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## 1. Synthetic Procedures

Materials and Reagents. 2-Amino-4'-methoxyacetophenone (4) was synthesized according to a previously published procedure. ${ }^{1}$ Fmoc-succinimidyl carbonate (Oakwood Chemicals), isonicotinic acid $N$-oxide (Alfa Aesar), 2,4-pyridine dicarboxylic acid (Alfa Aesar), and 2-bromo-4'-methoxyacetophenone (Alfa Aesar) were purchased commercially and used without further purification. NMR: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz and referenced to an internal TMS standard ( 0 ppm ) for all NMR solvents excluding $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which was referenced to sodium 3-trimethylsilylpropionate-2,2,3,3- $\mathrm{d}_{6}(0 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were acquired at 100 MHz and referenced to the known chemical shift of the solvent peak $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ : 77.2 ppm ; DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}: 39.5 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: 49.0 \mathrm{ppm}$; Acetone $-\mathrm{d}_{6}: 206.3,29.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).
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2-Cyanoisonicotinic acid (2). Isonicotinic acid $N$-oxide ( $87.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 12.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ), diethyl sulfate ( 1.2 eq., $105 \mathrm{mmol}, 12.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dioxane $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a 100 mL round bottom flask containing a large stir bar. The flask was covered with a fritted adapter to minimize evaporation, and the mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight to produce a biphasic liquid. This was diluted with ice water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with an equal volume of ethyl acetate to remove tarry material and unreacted $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The aqueous phase was neutralized with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.0 eq., $96.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.3 \mathrm{~g}$ ) under stirring, and $\mathrm{NaCN}(1.1 \mathrm{eq} ., 96.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.73$ g) was added. After 2 hours, the mixture was slowly acidified with concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(2.1 \mathrm{eq}$. , $184 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the product was collected by filtration and dried by suction overnight to give a red-orange powder, which was recrystallized from boiling acetonitrile-water gave the purified product as a light yellow solid. Yield: $10.67 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Acetone$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 8.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 117.7$, 127.5, 128.7, 135.4, 140.5, 153.2, 164.8.


2-((((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)methyl)isonicotinic acid (3). A solution of 2-cyanoisonicotinic acid (2) ( $6.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in acetic acid ( 5 mL ) was hydrogenated for 2 hours at 20 psi in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 500 \mathrm{mg})$ as a catalyst. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give $950 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of 2-(aminomethyl)isonicotinic acid. Without further purification, the isolated product ( $1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was dissolved in $10 \% \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.5
mL ) and a solution of 9-fluorenylmethylsuccinimidyl carbonate ( $0.91 \mathrm{eq} ., 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 405 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 1 mL ) was added. After stirring the reaction mixture at room temperature for 12 hours, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was treated with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{HCl}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitated product was filtered off, washed with water and a small amount of methanol, and dried under vacuum to afford $420 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of the Fmoc derivative 3 as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}, \mathrm{~T}=373 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta 4.22(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.63-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.01(\mathrm{~s}$, broad, 1 H ). Note: Due to the presence of a dynamic conformer equilibrium, the room temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum displayed an additional set of weak signals which merged with the main set upon heating to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Furthermore, the dynamic exchange precluded the recording of a suitable ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. MS (ESI) $m / z 375\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 375.1345$, found 375.1356.


Fmoc-protected amide 5. A mixture of the Fmoc-protected amino acid $\mathbf{3}(9.62 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.60 g ), 2-amino-1-(4'-methoxyphenyl)ethanone hydrochloride 4 ( $1.1 \mathrm{eq} ., 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.22 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{EDCI} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(1.5 \mathrm{eq} ., 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.87 \mathrm{~g}$ ), and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole ( $0.5 \mathrm{eq} ., 4.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 675$ mg ) was stirred in DMF ( 12 mL ) until it turned homogeneous ( 10 min ). Pyridine ( 1.0 eq., 815 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight. The mixture was diluted sequentially with water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, methanol $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ under rapid stirring. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water, dried under vacuum, and recrystallized from a solution in THF-acetonitrile by boiling until the temperature rose to the boiling point of pure $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. After cooling to room temperature, the product was collected by filtration and dried under high vacuum to afford a colorless crystalline powder. Yield: 4.59 g $(8.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-\mathrm{d}_{6}}, \mathrm{~T}=293 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.09(\mathrm{t}$, broad, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 45.8,46.1,46.7,55.6,65.7,114.1,118.5,119.5,120.1,125.3$, 127.1, 127.7, 127.8, 130.3, 140.7, 141.7, 143.9, 149.6, 156.5, 160.0, 163.5, 165.2, 193.1. MS (ESI) $m / z 522\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 177$ (18); HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 522.2029, found 522.2066.


Fmoc-protected thiazole 6a. Amide 5 ( $2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.046 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and Lawesson's reagent ( 1.2 eq., $2.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 973 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were added to a 25 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The flask was clamped above a $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and fitted with a reflux condenser, which was topped with a T-shaped adapter leading to an argon supply and bubbler. The joint between the condenser and flask was pulled apart to leave a gap of a few mm, and the system was flushed with argon. Anhydrous 1,4-dioxane ( 4 mL ) was added from a syringe through the gap in the joint. The joint was quickly seated, and the flask was lowered into the oil bath. After 30 minutes, the reaction was complete by TLC ( $\left.5: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MTBE}\right)$. Water $(\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added while stirring, and the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. The resulting orange slurry was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4$ equiv.) for 20 min . The resulting yellow emulsion was partitioned between water ( 50 mL ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was collected. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, diluted with MTBE ( 10 mL ), and filtered through a bed of sand $(\sim 4 \mathrm{~cm})$ on top of silica gel $(\sim 6 \mathrm{~cm})$. The filter was washed with $3: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MTBE}$ until the effluent was no longer yellow, and the combined filtrate and washings were concentrated to dryness. The residue was recrystallized from ethyl acetate/2,2,4-trimethylpentane to give pure $\mathbf{6 a}$ as light yellow fibrous crystals. Yield: $891 \mathrm{mg}(1.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{t}$, broad, $J=4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $46.0,47.2,55.4,67.0,114.7,118.1,118.9,119.9,123.3,125.1,127.0,127.7,128.2,139.0$, $141.3,141.4,141.7,144.0,149.9,156.5,157.9,160.3,162.5$. MS (ESI) $m / z 520$ (100, $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 520.1695$, found 520.1719.


Amine 6b. A $50-\mathrm{mL}$ round bottom flask was charged with $\mathbf{6 a}(2.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.049 \mathrm{~g})$, crushed cesium carbonate ( 2 eq., $4.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.315 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and DMSO ( 15 mL ). Ethanethiol ( 2 eq. , $4.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 291 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added drop-wise to the stirred mixture. After 1.5 hours, the reaction was complete by TLC (neat EtOAc). The mixture was transferred to a $250-\mathrm{mL}$ Erlenmeyer flask, partitioned between $\mathrm{diH} 2 \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and toluene ( 25 mL ), and heated gently until two homogeneous liquid phases could be separated. The mixture was acidified with $1 \mathrm{Maq} . \mathrm{HCl}$
( $1 \mathrm{eq} ., \sim 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the orange aqueous layer was collected, washed with toluene to remove non-basic byproducts, and then made basic ( $\mathrm{pH} \sim 9$ ) by the addition of $5 \% \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{NaOH}$. The product was extracted with toluene ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford $\mathbf{6 b}$ as a pure, pale yellow solid. Yield 454 mg ( 1.53 mmol, 76\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $8.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 47.7,55.4,114.6,117.4,118.2$, 123.3, 128.1, 138.8, 140.9, 141.3, 150.1, 160.1, 162.9, 163.0. MS (EI) m/z 297 (100, [M] ${ }^{+}$), 268 (45), 149 (10); HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}$ [M] 297.0936, found 297.0921.


Ethyl 2,4-pyridinedicarboxylate (7). Adapted from a previously published procedure. ${ }^{2}$ 2,4-pyidinedicarboxylic acid ( 1 eq., $29.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was suspended in absolute ethanol ( 50 mL ), and concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 1.5 eq., $47.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.62 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added drop-wise to the stirred mixture. After refluxing overnight, the EtOH was removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting oily residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to a yellow oil. The yellow oil was then taken up in boiling cyclohexane and crystallized while cooling to room temperature under constant stirring. The product was collected by suction filtration, washed with cyclohexane, and dried under vacuum to afford 7 as a white crystalline solid. Yield $5.52 \mathrm{~g}(24.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05$ (dd, $J=4.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.92$ (dd, $J=4.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 14.3 .14 .4,62.3,62.4,124.4,126.1,139.2,149.3,150.8$, 164.4, 164.7. MS (EI) $m / z 223$ (4, [M] ${ }^{+}$), 179 (35), 178 (40), 152 (33), 151 (100), 150 (45), 123 (30), 78 (18), 77 (21). HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 223.0845$, found 223.0842.


Ethyl 2-(hydroxymethyl)isonicotinate (8). Adapted from a previously published procedure. ${ }^{2}$ To a solution of ethyl 2,4-pyridinedicarboxylate ( 7,1 eq., $24.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added crushed anhydrous $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{eq} ., 24.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.73 \mathrm{~g})$. While stirring under argon, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(1$ eq., $24.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 932 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added slowly to the slightly
opaque mixture, and upon the complete addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, the mixture turned a bright pink color. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 hours at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until TLC ( $3: 7 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ :hexanes) confirmed that the reaction was complete. The reaction was quenched with the addition of 6 $\mathrm{NHCl}(1 \mathrm{eq} ., 4.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the white precipitate that formed was filtered off, and filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove most of the EtOH. The product was portioned between dichloromethane ( 50 mL ) and saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to neutralize the HCl , followed by the addition of 1 M aq. disodium citrate to dissolve the inorganic salts that precipitated upon basification of the aqueous phase. The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 50 mL ), and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to a colorless oil. Residual $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was removed azeotropically with hexanes under reduced pressure, and the compound was dried under high vacuum to afford $\mathbf{8}$ as colorless crystals. Yield: $4.47 \mathrm{~g}(24.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.48(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}$, broad, 1 H$), 4.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85,(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ (ddt, $J=5.1,1.6$, $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87$ (ddt, $J=1.6,0.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 14.3,62.0,64.4,120.0,121.6,138.5,149.4,160.9,165.1$. MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 182$ (20), 181 (85, [M] ${ }^{+}$), 180 (100), 178 (40), 153 (45), 152 (95), 151 (34), 136 (54), 124 (58), 123 (29), 108 (20), 78 (44), 51 (44). HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 181.0749$, found 181.0736.


Ethyl 2-(bromomethyl)isonicotinate (9). A 25 mL round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with 8 ( 1 eq., $5.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ), fitted with a rubber septum, evacuated under high vacuum, and backfilled with argon. Anhydrous dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) was added via an argon-filled syringe, and the flask was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. Under stirring, thionyl bromide ( $\mathrm{SOBr}_{2}$, 3 eq., $16.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.28 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added via an argon-purged syringe, and the solution was allowed to stir for 1.5 hours. Unreacted thionyl bromide was quenched by the addition of $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by stirring for an additional 20 min . After concentrating the solution under reduced pressure, the residue was redissolved in a minimal amount of EtOH , and then diluted slowly with methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) until the solution became cloudy. The compound was then crystallized from boiling EtOH/MTBE to afford hydrobromide 9 as a white crystalline solid. Yield $1.46 \mathrm{~g}(4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.48$ (dd, $J=5.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 14.1, 24.1, 63.9, 125.9, 128.0, 142.6, 146.3, 153.4, 161.4. Note: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMRs were acquired of the hydrobromide salt of 9 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ because of stability issues with the free base. MS (EI) $m / z 244$ (20), 243 (20, [M] ${ }^{\dagger}$ ), 165 (19), 164 (100), 120 (33), 82 (18), 80 (20), 64 (19). HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Br}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$242.9895, found 242.9889.


6b
Chromis-1 ester (1a). A mixture of $\mathbf{6 b}(0.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg})$, ethyl 2(bromomethyl)isonicotinate hydrobromide ( $9,2.5$ eq., $1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 459 \mathrm{mg}$ ), crushed anhydrous potassium carbonate ( 2.5 eq., $1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 232 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and potassium iodide ( $2.5 \mathrm{eq} ., 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$, 280 mg ) in acetonitrile ( 12 mL ) was stirred at room temperature under an argon atmosphere for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted subsequently with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by $\mathrm{diH}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $1: 1$ dichloromethane/acetone). The oily column product was crystallized by redissolving in a minimal amount of EtOAc and slowly diluting with MTBE until cloudy, after which the mixture was heated to boiling to become homogeneous and concentrated until crystallization began to initialize. The crystals were isolated by suction filtration, washed with MTBE and dried under vacuum to give diester 1a as a light yellow solid. Yield $320 \mathrm{mg}(0.513 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6 H ), $3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}),, 7.69-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-8.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2$, $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.1,55.4,60.08$, 60.14, 61.6, 114.5, 118.1, 119.6, 121.3, 122.4, 123.4, 128.1, 138.2, 138.8, 141.0, 141.3, 149.76, $149.78,160.10,160.14,160.2,163.2,165.1$. MS (EI) $m / z 623$ (5, [M] ${ }^{+}$), 459 (100), 342 (77), 282 (48), 137 (10). HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$623.2202, found 623.2193 .


Chromis-1 acid (1b). Sodium hydroxide ( 2.5 eq., $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 80.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $20 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) aqueous solution) was added to a stirred solution of 1 a ( $1 \mathrm{eq} ., 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in EtOH ( 4 mL ), and the resulting mixture was refluxed under stirring for 2 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the product was filtered and dried under reduced pressure to afford $90 \mathrm{mg}(0.15$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ yield) of $\mathbf{1 b}$ as pale yellow crystals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.95 (s, 2H), $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-8.0(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ : $\delta 54.4,59.3,60.0,114.3,118.1,119.7,121.8,123.0,123.1,127.9,138.5,141.2,142.1,147.0$,
148.8, 149.5, 158.3, 160.0, 160.5, 162.6, 171.1. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$612.1294, found 612.1287.

Chromis-1-Zn(II) Complex. Chromis-1 acid (1b, 20 mg ) was reacted with a stoichiometric quantity of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ in HPLC-grade $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitated $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complex was then redissolved in boiling MeOH and recrystallized from a $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{EtOH}$ mixture to afford bright yellow crystals, which were filtered through a glass frit and washed with cold MeOH and EtOH and dried under vacuum. The crystals were carefully redissolved in a minimal amount of DMF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the solution was supplemented with crushed anhydrous $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ ( 0.5 eq., 1.8 mg ). Slow evaporation of the corresponding solution afforded X-ray-quality crystals of the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complex with formula $\left[\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{Cl}(\text { chromis-1) }]_{2}-\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right.$.

## 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


1a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, 100 MHz )

$1 b$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\right.$ DMSO $\left._{\mathrm{d}}^{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{T}=\mathbf{3 7 3} \mathrm{K}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{T}=293 \mathrm{~K}$ )



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right)$



6b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right)$
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## 3. Crystallographic Structural Determination

Table S1: Crystal data and structure refinement for the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complex of ligand $\mathbf{1 b}$ $\left[\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{Cl}(\text { chromis-1) }]_{2} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right.$

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{17} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 1554.16 |
| Temperature | 100(2) |
| Wavelength | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=11.8106(6) \AA \quad \alpha=79.625(4)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $b=13.3625(6) \AA \quad \beta=81.802(4)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=22.2111(11) \AA \quad \gamma=77.504(4)^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | 3346.7(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.542 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.014 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1604.0 |
| Crystal size | $0.218 \times 0.093 \times 0.028 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.580 to $24.712^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-15 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 15,-26 \leq 1 \leq 26$ |
| Reflections collected | 27927 |
| Independent reflections | $11181\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.1247, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.1227\right]$ |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 11181/836/911 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.063 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{l}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0899, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1820$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1502, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2195$ |
| $\underline{\text { Largest diff. peak and hole }}$ | $1.552 /-0.762 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$ |

Table S2: $\quad$ Selected bond lengths and bond angles for the two conformers of the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complex of ligand $\mathbf{1 b}[\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{Cl}(\text { chromis-1 })]_{2} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ contained within the same unit cell.

| Zn1-Cl1 | $2.266(2)$ | $2.252(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.101(7)$ | $2.098(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.088(7)$ | $2.116(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $2.097(7)$ | $2.095(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $2.254(7)$ | $2.244(7)$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $98.9(2)$ | $103.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $117.8(3)$ | $117.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $115.0(3)$ | $114.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $77.0(3)$ | $76.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $104.8(2)$ | $101.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $112.9(3)$ | $112.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $77.3(3)$ | $76.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $104.7(2)$ | $105.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $77.4(3)$ | $77.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{Cl1}$ | $175.69(2)$ | $177.66(2)$ |

Table S3: Atomic coordinates (x $10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \mathrm{x}\right.$ $10^{3}$ ) for the unit cell of the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complex of ligand $\mathbf{1 b}\left[\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{Cl}(\text { chromis-1) }]_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6} . \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

| Atom Label | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Zn1 | $7283.9(9)$ | $8179.9(8)$ | $7512.0(5)$ | $15.4(2)$ |
| Cl1 | $8452(2)$ | $8238.4(17)$ | $8225(1)$ | $20.9(5)$ |
| S1 | $3496(2)$ | $4311.5(18)$ | $8531.2(10)$ | $19.1(5)$ |
| O2 | $3693(6)$ | $13138(5)$ | $7350(3)$ | $22.9(14)$ |
| O4 | $11592(6)$ | $5793(5)$ | $5424(3)$ | $25.6(12)$ |
| O1 | $-177(6)$ | $993(5)$ | $9318(3)$ | $23.9(13)$ |
| N2 | $6382(6)$ | $9704(5)$ | $7305(3)$ | $16.1(12)$ |
| N5 | $4458(7)$ | $4373(6)$ | $9490(3)$ | $18.4(14)$ |
| N1 | $6346(6)$ | $7080(5)$ | $8022(3)$ | $16.4(11)$ |
| O5 | $10219(6)$ | $6392(7)$ | $4783(3)$ | $44(2)$ |
| N3 | $8434(6)$ | $7671(5)$ | $6769(3)$ | $16.6(11)$ |
| N4 | $6062(6)$ | $8041(5)$ | $6852(3)$ | $16.0(8)$ |
| C3 | $5074(7)$ | $5556(6)$ | $8604(4)$ | $15.0(12)$ |
| C25 | $7980(8)$ | $7282(7)$ | $6358(4)$ | $17.4(14)$ |
| C16 | $5035(8)$ | $7738(7)$ | $7228(4)$ | $16.9(12)$ |
| C8 | $3118(8)$ | $3523(7)$ | $9202(4)$ | $18.9(14)$ |
| C18 | $5722(8)$ | $9903(7)$ | $6839(4)$ | $16.0(12)$ |
| C10 | $2205(8)$ | $2337(7)$ | $8768(4)$ | $20.1(16)$ |
| C9 | $2280(8)$ | $2845(7)$ | $9249(4)$ | $19.1(14)$ |
| C27 | $9848(8)$ | $6855(7)$ | $5787(4)$ | $18.5(14)$ |
| C29 | $9590(8)$ | $7685(7)$ | $6679(4)$ | $19.1(13)$ |
| C1 | $5382(7)$ | $6962(6)$ | $7792(4)$ | $14.4(13)$ |
| C4 | $6031(8)$ | $5714(7)$ | $8841(4)$ | $18.0(16)$ |
| C14 | $1495(8)$ | $2728(7)$ | $9784(4)$ | $20.7(16)$ |
| C6 | $4403(8)$ | $4777(7)$ | $8910(4)$ | $18.2(12)$ |
| C17 | $5802(8)$ | $9062(6)$ | $6464(4)$ | $15.4(12)$ |
| C7 | $3703(8)$ | $3691(7)$ | $9649(4)$ | $21.9(17)$ |
| C22 | $6355(8)$ | $10444(7)$ | $7642(4)$ | $20.3(18)$ |
| C21 | $5626(8)$ | $11431(7)$ | $7537(4)$ | $18.5(17)$ |
| C23 | $4147(8)$ | $12682(7)$ | $6906(4)$ | $18.6(15)$ |
| C26 | $8661(8)$ | $6896(7)$ | $5857(4)$ | $19.3(16)$ |
| C13 | $666(8)$ | $2109(7)$ | $9826(4)$ | $20.2(16)$ |
| C12 | $610(8)$ | $1606(7)$ | $9328(4)$ | $19.5(15)$ |
| C20 | $4964(8)$ | $11645(7)$ | $7046(4)$ | $17.0(15)$ |
| C2 | $4756(8)$ | $6211(7)$ | $8057(4)$ | $17.9(13)$ |
| C11 | $1404(8)$ | $1708(7)$ | $8807(4)$ | $18.3(15)$ |
| C5 | $6664(8)$ | $6456(6)$ | $8548(4)$ | $15.5(14)$ |
| C19 | $5023(8)$ | $10872(7)$ | $6689(4)$ | $15.8(13)$ |
| C28 | $10313(8)$ | $7281(7)$ | $6196(4)$ | $20.2(16)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| C24 | $6707(7)$ | $7221(7)$ | $6491(4)$ | $17.1(12)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C30 | $10604(8)$ | $6309(7)$ | $5276(4)$ | $22.4(16)$ |
| C15 | $-1121(9)$ | $1028(8)$ | $9785(5)$ | $28(2)$ |
| Zn1B | $11584.5(9)$ | $6663.3(8)$ | $2113.6(5)$ | $14.8(2)$ |
| C11B | $12897.7(19)$ | $7214.3(17)$ | $1358.1(10)$ | $21.1(5)$ |
| S1B | $8408(2)$ | $2794.1(18)$ | $1476.5(10)$ | $19.8(5)$ |
| O3B | $13995(5)$ | $4067(5)$ | $4816(3)$ | $20.9(13)$ |
| O2B | $15635(5)$ | $4503(5)$ | $4311(3)$ | $24.1(14)$ |
| N1B | $10897(6)$ | $5635(5)$ | $1725(3)$ | $16.3(14)$ |
| N5B | $8515(6)$ | $4144(6)$ | $498(3)$ | $17.1(13)$ |
| N4B | $10333(6)$ | $6060(5)$ | $2880(3)$ | $13.5(12)$ |
| O1B | $4755(6)$ | $-183(5)$ | $1024(3)$ | $27.7(14)$ |
| N3B | $10393(7)$ | $8010(5)$ | $2297(3)$ | $18.6(14)$ |
| N2B | $12630(6)$ | $6019(5)$ | $2840(3)$ | $14.7(13)$ |
| C3B | $9670(7)$ | $4408(7)$ | $1274(4)$ | $15.9(14)$ |
| C16B | $10338(8)$ | $4999(6)$ | $2782(4)$ | $14.4(16)$ |
| C4B | $10301(8)$ | $5069(7)$ | $879(4)$ | $19.6(16)$ |
| C1B | $10290(8)$ | $4990(6)$ | $2113(4)$ | $15.7(15)$ |
| C17B | $10763(7)$ | $6061(6)$ | $3464(4)$ | $13.5(13)$ |
| C5B | $10887(8)$ | $5667(7)$ | $1117(4)$ | $17.2(15)$ |
| C6B | $8912(8)$ | $3856(7)$ | $1039(4)$ | $17.3(12)$ |
| C2B | $9683(8)$ | $4367(7)$ | $1903(4)$ | $16.6(14)$ |
| C18B | $12075(7)$ | $5791(7)$ | $3410(4)$ | $14.8(12)$ |
| C20B | $13890(8)$ | $5066(7)$ | $3824(4)$ | $15.7(14)$ |
| C25B | $9413(8)$ | $7859(7)$ | $2663(4)$ | $15.7(15)$ |
| C10B | $5981(8)$ | $2189(7)$ | $534(4)$ | $21.9(14)$ |
| C21B | $14454(8)$ | $5340(7)$ | $3246(4)$ | $18.1(16)$ |
| C8B | $7569(8)$ | $2771(7)$ | $905(4)$ | $17.7(12)$ |
| C23B | $14579(8)$ | $4509(7)$ | $4364(4)$ | $17.5(13)$ |
| C14B | $6897(8)$ | $1134(7)$ | $1393(4)$ | $22.1(17)$ |
| C22B | $13805(8)$ | $5808(7)$ | $2762(4)$ | $16.7(15)$ |
| C9B | $6803(8)$ | $2019(7)$ | $945(4)$ | $16.9(14)$ |
| C13B | $6203(8)$ | $430(8)$ | $1408(5)$ | $25.7(19)$ |
| C12B | $5375(8)$ | $593(7)$ | $985(4)$ | $20.3(16)$ |
| C27B | $8838(8)$ | $9680(7)$ | $2733(4)$ | $16.8(15)$ |
| C7B | $7757(8)$ | $3559(7)$ | $423(4)$ | $20.2(17)$ |
| C29B | $10591(8)$ | $8976(7)$ | $2124(4)$ | $19.9(17)$ |
| C19B | $12678(8)$ | $5308(7)$ | $3905(4)$ | $16.4(13)$ |
| C30B | $8056(8)$ | $10579(7)$ | $3010(4)$ | $21.1(17)$ |
| C26B | $8615(8)$ | $8680(7)$ | $2898(4)$ | $16.3(15)$ |
| C11B | $5261(8)$ | $1483(7)$ | $542(4)$ | $23.9(18)$ |
| C28B | $9832(7)$ | $9823(7)$ | $2335(4)$ | $17.0(15)$ |
| C24B | $6762(6)$ | $2814(4)$ | $15.7(12)$ |  |
| C15B | $310389(7)$ | $693(5)$ | $32(2)$ | $4663(4)$ |
| O1S |  | $58(2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| N1S | $1925(13)$ | $8902(10)$ | $4299(6)$ | $74(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C2S | $2317(14)$ | $9489(11)$ | $4597(6)$ | $61(3)$ |
| C3S | $2580(15)$ | $7839(12)$ | $4235(8)$ | $82(4)$ |
| C4S | $797(17)$ | $9264(15)$ | $4083(10)$ | $108(7)$ |
| Ca1 | $13006.3(16)$ | $4466.0(14)$ | $5757.5(8)$ | $17.6(4)$ |
| O6W | $12390(5)$ | $4873(5)$ | $6773(3)$ | $19.0(12)$ |
| O1W | $7110(6)$ | $13337(5)$ | $3083(3)$ | $25.0(15)$ |
| O2W | $2713(7)$ | $11156(5)$ | $5554(3)$ | $37.9(19)$ |
| O3W | $4735(7)$ | $11855(7)$ | $5001(4)$ | $57(2)$ |
| O4W | $6582(9)$ | $11989(6)$ | $4096(4)$ | $62(3)$ |
| O5W | $11832(5)$ | $3248(5)$ | $5667(3)$ | $22.1(13)$ |
| O3 | $3969(6)$ | $12979(5)$ | $6350(3)$ | $28.0(15)$ |
| O4B | $8257(6)$ | $11461(5)$ | $2790(3)$ | $29.4(15)$ |
| O5B | $7263(6)$ | $10366(5)$ | $3433(3)$ | $27.8(14)$ |

## 4. Fluorescence Response Towards Divalent Metal Ions



Figure S1: Fluorescence response of chromis-1 acid $(\mathbf{1 b}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in the presence of 4.0 equivalents $(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of selected divalent metal ions. A-H) Blue traces represent free chromis-1 acid $(5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in pH 7.0 buffer ( 10 mM PIPES, $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KCl}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) supplemented with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EDTA. The red traces were acquired after addition of 20 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ of the corresponding divalent transition metals as indicated. Metal ions were supplied from aqueous stock solutions of the corresponding sulfate salts ( $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ) or nitrate salts ( $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{II})$, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$, and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ). Black arrows indicate the change in fluorescence after addition of the divalent metals. In plot (C), an additional spectrum (black trace) at a total concentration of $40 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Mn}$ (II) was acquired. Excitation: 358 nm .

## 5. Ligand Competition Titrations



## Definition of Equilibrium System:

| Species | $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ | EGTA | Chromis-1 | H | $\log \beta$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zn(II) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| EGTA | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Chromis-1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| EGTA(H) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9.51 |
| EGTA $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 18.25 |
| EGTA $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 21.06 |
| EGTA(Zn(II)) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12.6 |
| Chromis-1(Zn(II)) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $10.31 \pm 0.13$ |

Figure S2: Spectrophotometric determination of the stability constant of chromis-1 acid via competition titration with EGTA. A) Chromis-1 acid ( $\mathbf{1 b}, 20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was equilibrated with $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in aqueous buffer ( 10 mM PIPES, $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.0,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) treated with Chelex ( $1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$, Bio-Rad) and titrated with EGTA from 0 to $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The absorbance spectra were analyzed by non-linear least squares fitting over the spectral range of $250-450 \mathrm{~nm}$ to yield an average apparent $\log K_{\mathrm{Zn}_{\text {n(IIL }}}$ of $10.31 \pm 0.13$ at $\mathrm{pH} 7.0(n=8)$. B) Change of absorbance at 367 nm and corresponding fit using above equilibrium system definition.


Figure S3: Fluorimetric determination of the stability constant of chromis-1 ester (1a) via titration with $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ in the presence of EGTA. A) Chromis-1 ester ( $\mathbf{1 a}, 2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was equilibrated with EGTA ( 1 mM ) in aqueous buffer ( 20 mM PIPES, $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.0,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), supplemented with $4: 1 \mathrm{DMPC}: D M P G$ liposomes ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and titrated with $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to a final $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ concentration $620 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Arrows indicate the change in fluorescence after each aliquot of $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ added. The fluorescence spectra (excitation at 358 nm ) were analyzed by non-linear least squares fitting over the entire spectral range to give an average apparent $\log K_{\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{L}}$ of $8.62 \pm 0.07(n=2)$ at pH 7.0 . B) Change in fluorescence intensity at 500 nm and corresponding fit using the equilibrium system definition described in Figure S2.


Figure S4. Fluorescence dependence of chromis-1 ester (1a) on pH . Chromis-1 ester ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was equilibrated in aqueous buffer ( 10 mM PIPES, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) at pH 7.0 and 5.0, both supplemented with $4: 1 \mathrm{DMPC}$ :DMPG liposomes $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and then saturated with $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Red traces (solid and dashed) represent the fluorescence response of chromis- 1 at pH 7.0 , and the black traces (solid and dashed) represent the fluorescence response at pH 5.0. Excitation: 358 nm .

## 6. Spectrophotometric Determination of Protonation Constants



## Definition of Equilibrium System:

| Species | Chromis-1 | H | $\log \beta$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chromis-1(L) | 1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Chromis-1(LH) | 1 | 1 | $5.49 \pm 0.04$ |
| Chromis-1 $\left(\mathrm{LH}_{2}\right)$ | 1 | 2 | $8.96 \pm 0.05$ |
| Chromis-1 $\left(\mathrm{LH}_{3}\right)$ | 1 | 3 | $10.15 \pm 0.06$ |

Figure S5: Spectrophotometric determination of the sequential protonation constants of chromis-1 acid (1b). A) UV-vis traces upon acidification of a solution of $\mathbf{1 b}(22.7 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in a mixed PIPES/PIPBS buffer ( 1 mM , starting $\mathrm{pH} 7.1,0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KCl}$ ionic background). The arrows indicate the spectral progression upon acidification. The blue and green traces were acquired in two separate experiments and correspond to the pH ranges of 7.1 to 2.4 and 2.3 to 1.0 , respectively. B) Change of absorbance at 350 nm and corresponding fit using above equilibrium system definition. The data were fitted over the entire spectral range from $300-500 \mathrm{~nm}$. The tabulated $\log \beta$ value correspond to sequential protonation constants of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 1}=5.49, \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 2}=3.47, \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 3}=1.19$. C) Deconvoluted spectra for the sequential chromis-1 protonation states. D) Species distribution diagram, calculated based on the experimental $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values.

## 7. Ratiometric Data Analysis

The ratiometric evaluation of fluorescence imaging data was performed following the original report by Tsien and coworkers. ${ }^{3}$ For a ratiometric fluorescence probe $P$ with $1: 1 \mathrm{Zn}$ (II) binding stoichiometry, the ratio $R$ of the fluorescence intensities at two distinct wavelengths is related to the free metal ion concentration according to equation S 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})]=K_{d}\left(\frac{R-R_{\min }}{R_{\max }-R}\right)\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{f}}}{S_{\mathrm{b}}}\right) \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $S_{\mathrm{b}}$ are instrument-dependent calibration factors for the free $\left(S_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ and metal-bound $\left(S_{b}\right)$ probe, thus relating the concentration of each species to overall fluorescence intensity $F$ at wavelength $\lambda$ according to equation $S 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda)=S_{\mathrm{f}}(\lambda)[\mathrm{P}]+S_{\mathrm{b}}(\lambda)[\mathrm{PZn}(\mathrm{II})] \tag{S2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Near $\lambda=450 \mathrm{~nm}$, where the emission spectra of the free and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$-saturated probe cross each other, $S_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $S_{\mathrm{b}}$ are identical and thus the instrument-dependent correction term $S_{\mathrm{f}} / S_{\mathrm{b}}$ assumes unity. Hence, under these conditions the intensity ratio $R$ can be directly related to the free Zn (II) concentration
$[\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})]=K_{d}\left(\frac{R-R_{\text {min }}}{R_{\text {max }}-R}\right)$
Furthermore, the fractional saturation of the probe, defined as the ratio of complex concentration $[\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{P}]$ and total probe concentration $[\mathrm{P}]_{\text {totala }}$, can also be calculated from the observed intensity ratio $R$ through relationship S4

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{R-R_{\min }}{R_{\max }-R_{\min }} \tag{S4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To validate the applicability of the simplified calibration relationship (S3), Figure S6 illustrates the results from a perfusion experiment with live NIH 3 T 3 cells, which were exposed to $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ZnSO} 4$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ pyrithione as described in the main text (Figure 3). Fluorescence micrographs were acquired through two emission band pass filters BP1 ( $425-462 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and BP2 (478-540 nm) at intervals of 20 seconds with two-photon excitation at 720 nm . To calculate the average fluorescence intensity of the individual emission channels for each frame, only pixels with a fluorescence intensity above a threshold of 500 , based on 16-bit greyscale resolution, were taken into account. In agreement with the position of the emission cross over point (Figure S3), the averaged fluorescence intensities collected through the shorter wavelength filter (BP1) remained essentially unchanged upon $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ exposure (Figure S6, red circles). Thus, the large increase of the intensity ratio (blue squares) can solely be attributed to the intensity increase of the longer wavelength emission channel (BP2, green circles).


Figure S6. Experimental validation for ratiometric image processing using simplified equation S3. NIH 3T3 cells were incubated with chromis-1 ester $(\mathbf{1 a}, 2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and imaged by TPEM with excitation at 720 nm . Fluorescence micrographs were collected through two band pass filters BP1 (425-462 nm) and BP2 (478-540 nm) at 20 second intervals. At the time point indicated with an arrow, $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ pyrithione were added. While the averaged fluorescence intensity collected through BP1 remained essentially unchanged (red circles), BP2 intensity increased dramatically (green circles) upon $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ exposure, which is also reflected in the emission ratio increase (with $R=\mathrm{BP} 2 / \mathrm{B} 1$ ).

These data demonstrate that estimates for free Zn (II) concentrations can be directly calculated from the intensity ratio $R$ and the limiting ratios $R_{\min }$ and $R_{\max }$ using the simplified equation S3. For this purpose, the raw fluorescence intensity micrographs of each channel were processed with the quantitative image analysis software package, ImageJ, ${ }^{4}$ using an intensity threshold of 1000 (based on 16-bit greyscale resolution). The resulting ratio images were exported as 32 -bit tiff images, and converted to 16 -bit color images after applying the corresponding look-up-table (LUT).


Figure S7. Ratiometric imaging of labile $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ pools in live NIH 3 T3 mouse fibroblasts, grown under basal conditions, with chromis-1 ester (1a) by TPEM (excitation at 720 nm ). (A) Ratio images (BP2/BP1) prior (at 1 min ) and after addition (at 3 and 5 min ) of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ TPEN (at 2.5 min ). Fluorescence intensity images were acquired with two bandpass emission filters BP1 (425-462 nm) and BP2 (478-540 nm) bandpass filters, and the corresponding intensity ratio images were derived based on $R=\mathrm{BP} 2 / \mathrm{BP} 1$. The false-color ratio scale (LUT) is shown to the right. Scale bar: $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (B) Time course of the average intensity ratio change for the ROIs indicated with a yellow and red circle, respectively, in panel (A). The asterisks indicate the respective time points of the ratio images depicted in panel (A).
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