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## Synthetic procedures and spectral data for all new compounds

The details of the instrumentation, general synthetic methods, and analytical techniques are as reported previously ${ }^{1}$.

Compound 6a: A solution of diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD; $0.104 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added dropwise to a hot $\left(65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $7(0.352 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine ( $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$; $0.128 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ). The reaction was kept at the specified temperature for 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled down and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography in PE-EE $10-30 \%$ gradient to give $0.316 \mathrm{~g}(0.375 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%)$ of the target product as foam.

The reaction performed with $3.29 \mathrm{~g}(3.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $7 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{THF}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $2.88 \mathrm{~g}(3.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%)$ of the target compound.

Spectral data were in agreement with the reported previously ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ and $4.59(\mathrm{AB}$ spectrum, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ (ddd, $J=6.7,4.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.87 (dd, $J=10.7,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.88-0.77(21 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.9,150,130.3,129.6,113.4,99.8,99.6,97.4,80.1,71.4,70.1,68.9$, 63.6, 62.9, 62.1, 55.3, 48.2, 48.1, 17.8, 17.7, 17.6, 11.8.
$[a] \mathrm{D}-28.5^{\circ}$ с $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$.
HRMS-TOF (+): m/z = 843.1421, expected $843.1399[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

Compound 8: Aqueous trifluoroacetic acid 9:1 v/v (1 mL) was added to a solution of $6(0.426 \mathrm{~g}, 0.59$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at RT . The reaction was kept for 90 min . The reaction was diluted with toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and toluene and
concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography in [PE-DCM 4:1]-EA 15 $\rightarrow 40 \%$ to give $0.31 \mathrm{~g}(0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%)$ of the target product as white-off foam.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ (dd, $J=10.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.98 (ddd, $J=9.4,7.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.88 (dd, $J=10.6,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00$ (dd, $J=6.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.09,124.29,99.92,99.48,81.79,68.21,64.01,63.03,61.52,60.69$, 48.15, 17.93, 17.58, 11.87. $[\alpha] \mathrm{D}=56.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1.0 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$

HRMS-TOF (+): m/z = 597.1849, expected 597.1857 [M+H]+

Compound 9: Diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA; $0.338 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diazobicycloundecene (DBU; $0.235 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added in succession to a solution of $\mathbf{8}(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at RT . The reaction was kept for 30 min at RT . The reaction was placed in a preheated $\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oil bath and kept for 40 min . The reaction was cooled, diluted with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ and concentrated. The brownish residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, absorbed on silica and purified by flash column chromatography in PE-EA $5 \rightarrow 30 \%$ to give $0.304 \mathrm{~g}(0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%)$ of the target product as yellow syrup.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-$ 3.81 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.27 (s, 3H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 1.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.41,143.22,123.56,99.60,82.68,70.74,64.19,62.10,59.68,57.25$, 48.40, 17.95, 17.45, 11.91.
$[\alpha] \mathrm{D}=66.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1.0 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$
HRMS-TOF ( + ): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=622.1931$, expected $622.1922[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

Compound 5: Triphenylphosphine ( $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} ; 0.141 \mathrm{~g}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $9(0.303 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.49 mmol ) in THF-water 20:1 ( 15 mL ) at RT. The reaction was kept for 30 min at RT . The reaction was placed into preheated $\left(65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oil bath and kept for 3 h . The reaction was cooled down, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA; $0.348 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetic anhydride ( $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added in succession and the reaction was stirred overnight at $R T$. The reaction was quenched with MeOH , stirred for 20 min and concentrated. The residue was partitioned between EA and 1 M HCl and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed successively with water, and a mixture of brine and concentrated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous layers were back extracted with EA. The combined organic layer was dried and concentrated to give purplish oil. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography in [PE-DCM 4:1]-Me2CO $10 \rightarrow 40 \%$ to give 0.385 g of a mixture of the target product and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ as white-off foam. The residue was further purified by RP-C18 flash column chromatography in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{MeOH} 30 \rightarrow 95 \%$ gradient to give $0.243 \mathrm{~g}(0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ over two steps) of the target product as white-off foam.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), $1.20-1.04$ (m, 21H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 170.57, 146.14, 123.15, 99.42, 99.27, 81.19, 67.97, 65.46, 62.45, 59.54, 49.07, 48.14, 47.82, 23.29, 17.98, 17.93, 17.69, 17.42, 11.94. $[\alpha] \mathrm{D}=87.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1.0 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$

HRMS-TOF (+): m/z = 622.2117, expected $638.2122[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

Compound 4: A solution of 5 ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N$-Boc-propynyl amine ( $0.135 \mathrm{~g}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed by freezing, evacuating and filling with argon three times. Triethylamine (Et $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}$; $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, cuprous iodide (Cul; $0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) 4(0.0035 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ were then added. The reaction was placed in a preheated oil bath $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After approximately one
minute the heterogeneous yellowish coloured mixture turned into a colourless solution, which then started to develop a progressively deepening yellowish hue. The reaction was kept for 16 h at the specified temperature (the final colour was brown), cooled down and concentrated in vacuum. The brown residue was co-evaporated with toluene and purified by flash column chromatography in [PEDCM 3:2]- $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO} 15 \rightarrow 50 \%$ to give 0.194 g of the contaminated target product as amber amorphous compound. This material was further purified by RP C18 flash column chromatography to give 0.108 g ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ ) of the target compound as yellowish foam.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.19$ (broad dd, 1H), 4.13 (dd, $J=11.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.52$, $155.49,143.49,122.76,122.11,99.50,86.50,79.57,71.01,64.56,61.56,59.17,48.11,47.89,47.64$, 30.99, 28.44, 23.52, 17.96, 17.87, 17.71, 17.43, 11.94.

HRMS-TOF $(+): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=665.3937$ expected $665.3946[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

Compound 10: A solution of $4(0.083 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under slight overpressure (20 psi) of hydrogen gas in the presence of Pd-10\%/C catalyst ( 0.02 g ) at RT for 2 h . The catalyst was filtered off over a pad of Celite with the aid of $\mathrm{MeOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the filtrate was concentrated to give $0.078 \mathrm{~g}(0.117 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%)$ of the target product as hard foam. The product was found to be more than $95 \%$ pure by NMR and was used in the next step as such.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08$ (dd, $J=9.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23$ (s, 3H), $3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.30,155.94,143.40,141.50,113.65,99.19,78.89,68.19,65.62$, $62.63,59.11,49.28,48.09,47.73,40.19,29.47,28.43,25.66,23.37,17.99,17.93,17.66,17.48,11.95$.

HRMS-TOF $(+): m / z=669.4264$ expected $669.4259[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

Compound 2: To a solid $10(0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol})$ trifluoroethanol (TFE) ( 2 mL ) and $12 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(0.04$ mL ) were added to form a faintly tan coloured solution. The reaction was placed into a preheated oil bath $\left(50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and kept for 2 h . The reaction was cooled down, diluted with toluene ( 2 mL ) and concentrated. The residue was successively co-evaporated with toluene ( 2 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and briefly dried in vacuum. The residue was dissolved in DMF ( 1 mL ) N-methyl morpholine (NMM; 0.05 mL ) was added followed by solid FITC $(0.023 \mathrm{~g})$ and the reaction was kept at RT for 30 min . More FITC ( 0.01 g ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 0.1 mL ) were added; after an additional 20 min , LCMS confirmed disappearance of the starting material. The reaction mixture was diluted with $95 \%$ water$\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, loaded onto RP C 18 column and eluted with a water- MeOH gradient $5-95 \%$. The appropriate fractions were pooled and concentrated to give the crude target product. This was repurified by HPLC chromatography using Waters Xselect CSH $10 \times 150$ column with gradient 5 to $95 \%$ buffer B (MeCN, $0.1 \%$ formic acid) in buffer A (water, $0.1 \%$ formic acid). Appropriate fractions were pooled and freeze dried to give $0.038 \mathrm{~g}(0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%)$ of the target compound as fluffy orange material.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 10.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40-8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.56-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped with DMSO), $1.97-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 180.81,169.72,169.05,160.39,152.48,143.90,141.95,129.57,124.57$, $117.09,113.96,113.32,110.37,102.70,84.43,73.23,68.99,61.61,60.55,49.89,44.05,28.60,25.39$, 23.46 .

HRMS-TOF (+): m/z = 688.2073 expected $688.2077[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

## Cloning and Protein Purification

CpOGA (31-618) was purified as described previously ${ }^{2}$. hOGA (60-916), codon optimized for bacterial protein expression was cloned with an N -terminal $6 \times$ His tag by a previously described restriction-free cloning method ${ }^{3,4}$ into the pGEX6P1 (GE healthcare) backbone, which served to remove the GST tag encoded by the vector. The resulting construct was transformed into BL21(DE3)-Gold (Agilent) cells. Cells were grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Luria-Bertani medium containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Ampicillin (LB-Amp) and used at $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ to inoculate 6 L of fresh LB- Amp. Cells were grown to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of $0.6-0.8$, transferred to $16{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and induced with $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of IPTG and harvested after 16 h by centrifugation for 30 min at $3500 \mathrm{rpm}\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Cell pellets were resuspended in $10-20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~L}^{-10}$ of 50 mM Tris, and 250 mM NaCl (lysis buffer) at pH 7.5 supplemented with protease inhibitors ( 1 mM benzamidine, 0.2 mM PMSF and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ leupeptin), DNAse and lysozyme. Cells were lysed using a continuous flow cell disrupter (Avestin, 3 passes at 20 kpsi ) and the lysate was cleared by centrifugation ( $30 \mathrm{~min}, 15,000 \mathrm{rpm}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Supernatants were collected and loaded on to 5 mL of IMAC sepharose (GE Healthcare) charged with $\mathrm{NiSO}_{4}$ and pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. Loaded resin was washed with 500 mL of lysis buffer containing 30 mM imidazole. Elution of the protein was achieved using 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}(1 \times$ TBS $)$ supplemented with 200 mM imidazole. Eluates were dialysed into $1 \times$ TBS following which cleavage of the $6 \times$ His tag was performed using $6 \times$ His tagged PreScission ${ }^{T M}$ protease at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Negative IMAC was performed to remove the protease and the protein dialysed into 25 mM Tris pH 7.5 for anion exchange chromatography (AIEX). Protein was loaded onto a 5 mL Hi-Trap QFF column (GE Healthcare) and elution performed over a linear gradient of NaCl concentrations peaking at 500 mM at 25 column volumes of buffer (Buffer A - 25 mM Tris pH 7.5, Buffer B- Buffer A supplemented with 500 mM NaCl . Fractions containing relatively pure protein were pooled and subjected size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200, 26/60 column and $1 \times$ TBS buffer. Fractions containing pure protein were pooled and concentrated using spin concentrators and purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie staining. Protein was snap frozen with a final concentration of $20 \%$ glycerol until use.

## Surface Plasmon Resonance

CpOGA was chemically biotinylated using the EZ-Link NHS-PEG4-Biotin kit (Thermo) according to the manufacturer's instructions, except a 1:1 molar ratio of biotinylation reagent to protein was used. Protein was captured on a neutravidin surface prepared on high capacity amine sensor chip of a Mass-1 instrument (Sierra Sensors) at densities $\sim 3,600-3,900 \mathrm{RU}$. All experiments were performed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ligands were injected over captured protein at flow rate $30 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ in running buffer ( $1 \times$ TBS buffer pH 7.5 containing $0.05 \%$ Tween20), with each compound injected in duplicates in concentration series adjusted specifically around their affinities. Association was measured for 60 s and dissociation for 120 s. All data were double referenced for blank injections of buffer and biotin-blocked Streptavidin surface. Analyser 2 (Sierra Sensors) and Scrubber 2 (BioLogic Software) were used to process and analyse the data.

## Binding affinity of GBF to the hexosaminidases HexA/B

$\beta$ - N -acetylglucosaminidase isolated from bovine kidneys (HexA/B) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (A2415-5UN). Reactions were carried out on black, 384-well plates (PerkinElmer) and solutions contained 1 nM GIcNAcstatin and varying concentrations of HexA/B in 0.1 M Tris- HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \%$ DMSO in a total volume $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Polarization was measured on Pherastar FS plate reader (BMG Labtech) at excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 nm and 520 nm , respectively. Binding affinities were determined by non-linear regression curve fitting (One site - specific binding).


Binding affinity of GlcNAcstatin G and Thiamet G to CpOGA by FP and SPR. Dose-response curves from FP assay showing the displacement from CpOGA of a fixed concentration of fluorescent probe by increasing concentrations of (a) GIcNAcstatin G or (b) Thiamet G. Highest amount of probe bound to enzymes in the absence of inhibitors was set as $100 \%$. Data points were fitted to a four-parameter equation for dose-dependent inhibition using Prism (GraphPad). Experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars represent standard error of the mean. (c) SPR sensorgram for the binding of GIcNAcstatin $G$ to CpOGA. GIcNAcstatin $G$ was injected in duplicates at various concentrations (0.0046-3.33 uM, 0.0046$3.3 \mathrm{uM}, 0.9-666 \mathrm{uM}$ and $0.9-666 \mathrm{uM}$ ). (d) SPR sensorgram for the binding of Thiamet G to CpOGA . Thiamet G was injected in duplicates at various concentrations ( $0.004-1 \mathrm{uM}, 0.04-10 \mathrm{uM}, 8.2-2000 \mathrm{uM}$ and 8.2-2000 uM respectively). RU, relative units.


Binding affinity of Thiamet G to hOGA. Dose-response curves from FP assay showing the displacement from hOGA of a fixed concentration of fluorescent probe ( 50 nM ) by increasing concentrations of Thiamet G . Highest amount of probe bound to enzymes in the absence of inhibitors was set as $100 \%$. Data points were fitted to a four-parameter equation for dose-dependent inhibition using Prism (GraphPad). Experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars represent standard error of the mean.

Summary of measured $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values and calculated $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values for known OGA inhibitors. Inhibition constants were calculated using the equation reported by Nikolovska-Coleska et al. ${ }^{5}$. All values are given in nM .

| CpOGA | GlcNAcstatin B | GlcNAcstatin G | Thiamet G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HillSIope | $-0.9 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.6 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.45 \pm 0.1$ |
| IC50 | 14.5 | 42.9 | 62.6 |
| 95\% CI (profile likelihood) for IC50 | 10.6 to 20.2 | 27.4 to 65.8 | 40.6 to 95.9 |
| $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{i}}$ calculated | 6.6 | 16.3 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |
| hOGA | GIcNAcstatin B | GIcNAcstatin G | Thiamet G |
| HillSIope | $-0.97 \pm 0.2$ | $-0.97 \pm 0.2$ | $-2.3 \pm 0.4$ |
| IC50 | 63.2 | 57.8 | 150.1 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI (profile likelihood) for IC50 | 43 to 93.2 | 36.2 to 94.9 | 130.7 to 173.5 |
| $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{i}}$ calculated | 86.8 | 81.5 | 153.1 |



High-throughput screen of the Maybridge Ro3 1000 fragment library. Scatter plot showing the reduction of FP in the presence of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ fragment at a fixed concentration of labeled probe and CpOGA. Scatter plot was generated using Prism (GraphPad). The median is shown as a blue line and the $>40 \%$ reduction in FP cut-off is shown as a red line.

Summary of measured $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values and calculated apparent $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values for binders identified from a high-throughput screen of the Maybridge Ro3 1000 library. A 10 M fictive concentration was introduced to simulate $100 \%$ displacement needed for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ calculation. Inhibition constants were calculated using the equation reported by Nikolovska-Coleska et al. ${ }^{5}$. N.d. $=$ not determinable.

| CpOGA | HillSlope | $\mathrm{IC}_{50} / \mathrm{M}$ | app. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ calculated $/ \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 95\% CI (profile likelihood) / $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | $\begin{gathered} \sim-11.35 \\ \pm 128342 \end{gathered}$ | ~ 1.0E-03 | n.d. | (Very wide) |
| F2 | -1.2 $\pm 0.2$ | 2.0E-05 | 9.3 | -4.9 to -4.5 |
| F3 | $-2.7 \pm 0.6$ | 1.7E-04 | 61 | -3.9 to -3.7 |
| F4 | $1.0 \pm 0.1$ | 1.1E-04 | 42 | -4.0 to -3.9 |
| F5 | $\begin{gathered} \sim-7 \pm \\ 2487 \end{gathered}$ | $\sim 1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | n.d. | (Very wide) |
| F6 | $-0.8 \pm 0.1$ | 1.7E-04 | 64 | -3.9 to -3.7 |
| F7 | $-0.7 \pm 0.1$ | 1.0E-04 | 36 | -4.1 to -3.9 |
| F8 <br> (5TFD) | $-0.7 \pm 0.1$ | 3.5E-04 | 146 | $-3.7 \text { to }-3.2$ |
| F9 | $-1.1 \pm 0.2$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 14 | -4.6 to -4.3 |
| F10 | $-0.4 \pm 0.1$ | 4.5E-02 | n.d. | -2.3 to -0.6 |
| F11 | $-1.3 \pm 0.3$ | 9.7E-05 | 33.4 | -4.2 to -3.8 |
| F12 | $-1.4 \pm 0.4$ | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | n.d | -3.1 to -2.6 |
| F13 | $-0.5 \pm 0.1$ | 1.4E-02 | n.d. | -2.5 to -1.1 |
| F14 | $-0.2 \pm 0.1$ | 2.4E-02 | n.d. | -2.4 to -0.7 |
| F15 | $-0.3 \pm 0.1$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | n.d. | -2.3 to -0.9 |



Binding affinity of GlcNAcstatin BF to HexA/B. FP assay showing the binding of GlcNAcstatin BF to HexA/B Binding was measured by incubating a fixed concentration of labeled probe with varying concentrations of enzyme. Data points were fitted to a saturation binding equation using Prism (GraphPad). Experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars represent standard error of the mean.

X-ray diffraction data collection and structure refinement statistics. Values for the highest resolution shell in parenthesis.

|  | CpOGA + 5TFD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Data collection |  |
| Beamline, wavelength | ID30-A3, 0.9677 Å |
| Space group | P61 |
| Cell dimensions ( $\AA$, ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} a=b=117.79, \\ c=147.23, \square \alpha=\beta=90, \\ \gamma=120 \end{gathered}$ |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 50-2.60(2.72- \\ 2.60) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $R_{\text {merge }}$ | 0.24 (2.49) |
| $1 / \sigma$ / | 7.9 (1.0) |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}$ | 0.99 (0.705) |
| $R_{\text {meas }}$ | 0.25 (2.6) |
| $R_{\text {pim }}$ | 0.088 (0.923) |
| Completeness (\%) | 99.1 (99.5) |
| Redundancy | 7.9 (8.2) |
| Refinement |  |
| Resolution (A) | 50-2.6 |
| No. total reflections | 279056 |
| No. unique reflections | 35270 |
| $R_{\text {work, }} R_{\text {free }}$ | 0.17 / 0.22 |
| No. atoms |  |
| Protein | 4587 |
| Ligand | 11 |
| Water | 71 |
| $B$-factor average |  |
| Protein | 66.34 |
| Ligand | 122.33 |
| R.m.s. deviations |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.0153 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.778 |
|  |  |
| PDB ID | 50XD |
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COMPOUND CHARACTERIZATION CHECKLIST


TO DISPLAY INSTRUCTIONS: Double-click HERE (Formula Bar must be visible: Select View>Formula Bar) TO CLOSE: Enter/Return
 DATA in SI*

Compound, structure, or table-entry number

| mpound, ucture, or ble-entry number |  |  |  | $e^{p t}$ |  | $5$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2* | X |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| 4* | X |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X |  |
| 5* | X |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| 6a* |  | X | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| 8* | X |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| 9* | X |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| 10* | X |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X |  |



Preliminary Full wwPDB X-ray Structure Validation Report (i)

Deposition ID : D_1200006533
PDB ID : (not yet assigned)

## This is a Preliminary Full wwPDB X-ray Structure Validation Report.

This report is produced by the wwPDB Deposition System during initial deposition but before annotation of the structure.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
http://wwpdb.org/validation/2016/XrayValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:


## 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: X-RAY DIFFRACTION

The reported resolution of this entry is $2.60 \AA$.
Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.


The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the electron density. The red, orange, yellow and green segments on the lower bar indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for $>=3,2,1$ and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $<=5 \%$ The upper red bar (where present) indicates the fraction of residues that have poor fit to the electron density. The numeric value is given above the bar.

| Mol | Chain | Length |  | Quality of chain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 579 |  |  |
| $20 \%$ | $10 \%$ |  |  |  |

## 2 Entry composition (i)

There are 5 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 4690 atoms, of which 0 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

In the tables below, the ZeroOcc column contains the number of atoms modelled with zero occupancy, the AltConf column contains the number of residues with at least one atom in alternate conformation and the Trace column contains the number of residues modelled with at most 2 atoms.

- Molecule 1 is a protein.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 579 | Total C N O S <br> 4580 2879 752 932 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

- Molecule 2 is SERINE (three-letter code: SER) (formula: unknown).

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A | 1 | Total   <br> 6 C N <br> 3 O 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

- Molecule 3 is CADMIUM ION (three-letter code: CD) (formula: unknown).

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | A | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Total } & \text { Cd } \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | $0$ | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Total } & \text { Cd } \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Total } & \mathrm{Cd} \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Total } & \mathrm{Cd} \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A |  | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Total } & \mathrm{Cd} \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | $1$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Total } & \mathrm{Cd} \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | tinued on | ext page... |
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| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | A | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Total } & \mathrm{Cd} \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Total } & \mathrm{Cd} \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 1 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Total } & \mathrm{Cd} \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | 0 |  |
| 3 | A | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | B | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | 0 |  |
| 3 | B | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | B | 1 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Total } & \mathrm{Cd} \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | B | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | $0$ | 0 |
| 3 | B | 1 | Total Cd <br> 1 1 | $0$ | 0 |

- Molecule 4 is 5,6-DIHYDRO-BENZO[H]CINNOLIN-3-YLAMINE (three-letter code: DRG) (formula: unknown).

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | X | 1 | Total | C | F | N | 0 |

- Molecule 5 is water.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | C | 71 | Total  <br> 71 O <br> 71  | 0 | 0 |

## 3 Residue-property plots (i)

These plots are drawn for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic for a chain summarises the proportions of the various outlier classes displayed in the second graphic. The second graphic shows the sequence view annotated by issues in geometry and electron density. Residues are color-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green $=0$, yellow $=1$, orange $=2$ and red $=3$ or more. A red dot above a residue indicates a poor fit to the electron density ( $\mathrm{RSRZ}>2$ ). Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outlier are shown as a green connector. Residues present in the sample, but not in the model, are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1:


GLOBAL-STATISTICS INFOmissingINFO

## 4 Model quality (i)

### 4.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: DRG, CD

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z|>5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond/lengths (or angles).

| Mol | Chain | Bond lengths |  | Bond angles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>5$ | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>5$ |
| 1 | A | 0.76 | $1 / 4675(0.0 \%)$ | 0.88 | $1 / 6348(0.0 \%)$ |

All (1) bond length outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $(\AA)$ | Ideal $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 131 | GLU | CD-OE2 | 5.86 | 1.32 | 1.25 |

All (1) bond angle outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Ideal $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 495 | ARG | NE-CZ-NH2 | -5.58 | 117.51 | 120.30 |

There are no chirality outliers.
There are no planarity outliers.

### 4.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non- H and H (model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in the chain respectively. The $H$ (added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes within the asymmetric unit, whereas Symm-Clashes lists symmetry related clashes.

| Mol | Chain | Non-H | $\mathbf{H}$ (model) | $\mathbf{H}$ (added) | Clashes | Symm-Clashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 4580 | 0 | 4366 | 15 | 0 |
| 2 | A | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | A | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | B | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | X | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | C | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| Mol | Chain | Non-H | H(model) | H(added) | Clashes | Symm-Clashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | All | 4690 | 0 | 4370 | 16 | 1 |

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 2 .

All (16) close contacts within the same asymmetric unit are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

| Atom-1 | Atom-2 | Interatomic <br> distance $(\AA)$ | Clash <br> overlap $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:X:1:DRG:CAH | 4:X:1:DRG:FAK | 1.56 | 1.41 |
| 1:A:221:PRO:O | 1:A:225:GLU:O | 2.18 | 0.61 |
| 1:A:66:ILE:HG22 | 1:A:102:THR:HB | 1.94 | 0.50 |
| 1:A:85:PHE:CD1 | 1:A:160:LYS:HG2 | 2.49 | 0.48 |
| 1:A:436:LEU:HD11 | 1:A:551:CYS:HB3 | 1.95 | 0.46 |
| 1:A:228:ARG:O | 1:A:229:GLU:CB | 2.63 | 0.46 |
| 1:A:177:PRO:HB3 | 1:A:449:TRP:CE3 | 2.52 | 0.45 |
| 1:A:48:PRO:HB3 | 1:A:446:ASP:HA | 2.01 | 0.43 |
| 1:A:262:ASP:C | 1:A:262:ASP:OD1 | 2.59 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:337:THR:HB | 1:A:368:PRO:HA | 2.02 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:224:ARG:C | 1:A:225:GLU:O | 2.56 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:514:SER:O | 1:A:516:GLU:N | 2.54 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:490:TRP:CE3 | 1:A:491:ALA:HB2 | 2.56 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:133:TYR:CE1 | 1:A:175:ASP:HB3 | 2.55 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:293:ALA:HA | 1:A:329:ILE:O | 2.21 | 0.40 |
| 1:A:433:HIS:HB3 | 1:A:550:GLU:OE1 | 2.21 | 0.40 |

All (1) symmetry-related close contacts are listed below. The label for Atom-2 includes the symmetry operator and encoded unit-cell translations to be applied.

| Atom-1 | Atom-2 | Interatomic <br> distance $(\AA)$ | Clash <br> overlap $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:A:1624:CD:CD | $3: \mathrm{B}: 6: \mathrm{CD}: \mathrm{CD}\left[2 \_565\right]$ | 1.50 | 0.70 |

### 4.3 Torsion angles (i)

### 4.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all X-ray entries followed by that with respect to entries of similar resolution.

The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was
analysed, and the total number of residues.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | Favoured | Allowed | Outliers | Percentiles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $577 / 579(100 \%)$ | $541(94 \%)$ | $28(5 \%)$ | $8(1 \%)$ | 13 |

All (8) Ramachandran outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 515 | LYS |
| 1 | A | 64 | SER |
| 1 | A | 233 | GLU |
| 1 | A | 403 | PHE |
| 1 | A | 578 | GLU |
| 1 | A | 229 | GLU |
| 1 | A | 489 | THR |
| 1 | A | 608 | VAL |

### 4.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all X-ray entries followed by that with respect to entries of similar resolution.

The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | Rotameric | Outliers | Percentiles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $494 / 494(100 \%)$ | $469(95 \%)$ | $25(5 \%)$ | 28 |

All (25) residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 40 | GLN |
| 1 | A | 64 | SER |
| 1 | A | 71 | GLU |
| 1 | A | 172 | ASN |
| 1 | A | 218 | LYS |
| 1 | A | 219 | ASP |
| 1 | A | 236 | MET |
| 1 | A | 237 | GLN |
| 1 | A | 277 | LEU |
| 1 | A | 282 | GLU |
| 1 | A | 302 | LYS |
| 1 | A | 309 | GLN |
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| Mol | Chain | Res | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 326 | LYS |
| 1 | A | 331 | VAL |
| 1 | A | 342 | SER |
| 1 | A | 356 | THR |
| 1 | A | 358 | ASP |
| 1 | A | 362 | GLU |
| 1 | A | 365 | TRP |
| 1 | A | 372 | THR |
| 1 | A | 453 | ASN |
| 1 | A | 489 | THR |
| 1 | A | 492 | LYS |
| 1 | A | 580 | THR |
| 1 | A | 585 | SER |

Some sidechains can be flipped to improve hydrogen bonding and reduce clashes. All (4) such sidechains are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 390 | ASN |
| 1 | A | 442 | HIS |
| 1 | A | 453 | ASN |
| 1 | A | 538 | ASN |

### 4.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 4.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 4.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 4.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 24 ligands modelled in this entry, 22 are modelled with single atom - leaving 2 for Mogul analysis.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds (or angles) for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds (or angles) that are obseryed in the model and the number of bonds (or angles) that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z/score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z|>2$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Bond lengths |  |  | Bond angles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Counts | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>2$ | Counts | RMSZ $/ \#\|Z\|>2$ |  |
| 2 | SER | A | 618 | - | $5, ?, ?$ | 2.13 | $1(20 \%)$ | $1, ?, ?$ | 1.27 | 0 |
| 4 | DRG | X | 1 | - | $7, ?, ?$ | 3.11 | $4(57 \%)$ | $7, ?, ?$ | 1.51 | $1(14 \%)$ |

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Chirals | Torsions | Rings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | SER | A | 618 | - | - | $0 / 2 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |
| 4 | DRG | X | 1 | - | - | $0 / 6 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |

All (5) bond length outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $(\AA)$ | Ideal $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | X | 1 | DRG | FAI-CAH | 2.62 | 1.43 | 1.32 |
| 4 | X | 1 | DRG | CAC-NAB | 2.98 | 1.52 | 1.46 |
| 2 | A | 618 | SER | CA-C | 4.16 | 1.55 | 1.50 |
| 4 | X | 1 | DRG | CAA-CAG | 4.38 | 1.40 | 1.33 |
| 4 | X | 1 | DRG | FAK-CAH | 5.40 | 1.56 | 1.32 |

All (1) bond angle outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Ideal $\left({ }^{o}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | X | 1 | DRG | CAA-CAG-CAF | -2.56 | 128.27 | 131.87 |

There are no chirality outliers.
There are no torsion outliers.
There are no ring outliers.
1 monomer is involved in 1 short contact:

### 4.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such residues in this entry.

### 4.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 5 Fit of model and data (i)

### 5.1 Protein, DNA and RNA chains (i)

In the following table, the column labelled ' $\#$ RSRZ $>2$ ' contains the number (and percentage) of RSRZ outliers, followed by percent RSRZ outliers for the chain as percentile scores relative to all X-ray entries and entries of similar resolution. The OWAB column contains the minimum, median, $95^{t h}$ percentile and maximum values of the occupancy-weighted average B-factor per residue. The column labelled ' $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ ' lists the number of (and percentage) of residues with an average occupancy less than 0.9.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | $\langle$ RSRZ $>$ | \#RSRZ $>\mathbf{2}$ | OWAB $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{Q}<\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $579 / 579(100 \%)$ | 0.11 | $11(1 \%) 67$ | 61 | $43,61,91,114$ | 0 |

All (11) RSRZ outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | RSRZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 40 | GLN | 2.7 |
| 1 | A | 39 | ASN | 2.6 |
| 1 | A | 509 | TRP | 2.5 |
| 1 | A | 100 | ASN | 2.4 |
| 1 | A | 574 | ALA | 2.3 |
| 1 | A | 343 | ASN | 2.2 |
| 1 | A | 614 | GLN | 2.2 |
| 1 | A | 508 | LEU | 2.1 |
| 1 | A | 140 | GLY | 2.1 |
| 1 | A | 512 | LEU | 2.0 |
| 1 | A | 96 | GLU | 2.0 |

### 5.2 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 5.3 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 5.4 Ligands (i)

In the following table, the Atoms column lists the number of modelled atoms in the group and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. LLDF column lists the quality of electron density of the group with respect to its neighbouring residues in protein, DNA or RNA chains.

The B-factors column lists the minimum, median, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile and maximum values of B factors of atoms in the group. The column labelled ' $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ ' lists the number of atoms with occupancy less than 0.9.

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Atoms | RSCC | RSR | LLDF | B-factors ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | CD | A | 1623 | 1/? | 0.99 | 0.17 | 1.01 | 75,75,75,75 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | B | 6 | $1 /$ ? | 1.00 | 0.17 | 0.66 | 65,65,65,65 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | B | 2 | 1/? | 0.95 | 0.13 | -0.92 | 183,183,183,183 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1629 | $1 /$ ? | 0.88 | 0.09 | -1.39 | 188,188,188,188 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1628 | 1/? | 1.00 | 0.15 | -1.68 | 75,75,75,75 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1637 | $1 /$ ? | 0.88 | 0.08 | -2.22 | 185,185, 185,185 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | B | 1 | 1/? | 0.95 | 0.06 | -4.47 | 156,156,156,156 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1625 | $1 /$ ? | 1.00 | 0.16 | - | 63,63,63,63 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1631 | $1 /$ ? | 0.96 | 0.13 | - | 126,126,126,126 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1626 | $1 /$ ? | 0.98 | 0.05 | - | 104,104,104,104 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | B | 5 | $1 /$ ? | 0.77 | 0.13 | - | 189,189,189,189 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1627 | $1 /$ ? | 0.57 | 0.13 | - | 182,182,182,182 | 0 |
| 4 | DRG | X | 1 | 11/? | 0.79 | 0.28 | - | 98,114,140,141 | 0 |
| 2 | SER | A | 618 | 6/? | 0.68 | 0.49 | - | 83,103,113,126 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1634 | $1 /$ ? | 0.73 | 0.07 | - | 158,158,158,158 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1619 | 1/? | 0.99 | 0.15 | - | 105,105,105,105 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1632 | 1/? | 0.88 | 0.18 | - | 187,187,187,187 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | B | 3 | $1 /$ ? | 0.72 | 0.10 | - | 185,185,185,185 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1636 | 1/? | 0.27 | 0.28 | - | 207,207,207,207 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1624 | $1 /$ ? | 1.00 | 0.15 | - | 212,212,212,212 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1622 | 1/? | 0.96 | 0.04 | - | 134,134,134,134 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1635 | 1/? | 0.65 | 0.33 | - | 230,230,230,230 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1621 | 1/? | 0.98 | 0.11 | - | 94,94,94,94 | 0 |
| 3 | CD | A | 1630 | $1 /$ ? | 1.00 | 0.16 | - | 65,65,65,65 | 0 |

### 5.5 Other polymers (i)

There are no such residues in this entry.

