Supporting Information

#### MOP and EE Protecting Groups in Synthesis of α- or β-Naphthyl-*C*-Glycosides from Glycals

Jan Choutka<sup>†</sup>, Radek Pohl<sup>‡</sup> and Kamil Parkan<sup>\*†</sup>

† Department of Chemistry of Natural Compounds, University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague, Technická 5, 166 28, Prague, Czech Republic
‡ Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry AS CR, Gilead Sciences & IOCB Research Center, Flemingovo nám. 2, 166 10, Prague, Czech Republic

\*Corresponding author Kamil Parkan: <u>parkank@vscht.cz</u>

## **Table of Contents**

1.	Optimization of the C-1 lithiation	S3
2.	Determination of enantiomeric composition of 4	S5
3.	Structural assignment of EE-16	S6
4.	Characterization of EE-protected compounds by NMR	S8
5.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR and <sup>13</sup> C Spectra	. S13

#### 1. Optimization of the C-1 lithiation

#### **Procedure for lithiation/deuteration experiments:**

MOP or EE-protected D-glucal (50 mg, 0.14 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved under Ar in anhydrous THF. This solution was cooled to -78 °C and then designated amount of *t*-BuLi (1.7 M in pentane) was added dropwise using a syringe pump. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 5 min and then at 0 °C for 1 h. After 1 h, D<sub>2</sub>0 (0.249 mL, 13.79 mmol, 100 eq) was added dropwise at 0 °C and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at 0 °C and for 30 min at RT. The solution was diluted with EtOAc (30 mL) and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated *in vacuo*.

Deuterated material was subjected to <sup>1</sup>H NMR measurement in DMSO- $d_6$ . The extent of deuteration at C1 was assumed from comparison of integral intensity of H1 with other signals in the molecule, as shown in Figures S2 and S3. Outline and results of the optimization are summarized in Figure S1.



Figure S1. Optimization of the C-1 lithiation of protected glycals 2a and 2b.





6.9 6.8 6.7 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.3 6.2 6.1 6.0 5.9 5.8 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.4 5.3 5.2 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.6 4.5 4.4 f1 (ppm)





6.8 6.7 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.3 6.2 6.1 6.0 5.9 5.8 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.4 5.3 5.2 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.6 4.5 4.4 fl (ppm)

Figure S3. C-1 lithiation of 2b.

#### 2. Determination of enantiomeric composition of 4



Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.04 mL, 290  $\mu$ mol, 5 eq) and DMAP (709  $\mu$ g, 6  $\mu$ mol, 0.1 eq) were added to the solution of enone 4 (15 mg, 58  $\mu$ mol, 1 eq) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL). After that, (*S*)-MTPA-Cl (0.02 mL, 116  $\mu$ mol, 2 eq) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h ar RT and then quenched by the addition of sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (1 mL). The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 10 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*.

Diastereomeric composition of the mixture was determined by NMR measurements of the crude (S)-MTPA-4. Diastereomeric ratio was estimated to be ~ 1:1 based on characteristic <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals (Figure S4).



Figure S4. Characteristic <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals used for estimation of diastereomeric ratio of (S)-MTPA-4.

### 3. Structural assignment of (2*S*,3*R*,4*S*,5*R*)-1-(naphthalen-1yl)hexane-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexaol (16).

Structure of the intermediate (2S,3R,4S,5R)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)hexane-(3,4,6-tri-O-(ethoxyethyl)-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexaol was confirmed by benzylation of the free hydroxyl groups and subsequent cleavage of the EE groups, which gave partially benzylated derivative **17**. The OH groups in positions 3, 4 and 6 were then methylated by the excess of MeI to give the product **18**. The positions of methyl and benzyl groups were then determined by analysis of HMBC spectra.





(2R,3R,4S,5R)-2,5,6-tris(benzyloxy)-6-(naphthalen-1-yl)hexane-1,3,4-triol (17). To a solution of derivative EE-16 (50 mg, 95 µmol, 1 eq) in anhydrous DMF (5 mL) at 0 °C was added NaH (22.87 mg, 572 µmol, 60% in mineral oil, 6 eq). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at RT and then BnBr (67.92 µL, 572 µmol, 6 eq) was slowly added dropwise at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C and then overnight at RT. The reation was guenched by careful addition of MeOH (10 mL) at 0 °C and evaporation in vacuo. The residue was taken up in EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 50$  mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The obtained material containing fully protected derivative was subjected to deprotection procedure F using 20% AcOH (5 mL) and THF (5 mL) and stirred overnight at RT. After evaporation, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH 8/1 to 5/1), which yielded compound 17 (47 mg, 85 %) as a colorless oil:  $R_f = 0.36$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH 7/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (401.0 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.55, 3.27, 3.63  $(3 \times bs, 3 \times 1H, OH-3,4,6)$ ; 3.66 (dd, 1H,  $J_{4,3} = 9.5, J_{4,5} = 2.3, H-4$ ); 3.76–3.90 (m, 4H, H-5,6,  $CH_aH_bPh-2$ ; 4.09 (d, 1H,  $J_{3,4} = 9.5$ , H-3); 4.21 (d, 1H,  $J_{2,1} = 7.2$ , H-2); 4.29 (d, 1H,  $J_{gem} = 10.9$ ,  $CH_{a}H_{b}Ph-2$ ); 4.37, 4.59 (2 × d, 2 × 1H,  $J_{gem}$  = 11.6,  $CH_{2}Ph-1$ ); 4.64, 4.72 (2 × d, 2 × 1H,  $J_{gem}$  = 11.2, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph-5); 5.43 (d, 1H, J<sub>1,2</sub> = 7.2, H-1); 6.76–6.83 (m, 2H, H-o-Bn-2); 7.11–7.25 (m, 3H, H-m,p-Bn-2); 7.26–7.37 (m, 10H, H-o,m,p-Bn-1,5); 7.41 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{7,8} = 8.4$ ,  $J_{7,6} = 6.8$ ,  $J_{7,5} = 1.4$ , H-7-naphth); 7.49 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{6,5} = 8.1$ ,  $J_{6,7} = 6.8$ ,  $J_{6,8} = 1.2$ , H-6-naphth); 7.53 (dd, 1H,  $J_{3,4} = 8.2$ ,  $J_{3,2} = 7.1$ , H-3naphth); 7.78 (dd, 1H,  $J_{2,3} = 7.1$ ,  $J_{2,4} = 0.9$ , H-2-naphth); 7.83 – 7.94 (m, 3H, H-4,5,8-naphth); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 63.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>-6); 70.5 (CH-3); 71.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph-1); 72.5 (CH-4); 73.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph-5); 73.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph-2); 78.3 (CH-5); 78.7 (br, CH-1); 79.0 (CH-2); 123.6 (CH-8-naphth); 125.3 (CH-3naphth); 125.7 (CH-2,6-naphth); 126.2 (CH-7-naphth); 127.8, 127.8, 127.9 (CH-p-Bn-1,2,5); 128.06, 128.09, 128.2 (CH-m-Bn-1,2,5); 128.4, 128.5 (CH-o-Bn-1,2,5); 128.7 (CH-4-naphth); 128.8 (CH-5naphth); 131.9 (C-8a-naphth); 133.8 (C-4a-naphth); 134.6 (C-1-naphth); 137.5, 137.7, 138.0 (C-i-Bn-1,2,5); HRMS (ESI) m/z [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na 601.2561, found 601.2561.

1-((2S,3S,4S,5R)-1,2,5-tris(benzyloxy)-3,4,6-trimethoxyhexyl)naphthalene (18). To a solution of derivative 17 (47 mg, 81 µmol, 1 eq) in anhydrous DMF (5 mL) at 0 °C was added NaH (19 mg, 487 µmol, 60% in mineral oil, 6 eq). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at RT and then MeI  $(30.34 \,\mu\text{L}, 487 \,\mu\text{mol}, 6 \,\text{eq})$  was slowly added dropwise at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C and then overnight at RT. The reaction was quenched by careful addition of MeOH (10 mL) at 0 °C and evaporation in vacuo. The residue was taken up in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) and washed with  $H_2O$  (3 × 30 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc 4/1), which yielded compound 18 (45 mg, 89%) as a colorless oil:  $R_f = 0.32$  (Hexane/EtOAc 4/1); HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for  $C_{40}H_{44}O_6Na [M+Na]^+$ 643.30301, found 643.30292. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (401.0 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.13 (d, 1H, J<sub>gem</sub> = 10.5, CH<sub>a</sub>H<sub>b</sub>Ph-2); 3.37 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>O-6); 3.39 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>O-4); 3.42 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>O-3); 3.62 - 3.70 (m, 2H, H-4,6b); 3.74 (dd, 1H,  $J_{6a,6b} = 10.0$ ,  $J_{6a,5} = 6.4$ , H-6a); 3.88 (d, 1H,  $J_{gem} = 10.5$ , CH<sub>a</sub>H<sub>b</sub>Ph-2); 3.96 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{5,6} = 6.4$ , 5.1,  $J_{5,4} = 2.5$ , H-5); 4.15 (bm, 1H, H-2); 4.22 (dd, 1H,  $J_{3,4} = 8.6$ ,  $J_{3,2} = 1.4$ , H-3); 4.31, 4.44 (2 × d, 2 × d, 1H,  $J_{gem}$  = 11.4, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph-1); 4.67, 4.86 (2 × d, 2 × 1H,  $J_{gem}$  = 11.9, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph-5); 5.31 (bm, 1H, H-1); 6.50 – 6.60 (bm, 2H, H-o-Bn-2); 6.97 – 7.10 (m, 3H, H-m,p-Bn-2); 7.22 – 7.33, 7.33 – 7.42 (2 × m, 10H, H-o,m,p-Bn-1,5); 7.45 – 7.55 (m, 3H, H-3,6,7-naphth); 7.78 (bd, 1H, J<sub>2,3</sub> = 7.2, H-2-naphth); 7.86 – 7.93 (m, 2H, H-4,5-naphth); 8.51 (bm, 1H, H-8-naphth); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 58.95 (CH<sub>3</sub>O-6); 59.47 (CH<sub>3</sub>O-4); 60.47 (CH<sub>3</sub>O-3); 69.93 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph-1); 72.35 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph-5); 73.84 (CH<sub>2</sub>-6); 73.88 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph-2); 77.81 (CH-5); 78.98 (CH-3); 79.39 (CH-4); 124.71 (CH-8-naphth); 125.31 (CH-2,3naphth); 125.67 (CH-6-naphth); 126.06 (CH-7-naphth); 127.10, 127.25, 127.42 (CH-p-Bn-1,2,5); 127.47, 127.75, 127.77 (CH-m-Bn-1,2,5); 128.09, 128.23, 128.25 (CH-o-Bn-1,2,5); 128.60 (CH-4naphth); 128.75 (CH-5-naphth); 132.68 (C-8a-naphth); 133.89 (C-4a-naphth); 135.65 (C-1-naphth); 137.77, 138.18, 138.92 (C-*i*-Bn-1,2,5); HRMS (ESI) m/z [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na 643.3030, found 643.3029.

#### 4. Characterization of EE-protected compounds by NMR

NMR spectra of EE-protected glycals are complicated by the presence of 8 sets of signals originating from all possible distareoisomers due to asymmetric carbon of EE-group. As result, their <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR are complex and not easily analyzable due to signal overlap. However, simple *in situ* deprotection using 1:9 (v/v) mixture of CD<sub>3</sub>COOD:CD<sub>3</sub>OD at 50 °C for 45 – 120 minutes (Figure S5) significantly simplified the spectra and enabled structural characterization of EE-protected glycals by NMR. Simplification of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra during *in situ* deprotection for EE-glycals **2b**, **3b**, **9b** and **10** is shown in Figures S6-S9.



**Figure S5**. *In situ* deprotection of EE-glycals by  $CD_3COOD + CD_3OD$  (1:9 (v/v)) in NMR tube at 50 °C.

45

1-naphthyl

10



corresponding NMR spectra after deprotection by CD<sub>3</sub>COOD (teal).



corresponding NMR spectra after deprotection by CD<sub>3</sub>COOD (teal).



(maroon) and corresponding NMR spectra after deprotection by CD<sub>3</sub>COOD (teal).



<sup>180</sup> <sup>160</sup> <sup>140</sup> <sup>120</sup> <sup>100</sup> <sup>13C (ppm)</sup> <sup>80</sup> <sup>60</sup> <sup>40</sup> <sup>20</sup> <sup>20</sup> <sup>51</sup> Figure S9. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of EE-protected 1-naphthylgalactal **10** measured in CD<sub>3</sub>OD (maroon) and corresponding NMR spectra after deprotection by CD<sub>3</sub>COOD (teal).

# **5.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C Spectra Spectra S1. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 2a.



Spectra S2. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 2b.





Spectra S3. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 3a.





Spectra S5. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 6a.









Spectra S7. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 6b.



Spectra S8. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 8.



Spectra S9. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 9a.



Spectra S10. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 11.





Spectra S12.  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR of compound 13.













#### Spectra S16. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 17.



![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)