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## S1 Experimental details

## S1.1 Characterization by powder X-ray diffraction

The transitions between the different phases of MIL-53(Al) occur easily. Consequently, to measure vibrational spectra of the pure phases experimentally, it is important to know under which external conditions a certain phase is present. This can be examined by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD). In Figure S1, the evolution of the PXRD patterns with air pressure and temperature are visualized together with the PXRD patterns of the NP-h, CP and LP phases. From the PXRD patterns, we can clearly see that under ambient conditions, MIL-53(Al) is completely in the NP-h phase. When lowering the pressure by pumping, the NP-h phase transforms to the CP phase within a short period of time. This process could be followed both in PXRD (Figure S1a) and in situ Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. Therefore, both the CP and NP-h phases are readily obtained. The LP phase, in contrast, could only be measured experimentally by increasing the temperature as evidenced by PXRD measurements (Figure S1b). Moreover, as the transformation to the NP-h phase occurs very easily in a humid atmosphere, the LP phase has to be created in situ during spectroscopic characterization. In this study, this could only be achieved using a diffuse reflectance FTIR setup, limited to the mid-IR range.

## S1.2 Influence of dilution on the spectra

In the main article we state that the influence of the matrix material is negligible when recording transmittance IR spectra of MIL-53(Al) in the NP-h phase. This is clearly visible in Figure S2, where the transmittance IR spectra of the NP-h phase with KBr and polyethylene (PE) pellets as matrix material are compared in the range $400-700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. We observe that all absorption bands are located at exactly the same position. Some of these bands have a slightly different intensity, which is very likely more related with differences (in thickness, density, homogeneity) between reference and sample pellets than with the interaction of the
matrix material with MIL-53(Al).
Furthermore, we observed that also in the CP phase the dilution of the material in KBr does not affect the spectral features as is clear from Figure S5. In contrast, the measurement of the transmittance IR spectrum of the CP phase upon dilution in PE and pellet pressing is affected by the matrix material (see Figures S3 and S4). The nature of the influence of PE on MIL-53(Al) is at present unclear and requires further investigation. For this reason ATR experiments in vacuum, which allow to record both the mid-IR and far-IR range of the spectrum without exposing the sample to air have been performed for the CP phase. In the mid-IR range, this spectrum agrees very well with transmittance and diffuse reflectance spectra (see Figure S5).

## S1.3 Comparison of experimental techniques

In the paper we report experimental IR spectra obtained by transmittance IR, ATR IR, and DRIFT. Comparison between these spectra is only possible if the influence of the experimental technique on the spectrum is small. In Figure S 5 , we compare the mid-IR spectrum of the CP phase of MIL-53(Al) recorded by the three experimental techniques. While the spectra do not match exactly, exhibiting small intensity differences and frequency shifts, the IR active bands can clearly be distinguished in each of the three spectra. The spectrum that differs the most from the other two is the DRIFT spectrum due to the Kubelka-Munk transformation, necessary to generate an absorption spectrum out of a diffuse reflectance spectrum. However, we also notice minor dissimilarities between the transmittance IR and ATR IR spectra. This can clearly be illustrated by looking at the symmetric and antisymmetric stretching modes of the carboxylic group. In Figure S5, the intensities of the strongly IR active symmetric stretch mode are the same for all techniques, as they are rescaled in that way, but the intensities of the antisymmetric stretch mode differ. Furthermore, the modes are found at slightly different frequencies. We see that the vibrational bands in the ATR IR spectrum are red shifted compared to the transmittance IR spectrum. These shifts
differ between modes, but are small, ranging from 0.5 to $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The red shift of the ATR IR spectrum can largely be explained by the pressure produced by the lever, which is necessary to provide good contact. To investigate the importance of this pressure on the spectrum, we recorded an ATR IR spectrum without lowering the lever. This yields a spectrum of lower quality, but still allowed us to examine the effect of the lever. In Figure S6, ATR IR spectra obtained with and without lowering the lever are compared with each other. We notice that the spectrum recorded without pressure is blue shifted compared to the situation with pressure. In addition, also the intensities evolve towards the ones observed in the transmittance IR spectrum. It is clear that, although the pressure is small, it is sufficient to compress the MIL-53(Al) powder, which results in a small decrease of the pore volume. This gives rise to small frequency shifts and intensity changes.


Figure S1: Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of activated MIL-53(Al) in air, $\lambda=1.54056 \AA .2 \theta$ is converted to d-spacing in $\AA$ via Bragg's law. (a) PXRD measurements at room temperature with different air pressures. Intensities are represented in color scale with the maximum normalized to 1 . The sample was initially in the NP-h phase. The bottom yellow line indicates the moment when the pump was switched on and the sample started dehydrating. The second yellow line indicates when $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas was applied to the sample. After that, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was evacuated and the sample was left in air until it returned to the NP-h phase. (b) PXRD measurements showing the temperature dependence of MIL-53(Al). Intensities are represented in color scale with the maximum normalized to 1 . The sample was in the NP-h phase at the start of the experiment. While heating, transition to the CP phase occurs around 350 K . Further heating induces a pure LP phase. By cooling the sample in air, the transition from the LP to the NP-h phase is observed near room temperature. (c),(d),(e) Experimental and simulated PXRD patterns of MIL-53(Al) in the NP-h, CP and LP phase, respectively. The experimental patterns for the NP-h and CP phases were taken from part (a) of this figure ( 300 K ), while the experimental pattern for the LP phase was taken from part (b) (at 450 K ). The parameters used for the simulations are taken from Nevjestić et al. ${ }^{1}$


Figure S2: Transmittance IR spectra of MIL-53(Al) in the NP-h phase in the range 400-700 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. One of the spectra uses KBr as matrix material (blue), while the other one uses PE (red).


Figure S3: Transmittance IR spectra of MIL-53(Al) in the range $400-700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The blue curve represents the IR spectrum of the CP phase with KBr as matrix material. The red curve shows the IR spectrum of MIL-53(Al) with PE as matrix material prepared under the same conditions. The spectra differ significantly, however.


Figure S4: Comparison of the ATR IR spectrum of MIL-53(Al) in the CP phase with the transmittance IR spectra of MIL-53(Al) in a PE pellet in the range $100-700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure S5: Comparison of the experimental mid-IR spectra (600-4000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of the CP phase of MIL-53(Al) obtained by ATR IR spectroscopy (blue), transmittance IR spectroscopy (red), and DRIFT spectroscopy (green).


Figure S6: ATR IR spectra of the CP phase of MIL-53(Al) in the range $100-2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ once with pressure delivered by the lever (blue) and once without pressure (red).

## S2 Computational details

## S2.1 Lattice parameters

Table S1: Comparison of the computational lattice parameters (0 K) of MIL-53(Al) with the experimental values $(300 \mathrm{~K})$ obtained in the work of Liu et al. ${ }^{2}$ The lattice parameters of the phases of our own samples were not measured. All values were reported using a unit cell convention different from the one reported by Liu et al. ${ }^{2}$ The definition for the angle $\theta$ and the distance $D$ can be found in the work of Vanduyfhuys et al. ${ }^{3}$

|  | $a(\AA)$ | $b(\AA)$ | $c(\AA)$ | $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $D(\AA)$ | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DFT CP | 19.248 | 6.654 | 6.608 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 95.4 | 37.9 | 20.4 | 843 |
| DFT LP | 17.059 | 6.663 | 12.574 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 89.2 | 72.8 | 21.2 | 1429 |
| Exp. CP $^{2}$ | 19.096 | 6.609 | 7.055 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 95.1 | 40.6 | 20.4 | 887 |
| Exp. LP | 2 | 16.744 | 6.636 | 12.847 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 75.0 | 21.1 |
|  |  |  |  | 1428 |  |  |  |  |  |

## S2.2 Comparison of DFPT and Berry phase approach

In the article, we reported the theoretical IR spectrum obtained via density functional perturbation theory (DFPT). However, the calculation via DFPT had to be performed without dispersion corrections. In Figure S7, we verify that this approach gives qualitatively the same results as the Berry phase approach, where dispersion corrections were taken into account. Apparently, dispersion corrections are of minor importance in the actual calculation of the IR spectrum. Nevertheless, they are essential in the optimization procedure.


Figure S7: Comparison of the theoretical IR spectra obtained by calculation via DFPT (blue) and the Berry phase approach (red) in the range $0-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Top: LP phase. Bottom: CP phase. The theoretical IR spectra were blue shifted with a scaling factor of 1.025 and received a Lorentzian line shape with FWHM of $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## S2.3 Measure for correspondence and determination of scaling factor

In order to quantitatively determine the correspondence of our simulated spectra with the experimental result, we calculated the similarity index (SI), which evaluates the correlation between two functions: ${ }^{4,5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SI}=\frac{\int f(\sigma) g(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma}{\sqrt{\int f^{2}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma \int g^{2}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $f(\sigma)$ and $g(\sigma)$ represent the intensities of the simulated and experimental spectrum at frequency $\sigma$. An SI value of zero means absolutely no correspondence between both spectra, while an SI value of one indicates that the spectra are identical. As the peaks in an IR and Raman spectra are narrow, comparison of the simulated and experimental spectrum using the SI value only makes sense when they are evaluated on the same frequency scale. Accordingly, we also need to determine the correct scaling factor for the simulated frequencies. Another important factor in evaluating the SI value is the broadening applied to the simulated spectra. In this work we applied a Lorentzian line shape with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to the IR spectra obtained by static DFT calculations in order to resolve all the spectral features. The Raman spectra resulting from AIMD did not receive additional broadening as their intrinsic broadening was sufficient. In Table S2, the optimal scaling factor and their SI values are represented for several situations.

All SI values in Table S2 are larger than 0.5 , from which we conclude that our simulated spectra are in quantitative agreement with the experimental spectra. For the case of the static DFT IR spectrum of the CP phase with a FWHM of $28 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ compared to the ATR IR spectrum recorded with lowered lever, we even observe an SI value of 0.95 , which indicates an excellent agreement between the spectra. When we only look at the far-IR spectrum, the SI value becomes 0.96 with the same scaling factor. So the correspondence holds for both the mid- and far-IR. We note that for higher broadening factors, we can get a higher SI value,

Table S2: Optimal scaling factor and corresponding SI value for the simulated IR and Raman spectra of the CP and LP phases. We compare different experimental techniques and different broadening factors.

| Simulated spectrum | Measurement (range in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | lever | $\begin{gathered} \text { FWHM }^{1} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | CP |  | LP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | scaling | SI | scaling | SI |
| IR (static) | ATR FTIR(100-4000) | $\checkmark$ | 10 | 1.025 | 0.82 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 28 | 1.025 | 0.95 |  |  |
|  |  | $x$ | 10 | 1.028 | 0.79 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 28 | 1.028 | 0.91 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DRIFT } \\ (600-4000) \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | 10 | 1.032 | 0.74 | 1.028 | 0.70 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 1.033 | 0.86 | 1.029 | 0.84 |
| IR (AIMD) | ATR FTIR$(100-4000)$ | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 1.036 | 0.63 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 20 | 1.035 | 0.82 |  |  |
|  |  | $x$ | 0 | 1.039 | 0.58 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 20 | 1.039 | 0.77 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DRIFT } \\ (600-4000) \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | 0 | 1.044 | 0.55 | 1.040 | 0.68 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 1.043 | 0.75 | 1.041 | 0.83 |
| Raman (AIMD) | FT-Raman$(150-4000)$ | $x$ | 0 | 1.035 | 0.55 | 1.022 | 0.53 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 1.034 | 0.60 | 1.024 | 0.56 |

${ }^{1}$ Additional broadening factor.
but this makes several vibrational modes less resolved. For that reason, broadening factors smaller than the optimal ones were used for the spectra reported in the paper. Furthermore, we observe different optimal scaling factors when we compare simulations with DRIFT and ATR IR measurements, which is caused by the Kubelka-Munk transition (see Section S1). We also observe different optimal scaling factors between ATR IR measurements with and without lowered lever, because of changes in density of the experimental sample (see Section S1). Besides the scaling factor, also the SI value differs for both ATR IR measurements. The situation with lowered lever shows a slightly higher agreement with our simulated spectrum, probably because the volume of the denser experimental structure matches better with the
volume of our simulated structure. While small differences in optimal scaling factors between the spectra of the CP and LP phases exist, the same scaling factor was used for both phases in order to compare their spectra. As the combination of ATR IR measurements with lowered lever with simulations of the CP phase yield the highest SI values, we applied a scaling factor of 1.025 and 1.035 to all static and dynamic DFT spectra, respectively.

## S3 Additional spectra

## S3.1 IR spectrum from dynamic DFT calculations

AIMD simulations were performed to determine the theoretical Raman spectra of the CP and LP phases of MIL-53(Al). Therefore, the dipole moment of the zero-field situation was calculated every 2.5 fs allowing us to calculate the IR spectrum without additional computational cost. In Figure S8, the IR spectra obtained from dynamic DFT simulations are compared with the static DFT results to get an idea of the anharmonicities and temperature effects. As the resolution of the AIMD spectra is modest due to limited simulation time, a supplementary Lorentzian line shape is applied to smoothen the spectra. This also improves the correspondence with experiment (see Section S2.3). First of all, we notice a general increase in intensity for the dynamic spectrum of the CP phase compared to the static spectrum, which is not observed for the LP phase. This is in agreement with the experimental results and shows that our dynamic simulations are able to take into account the lower experimental symmetry of the system, because it samples over more configurations. Furthermore, a weak IR active mode is noted around $1300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the AIMD simulation of the CP phase that corresponds to the $\nu 3$ ring mode. This follows the experimental result and was not observed in the static simulation. However, the spectral shifts between the CP and LP phase appearing in the experimental spectra and predicted by static simulations are most often not captured by the AIMD simulations (see Table S3). The shifts in the symmetric and asymmetric stretching modes of the metal-oxide backbone do emerge in the dynamic simulation. For wavenumbers below $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the dynamic simulation for the CP phase performs worse than its static counterpart, which could be due to the limited simulation time.


Figure S8: Comparison of the IR spectra of the LP (top) and CP (bottom) phase of MIL$53(\mathrm{Al})$ obtained by static DFT (blue) and AIMD (red) simulations in the range $0-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. All theoretical IR spectra were blue shifted with a scaling factor of 1.025 (static DFT) or 1.035 (dynamic DFT) and received a(n) (additional) Lorentzian line shape with a FWHM of $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Table S3: Experimental and theoretical frequencies (dynamic) of the vibrational modes characteristic for the CP-to-LP transition along with the spectral shifts between both phases.

| Vibrational mode | Experiment $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |  |  | Simulation ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{C P}$ | $\mathbf{L P}$ | $\Delta_{\mathbf{L P}-\mathbf{C P}}$ | $\mathbf{C P}$ | $\mathbf{L P}$ | $\Delta_{\mathbf{L P}-\mathbf{C P}}$ |
| $\nu \mathrm{AlOAl}_{\text {sym }}$ | 653 | 657 | 4 | 641 | 640 | -1 |
| $\nu \mathrm{AlOAl}_{\text {as }}$ | 671 | 680 | 9 | 660 | 667 | 7 |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}$ | 764 | 756 | -8 | 756 | 756 | 0 |
| $\delta \mathrm{CCC}+\delta \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 847 | 851 | 4 | 863 | 863 | 0 |
| $\delta \mathrm{OH}$ | 983 | 991 | 8 | 998 | 998 | 0 |
| $\delta \mathrm{CCC}+\delta \mathrm{CH}$ | 1018 | 1026 | 8 | 1038 | 1038 | 0 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\delta \mathrm{CH}$ | 1160 | 1163 | 3 | 1180 | 1180 | 0 |
| $\nu_{\text {sym }} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 1415 | 1418 | 3 | 1403 | 1409 | 6 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\delta \mathrm{CH}$ | 1505 | 1509 | 4 | 1530 | 1523 | -7 |
| $\nu_{a s} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 1589 | 1597 | 8 | 1579 | 1592 | 13 |

[^0]
## S3.2 IR spectrum in range $2800-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$



Figure S9: Comparison of DRIFT spectra (top) and theoretical IR spectra obtained by static DFT calculations (bottom) for the CP (blue) and LP (red) phase in the range 2800-4000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The theoretical spectrum was blue shifted with a scaling factor of 1.025 and received a Lorentzian line shape with a FWHM of $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In contrast to the other vibrational modes, the blue shift degrades the correspondence between the experimental and theoretical spectrum.

## S3.3 Raman spectrum in range $2800-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$



Figure S10: Comparison of experimental (top) and theoretical (bottom) Raman spectra for the CP (blue) and LP (red) phase in the range $2800-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The theoretical spectrum was obtained by AIMD simulations and is blue shifted with a scaling factor of 1.035 . As was the case for static simulations, the blue shift degrades the correspondence between the experimental and theoretical spectrum.

## S4 Mode identification

Table S4: Identification of the optically active (IR or Raman) vibrational bands of MIL$53(\mathrm{Al})$ in the CP and LP phase. Both the experimental and computational bands are given. Below $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ the experimental IR spectrum of the LP phase is not recorded. Raman active modes below $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the experimental spectrum could not be identified.

| Vibrational mode | Activity | Experiment ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |  | Simulation ${ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CP | LP | CP | LP |
| $\nu \mathrm{OH}$ | IR | 3702 | 3702 | 3876 | 3875 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH}(\nu 7 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}+\nu 20 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ | Raman | 3061/3076 | 3066/3081 | 3214/3231 | 3211/3222 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}(\nu 8 \mathrm{a})$ | Raman | 1616 | 1618 | 1644 | 1644 |
| $\nu_{a s} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | IR | 1579 | 1596 | 1581 | 1564 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\delta \mathrm{CH}(\nu 19 \mathrm{a})$ | IR | 1503 | 1508 | 1521 | 1523 |
| $\nu_{\text {sym }} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | Raman | 1441/1475 | 1460/1477 | 1441/1473 | 1455/1475 |
| $\nu_{\text {sym }} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | IR | 1406 | 1417 | 1407 | 1413 |
| $\nu_{a s} \mathrm{CCC}(\nu 14)$ | IR | 1377 | 1377 | 1385 | 1388 |
| $\nu_{a s} \mathrm{CCC}(\nu 14)$ (From Ref. ${ }^{6}$ ) | IR | 1317 | 1318 |  |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{CH}(\nu 3)$ | IR | 1292 | 1291 | 1310 | 1304 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\delta \mathrm{CH}(\nu 9 \mathrm{a})$ | Raman | 1182 | 1183 | 1206 | 1204 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\delta \mathrm{CH}(\nu 18 \mathrm{a})$ | IR | 1160 | 1163 | 1175 | 1178 |
| $\nu \mathrm{CC}+\delta \mathrm{CH}(\nu 9 \mathrm{a})$ | Raman | 1143 | 1149 | 1163 | 1166 |
| $\delta \mathrm{CH}(\nu 15)$ | IR | 1103 | 1103 | 1120 | 1113 |
| $\delta \mathrm{CCC}+\delta \mathrm{CH}(\nu 18 \mathrm{a})$ | IR | 1018 | 1026 | 1033 | 1038 |
| $\delta \mathrm{OH}$ | IR | 983 | 991 | 981 | 977 |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}(\nu 17 \mathrm{~b})$ | IR | 891 | 888 | 904 | 899 |
| $\delta \mathrm{CCC}+\delta \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\nu 6 \mathrm{a})$ | Raman | 871 | 873 | 879 | 882 |
| $\delta \mathrm{CCC}+\delta \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | IR | 837/847 | 837/851 | 836/846 | 837/851 |
| $\omega \mathrm{CC}(\nu 4)$ | Raman | 823 | 823 | 828 | 822 |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}(\nu 11)$ | IR | 764 | 756 | 755 | 750 |
| $\nu \mathrm{AlOAl}_{\text {as }}$ | IR | 671 | 680 | 672 | 686 |
| $\nu \mathrm{AlOAl}_{\text {sym }}$ | IR | 653 | 657 | 655 | 659 |
| $\nu \mathrm{AlO}_{C}+\nu \mathrm{AlO}_{H}$ | Raman | 630 | 630 | 633 | 634 |
| $\nu \mathrm{AlO}_{C}$ | IR | 600 |  | 597 | 616 |
| $\nu \mathrm{AlO}_{C}+\delta \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | IR | 573 |  | 571 | 588 |


| Vibrational mode | Activity | Experiment $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |  | Simulation $^{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CP | $\mathbf{L P}$ | CP | LP |
| $\delta \mathrm{AlOAl}+\delta \mathrm{CCC}$ | Raman | 479 | 483 |  |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{AlOAl}+\delta \mathrm{CCC}+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ | IR + Raman |  | 479 |  | 488 |
| $\omega \mathrm{CC}+\omega \mathrm{CH}(\nu 16 \mathrm{~b})$ | IR | 467 |  | 474 | 480 |
| $\omega \mathrm{Al}+\delta \mathrm{AlOAl}+\omega \mathrm{CH}$ | IR | 459 |  | 469 | 464 |
| $\omega \mathrm{Al}+\delta \mathrm{OH}+\omega \mathrm{CH}$ | IR | 441 | 441 | 454 |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{AlOAl}+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ | IR |  |  | 409 |  |
| AlOAl backbone deformation | IR | $314 / 348$ | $317 / 342$ | $335 / 355$ |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{OH}+$ scissoring of octahedron | IR |  |  | $262 / 267$ |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{OH}+$ scissoring of octahedron | IR | 273 | 250 | 253 |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{OH}+$ scissoring of octahedron | IR |  | 225 |  |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{OH}+$ linker shearing | IR | 246 | 198 | 222 |  |
| linker shearing + scissoring of oc- | IR | 212 | 176 |  |  |
| tahedron |  |  | 155 | 166 |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{OH}+$ scissoring of octahedron | IR | 180 | 104 | 126 |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{OH}+$ rocking of metal-oxide | IR | 122 |  |  |  |
| backbone |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The values are multiplied with a scaling factor of 1.025 .

## S5 Visualization of the IR active modes in far-IR

## S5.1 IR active modes in CP and LP phases

Four different IR active modes in the far-IR spectrum of the CP and LP phases are visualized here. (a) Stretching mode of the aluminum atom with the oxygen atoms of the carboxylic group ( $597 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in CP phase, $616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in LP phase). (b) Combined in-plane and out-ofplane vibration of the ring structure coupled with rocking of the aluminum atom ( $\nu 16 \mathrm{~b}$ ring mode) ( $441 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in CP phase, $454 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in LP phase). (c) Metal-oxide backbone deformation ( $342 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in CP phase, $355 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in LP phase). (d) Vibration of the hydroxyl group coupled with scissoring of the oxygen atoms of the carboxylic group ( $155 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in CP phase, $166 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in LP phase).

(b)


Figure S11: Visualization of four IR active modes in the far-IR region. (a) Stretching of $\mathrm{AlO}_{C}$. (b) Combined in-plane and out-of-plane vibration of aromatic ring with rocking of aluminum atom ( $\nu 16 \mathrm{~b}$ ). (c) Metal-oxide backbone deformation. (d) Vibration of hydroxyl group with scissoring of metal octahedra. Videos of these modes are available in Section S7.

## S5.2 IR active mode only present in the LP phase

In the paper we discuss two aluminum oxide bending modes, which are IR active in the LP phase, but not in the CP phase. One of these aluminum oxide bending modes is located at $483 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the CP phase and at $488 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the LP phase following from static DFT simulations. The bending mode in the LP phase is coupled with an additional bend of the hydroxyl group, which makes it IR active. This is not the case for the CP phase and the mode remains IR inactive. Additionally, this particular bending mode is coupled with shrinking of the aromatic ring resulting in Raman activity. Accordingly, this mode appears in our experimental and theoretical Raman spectrum.


Figure S12: AlOAl bend mode in the LP phase with additional bend of the hydroxyl group (left) and in the CP phase without additional bend of the hydroxyl group (right). Videos of this mode are available in Section S7.

## S6 Low-frequency vibrations

## S6.1 Closed pore phase

Table S5: Vibrational modes of the CP phase of MIL-53(Al) in the region 0-310 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ obtained from static DFT simulations. The wavenumbers are multiplied with a scaling factor of 1.025.

| Wavenumber $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ | Mode description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 11.1 | Rotation of metal-oxide backbone + trampoline motion |
| 27.0 | Rocking of metal-oxide backbone (perpendicular) |
| 42.6 | Rocking of metal-oxide backbone (longitudinal) |
| $68.9 / 69.0$ | Linker rotation |
| 71.6 | Rocking of metal-oxide backbone + trampoline motion |
| 88.6 | Rotation of metal-oxide backbone + trampoline motion |
| 104.1 | Rocking of metal-oxide backbone $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 107.5 | Linker shearing |
| 109.9 | OH + deformation of octahedron |
| 117.7 | Rotation of metal-oxide backbone |
| 122.7 | Trampoline motion + linker shearing |
| 131.6 | Rotation of metal-oxide backbone + linker shearing |
| 137.7 | Trampoline motion |
| 138.5 | Trampoline motion + rotation of octahedron |
| 141.0 | Rocking of linker + deformation of octahedron |
| 142.7 | Trampoline motion + rotation of octahedron + linker shearing |
| $151.2 / 151.7$ | Linker rotation |
| $154.5 / 158.7$ | Scissoring of octahedron $+\delta$ OH |
| $170.5 / 172.2$ | Scissoring of octahedron + linker rocking |
| 179.7 | Linker rocking |
| 180.4 | Linker shearing + scissoring of octahedron |
| 10 |  |


| Wavenumber $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | Mode description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 191.4 | Metal-oxide backbone rocking $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 194.9 | $\delta \mathrm{OH}+$ linker rocking |
| 198.3 | $\delta \mathrm{OH}+$ linker shearing |
| 200.2 | Linker rocking + deformation of octahedron |
| 208.9 | Scissoring of octahedron + trampoline motion |
| 222.2 | Scissoring of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{OH}+$ linker shearing |
| 227.0 | Scissoring of octahedron + seesaw motion of linker |
| 235.6 | Rocking of metal-oxide backbone + seesaw motion of linker |
| 240.9 | $\delta$ OH + seesaw motion of linker |
| 245.1 | Deformation of octahedron |
| 246.2 | Undulating motion of linker $+\delta \mathrm{AlOAl}+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 249.7 | Scissoring of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 262.5 | Scissoring of octahedron + rocking of aluminum atom + linker <br> rocking |
| 277.1 | Scissoring of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{AlOAl}$ |
| 277.1 | Scissoring of octahedron + rocking of octahedron |
| 277.5 | Deformation of octahedron + linker shearing $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 278.8 | Scissoring of octahedron + linker rocking $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 280.1 | Deformation of octahedron + linker shearing |
| 283.7 | Rocking of aluminum atom + linker rocking |
| 289.4 | Deformation of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{AlOAl}$ |
| 300.3 | Scissoring of octahedron + metal-oxide backbone deformation <br> + linker rocking $+\delta$ OH |
| 303.3 | Bending of metal-oxide backbone + scissoring of octahedron |
| 2 |  |
| 2 |  |

## S6.2 Large pore phase

Table S6: Vibrational modes of the LP phase MIL-53(Al) in the region $0-310 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ obtained from static DFT simulations. The wavenumbers are multiplied with a scaling factor of 1.025.

| Wavenumber ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Mode description |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14.6 | Rotation of metal-oxide backbone + trampoline motion |
| 38.6 | Translation of metal-oxide backbone (longitudinal) |
| 47.1 | Linker rotation + rotation of octahedron |
| 53.5/54.0/54.2 | Linker rotation |
| 59.2/60.3 | Trampoline motion + scissoring of octahedron |
| 61.9 | Rotation of metal-oxide backbone |
| 67.9 | Trampoline motion |
| 74.8 | Rotation of octahedron |
| 80.0 | Rotation of octahedron + linker rotation |
| 100.7 | Rocking of metal-oxide backbone + scissoring of octahedron |
| 105.7 | Rotation of metal-oxide backbone |
| 108.2 | Linker shearing |
| 125.7 | Rocking of metal-oxide backbone $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 130.0 | Deformation of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 134.1/136.0 | Linker shearing |
| 150.8 | Rocking of metal-oxide backbone + scissoring of octahedron |
| 166.1 | Scissoring of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 171.5/176.1 | Linker rocking |
| 181.4 | Scissoring of octahedron + seesaw motion of linker |
| 187.3 | Alternated scissoring of octahedron |
| 188.8 | Metal-oxide backbone rocking $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 189.9 | Linker rocking |
| 191.7 | Alternated scissoring of octahedron |
| 196.8 | Deformation of octahedron through $\mathrm{AlO}_{C}$ stretching $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |


| Wavenumber $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | Mode description |
| :---: | :--- |
| $212.6 / 213.6 / 220.3$ | Metal-oxide backbone rocking $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 221.7 | Linker shearing + scissoring of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 225.0 | Scissoring of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 227.4 | Rocking of metal-oxide backbone $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 239.7 | Deformation of octahedron by AlO $C_{C}$ stretching $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 252.0 | Scissoring of octahedron + undulating motion of linker |
| 253.4 | Scissoring of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 257.6 | Scissoring of octahedron + linker rocking $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| $260.0 / 262.4$ | Scissoring of octahedron $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 266.7 | Linker rocking $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| 276.0 | Scissoring of octahedron + linker rocking $+\delta \mathrm{OH}$ |
| $284.5 / 288.3 / 296.0$ | Metal-oxide backbone rocking + linker rocking |
| 298.6 | Scissoring of octahedron $($ kneecap motion $)$ |
| 302.5 | Deformation of octahedron + linker rocking |
| $309.5 / 301.2$ | Bending of metal-oxide backbone + scissoring of octahedron |

## S7 Videos of the vibrational modes

Videos of all modes discussed in this work can be found in the zip-file included in the online version of this article. The zip-file is structured as follows:
functional group vibrations (Section 4.1 in the main article)

- A1_backbone_stretch_sym.gif
- A1_backbone_stretch_as.gif
- A2_backbone_stretch_sym.gif
- A2_backbone_stretch_as.gif
- B_CH_wagging.gif
- C_CO2_bend.gif
- D_OH_bend.gif
- E_CCC_bend.gif
- F_CC_CH.gif
- G_CO2_sym.gif
- H_CC_stretch.gif
- I_CO2_as.gif
far-IR vibrations (Section 4.2 in the main article + Section S5)
- a_AlOC_front.gif
- a_AlOC_side.gif
- b_oop_front.gif
- b_oop_side.gif
- c_backbone_front.gif
- c_backbone_side.gif
- d_scissoring_OH_bend_front.gif
- d_scissoring_OH_bend_side.gif
- AlOAl_CP.gif
- AlOAl_LP.gif
collective vibrations (Section 4.4 in the main article)
- backbone_rotation.gif
- phenyl_rotation.gif
- seesaw.gif
- trampoline_LP.gif
- trampoline_CP_backbone.gif
- trampoline_CP_pore.gif
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The values are multiplied with a scaling factor of 1.035 .

