Supporting Information

Multishelled Si@Cu Microparticles Supported on 3D Cu Current Collectors for Stable and Binder-free Anodes of Lithium-ion Batteries

Zailei Zhang, ^{†,‡,||} Zhong Lin Wang^{*,†,‡,||,§} and Xianmao $Lu^{*,†,‡,||}$

[†] Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100083, China.

[‡] School of Nanoscience and Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

^I CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology (NCNST), Beijing, 100190, China.

§ School of Materials Science and Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332– 0245, USA.

*Correspondence authors. emails: zlwang@gatech.edu and luxianmao@binn.cas.cn.

METHODS

Synthesis of 3D Cu@Al₂O₃ Core-shell Nanowires. Trimethyl aluminum and H₂O were used as precursors to deposit Al₂O₃ on 3D Cu nanowires at 200 $^{\circ}$ C at a deposition rate of about 1 nm per cycle to form a 50-nm thick Al₂O₃ layer using an atomic layer deposition (ALD) equipment (PICOSUN/SUNALE R-200, Finland).

Synthesis of 3D Cu@TiO₂ Core-shell Nanowires. Titanium tetrachloride and H₂O were used as precursors to deposit TiO₂ on 3D Cu nanowires at 200 $^{\circ}$ C at a deposition rate of about 1 nm per cycle to form a 50-nm thick TiO₂ layer *via* ALD.

Synthesis of 3D Cu@HfO₂ Core-shell Nanowires. Tetrakis(dimethylamido) hafnium(IV) and H_2O were used as precursors to deposit HfO₂ on 3D Cu nanowires at 200 °C at a deposition rate of about 1 nm per cycle to form a 50-nm thickness HfO₂ layer *via* ALD. The tetrakis(dimethylamido) hafnium(IV) precursor was kept at 80 °C during deposition.

Synthesis of 3D Cu@Al Core-shell Nanowires. The as-prepared 3D Cu nanowires on Cu foil were coating with Al using magnetron sputtering. The base pressure of the chamber was below 1×10^{-6} Torr. The deposition power was 100 W and the deposition time was 30 min.

Preparation of Cu(OH)₂@Au Core-shell Nanowires by Thermal Evaporation and 3D Cu@Au Nanowires by H₂ Reduction. The as-prepared Cu(OH)₂ nanowires on Cu foil were loaded into a Denton ultra-high vacuum electron beam evaporation coating machine (Denton Vacuum/Explore 14) for thermal evaporation of Au on the surface of Cu(OH)₂ nanowires. In the processes of thermal evaporation, the power was 90 W and the deposition time was 0.5 h. The resulting samples of Cu(OH)₂@Au core-shell nanowires on Cu foil were placed in a tube furnace and treated under Ar/H₂ at $350 \,^{\circ}$ C for 1 h (ramping rate of 5 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹) to obtain 3D Cu@Au.

Figures



Figure S1. Photographs of (a) 3D Cu@Al₂O₃. (b) 3D Cu@HfO₂. (c) 3D Cu@TiO₂. (d) Cu(OH)₂@Ag

and 3D Cu@Ag. (e) Cu(OH)₂@SiO₂ and 3D Cu@SiO₂. (f) Cu(OH)₂@Si₃N₄ and 3D Cu@Si₃N₄. (g) Cu(OH)₂@Ta and 3D Cu@Ta. (h) Cu(OH)₂@Ti and 3D Cu@Ti. (i) Cu(OH)₂@V and 3D Cu@V. (j) Cu(OH)₂@W and 3D Cu@W. (k) Cu(OH)₂@Ni and 3D Cu@Ni. (l) Cu(OH)₂@ZnO and 3D Cu@ZnO. (m) Cu(OH)₂@Mg and 3D Cu@MgO. (n) Cu(OH)₂@TiN and 3D Cu@TiN. (o) Cu(OH)₂@Fe and 3D Cu@Fe. (p) Cu(OH)₂@Nb and 3D Cu@Nb. Note: Each square is 10 cm x 10 cm.



Figure S2. (a) XRD pattern. (**b,c)** Top-view SEM images. (**d)** Cross-sectional SEM image of Cu(OH)₂ nanowires.¹

The XRD pattern of the $Cu(OH)_2$ nanowires coated on Cu foil confirm the sample is composed of $Cu(OH)_2$ (PDF no. 96-900-78503) and Cu (PDF no. 96-710-1265).



Figure S3. SEM images of (**a**,**b**) Cu(OH)₂@Cu nanowires. (**c**,**d**,**e**) Top view 3D Cu nanowires. (**f**) Cross-sectional of 3D Cu nanowires. (**g**) Kinked Cu nanowires formed by reducing Cu(OH)₂ nanowires.



Schematic illustration S1. Schematic illustration for the formation of (top) 3D Cu nanowires by magnetron sputtering a Cu protection layer on $Cu(OH)_2$ nanowires followed by H₂-reduction and (bottom) kinked Cu wires and Cu nanoparticles formed by reducing $Cu(OH)_2$ nanowires without the Cu protection layer.



Figure S4. SEM images of **(a,b)** Magnetron sputtering at 100 W for 0.5 h and H₂-reduction at 300 °C for 1 h. **(c,d)** Magnetron sputtering at 200 w for 1 h and H₂-reduction at 300 °C for 1 h. **(e,f)** Magnetron sputtering at 100 W for 1 h and H₂-reduction at 400 °C for 2 h. **(g,h)** Magnetron sputtering at 100 W for 1 h and H₂-reduction at 400 °C for 2 h. **(g,h)** Magnetron sputtering at 100 W for 1 h and H₂-reduction at 600 °C for 1 h.



Figure S5. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@ZnO nanowires. (c) SEM image and (d) EDS analysis of 3D Cu@ZnO nanowires.



Figure S6. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@TiN nanowires. (c) SEM image and (d) EDS analysis of 3D Cu@TiN nanowires.



Figure S7. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Ni nanowires. **(c,d)** SEM images and **(e)** EDS analysis of 3D Cu@Ni nanowires.



Figure S8. (a) SEM image of Cu(OH)₂@Al nanowires. (b,c) SEM images, (d) EDS line-scan profiles, (e) EDS spectrum, and (f) XRD pattern of 3D Cu@Al nanowires.



Figure S9. (a) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@C nanowires. (b,c) SEM images, (d) EDS line-scan profiles and (e) EDS spectrum of 3D Cu@C nanowires.



Figure S10. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Sn nanowires. (c) SEM image, (d) EDS analysis, and (e) XRD pattern of 3D Cu@Sn/SnO nanowires.



Figure S11. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@W nanowires. **(c,d)** SEM images and **(e)** EDS analysis of 3D Cu@W nanowires.



Figure S12. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Nb nanowires. **(c,d)** SEM images and **(e)** EDS analysis of 3D Cu@Nb nanowires.



Figure S13. (a) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Si nanowires. (b) SEM image, (c-f) HAADF image and the corresponding elements mapping images, and (g) EDS spectrum of 3D Cu@Si nanowires.



Figure S14. (a,b) SEM images of 3D Cu@Si@Cu nanowires.



Figure S15. (a,b) SEM images of $Cu(OH)_2@Si_3N_4$ nanowires. (c) SEM image and (d) EDS spectrum of 3D $Cu@Si_3N_4$ nanowires.



Figure S16. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@SiO₂ nanowires. **(c)** SEM image and **(d)** EDS spectrum of 3D Cu@SiO₂ nanowires.



Figure S17. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Ti nanowires. (c) SEM image and (d) EDS spectrum of 3D Cu@Ti nanowires.



Figure S18. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Ag nanowires. (c) SEM image, (d) EDS spectrum, and (e) XRD pattern of 3D Cu@Ag nanowires.



Figure S19. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Fe nanowires. (c,d) SEM images of 3D Cu@Fe nanowires.



Figure S20. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Mg nanowires. (c) SEM images and (d) EDS spectrum of 3D Cu@MgO nanowires.



Figure S21. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@V nanowires. **(c,d)** SEM images, and **(e)** EDS spectrum of 3D Cu@V nanowires.



Figure S22. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Au nanowires. (c) SEM image, (d) EDS spectrum, and (e) XRD pattern of 3D Cu@Au nanowires.



Figure S23. (a,b) SEM images of Cu(OH)₂@Ta nanowires. **(c,d)** SEM images and **(e)** EDS spectrum of 3D Cu@Ta nanowires.



Figure S24. (a,b) SEM images of $Cu(OH)_2@Snln_2O_5$ nanowires. (c,d) SEM images, (e) EDS spectrum, and (f) XRD pattern of 3D $Cu@Snln_2O_5$ nanowires.



Figure S25. (a) SEM image, (b) HAADF image, (c-f) the corresponding elemental mappings, (g) EDS spectrum and (h) XRD pattern of 3D Cu@Al₂O₃ nanowires.



Figure S26. (a,b) SEM images (the inset is a TEM image) and (c) EDS spectrum of 3D $Cu@TiO_2$ nanowires.



Figure S27. (a) SEM image, (b) TEM image, and (c) EDS spectrum of 3D Cu@HfO₂ nanowires.



Figure S28. SEM images of (a) Cu(OH)₂@Au nanowires on Cu foil prepared by thermal evaporation.(b) 3D Cu@Au nanowires by H₂-reduction.



Figure S29. (a) Impedance measurements of 3D Cu@Si, 3D Cu@Si@Cu NWs and planar Cu@Si@Cu NWs after 1500 cycles. **(b)** Galvanostatic discharge/charge profiles at different rates from 1C to 10C for 3D Cu@Si@Cu NWs in half-cell configuration.



Figure S30. (a,b) SEM images of 3D Cu@Si nanowires electrode after 2000 cycles, etched with HCl and H_2 reduction at 400 °C.



Figure S31. FTIR spectra of 3D Cu@Si electrodes (a) after cycling test, (b) etched by HCl after cycling test, and (c) further reduced with H₂ after etching.

Compared with 3D Cu@Si electrodes after cycling test, the FTIR spectra for the sample after etching SEI with HCl and further H₂-reduction showed dimished F-P, C-O, Si-O stretching bands, indicating removal of most of these surface groups from the SEI after post treatment.²⁻⁴



Figure S32. TG analysis of 3D Cu@Si nanowires in air at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.



Figure S33. SEM images of polypropylene microporous separator (**a**,**b**) before and (**c**,**d**) after battery cycling test.

After cycling test, the porous structure of polypropylene microporous films was well maintained.



Figure S34. SEM images of 3D Cu@Si@Cu nanowires after 12000 cycles in half-cell configuration.



Figure S35. Electrochemical test raw data of 3D Cu@Si@Cu microparticles at a Si loading of 1.08 mg/cm² in half-cell configuration.



Figure S36. Impedance measurements of 3D Cu@Si@Cu microparticles for the first 10 cycles.



Figure S37. Cycling performance and coulombic efficiency of LiFePO₄ at 1C for 400 cycles.



Figure S38. Electrochemical test raw data of 3D Cu@Si@Cu-LiFePO₄ full cell (Si loading 1.08 mg/cm²).



Figure S39. (a) The voltage profiles and **(b)** CV curves of 3D Cu@Si@Cu-LiFePO₄ full cells and Li-LiFePO₄ half cells. The capacity and rate are both based on the mass loading of Si in the anode.



Figure S40. The photograph of battery charging test by a TENG with the aid of a Zener diode and a rectifier.



Figure S41. Voltage and current of a rotating TENG for 3D Cu@Si@Cu half-cell charging. (a,b) Open-circuit voltage at 350 rpm. (c,d) Short-circuit current. (e,f) Open-circuit voltage of the TENG connected to a Zener diode and a rectifier. The voltage and current were measured at an air humidity of 23%-36%.



Figure S42. Discharge/charge profiles of 3D Cu@Si@Cu in half-cell configuration. (a) Charged and discharged at constant currents. (b-k) Charged by TENG and discharged at a constant current. (l) Charged and discharged at constant currents after 10 cycles of TENG charging and constant current discharging.



Figure S43. Voltage and current of a rotating TENG for 3D Cu@Si@Cu-LiFePO₄ full-cell charging. (a,b) Open-circuit voltage at 400 rpm. (c,d) Short-circuit current. (e,f) Open-circuit voltage of the TENG connected to a Zener diode and a rectifier. Air humidity: 18%-25%.



Figure S44. A 3D Cu@Si@Cu-LiFePO₄ full cell charged by TENG and discharged at a constant current for (a) the 2nd and (b) the 4th cycles.



Figure S45. XRD patterns of (a) 3D Cu@Al NWs, (b) 3D Cu@Sn/SnO NWs, (c) 3D Cu@SnIn₂O₅ NWs, and (d) 3D Cu@Au NWs.



Figure S46. FTIR spectra of $Cu(OH)_2$ nanowires. The FTIR spectra indicated the surface of $Cu(OH)_2$ nanowires is rich of -OH groups.

Samples	power (W)	duration (h)
Cu(OH) ₂ @Cu	100	1
Cu(OH)2@Al	100	0.5
Cu(OH) ₂ @C	300	0.5
Cu(OH)2@Si	300	1
Cu(OH) ₂ @SiO ₂	100	0.5
Cu(OH)2@Si3N4	100	0.5
Cu(OH)2@Ag	100	1
Cu(OH) ₂ @Ti	50	0.5
Cu(OH) ₂ @Ta	100	1
Cu(OH) ₂ @W	100	1
Cu(OH)2@SnIn2O5	100	1
Cu(OH) ₂ @Au	50	1
Cu(OH) ₂ @V	50	1
Cu(OH)2@Nb	100	0.5
Cu(OH)2@Mg	50	0.5
Cu(OH) ₂ @Fe	100	1
Cu(OH)2@Ni	100	1
Cu(OH)2@Sn	50	0.5
Cu(OH)2@ZnO	100	1
Cu(OH) ₂ @TiN	100	1

Table S1. The power and duration of magnetron sputtering for the deposition of Cu, Al, C, Si, SiO₂, Si₃N₄, Ag, Ti, Ta, W, SnIn₂O₅, Au, V, Nb, Mg, Fe, Ni, Sn, ZnO, TiN on Cu(OH)₂ nanowires.

REFERENCES

(1) Zhang, W. X.; Wen, X. G.; Yang, S. H.; Berta, Y.; Wang, Z. L. Single-Crystalline Scroll-Type Nanotube Arrays of Copper Hydroxide Synthesized at Room Temperature. *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, 15, 822-825.

(2) Shi, F. F.; Ross, P. N.; Somorjai, G. A.; Komvopoulos, K. The Chemistry of Electrolyte Reduction on Silicon Electrodes Revealed by *in situ* ATR-FTIR Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, 121, 14476-14483.

(3) Singh, S.; Raj, A. K.; Sen, R.; Johari, P.; Mitra, S. Impact of Cl Doping on Electrochemical Performance in Orthosilicate (Li₂FeSiO₄): A Density Functional Theory Supported Experimental Approach. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 26885-26896.

(4) Karakassides, M. A.; Gournis, D.; Petridis, D. An Infrared Reflectance Study of Si-O Vibrations in Thermally Treated Alkali-Saturated Montmorillonites. *Clay Miner*. **1999**, 34, 429-438.