## Supporting Information

# Photon Upconversion through a Cascade <br> Process of Two-Photon Absorption in CsPbBr 3 and Triplet-Triplet Annihilation in <br> Porphyrin/Diphenylanthracene 

Shogo Izakura, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Wenting Gu, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Ryosuke Nishikubo, ${ }^{\dagger}$ and Akinori Saeki, ${ }^{*}{ }^{\dagger},{ }^{\dagger}$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, 2-1
Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan.
${ }^{\text {TP Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (PRESTO), Japan Science and }}$ Technology Agency, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author
*saeki@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp (A.S.)

This supporting information presents the following contents.

Analysis of Quenching
Analysis of TPA quantum yield
Supporting Tables S1-S2
Supporting Figures S1-S12

## Analysis of Quenching

The cause (i): the decrease of excitation light power due to the absorption of PtOEP was calculated by the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(c)=\frac{\int_{0}^{L} A \cdot 10^{-(\alpha+c c) x} d x}{\int_{0}^{L} A \cdot 10^{-\alpha x} d x} \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$ is the sensitivity factor associated with the photoluminescence experimental setup including the optical geometry and electric circuit, which is regarded as a constant. The $L, \alpha, \varepsilon$, and $c$ are the optical path of a quartz cell ( 1 cm in the present case), the linear absorption coefficient of PQD colloidal solution at the excitation wavelength ( $450 \mathrm{~nm}, 3.40 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and the extinction coefficient of PtOEP at $450 \mathrm{~nm}\left(2.16 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$, see Figure S5), and the concentration of PtOEP (M), respectively. Accordingly, eq S1 gives the fraction of excitation light intensity absorbed by PQD without the contribution from PtOEP. This equation is analytically solved as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(c)=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\varepsilon c} \cdot \frac{1-10^{-(\alpha+\varepsilon c) L}}{1-10^{-\alpha L}} . \tag{S2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although the PtOEP absorption is less than $1 \%$ compared to that of PQD at $c=0.02 \mathrm{mM}$, the attenuation effect is not negligible at $c=0.5 \mathrm{mM}(24 \%)$. The intensity of PQD photoluminescence in Figure 3a was divided by eq S2 and shown as the compensated SV plot in Figure 3b.

The cause (iii): trivial energy transfer (reabsorption of PtOEP) was calculated by the simple Beer-Lambert law as follows.
$h(c)=10^{-\varepsilon^{\prime} c L / 2}$
The $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is the extinction coefficient of PtOEP at the photoluminescence maximum of PQD $(514 \mathrm{~nm})$ and given by $5.09 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Figure S5). The denominator of 2 for $L$ means that the excitation light is exposed at the center of the cubic cell $\left(1 \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ and the photoluminescence is monitored in the perpendicular direction, which leads to the average optical path length of 0.5 cm from the excitation to the escape from the cell.

## Analysis of TPA quantum yield

Based on the wavelength $(\lambda=800 \mathrm{~nm})$, the incident power $(P=0.7 \mathrm{~W})$, the radius $(R=$ $0.1 \mathrm{~cm})$, the repetition rate $(f=1 \mathrm{kHz})$, and the pulse width $(\Delta t=130 \mathrm{fs})$ of the fs laser, the incident photon density $\left(I_{0}\right)$ is calculated to be $1.11 \times 10^{29}$ photon $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ through
$I_{0}=\frac{P}{f \cdot \frac{h c}{\lambda} \cdot \pi R^{2} \cdot \Delta t},(\mathrm{~S} 4)$
where $h$ and $c$ are the Planck constant and the velocity of light, respectively. A Gaussianshaped laser profile is simplified as a rectangular shape.

The concentration of $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3}$ after centrifuge ( NCsPbBr 3$)$ ) was evaluated by measuring the change of the solution weights before and after centrifuge, affording $N_{\text {CsPbBr3 }}=(2.37 \pm 0.14) \times$ $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ (in a Pb base unit, averaged over eight time measurements). Assuming the size of cubic shaped PQD $\left(L_{\mathrm{PQD}}=8 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ and the averaged $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Pb}$ distance $\left(d_{\mathrm{Pb}}=(0.8207+0.8255) / 4=\right.$ $0.412 \mathrm{~nm})$, the concentration of $\mathrm{PQD}\left(N_{\mathrm{PQD}}\right)$ was calculated to be $(0.323 \pm 0.020) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ (in a PQD unit) through
$N_{\mathrm{PQD}}=\frac{N_{\mathrm{CPbBr} 3}}{\left(\frac{L_{\mathrm{PQD}}}{d_{\mathrm{PQD}}}\right)^{3}}$.
The rate equation of TPA in the absence of the first-order absorption process ( $\alpha=0$ for $h v$ $<E_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) is given by
$\frac{d I}{d z}=-\beta I^{2}$,
where $I, \beta$, and $z$ are the photon density (photon $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ ), the TPA coefficient ( $\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{photon}^{-1}$ ), and the distance from the front of a quartz cell (cm), respectively. The $\beta$ is given by $10^{-3} N_{\mathrm{A}} N_{\mathrm{PQD}}$ $\sigma_{\text {TPA }}$, where the $N_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the Avogadro's number and the $\sigma_{\text {TPA }}$ is the TPA cross section. Eq. (S6) is analytically solved, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I(z)}{I_{0}}=\frac{1}{\beta I_{0} z+1} . \tag{S7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using $\sigma_{\text {TPA }}=\sim 1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{GM}\left(=\sim 1 \times 10^{-44} \mathrm{~cm}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{\text {photon }}{ }^{-1}\right)$ at $800 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~W}$. Chen et al. Nat. Comтun. 2017, 8,15198 ) and optical length ( $z=L=1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), the TPA quantum yield ( $\varphi_{\text {TPA }}$ ) in the optimal solution at the given excitation power was calculated to be 0.30 via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathrm{TPA}} \equiv \frac{\Delta I}{2 I_{0}}=\frac{I(z)-I_{0}}{2 I_{0}}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\beta I_{0} z}{\beta I_{0} z+1} . \tag{S8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominator 2 is arisen from the two photon process (the mathematical maximum of $\varphi$ TPA is 0.5$)$. The $\Delta I /\left(2 I_{0}\right)$ was experimentally measured to be 0.21 , which is in reasonable agreement with the calculated $\varphi_{\text {TPA }}$. Note that emission quantum yield of PQD is not included in the $\varphi_{\text {TPA }}$.

## Supporting Table

Table S1. Tri-exponential analysis of picosecond lifetime spectroscopy of PQD with PtOEP in degassed toluene $\left(\lambda_{e x}=377 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| PtOEP $/ \mathrm{mM}$ | $\tau_{1}($ ratio $) / \mathrm{ns}$ | $\tau_{2}($ ratio $) / \mathrm{ns}$ | $\tau_{3}($ ratio $) / \mathrm{ns}$ | $\tau_{\text {ave }} / \mathrm{ns}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $28.3(32.9 \%)$ | $6.64(29.4 \%)$ | $225(37.7 \%)$ | 96.1 | 4.73 |
| 0.01 | $27.4(34.3 \%)$ | $6.43(29.1 \%)$ | $217(36.5 \%)$ | 90.4 | 4.08 |
| 0.02 | $26.1(34.5 \%)$ | $6.16(30.4 \%)$ | $202(35.1 \%)$ | 81.9 | 3.60 |
| 0.05 | $26.3(34.7 \%)$ | $5.98(32.0 \%)$ | $199(33.4 \%)$ | 77.5 | 3.28 |
| 0.1 | $25.2(34.8 \%)$ | $5.82(31.9 \%)$ | $193(33.3 \%)$ | 74.8 | 3.12 |
| 0.2 | $24.3(35.0 \%)$ | $5.43(32.8 \%)$ | $187(32.2 \%)$ | 70.7 | 2.86 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} B_{1} \exp \left(-t / \tau_{1}\right)+B_{2} \exp \left(-t / \tau_{2}\right)+B_{3} \exp \left(-t / \tau_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \tau_{\text {ave }}=\left(B_{1} \cdot \tau_{1}+B_{2} \cdot \tau_{2}+B_{3} \cdot \tau_{3}\right) /\left(B_{1}+B_{2}+B_{3}\right)$

Table S2. Laser intensity ( $I_{0}$ ) dependence of picosecond lifetime spectroscopy of PQD in degassed toluene ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=377 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $I_{0} / \mathrm{nJ} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ | $\tau_{1}($ ratio $) / \mathrm{ns}$ | $\tau_{2}($ ratio $) / \mathrm{ns}$ | $\tau_{3}($ ratio $) / \mathrm{ns}$ | $\tau_{\text {ave }} / \mathrm{ns}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.18 | $70.4(32.1 \%)$ | $16.5(50.7 \%)$ | $343(17.2 \%)$ | 90.1 | 1.31 |
| 2.05 | $74.7(31.0 \%)$ | $17.7(52.3 \%)$ | $365(16.7 \%)$ | 93.4 | 1.56 |
| 1.55 | $75.6(31.0 \%)$ | $18.0(52.5 \%)$ | $367(16.5 \%)$ | 93.6 | 1.68 |
| 1.00 | $74.8(30.8 \%)$ | $18.0(52.6 \%)$ | $363(16.6 \%)$ | 92.8 | 1.67 |
| 0.32 | $76.6(30.5 \%)$ | $18.6(53.2 \%)$ | $372(16.3 \%)$ | 93.8 | 1.74 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} B_{1} \exp \left(-t / \tau_{1}\right)+B_{2} \exp \left(-t / \tau_{2}\right)+B_{3} \exp \left(-t / \tau_{3}\right)$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \tau_{\text {ave }}=\left(B_{1} \cdot \tau_{1}+B_{2} \cdot \tau_{2}+B_{3} \cdot \tau_{3}\right) /\left(B_{1}+B_{2}+B_{3}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure S1. Normalized photoabsorption spectra of PtOEP (red line) and PdOEP (brown line) in toluene. The green dotted line is the photoluminescence spectrum of $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3} \mathrm{PQDs}$ in toluene ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=340 \mathrm{~nm}$ ).


Figure S2. PYS spectra of (a) $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3} \mathrm{PQDs}$, (b) PtOEP, and (c) DPA in solid states. The lower panel shows the absorption spectra of (d) PQDs, (e) PtOEP, and (f) DPA in toluene to evaluate the bandgap. The black lines are interpolated lines, the cross point of which is the bandgap.

Tri-exponential analysis
$f(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} B_{i} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{i}}\right)$

| $\tau_{1}(s)$ | $1.99 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\tau_{2}(s)$ | $6.68 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| $\tau_{3}(s)$ | $2.72 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Averaged $(s)$ | $6.55 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| $B 1$ (\%) | 0.5 |
| $B 2(\%)$ | 99.9 |
| $B 3(\%)$ | -0.5 |
| $\chi^{2}$ | 0.882 |

Figure S3. Picosecond lifetime spectroscopy of $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DPA in toluene ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=377 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The green, red, and black lines are the experimental decays, the prompt (instrumental response function: IRF), and the analytical fit of tri-exponential function. The analysis result is shown in the table.


Figure S4. (a) Transient spectra from 0 (blue) to $90 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (red) and (b) kinetics at 650 nm of 10 mM PtOEP in toluene. The nanosecond pulse at $532 \mathrm{~nm}\left(0.5 \mathrm{~mJ} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ was used as the excitation. The solid line in (b) is the least-mean-square fit of a single exponential function ( $\tau$ $=18.8 \mu \mathrm{~s})$ that corresponds to the lifetime of PtOEP triplet excited state $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$.


Figure S5. Steady-state photoabsorption spectrum of PtOEP in toluene $(4.58 \mu \mathrm{M})$.


Figure S6. Normalized photoluminescence spectrum of PQD in toluene (green line), photoabsorption spectrum of PtOEP in toluene (red line), and the overlap of these spectra (yellow line and yellow-colored area). The calculated overlap integral $J$ is $2.82 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} \mathrm{~nm}^{4}$.


Figure S7. Steady-state photoluminescence peak intensities at 646 nm (attributed to $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ of PtOEP) observed in a toluene solution of PQD with $\operatorname{PtOEP}(0-0.5 \mathrm{mM}$, red triangles) excited at 450 nm . The black solid line is the theoretical fit of $B\left(1-(g(c) / h(c))^{-1}\right) \exp \left(-K_{\mathrm{CQ}} c\right)$, where $B$ is the scaling factor, $g(c)$ is the dynamic and static quenching function determined from the quenching of PQD (see eq 1 in the main text), $h(c)$ is the reabsorption function (see eq S3 in Supporting Information), $c$ is the concentration of PtOEP, and $K_{\mathrm{CQ}}$ is the rate constant of concentration quenching. In the fitting, $B$ and d $K_{\mathrm{CQ}}$ are varied to decrease the residual.


Figure S8. (a) Picosecond lifetime spectroscopies of PQD in toluene ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=377 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The excitation intensity was changed from 0.32 (blue) to $3.18 \mathrm{~nJ} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ (orange) using UVcompatible neutral density filters. The black solid line is the tri-exponential fit of the 3.18 nJ $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ decay. The averaged lifetimes are summarized in (b).


Figure S9. (a) Photoluminescence spectra of 0.1 mM PtOEP and $10-50 \mathrm{mM}$ DPA in toluene ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=532 \mathrm{~nm}$, a nanosecond laser, $0.5 \mathrm{~mJ} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ ). (b) Photoluminescence intensities at 450 nm (upper panel, attributed to DPA $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ) and 646 nm (lower panel, attributed to PtOEP $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ ). The solid line is an eye-guide.


Figure 10. Photoluminescence spectra of (a) PQD and (b) PQD with 0.1 mM PtOEP and 10 mM DPA in toluene ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=800 \mathrm{~nm}$, a femtosecond laser without focus) with changing the excitation intensity ( $I_{\mathrm{ex}}$ ) from 0.11 (blue) to $3.6 \mathrm{~mJ} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ (red). (c) Logarithmic plots of photoluminescence intensities at 514 nm vs $I_{\text {ex. }}$. The solid lines are the least-mean-square fit of $B\left(I_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)^{\alpha}$, where $B$ and $\alpha$ are the scaling factor and the power factor, respectively. The $\alpha$ corresponds to the slope of this plot.


Figure S11. Photoluminescence spectra of PQD with 0.3 mM PtOEP in toluene ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=800 \mathrm{~nm}$, a focused femtosecond laser) with changing the excitation intensity ( $I_{\mathrm{ex}}$ ).


Figure S12. Experimental geometry of TTA-TPA process in PQD + PtOEP + DPA in toluene at different optical depth using a fused femtosecond laser pulse at 800 nm (the laser diameter is 2 mm and the quartz cell size is $1 \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ). $L_{\mathrm{op}}$ is the optical path length from the side of the quartz cell in the direction of a detector. (b) Observed photoluminescence spectra at different $L_{\text {op }}$ (blue: 0 mm to orange: 6 mm ). The inset shows the intensity of the peak at $\sim 485$ nm .

