGTCCAGG GATGCACATCAGCATCACAACGCCGGAGGGTAGCATTCGACAATATTCCCTCGTGAACGGGCCTGACGAG CGTGAATCCTTCATCATCGGTGTGAAGAAAGAGATTCAGTCCCGTGGCGGCTCCAGATCAATGCACGAAG ATGTGAAGGTTGGAACGCAACTAAAAGTTACACTTCCGAGGAACGGTTTTCCACTCGTCCAAACCAGAAA ACACCCGATTCTCGTAGCAGGTGGCATCGGTATCACCCCCAATTTTGTGTATGGCACAGGCTCTGGATCAG CAAGGTTCATCGTATGAAATACATTATTTTGCTCGTGCATTTGAGCATGTTCCATTCCAGGATCGACTGA CTGCGTTGGGCGATCGTTTGAATGTGCATCTTGGCCTCGGCCCAGACGAGACTAGAGCAAAACTTCCCGA CATCATGGAGATTCATAACGCCCAAGACGTAGATGTTTACACTTGCGGCCCGCAACCAATGATCGAAACT **GTATCTGCTGTCGCTCTTGCTCATGGCATCGCTGAAGAGTCCATCCGATTTGAATTTTTCAGTAAAAAGA** ACGATGTTCCCGTTTCTGATGAAGAATATGAGGTTGAGCTCAAAAAAACTGGTCAAATATTCACTGTCTC GCCTGGCTCTACGTTGTTGCAAGCTTGTTTGGACAACGATGTTCGTATCGAAGCTTCTTGTGAGCAGGGT GTATGCGGGACTTGTATAACTCCAGTCGTATCCGGCGATCTCGAGCATCATGACACTTACCTTTCTAAGA AAGAAAGGGAAAGCGGTAAGTGGATCATGCCGTGTGTTTCGCGCTGCAAGTCCAAAAAAATCGTTCTCGA TCTGTGA

Protein

MNKLDVNQWFPIATTEDLPKRHVFHATLLGQEMAIWRDDSGSVNAWENRCPHRGLRLTLGANTGNELRCQ YHGWTYESGTGGCTFVPAHRDAPPPNAARVNTFPVREKHGFIWTTLGQPPGEPISILDDAQLVNAVKTNL HSVVIDADIDGVVSVLRQNLSAFIDVFGAASAEDLHLKSMLQDRGILVTRSGSIAIHFYMQRSTISKCVV HAQVLTPGRPGYELQKNYSYAMNVIRRAAEAVATDLISITDISDQTIEKLEVVRENMTKAPPTHYICEVV TRTQETGDINSYWLKPIGYPLPAFSPGMHISITTPEGSIRQYSLVNGPDERESFIIGVKKEIQSRGGSRS MHEDVKVGTQLKVTLPRNGFPLVQTRKHPILVAGGIGITPILCMAQALDQQGSSYEIHYFARAFEHVPFQ DRLTALGDRLNVHLGLGPDETRAKLPDIMEIHNAQDVDVYTCGPQPMIETVSAVALAHGIAEESIRFEFF SKKNDVPVSDEEYEVELKKTGQIFTVSPGSTLLQACLDNDVRIEASCEQGVCGTCITPVVSGDLEHHDTY LSKKERESGKWIMPCVSRCKSKKIVLDL

<u>PDR</u>

Protein

TTPQEDGFLRLKIASKEKIARNIWSFELTNPQGAPLPPFEAGANLTVAVPNGSRRTYSLCNESSERDRYT IAVKRDSNGRGGSISFIDDTSEGDAVEVSLPRNEFPLDKRAKSFILVAGGIGITPMLSMARQLRAEGLRS FRLYYLTRDPEGTAFFDELTSDEWQSDVKIHHDHGDPTKAFDFWSVFEKSKPAQHVYCCGPQALMDTVRD MTGHWPSGTVHFESFGGAAAAAAANTADTVRDARSGTSFEIPANRSINQVLRDANVRVPSSCESGTCGSC KTGLCSGAADHRDDVLAAAAKGTQIMVCVSRAKSAELVLDL

<u>vioC</u>

DNA

ATGACTGAGAGCCCCACGACGCACCACGGCGCGCGCGCCGGGATTCGGTCGCCACGCCGGTCCGGCCGT GTACGACGAGACCGACGGCCCCGAGTTCCTTCTCGACGCCCCGTCATCGCCCACGAACTGCCCAGGCGG CTGCGGACGTTCATGGCCCGGGCGCGTCTCGACGCGTGGCCGCACGCCCTCGTCGTACGGGGCAACCCCG TCGACGACGCGCGCTGGGTTCCACGCCCGTCCACTGGCGCACCGCCCCGGCTCGCGCCCGCT CTCCTTCCTGCTCATGCTCTACGCGGGTCTGCTCGGCGACGTCTTCGGCTGGGCCACCCAGCAGGACGGG CGGGTCGTCACCGACGTCCTGCCGATCAAGGGCGGGGGGGCACACCCCTGGTCAGCTCCAGCAGCCGGCAGG AGCTCGGCTGGCACACCGAGGACGCCTTCTCGCCGTACCGGGCCGACTACGTGGGTCTGCTCGCTGCG CAACCCCGACGGGGTGGCGACCACCTTGCCGGTGTCCCACTGGACGACCTGGACGAGCGGACCCTCGAT GTGCTCTTCCAGGAGCGCTTCCTGATCCGGCCCGACGACTCCCATCTGCAGGTGAACAACTCCACGGCGC CGGCCACCGTGCCGCACCCCACCTGCGCGTCGACGGCGACTTCAGCGCACCCGCCGAGGGGGACGAGGAG GCCGCGGCGGCTCTCGGGACACTGCGCAAGCTGATCGACGCGTCGCTGTACGAGCTCGTACTCGACCAGG GCGACGTGGCCTTCATCGACAACCGCAGGGCCGTACACGGCAGGCGCGCCTTCCAGCCCCGCTACGACGG CCGGGACCGCTGGCTCAAGCGCATCAACATCACCCGTGATCTGCACCGGTCGCGCAAGGCGTGGGCCGGC GACTCGCGGGTCCTGGGGCAGCGATGA

Protein

MTESPTTHHGAAPPDSVATPVRPWSEFRLTPAEAAAAAALAARCAQRYDETDGPEFLLDAPVIAHELPRR LRTFMARARLDAWPHALVVRGNPVDDAALGSTPVHWRTARTPGSRPLSFLLMLYAGLLGDVFGWATQQDG RVVTDVLPIKGGEHTLVSSSSRQELGWHTEDAFSPYRADYVGLLSLRNPDGVATTLAGVPLDDLDERTLD VLFQERFLIRPDDSHLQVNNSTAQQGRVEFEGIAQAADRPEPVAILTGHRAAPHLRVDGDFSAPAEGDEE AAAALGTLRKLIDASLYELVLDQGDVAFIDNRRAVHGRRAFQPRYDGRDRWLKRINITRDLHRSRKAWAG DSRVLGQR

Primer Name	Primer DNA Sequence (LIC extension regions are underlined)
Rieske Primers	
SxtT_wollei_F SxtT_wollei_R SxtT_raciborskii_F SxtT_raciborskii_R SxtT_aphan_F SxtT_aphan_R SxtT_circinale_F SxtT_circinale_R GxtA_wollei_F GxtA_wollei_R SxtH_wollei_F	5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCGCAGATCTGAT-3' 5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGTTA</u> ACAAACACCATAGGTCACACCC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCACCGATCC-3' 5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGTTA</u> GCAAACACCATAGGTAACAC-3' 5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCGCAGATC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCATAGGTCAC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCAATGCAGATCAG-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCATAGGTCAC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCGCAGATCAC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCGCAGATC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCGCAGATC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCATAGGTCAC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGTTA</u> ACAAACACCATAGGTCAC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGTTA</u> ACAAACACCATAGGTCAC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGACCACCGCAGATC-3'
SxtH_wollei_R	5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGTTA</u> TTAACAAACACCATAGGTCACACC-3'
Redox Partner Primers	
SxtV_F SxtV_R SxtW_F SxtW_R DdmA1_F DdmA1_R DdmB_F DdmB_R VanB_F VanB_R	5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGAAACTGACCGCCATTAAAGAAGAACG-3' 5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGTTA</u> TTACAGTTTCAGAACGCTTGCTGTGG-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGATGATGAACTGGTGAGCCATAAACTG-3' 5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGCTA</u> TTATTCAAACAGGCGCAGTTTATGATC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGTCGAAGGCAGATGTTG-3' 5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGCTA</u> CAGCAACTCTTTCAGGGG-3' 5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGCA</u> ATGCCTCAAATCACTGTGGTCAATCAATC-3' 5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGCA</u> ATGCCTCAAATCACTGTGGTCAATCAATC-3' 5'- <u>TTATCCACTTCCAATGCA</u> ATGATCGCGCAATAGTCACCTTGATTCC-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCA</u> ATGATCGAGGTTTTGGTGGCA-3' 5'- <u>TACTTCCAATCCAATGCTA</u> CAGGTCAAGGACCAGAAGAGG-3'

 Table S1. PCR primers used in this study.

Ligation Independent Cloning (LIC) procedures. pMCSG7 and pMCSG9 DNA was prepared by extracting the DNA from 5 mL overnight cultures grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. 7 µg DNA was divided into two *Ssp*I restriction digestion reactions. To each aliquot, 20 U *Ssp*I was added. The reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h before an additional 20 U *Ssp*I was added. The digestion proceeded for 18 h before denaturing at 65 °C for 5 min. Reactions were pooled and purified using the QIAquick PCR Spin Kit, eluting with 30 µL ddH₂O. The purified *Ssp*I-digested DNA was treated with LIC-qualified T4 DNA polymerase in 60 µL reactions containing 10% v/v T4 polymerase reaction buffer, 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 4 mM dGTP, 3.75 U T4 DNA polymerase, and 20% v/v digested DNA. Reactions were incubated at 22 °C for 30 min followed by 75 °C for 20 min and held at 4 °C.

Gene insert fragments were prepared following a similar protocol to that outlined above. DNA sequences were amplified using the corresponding primers listed in Table S1, which include complementary LIC overhangs. In general, sequences were amplified in 50 μ L PCR reactions containing 20% v/v Phusion HF buffer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 500 nM forward primer, 500 nM reverse primer, 1 U Phusion DNA polymerase and 100 ng DNA template. Reactions were run using the following program: 98 °C 30 s, (98 °C 10 s, 58 °C – 63 °C 30 s (as determined for each primer pairing by the NEB T_m Calculator v 1.9.6), and 72 °C 1 min) for 25 cycles, and 72 °C 10 min. The entire volume for each reaction was loaded onto a 0.8% agarose gel containing 0.2 μ g/mL ethidium bromide and bands at the corresponding sizes were excised and purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit. Purified fragments were treated with LIC-qualified T4 polymerase in 20 μ L reactions containing 10% v/v T4 polymerase reaction buffer, 5 mM DTT, 4 mM dCTP, 1.25

U T4 polymerase, and the remaining volume of purified amplification product. Reactions were incubated at 22 °C for 30 min followed by 75 °C for 20 min and held at 4 °C.

To anneal the gene inserts into prepared LIC vectors, 2 μ L insert and 2 μ L vector were incubated at ambient temperature in PCR tubes overnight (~18 h). The reaction was quenched by the addition of 1 μ L sterile 25 mM EDTA and incubation at ambient temperature for 5 min. The entire 5 μ L volume of the annealing mixture was transformed immediately into chemically competent DH5 α *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) cells. Cloning procedures typically resulted in 15-25 colonies with approximately 50% cloning efficiency as determined by colony PCR. Colony PCR methods were generally similar to those described above for the amplification of gene insert fragments with the exception of using *Taq* DNA polymerase instead of Phusion DNA polymerase and replacing the volume of DNA template added with water. All constructs were verified by sequencing through the University of Michigan DNA Sequencing Core.

Table S2. Protein sequence accession numbers (GenBank), DNA sources, and vectors used in this study.

Protein	Organism of Origin	Accession	DNA Source	Vectors
SxtT	Microseira wollei	ACG63840.1	GeneArt, subcloned	pET151, pMCSG9
SxtT	Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii	ABI75109.1	GeneArt, fragment	pMCSG7, pMCSG9
SxtT	Aphanizomenon sp. NH-5	ACG63810.1	GeneArt, fragment	pMCSG7, pMCSG9
SxtT	Dolichospermum circinale	ABI75133.1	GeneArt, fragment	pMCSG7, pMCSG9
GxtA	Microseira wollei	ACG63835.1	IDT, fragment	pMCSG7, pMCSG9
SxtH	Microseira wollei	ACG63831.1	GeneArt, subcloned	pET151, pMCSG9
SxtV	Microseira wollei	ACG63837.1	GeneArt, subcloned	pET151, pMCSG9
SxtW	Microseira wollei	ACZ26230.1	GeneArt, subcloned	pET151, pMCSG9
DdmA1	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	AAV53700.1	IDT, fragment	pMCSG7
DdmB	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	AAV53698.1	IDT, fragment	pMCSG7
VanB	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	NP_253592.1	IDT, fragment	pMCSG7
NdmD	Pseudomonas putida	H9N291.1	Subramanian lab, agar stab	pET28-His-NdmD
PDR	Pseudomonas cepacia	AAB24396.1	Ballou lab, protein*	N/A
VioC	Streptomyces vinaceus	Q6WZB0.1	Thomas lab, pure plasmid	pET28b-His-VioC

*Purified protein sample was obtained from Professor Emeritus David P. Ballou, University of Michigan, Department of Biological Chemistry.

Table S3. Rieske oxygenase systems most closely related to SxtT and their associated redox partners. Query cover and sequence identity percentages are based on the SxtT from *M. wollei* protein sequence. *SxtW and SxtV are putative redox partners for SxtT based on Neilan and coworkers.²⁻⁴

Rieske Oxygenase	Query Cover	Sequence Identity	Redox Partner	Cofactors
SxtT from <i>M. wollei</i>	100%	100%	SxtW/SxtV*	FAD/2[4Fe-4S]
vanillate O-demethylase (VanA)	98%	36%	VanB	FMN, [2Fe-2S]
methylxanthine N-1 demethylase (NdmA/NdmB)	97%	33%	NdmD	FAD, [2Fe-2S]
dicamba O-demethylase (DdmC)	49%	32%	DdmA1/DdmB	FAD/[2Fe-2S]
phthalate dioxygenase (PDO)	54%	29%	PDR	FMN, [2Fe-2S]

II. Protein Expression and Purification

General Considerations. Proteins were expressed in *E. coli* strains BL21(DE3) and C41(DE3). Cells were grown in either LB or Terrific broth (TB) supplemented with the corresponding antibiotics kanamycin (50 μ g/mL) or ampicillin (100 μ g/mL) (Gold Biotechnology). HisPur nickelnitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA resin) was purchased from Thermo Scientific. Fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) was conducted on a GE Healthcare ÄKTA Pure FPLC with 1 mL and 5 mL HisTrap, 5 mL MBPTrap, or HiPrep 16/60 Sephacryl S-200 High Resolution columns (GE Healthcare). Proteins were concentrated using Amicon centrifugal filters purchased from EMD Millipore at 4,500 x g at 4 °C. PD-10 desalting columns were purchased from GE Healthcare. Protein samples were analyzed on Mini-PROTEAN TGX Gels (4-15%) from BioRad and visualized with Protein Ark Quick Coomassie Stain from Anatrace. All purification steps were performed at 4 °C.



Figure S1. Multiple sequence alignment of SxtT, SxtH, and GxtA proteins by CLC Sequence Viewer (v7.6.1). Results are on a spectrum of red (most conserved) to blue (least conserved).



0.02

Figure S2. Phylogenetic tree of Rieske oxygenases in cyanobacterial saxitoxin biosynthetic gene clusters. The ClustalX algorithm with a Gonnet 250 weight matrix was used to obtain the pairwise distance matrix. The tree was generated in ClustalX using the neighborjoining (NJ) clustering algorithm and visualized in FigTree v1.4.3. Bootstrap values are represented out of 1000 replicates.⁵



Figure S3. Network analysis of Rieske oxygenase protein family (Pfam: PF00355). Dataset was generated using the EFI-EST (Enzyme Function Initiative Tools – Enzyme Similarity Tool) using an alignment score of 70. The data was visualized in Cytoscape v 3.6.1 as a representative node network where each node contains proteins with 40% sequence identity. Blue circles indicate the locations of SxtT, SxtH, and GxtA homologs, red circles are representative of the characterized Rieskes from secondary metabolic pathways (flavone-8-hydroxylase⁶, chlorophyllide A oxygenase⁷, RedG and McpG⁸, and PrnD⁹) and purple circles highlight all other characterized Rieske oxygenases involved in oxidative degradation pathways, either *in vivo* or *in vitro*.

Expression protocol for SxtT, MBP-SxtT, GxtA, MBP-GxtA, SxtH, MBP-SxtH, MBP-SxtW, and MBP-SxtV. pMCSG9 plasmids containing *sxtW* and *sxtV* were transformed by standard heatshock protocols into chemically competent BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cells. pMCSG7 and pMCSG9 plasmids containing *sxtH, sxtT* and *gxtA* were transformed by heat-shock into C41(DE3) chemically competent cells to afford a higher percentage of soluble protein than observed from overexpression in BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cells. A single colony was used to inoculate 5 mL of LB containing 100 µg/mL ampicillin and incubated overnight at 37 °C, 200 rpm. Overnight cultures were used to inoculate 500 mL TB cultures containing 4% glycerol (v/v) and 100 µg/mL ampicillin in 2.8 L flasks. Cultures were grown at 37 °C and 250 rpm until the optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) reached 0.6. Flasks were then incubated at 20 °C for 1 h followed by addition of 0.1 mM isopropyl- β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) with the additives 0.2 mg/mL ferric ammonium citrate and 0.4 mg/mL ferrous sulfate heptahydrate for all proteins except SxtV. Cultures were incubated at 20 °C and 200 rpm overnight (~18 h) before harvesting. The average pellet for a 500 mL culture was 25 g wet cell mass.

Expression protocol for VanB. pMCSG7 plasmids containing *vanB* were transformed by heatshock into BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cells. A single colony was used to inoculate 10 mL LB containing 100 µg/mL ampicillin and incubated at 37 °C, 250 rpm overnight. The overnight culture was used to inoculate a 1 L LB culture in a 2.8 L flask containing 100 µg/mL ampicillin. Cultures were incubated at 37 °C and 250 rpm until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 – 0.8 was achieved. Flasks were chilled at 20 °C for 1 h before induction by addition of 0.1 mM IPTG. Cultures were incubated overnight at 20 °C and 200 rpm overnight (~18 h) before harvesting. The typical wet mass of a pellet from a 1 L culture was 5 g.

Expression protocol for NdmD.¹⁰ A single colony from an agar stab of His₆-NdmD in BL21(DE3) prepared by Ryan Summers (University of North Dakota) was used to inoculate 5 mL LB media containing 30 µg/mL kanamycin. The culture was grown at 37 °C, 250 rpm for ~18 h. The overnight culture was used to inoculate 500 mL TB containing 4% glycerol (v/v) and 30 µg/mL kanamycin in a 2.8 L flask. Cultures were grown at 37 °C and 250 rpm until the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.5. At this stage, sterile ferric chloride was added to a final concentration of 10 µM and ethanol was added to 0.1% (v/v). The cultures were incubated at 18 °C until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.8 was reached followed by the addition of 0.2 mM IPTG. Cultures were incubated at 18 °C for 18 h, 200 rpm. The average wet mass of pellet for 500 mL culture was 14 g.

Expression protocol for DdmA1 and DdmB. pMCSG7 plasmids containing *ddmA1* and *ddmB* were transformed into chemically competent C41(DE3) cells using standard heat-shock protocols. Single colonies were used to inoculate 5 mL LB containing 100 μ g/mL ampicillin, and cultures were grown overnight at 37 °C, 200 rpm. Using the starter cultures, 500 mL LB cultures containing 100 μ g/mL ampicillin in a 2.8 L flask were inoculated and incubated at 37 °C and 250 rpm until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 was reached. The cultures were induced with 1 mM IPTG and incubated at 18 °C overnight at 200 rpm for DdmA1 and for 72 h at 200 rpm for DdmB. The wet pellet mass of DdmB was 2.5 g, and 3 g for DdmA1.

Expression protocol for VioC.¹¹ pET28b plasmid containing *vioC* was transformed into chemically competent BL21(DE3) cells using standard heat-shock protocols. Single colonies were used to inoculate 40 mL LB containing 50 μ g/mL kanamycin, and cultures were grown overnight at 37 °C, 200 rpm. 4 x 1 L LB cultures were prepared in 2.8 L flasks and inoculated with 10 mL overnight culture. Cultures were grown at 37 °C, 250 rpm until OD₆₀₀ = 0.9. Flasks were cooled to 20 °C and induced by the addition of 0.1 mM IPTG before being incubated overnight at 20°C, 200 rpm.

Purification protocols for SxtT, GxtA, and SxtH. Approximately 50 g cell pellet was resuspended in 200 mL lysis buffer (50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol) with the addition of 1 mg/mL lysozyme, 1 mM phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and 0.1 mg/mL benzamidine HCl. Resuspended cells were incubated for 20 min with rocking before being sonicated in two 100 mL batches for 4 min total, 10 s on, 20 s off. Lysed cells were centrifuged at 40,000 x g for 30 min. The clarified lysate was light brown in color. Supernatant was combined with 4 mL Ni-NTA resin that had been equilibrated with lysis buffer

and was incubated with rocking for 30 min to 2 h. The mixture was loaded onto a 35 mL column and allowed to drain completely before passing over the resin an additional time. The resin was washed with 20 mL lysis buffer followed by 10 mL each of buffer containing increasing amounts of imidazole: 25 mM imidazole, 30 mM imidazole, 35 mM imidazole, 40 mM imidazole, and 45 mM imidazole. Proteins were eluted with 20 mL elution buffer (50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 400 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol). Fractions containing protein of interest were pooled, diluted to 20 mL using lysis buffer, and added to a dialysis bag containing 2 mg TEV protease. The cleavage reaction was dialyzed for at least 18 h in 50 mM TrisHCl, 300 mM NaCl, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 10% glycerol.

The contents of the dialysis bag were combined with 1 mL Ni-NTA resin that had been washed with lysis buffer and incubated for 20 min to 1 h. The mixture was loaded onto a 12 mL column and allowed to drain completely. The flowthrough contained light brown protein, which was concentrated to 2 mL using a 30 kDa cutoff centrifugal filter and loaded onto an ÄKTA Pure FPLC system fitted with a Sephacryl S-200 HR gel filtration column equilibrated with 20 mM TrisHCl pH 7.4 and 200 mM NaCl buffer at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. SxtT, SxtH, and GxtA typically eluted between 47 and 48 mL, corresponding to the ~111 kDa trimer state. Fractions surrounding the putative trimer were run on an SDS-PAGE gel and the cleanest fractions were pooled, concentrated to at least 50 μ M protein, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for long-term storage at -80 °C.

Purification protocols for MBP-SxtT, MBP-GxtA, MBP-SxtH, and MBP-SxtW. Approximately 20 g cell pellet was resuspended in 80 mL lysis buffer (20 mM TrisHCl pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT). 5 μ g/mL leupeptin, 5 μ g/mL pepstatin, 1 mM PMSF, 0.1 mg/mL benzamidine HCl, 20 U DNasel, 10 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP, GoldBio), and 1 mg/mL lysozyme were added, and the resuspended cells were incubated with rocking for 30 min. Cells were lysed using an Avestin Emulsiflex pressure homogenizer for four passes at 15,000 psi. Lysed cells were centrifuged at 40,000 x *g* for 30 min and the supernatant was filtered using 0.45 μ m syringe filters (CellTreat). The sample was loaded onto an ÄKTA Pure FPLC system fitted with a 5 mL MBPTrap column, where Buffer A was the lysis buffer and Buffer B was the lysis buffer with the addition of 10 mM maltose. Lysate was loaded onto the column at 2.5 mL/min, washed with 5 CV Buffer A at 2.5 mL/min, and eluted in a gradient to 100% Buffer B over 5 CV at 1 mL/min. 2.5 mL fractions were collected during elution. The fractions containing the desired protein were pooled and diluted to 30 mL. 2 mg of TEV protease was added to the diluted protein, and the mixture was dialyzed overnight in lysis buffer.

The contents of the dialysis bag were combined with 1 mL Ni-NTA resin that had been washed with lysis buffer and incubated for 20 min to 1 h. The mixture was loaded onto a 12 mL column and allowed to drain completely. The flowthrough containing proteins cleaved from MBP were collected and concentrated to 2 mL using a 30 kDa cutoff centrifugal filter. 2 mL protein was loaded onto a Sephacryl S-200 HR gel filtration column following the protocols outlined in the section above. The final purified proteins were visibly reddish-brown in color upon concentration and were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for long-term storage at -80 °C.

Purification protocols for MBP-SxtV. 25 g wet cell mass was resuspended in 100 mL lysis buffer (50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol) with the addition of 1 mg/mL lysozyme, 1 mM PMSF, and 40 μ M flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD). The mixture was incubated with rocking for 30 min before lysing using an Avestin Emulsiflex pressure homogenizer for four passes at 15,000 psi. The lysed cells were centrifuged at 40,000 x *g* for 30 min. The clarified supernatant was sterile filtered through 0.45 μ m syringe filters and loaded onto an ÄKTA Pure FPLC system fitted with a 5 mL HisTrap column. Lysis buffer was used as Buffer A, and lysis buffer with the addition of 400 mM imidazole was used as Buffer B. The sample was loaded at a rate of 2.5 mL/min, washed with 5 CV of 6.3% Buffer B at 2.5 mL/min, and eluted over 10 CV in

a gradient to 100% Buffer B at 1 mL/min, collecting 2.5 mL fractions. Fractions containing SxtV, as determined by SDS-PAGE analysis, were pooled and concentrated to 2.5 mL using a 30 kDa cutoff centrifugal filter. The protein was desalted using a PD-10 desalting column equilibrated with 50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.4 and 10% glycerol following the manufacturer's directions. Protein was aliquoted, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C.

Purification protocols for VanB, DdmA1, and DdmB. Cell pellets were resuspended in 4 mL of lysis buffer (50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol) per gram of pellet with the addition of 1 mM PMSF, 0.1 mg/mL benzamidine HCl, and 1 mg/mL lysozyme. The mixture was incubated with rocking for 1 h before sonicating for 4 min total at 10 s on, 20 s off. Lysed cells were centrifuged at 40,000 x *g* for 30 min and the clarified supernatant was combined with 4 mL Ni-NTA resin. The mixture was incubated for 30 min and poured over a 35 mL column. The packed resin was washed with 10 mL lysis buffer followed by 10 mL each of buffer containing increasing amounts of imidazole: 25 mM, 30 mM, and 35 mM imidazole. Proteins were eluted with 20 mL elution buffer (50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol). Fractions containing protein were run on an SDS-PAGE gel and those containing VanB, DdmB, or DdmA1 were pooled and dialyzed into storage buffer (50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.4, 10% glycerol). Proteins were concentrated and stored at -80 °C.

Purification protocol for NdmD.¹⁰ 15 g cell pellet was resuspended in 60 mL lysis buffer (25 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole) with the addition of 1 mg/mL lysozyme and incubated for 30 min with rocking. The cells were lysed by four passes at 15,000 psi through an Avestin Emulsiflex pressure homogenizer, and the total lysate was centrifuged at 40,000 x *g* for 30 min. The clarified lysate was combined with 2 mL Ni-NTA resin and incubated for 1.5 h. The mixture was poured over a 35 mL column followed by washing with 50 mL lysis buffer and 20 mL of buffer containing 50 mM imidazole. The protein was eluted with 10 mL of elution buffer (25 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole) and concentrated to 2.5 mL for desalting on a PD-10 column according to the manufacturer's instructions. The desalting buffer was 50 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.5, 5% glycerol, 1 mM DTT. Protein was concentrated, aliquoted, and stored at -80 °C.

Purification protocol for VioC.¹¹ Cell pellets were resuspended in 4 mL lysis buffer (20 mM TrisHCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol) per gram wet mass. The mixture was sonicated for 5 min total in a 5 sec on, 10 sec off cycle before being centrifuged at 40,000 x g for 20 min. The supernatant was collected and combined with 1 mL Ni-NTA resin per L culture and incubated at 4 °C for 2 h with gentle rocking. The mixture was poured over a column and the resin was washed with lysis buffer. VioC was eluted with elution buffer (lysis buffer + 400 mM imidazole), desalted using a PD-10 column equilibrated with 50 mM TrisHCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol buffer, and stored at -80 °C.

Protein quantification and analysis. The Pierce660 assay kit (Thermo Scientific) was used to determine the concentrations of all purified proteins by comparison to a standard curve using bovine serum albumin (BSA) generated following the manufacturer's instructions. All absorbance assays were conducted using a Spectramax M5 spectrophotometer from Molecular Devices.



Figure S4. SDS-PAGE gel of proteins purified in this study outlined in red boxes corresponding to the table on the right. Predicted sizes were determined by the ProtParam tool on the Expasy server. Activity was abolished upon further purification of SxtT proteins.



Figure S5. (A) SDS-PAGE gel of GxtA. The size, as predicted by the ProtParam tool on the Expasy server, is 38199.45 Da. (B) SDS-PAGE gel of SxtH from *M. wollei*. The size, as predicted by the ProtParam tool on the Expasy server, is 38953.32 Da. (C) SDS-PAGE gel of VioC. The predicted size is 41.6 kDa with His-tag attached.

SxtT Absorbance Spectra



Figure S6. Absorbance spectra of 100 μ M total protein from SxtT purifications. Relevant absorbance maxima indicative of an oxidized Rieske cluster are 325 nm, 460 nm, and a low shoulder at 560-580 nm.¹² Weaker signals (i.e. *C. raciborskii*) are due to lower relative abundance of protein in the 100 μ M sample.



Figure S7. Absorbance spectra of 100 μ M total protein from purifications of GxtA and SxtH from *M. wollei*. Relevant absorbance maxima indicative of an oxidized Rieske cluster are 325 nm, 460 nm, and a low shoulder at 560-580 nm.¹²

Oligomeric state determination. Separations were conducted as described in respective purification procedures using a Sephacryl S-200 HR gel filtration column. Approximate sizes were determined relative to a calibration curve generated using the Gel Filtration Standards Kit from Sigma. According to the calibration curve where $y = 10^{(-0.7496(x/39.88)+3.068)}$, SxtH was determined to be 138 kDa, SxtT was determined to be 135 kDa, and GxtA was determined to be 145 kDa. The estimated molecular weight of the trimer form of each protein is 114 kDa. Based on this analysis and error in measurement, it can be concluded that the proteins are forming trimers in solution after cleavage of MBP or His tags.





Figure S8. Gel filtration elution profiles of (A) SxtH, (B) SxtT, and (C) GxtA.

Iron quantification in SxtT, SxtH, GxtA, and VanB.¹³⁻¹⁴ Iron content was determined according to published procedures using ferene (ε_{593} = 34,500 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) as a spectrophotometric reagent for iron analysis. The iron incorporation for SxtT, SxtH, GxtA, and VanB were found to be 3.2:1, 2.4:1, 2.3:1, and 2:1, respectively. These results are consistent with SxtT, SxtH, and GxtA having three irons on average as anticipated for Rieske oxygenases. VanB is proposed to have a [2Fe-2S] site, which is confirmed by these results.

Determination of VanB cofactor identity. The flavin content of VanB was assessed by diluting protein to 10 μ M in 1 mL for UV-vis analysis using a PMMA cuvette.¹⁵ The absorbance spectrum was recorded from 300 nm to 700 nm before being boiled for 10 min and reading again. The absorbance at 473 nm for the denatured enzyme and the extinction coefficient of free FAD/FMN at 473 nm (9,200 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) was used to calculate the concentration of flavin in the protein sample. The flavin incorporation was 60.8% relative to the purified protein mixture in Figure S4 Lane 7.

The identity of the flavin cofactor was found to be FMN upon treatment with phosphodiesterase (crude, Type IV, *Crotalus atrox*, Western diamondback rattlesnake, Sigma).¹⁵ Phosphodiesterase (PDE) cleaves the phosphodiester bond in FAD to generate FMN and adenosine monophosphate, resulting in a spectral shift of maximum absorbance from 450 nm to 446 nm with an isosbestic point around 474 nm (Figure S9A-C). 6 mU of PDE was added to 10 μ M of heat-denatured VanB and the reaction was monitored by UV-vis over 10 min. No spectral shift was observed (Figure S9D), confirming the identity of the flavin to be FMN.



Figure S9. (A) Spectra of FAD before and after treatment with phosphodiesterase (PDE). (B) Spectra of FMN before and after treatment with PDE. (C) Comparison of FAD and FMN absorbance spectra. (D) Spectra of heat-denatured VanB before and after treatment with PDE.

III. Enzymatic Reactions

General Considerations. Substrates used in this study are referred to under the abbreviations listed in Table S4. Stock solutions of all substrates were prepared to final concentrations of 20 mM in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, analytical grade), with the exception of α -saxitoxinol (14) and β -saxitoxinol (13), which were prepared to 20 mM in 0.1% acetic acid water. Enzyme aliquots were stored in the buffers outlined in Part II and discarded after one freeze-thaw cycle. Hydrogen peroxide was used from a 30% stock solution (~9.8 M). Stocks of 1 mM Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂•6H₂O were prepared fresh in MilliQ water before each use. 10 mM stock solutions of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide hydride (NADH) were prepared in water and stored at -20 °C for no more than 10 freeze-thaw cycles. 10 mM nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (G6P, 500 mM) and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH, 100 U/mL) were prepared in water and stored at -20 °C.

	Compound #	Name	Abbreviation	Source		Compound #	Name	Abbreviation	Source
N HN HN HN H2N H2N	11	decarbamoyl dideoxysaxitoxin	dc-ddSTX	formed by hydrolysis of 12		21	11-β- saxitoxinol	11-β-STOH	biocatalytic reaction, this work
	15	decarbamoyl α-saxitoxinol	dc-a-STOH	formed by hydrolysis of 14		22	11-β-hydroxy- α-saxitoxinol	11-β-hydroxy- α-STOH	biocatalytic reaction, this work
	16	decarbamoyl β-saxitoxinol	dc-β-STOH	formed by hydrolysis of 13		23	11-β-hydroxy- β-saxitoxinol	11-β-hydroxy- β-STOH	biocatalytic reaction, this work
	17	decarbamoyl 11-β-saxitoxinol	dc-11- β-STOH	biocatalytic reaction, this work		9	saxitoxin	STX	standard supplied by the FDA
NH, H OH HO N H HO HN NH H ₂ N	1	decarbamoyl saxitoxin	dc-STX	formed by hydrolysis of 9		7	11-β-hydroxy- saxitoxin	11-β-hydroxy- STX	formed by hydrolysis of 3
HO HN NH HO HN NH H2 HOH	18	decarbamoyl 11- β-hydroxy- α-saxitoxinol	dc-11-β- hydroxy- α-STOH	biocatalytic reaction, this work	HO NH HO HO HN NH HO HN NH H2N	S1	11-α-hydroxy- saxitoxin	11-α-hydroxy- STX	formed by hydrolysis of 2
	19	decarbamoyl 11- β-hydroxy- β-saxitoxinol	dc-11-β- hydroxy- β-STOH	biocatalytic reaction, this work		4	neosaxitoxin	neoSTX	standard supplied by the FDA
HO N NH HO N NH HO HN NH H2N	20	decarbamoyl 11- β-hydroxy- saxitoxin	dc-11-β- hydroxy- STX	biocatalytic reaction, this work		24	11-β-hydroxy- neosaxitoxin	11-β-hydroxy- neoSTX	biocatalytic reaction, this work
	12	dideoxysaxitoxin	ddSTX	synthesized by Du Bois lab		3	gonyautoxin 3	GTX3	standard supplied by the FDA
	14	α-saxitoxinol	α-STOH	standard supplied by the FDA		2	gonyautoxin 2	GTX2	standard supplied by the FDA
	13	β-saxitoxinol	β-STOH	standard supplied by the FDA					

Table S4. Saxitoxin and related analogs included in this study. Hydrolysis conditions are noted as applicable.¹⁶⁻¹⁷

SxtT reactions with hydrogen peroxide. SxtT was prepared from MBP-SxtT at a stock concentration of 60 μ M. 20 μ M SxtT, 250 μ M dideoxysaxitoxin (ddSTX), 50 mM H₂O₂, 100 μ M Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and 50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.0 were combined, adding SxtT last. Reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 7 h without agitation and quenched by the addition of 150 μ L acetonitrile (UPLC-grade). Quenched reactions were centrifuged at 12,000 x *g* for 20 min and 100 μ L of the supernatant was diluted with 80 μ L acetonitrile and 20 μ L sterile-filtered ddH₂O containing 0.1% formic acid.

Redox partner assessment. SxtT was prepared from MBP-SxtT at a stock concentration of 60 μ M. Stock concentrations of redox partners are as follows: SxtW (MBP-SxtW prep,142 μ M), SxtV (65 μ M), phalate dioxygenase reductase (PDR, 125 μ M), VanB (103 μ M), NdmD (57 μ M), DdmA1 (55 μ M), and DdmB (250 μ M), all prepared as described above. All redox partners were NADH-dependent, aside from SxtW/SxtV, which was reliant on NADPH generated via the G6P/G6PDH recycling system (500 μ M NADP⁺, 2 mM G6P, 1 U/mL G6PDH). 10 μ M SxtT, 20 μ M each redox partner, 200 μ M ddSTX, 500 μ M NADH or noted quantities of recycling system, 100 μ M Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂•6H₂O, and 50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.0 were combined, adding SxtT last. Reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 7 h and quenched by the addition of 150 μ L acetonitrile. Reactions were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 20 min and 100 μ L of the supernatant was diluted with 80 μ L acetonitrile and 20 μ L sterile-filtered ddH₂O containing 0.1% formic acid.

SxtT homolog activity with substrate panel and VanB. SxtT from each homolog was prepared from His-SxtT at the following stock concentrations: *M. wollei* (60.0 μ M), *Aphanizomenon sp. NH*-5 (223 μ M), *D. circinale* (97 μ M), and *C. raciborskii* (116 μ M). Reactions consisting of 20 μ M SxtT, 5 μ M VanB, 200 μ M each substrate (dc-ddSTX, dc- α -STOH, dc- β -STOH, dc-STX, ddSTX, α -STOH, β -STOH, STX), 500 μ M NADH, 100 μ M Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and 50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.0 buffer were mixed and incubated at 30 °C for 18 h and quenched by the addition of 150 μ L acetonitrile. Reactions were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 20 min and 100 μ L of the supernatant was diluted with 80 μ L acetonitrile and 20 μ L sterile-filtered ddH₂O containing 0.1% formic acid.

GxtA reactions with VanB. GxtA was prepared from MBP-GxtA to a final concentration of 100 μM. Reactions consisting of 20 μM GxtA, 5 μM VanB, 200 μM each substrate (dc-ddSTX, dc-α-STOH, dc-β-STOH, dc-STX, neoSTX, ddSTX, α-STOH, β-STOH, STX, neoSTX), 500 μM NADH, 100 μM Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and 50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.0 buffer were mixed and incubated at 30 °C for 2 h and quenched by the addition of 150 μL acetonitrile. Reactions were centrifuged at 12,000 x *g* for 20 min and 100 μL of the supernatant was diluted with 80 μL acetonitrile and 20 μL sterile-filtered ddH₂O containing 0.1% formic acid.

SxtH reactions with arginine methyl ester. SxtH was prepared from MBP-SxtH at a stock concentration of 685 μ M. 20 μ M SxtH, 5 μ M VanB, 200 μ M arginine methyl ester, 500 μ M NADH, 100 μ M Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and 50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.0 buffer were mixed and incubated at 30 °C for 2 h and quenched by the addition of 150 μ L acetonitrile. Reactions were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 20 min and 100 μ L of the supernatant was diluted with 100 μ L sterile-filtered ddH₂O containing 1% formic acid.



Figure S10. Reaction of SxtH with arginine methyl ester (25) to generate hydroxylated arginine methyl ester (26).

Analytical-scale VioC reactions. VioC was used from a 378 μ M stock solution. Reactions contained: 5 μ M VioC, 2 mM arginine, 10 mM L-ascorbic acid sodium salt, 10 mM α -ketoglutaric acid, 1 mM DTT, 50 μ M Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, 0.1 M NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 0.1 M phosphate pH 7.5 buffer. The reaction components were combined, mixed and incubated at 30° C for 16 h and quenched by the addition of 150 μ L acetonitrile. Reactions were centrifuged at 12,000 x *g* for 20 min and 100 μ L of the supernatant was diluted with 100 μ L sterile-filtered ddH₂O containing 1% formic acid.

Preparative-scale VioC reaction on arginine and elaboration to methyl ester (26).



VioC was used from a stock concentration of 378 μ M. Reactions contained: 8 μ M VioC, 2 mM arginine (**S2**), 10 mM L-ascorbic acid sodium salt, 10 mM α -ketoglutaric acid, 1 mM DTT, 50 μ M Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, 0.1 M NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 0.1 M phosphate pH 7.5 buffer. Reaction components were mixed and incubated at 30° C for 16 h and quenched by acidifying to pH 2.5 with HCl. Precipitated protein was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 20 min. The crude reaction mixture was loaded onto Dowex 50WX8-100 (H⁺ form) resin that had been equilibrated with ddH₂O then washed with ddH₂O. Elution was carried out with a step gradient of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 M NH₄OH, fractions were neutralized with HCl and concentrated under reduced pressure. 3-hydroxyarginine (**S3**) was dissolved in 2 mL of MeOH and treated with 2 M HCl in dioxane (0.5

mL, 1.0 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 4 h. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded **26** as a white solid.

Preparative-scale GxtA reaction. 1 mg of saxitoxin (STX, **9**) was used in a preparative-scale GxtA reaction to generate 11- β -hydroxySTX (**7**). The reaction was conducted on 12.8 mL scale with 20 μ M GxtA, 5 μ M VanB, 200 μ M STX (**9**), 500 μ M NADH, 100 μ M Fe₂(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and 50 mM Tris HCl pH 7 buffer in a small evaporation dish and was incubated at room temperature overnight (~12 h).

Product isolation from GxtA reactions. Products were effectively separated from protein in reactions without quenching with organic solvent using Centrifree ultrafiltration devices (EMD Millipore). For analytical-scale reactions, 50 μ L enzymatic reactions were diluted to 200 μ L with MilliQ water. The total volume was loaded into the reservoir and centrifuged at 2,000 x g. The presence and quantification of product in the flowthrough was verified by LC/MS. Compounds subjected to this workup protocol were sufficiently clean for direct use in sodium channel binding assays.

SxtT and GxtA reactions with H₂¹⁸**O**₂. SxtT was prepared from MBP-SxtT at a stock concentration of 60 μ M and GxtA was prepared from MBP-GxtA to a final concentration of 100 μ M. 20 μ M SxtT or GxtA, 200 μ M substrate, 50 mM H₂¹⁸O₂ (Sigma Aldrich), 100 μ M Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and 50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.0 were combined, adding enzyme last. Reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 2 h without agitation and quenched by the addition of 150 μ L acetonitrile (UPLC-grade). Quenched reactions were centrifuged at 12,000 x *g* for 20 min and 100 μ L of the supernatant was diluted with 80 μ L acetonitrile and 20 μ L sterile-filtered ddH₂O containing 0.1% formic acid.



Figure S11. Reactions with SxtT and GxtA employing ¹⁸O labeled hydrogen peroxide. (A) Reaction of SxtT with ddSTX (**12**). (B) Reaction of SxtT with β -STOH (**13**). (C) Reaction of GxtA with α -STOH (**14**). (D) Reaction of GxtA with ddSTX (**12**). (E) Proposed mechanism of ¹⁸O-exchange of the vicinal diol of STX (**9**) via intermediate **S4** in solution.

IV. LC/MS and MS/MS Analysis

General Considerations. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis was performed on an Agilent G6545A quadrupole-time of flight (Q-TOF) or Agilent 6230 time of flight (TOF) mass spectrometer equipped with a dual AJS ESI source and an Agilent 1290 Infinity series diode array detector, autosampler and binary pump. Solvent A = water with 0.1% formic acid. Solvent B = 95% acetonitrile, 5% water and 0.1% formic acid. An Acquity UPLC BEH Amide 1.7 µm, 2.1 x 100 mm hydrophobic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) column from Waters was used for all separations. The chromatographic method for all substrates except dc- β -STOH was as follows: 18% solvent A 0-5 min and gradient 18% to 40% A 5-7 min followed by an 8 min re-equilibration at 18% A, with 0.4 mL/min flow rate. The method for separation of dc- β -STOH and products was 27% solvent A 0 – 1 min (to waste), 27% solvent A 1 – 4 min (to MS), and gradient 27% - 40% A (to waste) followed by an 8 min re-equilibration at 27% A, all at a constant flow of 0.4 mL/min. 0.1-1 µL injections were made for each sample. Targeted MS/MS was performed using the same mass spectrometer, column, and method described above to obtain fragmentation patterns. Methods were augmented to target each mass. Collision energies were set to 10, 20, and 30 eV and the resulting chromatograms were the averages of the three trials.

Total turnover number (TTN) determination for SxtT and GxtA. SxtT from *D. circinale* was prepared from MBP-SxtT to a final concentration of 130 μM and GxtA was prepared from MBP-GxtA to a final concentration of 100 μM. 50 μL reactions consisting of 1 μM SxtT or 2.5 μM GxtA (total protein), 5 μM VanB, 200 μM each respective substrate (dc-ddSTX, dc-α-STOH, dc-β-STOH, dc-STX, ddSTX, α-STOH, β-STOH, STX, neoSTX), 500 μM NADH, 100 μM Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and 50 mM Tris HCl pH 7.0 buffer were mixed and incubated at 30 °C for 18 h. Reactions were quenched by the addition of 150 μL acetonitrile. Reactions were centrifuged at 12,000 x *g* for 20 min and 10 μL of the supernatant was diluted with 190 μL dilution mix containing 10 μL of 10 μg/mL ¹⁵N-arginine in 1% formic acid water and 180 μL acetonitrile, prepared as a master mix for all reactions and standards. TTNs were determined by comparison to standard curves of the respective reaction products (SxtT reactions only) or the respective reaction starting materials (GxtA reactions only). Standard curves were constructed using the following relationship:

$$\left(\frac{\text{peak area product (or starting material)}}{\text{peak area internal standard}}\right)$$
 = ratio

The ratio obtained from reactions was used to solve for the concentration of product generated using the equations from product or starting material standard curves. To obtain product generated in GxtA reactions, where starting material standard curves were used, the value obtained from the standard curve was subtracted from the initial quantity of starting material (200 μ M). TTNs were calculated using the following:

$$\frac{\mu M \text{ product generated}}{1 \mu M \text{ SxtT or } 2.5 \mu M \text{ GxtA}} = TTN$$

Reactions and standards were prepared and analyzed in duplicate. Standard curves of products and starting materials are shown.

SxtT Reactions and Standard Curves



Figure S12. (A) Reaction of SxtT with dc-ddSTX with dc- α -STOH standard curve. (B) Reaction of SxtT with dc- β -STOH with dc-STX standard curve. (C) Reaction of SxtT with ddSTX with α -STOH standard curve. (D) Reaction of SxtT with β -STOH with STX standard curve.

GxtA Reactions and Standard Curves: Decarbamoylated Substrates



Figure S13. (A) Reaction of GxtA with dc-ddSTX with dc-ddSTX standard curve. (B) Reaction of GxtA with dc- α -STOH with dc- α -STOH standard curve. (C) Reaction of GxtA with dc- β -STOH with dc- β -STOH standard curve. (D) Reaction of GxtA with dc-STX with dc-STX standard curve.



Figure S14. (A) Reaction of GxtA with ddSTX with ddSTX standard curve. (B) Reaction of GxtA with α -STOH with α -STOH standard curve. (C) Reaction of GxtA with β -STOH with β -STOH standard curve. (D) Reaction of GxtA with STX with STX standard curve. (E) Reaction of GxtA with neoSTX with neoSTX standard curve.

Steady-state kinetics of SxtT with ddSTX (12) and β -STOH (13). SxtT from *D. circinale* was prepared from MBP-SxtT to a final concentration of 130 μ M. To determine the time initial rates could be recorded, duplicate 50 μ L reactions with 5 μ M β -STOH (13) and 50 μ M ddSTX (12) were performed and analyzed compared to an internal standard (10 μ g/mL ¹⁵N-arginine) by LC/MS. The resulting timecourse is showed in Fig S13, demonstrating that 1 min is the optimal time to capture the initial reaction rates for a variety of concentrations of ddSTX (12) and β -STOH (13).



Timecourses of SxtT with β -STOH (13) and ddSTX (12).

To determine the steady-state kinetic parameters of the SxtT with ddSTX (12), reactions were conducted on 50 μ L scale with substrate ranging 1 μ M – 200 μ M in duplicate with 5 μ M SxtT, 5 μ M VanB, 100 μ M Fe₂(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and 50 mM Tris HCI pH 7 buffer in a 96-well plate. For b-STOH (13), the substrate range was 500 nM – 100 μ M with all other reaction components the same as the ddSTX (12) reactions. Reactions were initiated by the addition of 500 μ M NADH (10 μ L distributed by multichannel pipette). Reactions were quenched after 1 min by the addition of 150 μ L acetonitrile. 96-well plates were centrifuged at 2000 x *g* for 2 min, then 100 μ L of the centrifuged mixture was added to 100 μ L of a dilution mix containing 10 μ L 10 μ g/mL ¹⁵N-arginine in 1% formic acid water and 90 μ L acetonitrile in a clean 96-well 0.22 μ m filter plate. The plate was centrifuged over a clean 96-well plate at 2000 x *g* for 2 min. Product standards were prepared in the same manner with SxtT and VanB storage buffer (20 mM Tris HCI pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCI) replacing SxtT and VanB enzymes. 2 μ L each sample was injected on the TOF LC/MS. The resulting Michaelis-Menten curves for each substrate are shown in Fig S14. Standard curves used to quantify product are shown in Fig S15.



Figure S16. Michaelis-Menten plots of SxtT reactions with ddSTX (**12**) and β -STOH (**13**).



Figure S17. Standard curves of α -STOH (**14**), the product of the SxtT reaction with ddSTX (**12**), and STX (**9**), the product of the SxtT reaction with β -STOH (**13**).



Figure S18. (A) Reaction of SxtT homologs with dc-ddSTX, (B) Reaction of SxtT homologs with dc- β -STOH, (C) Reaction of SxtT homologs with β -STOH.
Consumption of $\beta\text{-STOH}$







Figure S20. Comparison of the product of the GxtA reaction with STX (9) to a mixture of $11-\alpha$ -hydroxysaxitoxin and $11-\beta$ -hydroxysaxitoxin (**S1**, **7**) and neoSTX (**4**) standards by LC/MS.

MS/MS of SxtT + VanB Reaction Products. Fragmentation parameters are as described above. The average fragmentation patterns from recordings at collision energies of 10 eV, 20 eV, and 30 eV are shown.



Figure S21. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of SxtT with decarbamoyl dideoxysaxitoxin (dc-ddSTX, **11**) compared to the decarbamoyl α -saxitoxinol (dc- α -STOH, **15**) standard. The targeted mass of **15** is *m*/*z* = 241.1408.



Figure S22. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of SxtT with decarbamoyl β -saxitoxinol (dc- β -STOH, **16**) compared to the decarbamoyl saxitoxin (dc-STX, **1**) standard. The targeted mass of **1** is *m/z* = 257.1357.



Figure S23. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of SxtT with dideoxysaxitoxin (ddSTX, **12**) compared to the α -saxitoxinol (α -STOH, **14**) standard. The targeted mass of **14** is *m/z* = 284.1466.



Figure S24. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of SxtT with β -saxitoxinol (β -STOH, **13**) compared to the saxitoxin (STX, **9**) standard. The targeted mass of **9** is m/z = 300.1415.

MS/MS of GxtA + VanB Reaction Products. Fragmentation parameters are as described above. The average fragmentation patterns from recordings at collision energies of 10 eV, 20 eV, and 30 eV are shown.



Figure S25. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of GxtA with decarbamoyl dideoxysaxitoxin (dc-ddSTX, **11**). The product is decarbamoyl 11- β -saxitoxinol (dc-11- β -STOH, **17**) and the targeted mass is m/z = 241.1408. The contaminant at the same exact mass as **17** has not been identified.



Figure S26. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of GxtA with decarbamoyl α -saxitoxinol (dc- α -STOH, **15**). The product is decarbamoyl 11- β -hydroxy- α -saxitoxinol (**18**) and the targeted mass is *m*/*z* = 257.1357.



Figure S27. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of GxtA with decarbamoyl β -saxitoxinol (dc- β -STOH, **16**). The product is decarbamoyl 11- β -hydroxy- β -saxitoxinol (**19**) and the targeted mass is m/z = 257.1357. The stock of dc- β -STOH was a mixture of **16** and dc- α -STOH (**15**), where **15** was the predominant species. Two products are observed, and the MS/MS spectrum shown is taken from the peak corresponding to **18** is decarbamoyl 11- β -hydroxy- α -saxitoxinol.



Figure S28. Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of GxtA with decarbamoyl saxitoxin (dc-STX, 1). The product is decarbamoyl 11- β -hydroxysaxitoxin (**20**) and the targeted mass is m/z = 273.1306.



Figure S29. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of GxtA with dideoxysaxitoxin (ddSTX, **12**). The product is 11- β -saxitoxinol (**21**) and the targeted mass is m/z = 284.1466.



Figure S30. A) Extracted ion chromatogram and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction GxtA with α -saxitoxinol (α -STOH, **14**). The product is 11- β -hydroxy- α -saxitoxinol (**22**) and the targeted mass is m/z = 300.1415.



Figure S31. A) Extracted ion chromatogram and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of GxtA with β -saxitoxinol (β -STOH, **13**). The product is 11- β -hydroxy- β -saxitoxinol (**23**) and the targeted mass is m/z = 300.1415. The stock of β -STOH was a mixture of **13** and α -STOH (**14**), where **14** was the predominant species. Two products are observed, and the MS/MS spectrum shown is taken from the peak corresponding to **23**.



Figure S32. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of GxtA with saxitoxin (STX, 9) compared to the 11- β -hydroxysaxitoxin (11- β -hydroxySTX, 7) standard in a mixture of 11- α -hydroxysaxitoxin (S1) and 7, where only the MS/MS spectrum of the peak representative of 7 was obtained for comparison. The targeted mass of 7 is m/z = 316.1364.



Figure S33. A) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and B) MS/MS spectrum of the product of the reaction of GxtA with neosaxitoxin (neoSTX, 4). The product is 11- β -hydroxyneosaxitoxin (24) and the targeted mass is m/z = 332.1313.



Figure S34. Proposed identities of key MS/MS fragmentation peaks and trends indicating C11 hydroxylation by GxtA. R = H for decarbamoylated compounds and R = $CONH_2$ for carbamoylated compounds. Fragment structures are based on Agilent MassHunter Molecular Structure Correlator (MSC) analysis.¹⁸

V. Synthesis and Standard Preparation

General Considerations. All reagents were used as received unless otherwise noted. Reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere unless otherwise noted. ¹H NMR spectra were obtained in D₂O at rt (25 °C), unless otherwise noted on a Varian 600 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts of ¹H NMR spectra were recorded in parts per million (ppm) on the δ scale. General considerations for mass spectra were in accordance to those listed on S22. Saxitoxin (9), β -saxitoxinol (13), α -saxitoxinol (14), neosaxitoxin (4), and gonyautoxin 2 (2) and gonyautoxin 3 (3) mixtures were generously provided by Sherwood Hall.



dideoxysaxitoxin (ddSTX, 12):

S4 was synthesized according to the procedures described by Mulcahy et al.¹⁹

To a solution of S5 (10 mg, 15.3 µmol) in 6.2 mL of a 3:1 MeOH/H₂O mixture was added trifluoroacetic acid (176 μ L, 2.3 mmol, 150 equiv). The mixture was sparged with N₂ for 10 min before PdCl₂ (1.4 mg, 7.7 μ mol, 0.5 equiv) was added. The solution was then sparged with N₂ for 2 min, and then with H_2 for 5 min. The flask was fitted with a balloon of H_2 and the contents stirred for 3 h. Following this time, the mixture was filtered through a 0.45 µm PTFE filter. The reaction flask and filter were rinsed with 5 mL of 1.0 N agueous HCI, and the combined filtrates were stirred for 30 min. The aqueous solution was frozen and lyophilized to remove all volatiles. Purification of the isolated material was performed by reversed-phase HPLC (Silicycle SiliaChrom Ag C18, 5 μ m, 10 x 250 mm column, eluting with a 5 min prerun of 0:100 MeCN/10 mM aqueous C₃F₇CO₂H then a gradient flow over 40 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 40:60 MeCN/10 mM aqueous C₃F₇CO₂H, 2fraction/min time-slice collection, 214 nm UV detection). At a flow rate of 4 mL/min, dideoxysaxitoxin (ddSTX, 12) had a retention time of 22 min and was isolated as a white hygroscopic solid (5.4 μ mol, 3.7 mg, 35%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O): δ 4.66 (s, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.76 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (td, J = 10.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (dt, J = 9.9, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (dd, J = 11.4, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.23 – 2.13 (m, 2H), 2.12 – 2.02 (m, 1H) ppm, ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, D₂O): δ 158.1, 156.9, 154.5, 79.6, 63.0, 60.4, 52.1, 45.1, 37.4, 19.5. HRMS (ES⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₁₈N₇O₂ (M+H)⁺: 268.1516, found : 268.1524.



β -saxitoxinol (β -STOH, 13):

Saxitoxin diacetate (36 mg) extracted from cultures of Alexandrium sp., clone PW06, was divided into 6 portions of approximately 6 mg for reduction with sodium borohydride (30 mg) under various conditions, each in 0.5 mL solvent. After one hour each was guenched with acetone (0.2 mL) and acidified with glacial acetic acid (0.3 mL). Following evaluation, the six batches were combined and applied to a column (6.5 cm x 0.45 cm² = 2.9 cm³ bed volume) of carboxylate cation exchange resin (IRP64) in the H⁺ form with a preload of 1 mEq ammonium hydroxide. Following application, the column was eluted with aqueous acetic acid, 0.1 M followed by 1.0 M. Progress of the elution was followed by applying spots (5 µL) to a strip of E. Merck silica 60 F-254 aluminumbacked TLC plate, drying, and spraying with Weber reagent.^{17, 20} Fractions that were Weber spot positive were combined and dried to 33 mg clear glaze. This was taken up in about 2 mL of 0.1 M aqueous acetic acid and applied to a BioGel P2 column, bed 2.4 cm² x 110.7 cm long, isocratic elution with 0.1 M aqueous acetic acid.²¹⁻²² Fractions (3.68 mL, 1.37 % bed volume) were collected, spotted, and sprayed with Weber reagent. Effluent conductivity was monitored. Weber spot positive fractions were dried and residue weights determined. The residue mass peak coincided with the conductivity peak at about 120 % bed volume. The peak fractions were combined and dried to 9.6 mg. ¹H-NMR (600 MHz) in D₂O revealed that the β -STOH content of this material was approximately 16% with α -STOH making up the balance of the material. All spectra obtained were consistent with literature values.²³



α -saxitoxinol (α -STOH, 14):

Saxitoxin diacetate, extracted from cultures of *Alexandrium* sp., clone PW06, was reduced in various trials with sodium borohydride or sodium cyanoborohydride in aqueous solutions and the resulting mixtures of α and β -STOH purified on columns of IRP64, BioGel P2, and Sephadex G-10-100.²² Fractions were spotted on strips of E. Merck Silica 60 F-254 plate and visualized with Weber reagent. Fractions of interest were run on E. Merck Silica 60 F-254 plates using a Buckley E solvent system²¹, grouped, and dried accordingly. A group of fractions identified by TLC as probable α -STOH was combined and a portion removed for ¹H NMR, which revealed that the preparation was relatively clean α -STOH. All spectra obtained were consistent with literature values.²³



saxitoxin (STX, 9):

Saxitoxin diacetate was extracted from cultures of *Alexandrium* sp., clone PW06. The extract was purified using a succession of carboxylate ion exchange columns (IRC-50, IRP64), BioGel P2, and then Chelex 100 to remove copper and other heavy metals. The concentrated extract was treated with ammonium oxalate to precipitate Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ and with ethanol to precipitate protein and other large biomolecules. Following removal of the ethanol the residue was taken up in water and applied to a final IRP64 column. Heart fractions from this were combined, dried, and applied to a final BioGel P2 column. Heart fractions from this were evaluated by ¹H NMR and the heart fractions combined and dried. All spectra obtained were consistent with literature values.²²⁻



neosaxitoxin (neoSTX, 4):

Neosaxitoxin diacetate was extracted from cultures of *Alexandrium* sp., clone PI07 and purified on columns of IRP64 and BioGel P2 (Hall, 1982). Several portions of purified neosaxitoxin diacetate, used in various NMR experiments, were combined and cleaned up, again on columns of carboxylate ion exchange resin (IRP64) and BioGel P2, eluting with aqueous acetic acid. ¹H NMR of the resulting material confirmed that it was relatively clean neosaxitoxin diacetate. All spectra obtained were consistent with literature values.²⁴

General procedure for carbamate cleavage. Conditions for the decarbamoylation of saxitoxin scaffolds were adapted from Koehn and Ghazarossian et al.¹⁶⁻¹⁷ Starting materials were obtained from an aqueous stock solution unless otherwise noted. To a 20 mM aqueous stock solution of starting material was added MeCN (1 mL) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a film. This residue was dissolved in a solution of 7.5 M HCl and heated at 100 °C for the designated amount of time. An aliquot was taken for MS analysis to determine extent of carbamate hydrolysis. After addition of MeCN (1 mL), the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a film. The product was used without further purification unless otherwise noted.



decarbamoyl dideoxysaxitoxin (dc-ddSTX, 11):

The title compound was prepared according to the procedure above using 10 μ L of a 20 mM stock solution of dideoxysaxitoxin (ddSTX, **12**) in DMSO and 100 μ L of 7.5 M HCl and was heated for 6 h.



Figure S35. Extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of dideoxysaxitoxin (ddSTX, **12**) and decarbamoyl dideoxysaxitoxin (dc-ddSTX, **11**) before and after carbamate cleavage.



decarbamoyl α-saxitoxinol (dc-α-STOH, 15):

The title compound was prepared according to the procedure above using 20 μ L of a 20 mM stock solution of α -saxitoxinol (α -STOH (14) in water and 200 μ L of 7.5 M HCl and was heated for 6 h.



Figure S36. Extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of α -saxitoxinol (α -STOH, **14**) and decarbamoyl α -saxitoxinol (dc- α -STOH, **15**) before and after carbamate cleavage.



decarbamoyl β-saxitoxinol (dc-β-STOH, 16):

The title compound was prepared according to the procedure above using 20 μ L of a 20 mM stock solution of a mixture of β -saxitoxinol (β -STOH, **13**) and α -saxitoxinol (α -STOH, **14**) in water and 200 μ L of 7.5 M HCl and was heated for 6 h.



Figure S37. Extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of β -saxitoxinol (β -STOH, **13**) and decarbamoyl β -saxitoxinol (dc- β -STOH, **16**) before and after carbamate cleavage. The β -STOH stock was a mixture of α -saxitoxinol (α -STOH, **14**) and β -STOH where the more abundant species was α -STOH.



decarbamoyl saxitoxin (dc-STX, 1):

To saxitoxin (STX, **9**, 4.4 mg) was added 1.0 mL of 7.5 M HCl, and the solution subjected to the conditions of the procedure above and heated for 8.6 h. The product was purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Phenomenex Kinetex 5 μ m C18, 150 x 10 mm column) under the following conditions: mobile phase A = deionized water + 0.1% TFA and B = acetonitrile + 0.1% TFA; method = 99% A for 2 min, 99% A to 90% A over 6 min, 90% A to 5% A over 20 min, 5% A to 95% A over 2 min, 95% A for 5 min, 214 nm UV detection; a flow rate of 5 mL/min with a retention time of 1.2-1.7 min afforded 3.4 mg of dcSTX (**1**).



Figure S38. Extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of saxitoxin (STX, 9) and decarbamoyl dideoxysaxitoxin (dc-STX, 1) before and after carbamate cleavage.



gonyautoxin 2 (GTX2, 2) and gonyautoxin 3 (GTX3, 3):

Gonyautoxin 2 (11- α -hydroxysaxitoxin sulfate) was extracted from cultures of *Alexandrium* sp., clone PI07 and purified on columns of IRP64 and BioGel P2.²² A large batch (520 mg) of mixed gonyautoxin 2 and 3 was applied to a final BioGel P2 column to provide fractions enriched in each epimer. Fractions were monitored with a spot plate and TLC according to Buckley.²¹ Fractions 28 and 29, near the front of the toxin peak, were highly enriched in gonyautoxin 2. They were combined and dried to 26.94 mg residue. All spectra obtained were consistent with literature values.²⁴⁻²⁵

gonyautoxin 2 (2) and **gonyautoxin 3 (3)**, 4:1 mixture: ¹**H NMR** (600 MHz, D_2O) δ 4.98 (dd, J = 8.2, 6.9, 1H), 4.84 (d, J = 5.0, 5H), 4.82 (d, J = 1.0, 4H), 4.32 (dd, J = 11.7, 9.2, 1H), 4.28 (dd, J = 11.7, 9.3, 4H), 4.18 (overlapped, dd, J = 10.7, 8.3 and d, J = 12.0, 5H), 4.08 (dd, J = 11.7, 5.3, 1H), 4.02 (overlapped, dd, J = 11.7, 5.5 and dd, J = 12.1, 5.0, 8H), 3.86 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.2, 5H), 3.61 (dd, J = 10.8, 6.9, 1H).

Gonyautoxin 3 (11- α -hydroxysaxitoxin sulfate) was extracted from cultures of *Alexandrium* sp., clone PI07 and purified on columns of IRP64 and BioGel P2.²² A large batch (520 mg) of mixed gonyautoxin 2 and 3 was applied to a final BioGel P2 column to provide fractions enriched in each epimer. Fractions were monitored with a spot plate and TLC according to Buckley.²¹ Fraction 36, near the back of the toxin peak, was highly enriched in gonyautoxin 3. It was dried to 4.69 mg residue. All spectra obtained were consistent with literature values.²⁴⁻²⁵

gonyautoxin 2 (2) and **gonyautoxin 3 (3)**, 1:1 mixture: ¹**H NMR** (600 MHz, D_2O) δ 4.98 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1H), 4.84 (d, J = 4.9, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 1.3, 2H), 4.32 (dd, 11.7, 9.3, 1H), 4.28 (dd, J = 11.7, 9.2, 1H), 4.18 (overlapped, dd, J = 10.7, 8.3 and d, J = 12.5, 2H), 4.08 (dd, J = 11.7, 5.3, 1H), 4.02 (overlapped, dd, J = 11.7, 5.5 and dd, J = 12.0, 5.0, 2H), 3.85 (td, J = 8.8, 5.2, 2H), 3.60 (dd, J = 10.8, 6.9, 1H).



Figure S39. ¹H NMR spectra of gonyautoxin 2/gonyautoxin 3, 4:1 mixture (upper, 600 MHz, D₂O) and gonyautoxin 2/gonyautoxin3, 1:1 mixture (lower, 600 MHz, D₂O).



11- β -hydroxysaxitoxin (11- β -hydroxySTX, 7) and 11- α -hydroxysaxitoxin (11- α -hydroxySTX, S1):

Conditions for sulfate hydrolysis were adapted from Koehn.¹⁷

To a mixture of GTX3 and GTX2 (3, 2, 5.0 mg) was added 1 mL of 2.5 M HCl and the mixture was heated at 80 °C for 50 min. An aliquot was taken for MS analysis to determine extent of

sulfate hydrolysis and C11 epimerization. After addition of MeCN (1 mL), the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a film. The products were purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Phenomenex Kinetex 5 μ m C18, 150 x 21.2 mm column) under the following conditions: mobile phase A = deionized water + 0.1% formic acid and B = acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid; method = 99% A for 10 min, 99% A to 70% A over 30 min, 70% A for 5 min, 214 nm UV detection. A mixture of 11- β -hydroxySTX (7) and 11- α -hydroxySTX (S1) co-eluted (in approximately a 2:1 ratio, ¹H NMR) with a retention time of 4.5-8.2 min to afford 1.4 mg of the mixture as a white film with minor impurities. 11- α -hydroxySTX (S1) as a white film with minor impurities. 11- α -hydroxySTX (S1) as a white film with minor impurities. All spectra obtained were consistent with literature values.^{19, 24-25}



Figure S40. Extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of GTX2 (2), GTX3 (3), 11- α -hydroxySTX (S1), and 11- β -hydroxySTX (7) before and after sulfate hydrolysis.

11-β-hydroxySTX (7) and **11-α-hydroxySTX (S1)**, 2:1 mixture: ¹**H NMR** (600 MHz, D₂O) δ 4.84 (s, 3H), 4.48 (t, J = 7.7, 1H), 4.35 (dd, J = 11.4, 9.6, 1H), 4.32-4.26 (m, 5H), 4.07 (dd, J = 11.5, 5.3, 1H), 4.03 (dd, J = 11.8, 5.7, 3H), 3.89 (dd, J = 11.5, 5.1, 3H), 3.86 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.6, 4H), 3.79-3.74 (m, 5H), 3.30 (dd, J = 10.4, 7.2, 1H).

11-\alpha-hydroxySTX (S1): ¹H NMR (600 MHz, D₂O) δ 4.82 (s, 1H), 4.32 (d, *J* = 5.0, 1H), 4.29 (d, *J* = 9.6, 1H), 4.04 (dd, *J* = 11.7, 5.1, 1H), 3.91 (dd, *J* = 11.4, 4.9, 1H), 3.90-3.84 (m, 1H), 3.77 (d, *J* = 11.1, 1H).

Table S5. Comparison of spectral data for 11- β -hydroxySTX (7) and 11- α -hydroxySTX (S1).^{19, 24-25}

Note: Chemical shifts of Ref. 24 were adjusted in Ref. 25 by adding 0.37 ppm to the values based on an internal reference. Values have been corroborated from Ref. 24.







0 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.6 4.5 4.4 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.0 3.9 3.8 3.7 3.6 3.5 3.4 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.0 2.9 2.8 2.7 2.6 2.5 2.4 2.3 2.2 2.1 2.0 1.9 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.5 f1: 1H (ppm)



α -saxitoxinol (600 MHz, D₂O)





α -saxitoxinol and β -saxitoxinol mixture (600 MHz, D₂O)

saxitoxin (600 MHz, D₂O)



neosaxitoxin (600 MHz, D₂O)





GTX2/GTX3 mixture, 4:1 ratio (600 MHz, D₂O)







11- α -hydroxysaxitoxin and 11- β -hydroxysaxitoxin mixture (600 MHz, D₂O)

VI. References

- 1. Eschenfeldt, W. H.; Lucy, S.; Millard, C. S.; Joachimiak, A.; Mark, I. D. A family of LIC vectors for high-throughput cloning and purification of proteins. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2009**, *498*, 105-115.
- 2. Kellmann, R.; Mihali, T. K.; Jeon, Y. J.; Pickford, R.; Pomati, F.; Neilan, B. A. Biosynthetic intermediate analysis and functional homology reveal a saxitoxin gene cluster in cyanobacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2008**, *74*, 4044-4053.
- 3. Mihali, T. K.; Kellmann, R.; Neilan, B. A. Characterization of the paralytic shellfish toxin biosynthesis gene clusters in *Anabaena circinalis* AWQC131C and *Aphanizomenon sp. NH-5. BMC Biochem.* **2009**, *10*, 1-13.
- 4. Mihali, T. K.; Carmichael, W. W.; Neilan, B. A. A putative gene cluster from a *Lyngbya wollei* bloom that encodes paralytic shellfish toxin biosynthesis. *PLoS One* **2011**, *6*, e14657.
- 5. Kweon, O.; Kim, S.; Baek, S.; Chae, J.; Adjei, M. D.; Baek, D.; Kim, Y.; Cerniglia, C. E. A new classification system for bacterial Rieske non-heme iron aromatic ring-hydroxylating oxygenases. *BMC Biochem.* **2008**, *9*, 11.
- 6. Berim, A.; Park, J. J.; Gang, D. R. Unexpected roles for ancient proteins: flavone 8hydroxylase in sweet basil trichomes is a Rieske-type, PAO-family oxygenase. *Plant J.* **2014**, *80*, 385-95.
- 7. Reinbothe, C.; Bartsch, S.; Eggink, L. L.; Hoober, J. K.; Brusslan, J.; Andrade-Paz, R.; Monnet, J.; Reinbothe, S. A role for chlorophyllide *a* oxygenase in the regulated import and stabilization of light-harvesting chlorophyll *a/b* proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2006**, *103*, 4777-4782.
- Sydor, P. K.; Barry, S. M.; Odulate, O. M.; Barona-Gomez, F.; Haynes, S. W.; Corre, C.; Song, L.; Challis, G. L. Regio- and stereodivergent antibiotic oxidative carbocyclizations catalysed by Rieske oxygenase-like enzymes. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 388-392.
- 9. Lee, J.; Simurdiak, M.; Zhao, H. Reconstitution and characterization of aminopyrrolnitrin oxygenase, a Rieske *N*-oxygenase that catalyzes unusual arylamine oxidation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280*, 36719-36728.
- Summers, R. M.; Louie, T. M.; Yu, C. L.; Subramanian, M. Characterization of a broadspecificity non-haem iron *N*-demethylase from *Pseudomonas putida* CBB5 capable of utilizing several purine alkaloids as sole carbon and nitrogen source. *Microbiology* **2011**, *157*, 583-592.
- 11. Ju, J.; Ozanick, S. G.; Shen, B.; Thomas, M. G. Conversion of (2S)-arginine to (2S,3R)capreomycidine by VioC and VioD from the viomycin biosynthetic pathway of *Streptomyces sp.* strain ATCC11861. *ChemBioChem* **2004**, *5*, 1281-1285.
- 12. Liu, J.; Chakraborty, S.; Hosseinzadeh, P.; Yu, Y.; Tian, S.; Petrik, I.; Bhagi, A.; Lu, Y. Metalloproteins containing cytochrome, iron–sulfur, or copper redox centers. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 4366-4469.
- 13. Fish, W. W. Rapid colorimetric micromethod for the quantitation of complexed iron in biological samples. *Methods Enzymol.* **1988**, *158*, 357-364.
- 14. Stookey, L. L. Ferrozine A new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. *Anal. Chem.* **1970**, *42*, 779-781.
- 15. Aliverti, A.; Curti, B.; Vanoni, M. A. Identifying and quantitating FAD and FMN in simple and in iron-sulfur-containing flavoproteins. In *Methods in Molecular Biology: Flavoprotein Protocols*, Chapman, S. K.; Reid, G. A., Eds. Humana Press: Totowa, 1991; Vol. 131, p 9.
- 16. Ghazarossian, V. E.; Schantz, E. J.; Schnoes, H. K.; Strong, F. M. A biologically active acid hydrolysis product of saxitoxin. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1976**, *68*, 776-780.
- 17. Koehn, F. E. A chemical investigation of saxitoxin and derivatives. University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1983.
- 18. Hill, A. W.; Mortishire-Smith, R. J. Automated assignment of high-resolution collisionally activated dissociation mass spectra using a systematic disconnection approach. *Rapid. Comm. Mass. Spectrom.* **2005**, *19*, 3111-3118.
- 19. Mulcahy, J. V.; Walker, J. R.; Merit, J. E.; Whitehead, A.; Du Bois, J. Synthesis of the paralytic shellfish poisons (+)-gonyautoxin 2, (+)-gonyautoxin 3, and (+)-11,11-dihydroxysaxitoxin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 5994-6001.
- 20. Stahl, E. *Thin-layer chromatography: A laboratory handbook*. Springer Verlag: Berlin, 1969; p 1041.
- 21. Buckley, L. J.; Ikawa, M.; Sasner, Jr., J. J. Isolation of *Gonyaulax tamarensis* toxins from soft shell clams (*Mya arenaria*) and a thin-layer chromatographic-fluorometric method for their detection. *J. Agri. Food. Chem.* **1976**, *24*, 107-111.
- 22. Hall, S. Toxins and toxicity of *Protogonyaulax* from the northeast Pacific. University of Alaska, Fairbanks, 1982.
- 23. Koehn, F. E.; Ghazarossian, V. E.; Schantz, E. J.; Schnoes, H. K.; Strong, F. M. Derivatives of saxitoxin. *Bioorg. Chem.* **1981**, *10*, 412-428.
- 24. Wichmann, C. F.; Boyer, G. L.; Divan, C. L.; Schantz, E. J.; Shchnoes, H. K. Neurotoxins of *Gonyaulax excavata* and bay of fundy scallops. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, 22, 1941-1944.
- 25. Dell' Aversano, C.; Walter, J. A.; Burton, I. W.; Stirling, D. J.; Fattorusso, E.; Quilliam, M. A. Isolation and structure elucidation of new and unusual saxitoxin analogues from mussels. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2008**, *71*, 1518-1523.