Structure and Optical Properties of Tetrahedral CdSe

Clusters with Carboxylate and Amine Ligands

Kiet A. Nguyen,^{a,b}* Ruth Pachter,^{a,*} Jie Jiang^{a,b} and Paul N. Day^{a,b}

^aAir Force Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base OH 45433

^bUES, Inc. Dayton OH 45432

*Corresponding author

<u>RPA calculation of Cd₃₅Se₂₀X₃₀L₃₀</u>

The imaginary part of the dielectric function ($\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{(1)} + i\varepsilon^{(2)}$) is given by the Cartesian tensor

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\omega) = \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{\Omega} \lim_{q \to 0} \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{c,v,\bar{k}} 2\omega_{\bar{k}} \delta(\varepsilon_{c\bar{k}} - \varepsilon_{v\bar{k}} - \omega) \times \langle u_{c\bar{k}+\bar{e}_a q} | u_{v\bar{k}} \rangle \langle u_{c\bar{k}+\bar{e}_\beta q} | u_{v\bar{k}} \rangle^*, \text{ where } \omega \text{ is in units of energy; } \Omega \text{ is } \omega \in \mathbb{C}$$

the volume of the cell; \vec{e} are unit vectors for the three Cartesian directions; *c* and *v* are conduction and valence states, respectively; $u_{c\vec{k}}$ are the cell-periodic orbitals at \vec{k} .³ In the calculation of $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\omega)$, \vec{k} is restricted to the irreducible wedge of the first Brillouin zone. The real part of the dielectric tensor is obtained from Kramers-

Kronig transformation and given by $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\omega) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} P \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\omega')\omega'}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2 + i\eta} d\omega'$, where *P* denotes the principal value of

the integral. Spectra were calculated using $\alpha_{ij}(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{ch} E \sqrt{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{ij}^{(1)}(\omega)^2 + \varepsilon_{ij}^{(2)}(\omega)^2} - \varepsilon_{ij}^{(1)}(\omega)}$, where *i*, *j*=*x*,*y*,*z*. Here we

used the average absorption coefficient, defined by $\alpha = \frac{\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz}}{3}$, and the calculations were carried out at the RPA (random phase approximation) level of theory.

Calculations were performed with the Vienna ab initio simulation package VASP 5.4,^{1,2} based on the structure optimized with the DFT/PBE0 functional (see main text), including and excluding spin-orbit coupling (SOC). A plane wave basis set with an energy cutoff of 500 eV was used. The *k*-sampling is Γ point sampling. A 15 Å vacuum is added in the *x*,*y*, and *z* directions.

The RPA optical absorption spectra for CdSe quantum dots are shown in the Figure below for clusters that are passivated by pseudohydrogens (a), formate-ammonia ligand pairs (b), and formate-methylamine ligand pairs (c,d). In the case of pseudohydrogen passivation, In the case of H passivation, the first peak is not split without SOC and is at 2.69 eV, while when SOC is included, the peak is split into two peaks, located at 2.61 and 2.81 eV, with an intensity ratio of 2.3. For formate-ammonia (b), formate-methylamine clusters (c, d), the absorption spectra are quite similar with and without SOC. With SOC, the first peak is split into two peaks, at 2.28 and 2.41 eV with an intensity ratio of 0.95 for (b), and 2.24 and 2.38 eV with a ratio of 1.45 for (c). With SOC, the first peak is not split for (d). The peak is at 2.12 eV.



Figure: RPA optical absorption for CdSe quantum dots passivated by (a) pseudohydrogen atoms, (b) ammonia, (c) L, and (d) M ligands, with (solid) and without (dash) SOC.

(1) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Computational Materials Science* **1996**, *6*, 15-50.

(2) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Physical Review B* **1999**, *59*, 1758-1775.

(3) Gajdoš, M.; Hummer, K.; Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J.; Bechstedt, F. Linear optical properties in the projector-augmented wave methodology. *Physical Review B* **2006**, *73*, 045112.

Method/Isomer Gas-ph	ase Diethyl ether	_
$Cd_4SeX_6L_6$ (X = O_2C - C_6	$H_5, L = H_2 N - C H_3).$	Values in parentheses are obtained with the Def2-TZVP basis set.
Table 1S. Summary of c	omputed relative er	nergies (in kcal/mol) in the gas-phase and diethyl ether for

a	0.0	0.0
b		
PBE0	6.5	5.3
PBE0-D3//PBE0	8.6	7.5
MP2//PBE0	8.3	7.3
PBE0-D3	4.1	4.6
MP2//PBE0-D3	2.3	3.3
MP2	2.4	2.1
c		
PBE0	7.3	7.7
PBE0-D3//PBE0	3.3	3.7
MP2//PBE0	-2.6	-1.8
PBE0-D3	2.0 (1.6)	3.7 (3.0)
MP2//PBE0-D3	-3.4	-1.4
MP2	-3.3	-2.3
d		
PBE0	9.0	9.8
PBE0-D3//PBE0	4.5	5.4
MP2//PBE0	-1.0	0.2
PBE0-D3	10.6	10.5
MP2//PBE0-D3	4.6	4.7
MP2	5.4	4.5
e		
PBE0	17.7	14.0
PBE0-D3//PBE0	15.5	11.8
MP2//PBE0	11.0	7.3
PBE0-D3	21.6	18.5
MP2//PBE0-D3	14.1	11.1

Mean unsigned errors compared to MP2 in the gas-phase: 0.3 (MP2//PBE0-D3), 4.1 (PBE0-D3), 4.3 (MP2//PBE0) 6.1 (PBE0). Mean unsigned errors compared to PCM-MP2//PBE0-D3 in diethyl ether: 0.8 (MP2//PBE0-D3), 4.8 (PBE0-D3), 3.3 (MP2//PBE0) 6.2 (PBE0)

Method/Isomer	Gas-phase	Dietnyl etner
a	0.0	0.0
b		
PBE0	4.5	4.5
PBE0-D3//PBE0	1.6	1.6
PBE0-D3	0.2	0.4
c		
PBE0	5.8	4.3
PBE0-D3//PBE0	1.8	0.3
PBE0-D3	-5.8	-6.4
d		
PBE0	3.0	2.7
PBE0-D3//PBE0	-3.5	-3.5
PBE0-D3	-7.4	-6.6
e		
PBE0	4.4	2.7
PBE0-D3//PBE0	-0.3	-1.9
PBE0-D3	-5.9	-6.4
f		
PBE0	5.5	4.4
PBE0-D3//PBE0	2.5	1.4
PBE0-D3	-9.3	-6.9
MP2//PBE0-D3	-7.5	-4.9
g		
PBE0	6.9	9.6
PBE0-D3//PBE0	-1.0	1.6
PBE0-D3	-13.3 (-14.5)	-9.6 (-8.8)
MP2//PBE0-D3	-9.9	-5.3

Table 2S. Summary of computed relative energies (in kcal/mol) in the gas-phase and diethyl ether for $Cd_{10}Se_4X_{12}L_{12}$ (X = $O_2C-C_6H_5$, L = H_2N-CH_3). Values in parentheses are for X = O_2CH , L = H_2N-CH_3 . Method/Isomer Gas-phase Diethyl ether

Mean unsigned errors compared to MP2//PBE0-D3 in the gas-phase: 2.6 (PBE0-D3), 9.5 (PBE0-D3//PBE0), 14.5 (PBE0). Mean unsigned errors compared to PCM-MP2//PBE0-D3 in diethyl ether: 3.2 (PCM-PBE0-D3//PBE0-D3), 6.6 (PCM-PBE0-D3//PBE0), 12.1 (PCM-PBE0//PBE0).

Table 3S. Summary of computed relative energies (in kcal/mol) in the gas-phase and diethyl ether for $Cd_{20}Se_{10}X_{20}L_{20}$, $X = O_2C-C_6H_5$ and $L = H_2N-CH_3$. Values in parentheses are for $X = O_2C-CH_3$ and $L = H_2N-CH_3$. Values in brackets are for $X = O_2C-H_3$ and $L = H_2N-CH_3$. <u>Method/Isomer</u> <u>Gas-phase</u> <u>Diethyl ether</u>

Method/Isomer	Gas-phase	Dietifyi ether
a	0.0	0.0
b		
PBE0	2.2 [1.1]	-0.9 [-1.1]
PBE0-D3//PBE0	15.4 [7.2]	12.4 [5.0]
PBE0-D3	1.1 [5.8]	-0.3 [3.0]
c		
PBE0	7.3 [2.4]	3.7 [0.6]
PBE0-D3//PBE0	18.1 [12.0]	14.5 [10.2]
PBE0-D3	14.3 [14.8]	13.0 [11.1]
d		
PBE0	6.5 [7.9]	0.0 [1.5]
PBE0-D3//PBE0	13.8 [9.8]	7.3 [3.4]
PBE0-D3	14.3 [9.7]	8.9 [1.3]
e		
PBE0	0.3 [0.2]	0.8 [0.0]
PBE0-D3//PBE0	0.1 [0.8]	3.2 [0.6]
PBE0-D3	1.5 [-0.2]	-0.1 [-0.3]
f		
PBE0	2.6 [0.1]	0.4 [0.3]
PBE0-D3//PBE0	9.6 [4.2]	7.5 [4.4]
PBE0-D3	6.5 [7.3]	2.3 [3.8]
g		
PBE0	14.0 [6.3]	12.0 [6.8]
PBE0-D3//PBE0	17.1 [5.7]	15.1 [6.2]
PBE0-D3	[7.9]	[4.8]
h		
PBE0	26.4 [29.7]	19.6 [23.0]
PBE0-D3//PBE0	36.3 [31.0]	29.6 [24.3]
i		
PBE0	38.6 [36.9]	29.3 [26.6]
PBE0-D3//PBE0	55.4 [39.3]	46.1 [27.1]

Table 4Sa. Summary of computed relative energies (in kcal/mol) in the gas-phase and diethyl ether for $Cd_{35}Se_{20}X_{30}L_{30}$ (X = O₂CH, L = NH₃).

Method/Isomer	Gas-phase	Diethyl ether
a	0.0	0.0
b		
PBE0	0.2	-2.6
PBE0-D3//PBE0	0.3	-2.5
PBE0-D3	8.2	1.9
c		
PBE0	4.8	-1.8
PBE0-D3//PBE0	5.7	-0.9
PBE0-D3	5.1	1.1
d		
PBE0	6.7	3.3
PBE0-D3//PBE0	10.1	6.7
e		
PBE0	7.0	2.0
PBE0-D3//PBE0	6.3	1.4
f		
PBE0	9.5	3.8
PBE0-D3//PBE0	13.3	7.4
g		
PBE0	11.2	15.2
PBE0-D3//PBE0	14.0	18.1
h		
PBE0	13.0	13.6
PBE0-D3//PBE0	15.7	16.3
PBE0-D3	14.5	17.0
i		
PBE0	14 4	18.8
PBE0-D3//PBE0	19.9	24.6
i		2
PBE0	20.3	22.1
PBE0-D3//PBE0	22.6	24.4
k		
PBE0	21.6	14.3
PBE0-D3//PBE0	27.6	19.9
1		
PBE0	19.5	20.5
PBE0-D3//PBE0	23.2	24.2
PBE0-D3	16.7	20.4
m		
PBE0	26.1	29.9
PBE0-D3//PBE0	29.4	33.1
n	_>	
PBE0	26.2	7.7
PBE0-D3//PBE0	29.4	10.7
0		
PBE0	40.6	38.4
PBE0-D3//PBE0	45.8	43.6
PBE0-D3	43.9	41.5

Method/Isomer Gas-phase Diethyl ether	
$Cd_{35}Se_{20}X_{30}L_{30}$ (X = O ₂ CH, L = H ₂ N-CH ₃). Values in brackets are for X = O ₂ CC ₆ H ₅ , L = H ₂ N-CH ₃).	H3.
Table 4Sb. Summary of computed relative energies (in kcal/mol) in the gas-phase and diethyl ethe	r for

Wiethou/Isomer	Gas-phase	Dietifyfether
a	0.0	0.0
h		
DDEO	2.0	1 7
	3.9	1./
PBE0-D3//PBE0	11.5	2.0
c		
PBE0	2.9	3.0
	7.0	7.2
rbev-D3//rbev	1.2	1.5
d		
PBE0	-9.9	-11.2
PBE0-D3//PBE0	15.7	5.6
ρ		
DDEO	0.5	2.2
PDEU	0.5	-3.3
PBE0-D3//PBE0	11.1	7.3
f		
PBE0	-22	-2.2
	2.2	77
r DEU-D3//r DEU	1.1	1.1
g		
PBE0	-22.8 [-23.5]	-13.9[-17.5]
PBE0-D3//PBE0	-9.6 [-21.1]	-0.7 [-28.4]
PRE0_D3	_11 3 [_29 2]	_1 5 [24 3]
I DE0-D3	-11.5 [-29.2]	-1.5 [24.5]
h		
PBE0	-2.5	-0.9
PBE0-D3//PBE0	-0.3	1.3
i		
DDE0	22.7	12.0
PBEU	-22.1	-13.8
PBE0-D3//PBE0	-12.0	-3.2
PBE0-D3	-20.2 [-34.2]	-12.4 [-28.0]
i		
J DDEU	16.1	Q 1
	-10.1	-0.1
PBE0-D3//PBE0	0.8	8.8
k		
PBE0	2.4	-9.3
PRF0-D3//PRF0	2.8	6.6
	2.0	0.0
•		
l		
PBE0	-23.3	-14.5
PBE0-D3//PBE0	-171	-83
DDE0 D3//1 DE0	186[225]	115[22.4]
I DE0-D3	-18.0 [-52.5]	-11.5 [-25.4]
m		
PBE0	-18.4	4.9
PBE0-D3//PBE0	-4.4	8.1
n		
DDE0	10.2	10.0
PBEU	-18.5	-10.0
PBE0-D3//PBE0	-4.3	4.0
0		
PBE0	-15.4	-7.6
DREA D2//DDEA	0.1	7.0
I DEU-DS//PDEU	0.1	1.7

Table 5S. Computed lowest excitation energies (in eV) and oscillator strengths (in parentheses) in the gas-phase and diethyl ether.

Cluster	Gas-phase	Diethyl ether
T2 Cd ₁₀ Se ₄ X ₁₂ L ₁₂		
$X = O_2 C - C_6 H_5$	4.25 (0.12)	4.31 (0.18)
$X = O_2C-C_6H_5, L = H_2N-CH_3$	4.06 (0.00)	4.06 (0.00)
T3 Cd ₂₀ Se ₁₀ X ₂₀ L ₂₀		
$X = O_2 C - C_6 H_5$	3.74 (0.13)	3.80 (0.20)
$X = O_2C-C_6H_5, L = H_2N-CH_3$	3.68 (0.16)	3.78 (0.36)
T4 Cd ₃₅ Se ₂₀ X ₃₀ L ₃₀		
$X = O_2 C - C_6 H_5$	3.45 (0.12)	3.47 (0.17)
$X = O_2C-C_6H_5, L = H_2N-CH_3$	3.47 (0.29)	3.54 (0.29)

^aAverage core edge: 0.8 nm (T2), 1.2 nm (T3), 1.7 nm (T4).